Thiol-functionalised mesoporous silica-coated magnetite nanoparticles for high efficiency removal and recovery of Hg from water

Othman Hakami *, Yue Zhang, Charles J. Banks
Faculty of Engineering and the Environment, University of Southampton, Highfield, Southampton, SO17 1BJ, UK

Abstract

The preparation and testing of thiol-functionalised silica-coated magnetite nanoparticles (TF-SCMNPs) is described. The characteristics of these particles are assessed at different stages in the production process using X-ray diffraction (XRD), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR), and a magnetometer. The particles were found to be almost spherical with a uniform mesoporous structure with a pore size of ~2.1 nm. The particles were strongly responsive to an external magnetic field making separation from solution possible in less than 1 min. The adsorption characteristics of the particles were quantified in a series of isotherm experiments using Hg(II) solution concentrations between 40 and 1000 μg l⁻¹ at adsorbent concentrations of 4 and 8 mg l⁻¹. The adsorption capacity was higher than for other commonly used adsorbents with 90% of Hg(II) removed during the first 5 min and equilibrium in less than 15 min. Both the Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm models were applied to the isotherm data and the maximum adsorption capacity was achieved when the ratio of adsorbent to adsorbate was low. Both
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temperature and pH had an effect on adsorption but when the TF-SCMNPs were used for removal of Hg(II) from tap water and bottled water, which contained other ions, there appeared to be no interference. Hg(II) could be successfully desorbed using thiourea in a 3M HCl solution; this did not result in the destruction of the nanoparticles and they could subsequently be reused without loss of their activity in repetitive adsorption tests.
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1. **Introduction**

   Adsorption is a widely used technique for the removal of low concentrations of heavy metals from water, and the effectiveness of various adsorbents has been demonstrated over many years in different water and wastewater applications (Babel and Kurniawan, 2003; Brown et al., 2001). Activated carbon (AC) is one of the most common industrial adsorbents used for mercury removal (Bailey et al., 1999; Kurniawan et al., 2006; Namasivayam and Kadirvelu, 1999), yet various studies have shown practical limitations. Even in a well-operated system regeneration of the carbon may result in a loss of ~15% of the original material; this coupled with the use of complexing agents to improve performance can result in substantial waste generation (Babel and Kurniawan, 2003; Bailey et al., 1999). Fly ash (Rio and Delebarre, 2003), clay (U Senevirathna et al., 2011), zeolite (Chojnacki et al., 2004) and peat moss (Brown et al., 2001) have also been commonly used as adsorbents because their structure provides sufficient surface area for adsorption. In all cases, however, the presence of an ill-defined pore structure (Hahn et al., 1996; Yoshitake et al., 2002) has a hindering effect on diffusion, which may lead to a decrease in the adsorption rate and the available capacity (Hu et al., 2006). Ideally, the pores of an adsorbent should facilitate the transport of molecules and provide free access. This can be achieved through interlinked pores with a near uniform size distribution (Yoshitake et al., 2002).
Magnetic composite particles can be designed to have specific physical, chemical and surface properties that allow the selective attachment of ions, molecules, macromolecules, cells, colloidal particles or liquid phases (Warner et al., 2009; Xu and Dong, 2008). Once the target has attached, the use of a magnet provides a very simple and effective method of separating the particles along with their targeted specific group from the aqueous phase. The manufacture of these magnetic composite particles first requires creation of the nanoparticles and then modification of the surface to match the requirements and constraints of the proposed end use (Hu et al., 2006; Williams, 1994). Of interest in the current research are micro and mesoporous nanomaterials, as these have a high surface area and high porosity (Kanel et al., 2005); this coupled with high reactivity makes them useful as adsorbents in water and wastewater treatment. Functionalised nanoporous adsorbents are therefore now being studied with respect to their possible use in the removal of heavy metals from aqueous media (Da'na and Sayari, 2011; Mamadou et al., 2008; Shahbazi et al., 2010). Among the types examined, those with thiol-functionalised groups have been found to be most efficient for the removal of Hg(II) (Walcarius and Delacôte, 2005).

The pH value affects adsorption as it determines the degree of protolysis or 'ionisation' of both the adsorbate and the adsorbent. Since hydrogen and hydroxide ions often interact with adsorbents commonly used in aqueous phase applications, the adsorption of Hg(II) ions may be strongly influenced by the solution pH (Walcarius and Delacôte, 2005). The temperature of the adsorption medium has also been shown to be important where the mechanism of the metal-binding processes is energy dependent (Green-Ruiz, 2009). Changing the temperature may affect the equilibrium adsorption capacity of the adsorbent: for example, the adsorption
capacity will decrease with increasing temperature for an exothermic reaction, while it will increase for an endothermic one (Rodda et al., 1996).

In an effective treatment system desorption and regeneration of the adsorbate is an essential requirement, and one of the key factors in this is the ability to maintain adequate performance of the adsorbent in multi-cycle use (Dabrowski, 2001). Hydrochloric acid is commonly used for elution of metal ions, including Hg (II), from adsorbents due to their high solubility, its common usage in industry and its relatively low cost (Naja and Volesky, 2010). Recovery of the adsorbate may be a secondary objective, and the more concentrated the adsorbate is in the desorption fluid the more likely the success of the process (Brown et al., 2000; Feng et al., 1997). For the removal of Hg(II) from functional thiol groups, as considered in the current work, acid alone is not effective and the addition of thiourea is required (Huang and Hu, 2008; Pu et al., 2004; Walcarius and Delacôte, 2005).

The current research used thiol-functionalised silica-coated magnetite nano-particles (TF-SCMNPs) prepared by co-condensation and characterised using a variety of phyico-chemical techniques. The composite particles were then used in an adsorption process for Hg(II) removal, and the Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm models used to process the adsorption isotherm data. Desorption and regeneration were also examined as the next steps in progressing the application of this new type of adsorbent to more complex aqueous mixes containing other ions and dissolved species typical of what might be found in natural and waste waters.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Synthesis of TF-SCMNPs
The procedure used for the preparation of the TF-SCMNs is shown in Fig. 1S (in supplementary file), and includes three stages: synthesis of the magnetite (Fe$_3$O$_4$) nanoparticles (NPs), applying a mesoporous silica coating, and applying a functional group to the coating. The Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs were first prepared using the co-precipitation method described by Kang et al. (1996), with some modifications. In this method, 11.68 g of FeCl$_3$·6H$_2$O and 4.31 g of FeCl$_2$·6H$_2$O were dissolved over a 30-min period in 200 ml of deionised water, which was maintained at 85°C whilst being vigorously stirred and bubbled with nitrogen gas. After the ferric and ferrous chloride were dissolved, and while still continuing to bubble nitrogen, ~20 ml of 30% (w/v) aqueous NH$_3$ solution was added dropwise into the iron mixture until the colour of the bulk solution changed from orange to black and the pH increased to 8.0. An external magnetic field was then applied and the black magnetite precipitate was immobilised while the supernatant was removed by decantation.

The second-stage application of the mesoporous silica coat to form SCMNs itself followed a four-step procedure as shown in Fig. 1S (in supplementary file; Liu et al., 1998; Wu and Xu, 2005). First, dense liquid-silica-coated magnetite nanoparticles (DLSC-Fe$_3$O$_4$) were prepared by re-suspending the Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs in 150 ml of deionised water in a 500 ml three-necked round-bottomed flask with the contents agitated by immersion in an ultrasonic bath (Crest Ultrasonic CP1100, UK). The pH of the suspension was then raised to 9.5 ±0.1 by adding 0.1M NaOH, and it was stirred and heated at 90°C for 2 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. A volume of 10 ml of aqueous silica solution (Na$_2$SiO$_3$) was then added, followed by 0.1 M HNO$_3$ to maintain the pH at 9.5. Second, for molecular templating, 75 ml of DLSC-Fe$_3$O$_4$ suspension was added to 100 ml of ethanol and stirred for 30 min, followed by addition of a 75 mmol l$^{-1}$ Cetyltrimethylammonium chloride aqueous solution. The mixture was allowed to react at room temperature for 3 h while mixing continued. Third, for
the sol-gel process, the silica precursor, tetraethoxysilane was added and stirred for a further 5 h at room temperature before 60 ml of glycerol were added. The pH was adjusted to 4.6 using glacial acetic acid and a drop of ammonia solution added to act as a catalyst. The mixture was then stirred for a further 3 h under a nitrogen atmosphere to ensure complete dehydration. The SCMNPs at this stage are mesoporous, but the pores are filled with the surfactant. To remove the surfactant, as the fourth step in the treatment, the SCMNPs were calcinated at 540°C in a tube furnace with nitrogen flow for 4 h.

Before the third stage of adding a functional group to the coating, 3 g of mesoporous SCMNPs were hydrolysed in 100 mL of toluene. The suspension was stirred for 2 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. The functional group was then added by washing 25 ml of SCMNPs with ethanol (2 x 100 ml). The particles were dispersed in the solvent by immersing the flask in an ultrasonic bath, then separated from the solvent using a permanent magnet and diluted to 150 ml with 1% 3-mercaptopropyl trimethoxysilane (3-MPTMS) in 95% ethanol, followed by the addition of 16 mmol l\(^{-1}\) of acetic acid to adjust the pH to 4.5. The suspension was then transferred to a 500 ml three-necked round-bottomed flask, stirred and heated at 60 °C for 2 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. The particles were then washed three times with deionised water, twice with methanol and residual solvent was removed using a freeze dryer (VirTis Bench Top 6K, UK).

All chemicals used in the preparation were obtained from either Fisher Scientific Ltd (UK), or Sigma-Aldrich Co (UK).

2.2. Characterisation of TF-SCMNPs
The purity of the TF-SCMNPs was verified using a number of techniques. X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were obtained using a Philips XPert Pro vertical goniometer instrument. Cu Kα radiation (\(\lambda = 1.5406 \text{ Å}\)) was used with an accelerating voltage and applied current of 40 kV and 40 mA. The XRD patterns obtained were step-scanned from 2° to 80° (2\(\theta\)) at 0.02° or 0.01° increments using a counting time of 10 s per increment. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of the TF-SCMNPs were obtained using a JEM 3010 microscope (Japan Electron Co.). The particles were first dispersed in ethanol for 45 min using sonication and then transferred to a copper grid (Carbon Films, 200 Mesh Grids, AGAR). The TEM images were obtained using bright-field image mode at 200kV acceleration voltage. Spectra of the TF-SCMNPs particles were obtained using samples dried at room temperature for 24 h, and then 100 mg was mixed with potassium bromide and pressed to form pellets. These were analysed by Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) using a Nicolet Protégé 460 FTIR spectrometer (Thermo, UK) in transmission mode at room temperature and scanning 32 times in the spectral ranges 400 and 4000 cm\(^{-1}\) with a spectral resolution of 4 cm\(^{-1}\). The magnetic behaviour of the TF-SCMNPs was characterised using a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM, 3001) with hysteresis measurements being performed at 300 K with applied magnetic fields of up to 0.9 T in order to achieve demagnetisation corrections. The zeta potential (\(\xi\)) and dynamic light scattering of TF-SCMNPs was determined by ME11 Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern Instruments Ltd, UK) at 25 °C. A folded capillary cell (DTS1060) filled with 1 ml of the TF-SCMNPs suspension was used for the measurement.

2.3. Adsorption experiments

Adsorption experiments were carried out by mixing TF-SCMNPs with 50 ml of a Hg(II) solution made up at different concentrations in 0.1M NaNO\(_3\) to keep the ionic strength
relatively constant. All sorption experiments were carried out in duplicate in 100 ml polyethylene terephthalate (PET) bottles placed in an orbital incubator at 200 rpm for 1 h at 22.5 °C unless otherwise noted. The pH of the suspension was adjusted by adding either 0.1M NaOH or 0.1M HNO₃. Adsorption tests were carried out at pH values in the range 2.0-9.0 using Hg(II) concentrations 40, 80, 200, 500 and 1000 μg l⁻¹ in a 50 ml solution to which was added a known quantity of either 0.20 or 0.40 mg of a concentrated TF-SCMNP suspension to give nanoparticle concentrations of 4 and 8 mg l⁻¹. The TF-SCMNP suspensions were reacted for 1 h to reach equilibrium and then separated by applying an external magnetic field. The final concentration of Hg (II) was determined using a PSA 10.035 Millennium Merlin atomic fluorescence spectrometry (P S Analytical Ltd, UK) with a detection limit of 2.0 ng l⁻¹. Dissolved iron was measured using an inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometer (Varian Vista Pro ICP-OES, UK; detection limit = 0.19 mg l⁻¹).

The adsorption capacity \( q_e \), the amount of Hg(II) adsorbed at equilibrium, was calculated according to the following equation:

\[
q_e = \frac{V(C_0 - C_e)}{m}
\]  

(1)

where \( C_o \) is the initial mercury concentration in solution (mg l⁻¹), \( C_e \) is the equilibrium concentration (mg l⁻¹) after adsorption, \( V \) is the solution volume (l), \( m \) is the mass of NPs adsorbent (g).

The Langmuir equation (Langmuir, 1916) given in Eq. (2) was applied to the data to provide an insight into the mechanism of the adsorption.

\[
\frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{1}{Q_0b} + \frac{C_e}{Q_0}
\]  

(2)
where $Q_0$ and $b$ are Langmuir constants related to the maximum adsorption capacity and energy of adsorption respectively. Likewise, the Freundlich equation (Freundlich, 1926) given in Eq. (3) was also applied in the form

$$\log q_e = \log K_f + n^{-1} \log C_e$$

(3)

where $K_f$ and $n^-'$ are Freundlich constants incorporating all factors that affect the adsorption process such as capacity and intensity, respectively.

2.4. Desorption and regeneration experiments

Desorption of Hg-laden TF-SCMNP s was tested using HCl at concentrations from 1.0 to 5.0 M containing 2% (m/v) thiourea. Before this acid treatment the magnetically recovered TF-SCMNP s were first washed three times with deionised water to remove any Hg(II) which was loosely attached to the PET bottles or to the adsorbent itself. A 5 ml aliquot of the desorbent was then added to each PET bottle and the bottles were shaken for 1 h to ensure the contact between TF-SCMNP s and adsorbent. The TF-SCMNP s were separated magnetically from the desorbent and its mercury concentration was determined. The desorption efficiency was then calculated as

$$Desorption\ capacity = \frac{(C_{ed} - C_o)V_d}{q_e M} \times 100$$

(4)

where $C_{ed}$ is the equilibrium concentration (mg l$^{-1}$) after desorption, $C_o$ is the initial concentration of mercury in the eluent (mg l$^{-1}$), $V_d$ is the volume of the eluent (l), $q_e$ is the adsorption capacity obtained in the adsorption test and $M$ is the mass of TF-SCMNP s adsorbent.

To determine the regeneration capacity, three concentrations of Hg(II) solutions were used, each over five adsorption-desorption cycles. In each test an 8 mg l$^{-1}$ suspension of TF-
SCMNPs was used with 50 ml of 80, 120 and 200 μg l\(^{-1}\) of Hg(II) solutions, according to the procedure described above.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterisation of adsorbent (TF-SCMNPs)

Fig. 1a shows the XRD diffraction patterns in which six diffraction peaks (220, 311, 400, 442, 511 and 440) are seen and indexed to the spinal structure of pure magnetite nanoparticles (trace i), with no other crystalline phases detected. The XRD pattern of TF-SCMNPs (trace ii) shows that the mesoporous spheres have similar diffraction peaks suggesting that the magnetic core was unchanged within the silica matrix.

A typical TEM image for the Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) NPs is shown in Fig. 1b, and shows them to be nearly spherical with a diameter of around 50 nm. The bright-field image at high magnification of the TF-SCMNPs (Fig. 1c) shows a lamellar mesostructure with a well-defined hexagonal arrangement of uniform pores. The pore size was estimated to be ~2.1 nm and the detail was obtained by focusing a direct electron beam parallel to the main axis of the pores.

Fig. 2a shows the FTIR spectrograph of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) NPs, SCMNPs and TF-SCMNPs. For Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) NPs, the peaks at 516.20 and 593.38 cm\(^{-1}\) are attributed to the Fe-O bond vibration of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\), while the peak at 1630.88 also shows the existence of Fe-O. The absorption bands at 582.57 cm\(^{-1}\) revealed the presence of Fe-O-Si. The FTIR spectrum of the SCMNPs shows strong absorption characteristics at Si-O bands of 789.15 and 1082.49 cm\(^{-1}\). There is also a weak band at 962.80 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponding to the Si-OH bending vibration. The broad absorption band at 3421.33 cm\(^{-1}\) was due to O-H stretching vibration, which corresponds to hydroxyl groups on the surface of iron oxide and this band can be assigned to the adsorbed water
molecules. Additional bands were observed at 2855.42 and 2964.29 and these correspond to CH$_3$ and CH$_2$ vibrations, respectively. S-H stretches were found at 2509.93 cm$^{-1}$, which are typically very weak and convoluted by contamination of the CO$_2$ stretching bands from the background. Generally, the S-H stretching band is characteristically weak and cannot be detected in the spectra of dilute solutions or thin films (Silverstein et al., 2005). The FTIR spectra show, however, that the surface of TF-SCMNPs contains an (-SH) group which results from the modification procedure with 3-MPTMS.

Fig. 2b shows that the saturation magnetisation of Fe$_3$O$_4$NPs at room temperature is ~0.60 emu while the saturation magnetisation of SCMNPs was 0.25 emu; this reduction as a result of the silica coat was expected. The functionalisation of the SCMNPs with 3-MPTMS only caused a decrease of a further 12% giving a final emu of 0.20, making it still very strongly responsive to an external magnetic field. As shown in Fig. 2Sa (in supplementary file) permanent magnet placed adjacent to the suspension was able visibly to clarify it within the space of one min; the total Fe in solution was below the sample detection limit of ICP-OES which is <0.19 mg l$^{-1}$ (< 2.3% of the initial concentration). The magnetically separated TF-SCMNPs are not permanently magnetised and can be re-dispersed without any signs of re-aggregation when the external magnetic field is removed. The average hydrodynamic size of TF-SCMNPs was measured before and after separation and was found to be ~111.06 and 111.30 nm, respectively.

3.2. Adsorption Kinetics

Fig. 3a shows that the rate of Hg(II) uptake was initially high, with about 90% of Hg(II) removed during the first 5 min. There was then a gradual reduction in rate of removal leading to an equilibrium condition which was achieved within less than 15 min with 100%
Hg(II) adsorbed. The rapid adsorption might be attributed to two factors: first, there is a chemical binding between Hg(II) ions and the surface functional groups; second, there is electrostatic attraction between the external surface of the adsorbent and the metal ion. For comparison Table 1S (in supplementary file) shows the equilibrium time for the removal of Hg(II) ions using some common adsorbents.

3.3. Adsorption Isotherm

To derive data for the adsorption isotherm, initial concentrations of 40, 80, 120, 160, 200, 400, 600, 800 and 1000 μg Hg(II) l⁻¹ were used with the TF-SCMNPs adsorbent at 4 and 8 mg l⁻¹. Fig. 3b shows that, as expected, the amount of Hg(II) ions adsorbed per unit mass of the TF-SCMNPs increased with the decreased weight of the adsorbent. From this plot of adsorbed Hg(II) (qₑ) against the equilibrium concentration (Cₑ) at pH 6.0, the loading capacity of the TF-SCMNPs was found to be 113.7 and 207.7 mg g⁻¹ when applied to concentrations of 8 and 4 mg l⁻¹ of adsorbent, respectively. In both cases the initial mercury concentration of the solution was 1000 μg l⁻¹. Table 2S (in supplementary file) gives a comparison with other adsorbents used for the adsorption of Hg (II) as reported in the literature: it is clear that TF-SCMNPs have a higher adsorption capacity for Hg (II) with much lower effluent mercury concentration.

The adsorption data were fitted to both the Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm models, which can describe the equilibrium between Hg(II) adsorbed (qₑ) and in solution (Cₑ) when at constant temperature. The difference in the models is that Langmuir assumes that adsorption takes place at specific homogenous sites within the adsorbent and is suited to monolayer adsorption processes, whereas Freundlich assumes the uptake of the adsorbates occurs on a heterogeneous surface by multi-layer adsorption.
The plots for $Ce/qe$ against $Ce$ for both quantities of adsorbent are shown in Fig. 4a, b with a linear regression line fitted. The values of the Langmuir constants $Q_0$ and $b$ were calculated from the slope and intercept of this line and are shown in Table 1. The correlation coefficient $R^2 > 0.97$ for the data to the model indicates monolayer adsorption of the Hg(II) to the surface of TF-SCMNPs at the concentrations of adsorbent and adsorbate applied. The different quantities of adsorbent gave different sorption capacities of 113.7 and 207.7 mg Hg g$^{-1}$. A higher maximum capacity was achieved when the ratio of adsorbent to adsorbate was lower, as the maximum capacity calculated from the Langmuir equation is not a constant but a function of this ratio.

The experimental data were also plotted in accordance with the Freundlich model (Fig. 4c, d) and the constants obtained are shown in Table 1. The correlation coefficients ($R^2$) between the experimental data and the model were 0.99 and 0.97 for the two different quantities of adsorbent used suggesting that heterogeneous conditions exist. It might therefore be the case that the TF-SCMNPs provide heterogeneity by virtue of having different functional groups and a complex porous structure. The Freundlich parameter $1/n$ gave values of 0.47 and 0.48 for the two different adsorbent quantities of TF-SCMNPs indicating that Hg(II) can be effectively removed from aqueous solutions.

3.4. Effect of pH

At concentrations higher than 50 mg l$^{-1}$, Hg(II) is likely to precipitate from solution. To mitigate this effect the test used 50 ml aliquots of Hg(II) at concentrations of 80, 200, 500 and 1000 μg l$^{-1}$ mixed with TF-SCMNPs at a concentration of 8 mg l$^{-1}$ for 1 h to reach equilibrium. The test was carried out at pH values ranging from 2.0 to 9.0.
The results showed that there was a decrease in removal efficiency at all pH values with increasing concentration of the Hg(II) solution (Fig. 5a). At the two lower concentrations of Hg(II) solution there was no effect on removal efficiency between pH 2.0 and 7.0. There was a reduction in removal efficiency at the higher Hg(II) concentrations at the lower pH values, and above pH 7 there was a small decrease in efficiency of removal. These results support the findings of Walcarius and Delacôte (2005) who also showed an independence of the effects of pH on adsorption when using -SH functional groups. The high selectivity and affinity for Hg by the thiol-functionalised group is a possible explanation for this (Mattigod et al., 2007). This affinity is predicted on the basis of the hard and soft acid base theory, which directly correlates the degree of cation softness with the observed strength of interaction with base functionalities, such as –SH groups. The relatively large ionic size, low electronegativity, and high polarisability (highly deformable bonding electron orbital) of Hg are characteristics of a soft acid, and as the thiol group is a soft base they have a high affinity to each other.

The surface charges of TF-SCMNPs and of TF-SCMNPs that had been contacted with Hg(II) solutions 1000 μg l^-1 was studied by measuring the zeta potential at pH values ranging from 2 to 8, this was seen to decreased with increasing pH, as shown in Fig. 5b. The TF-SCMNPs contacted with a 1000 μg l^-1 Hg(II) solution had a positive charge at pH 2 and 3 in comparison to negative zeta potential of the nanoparticles in a mercury-free solution at the same pH.

The mechanism of adsorption at different Hg(II) concentrations might be interpreted as follows. The zeta potential of nanoparticles solution in a mercury-free environment is
negative at the entire range of pH tested. The adsorption is expected to occur by chemical binding, therefore, between the negative-charged nanoparticles and positive-charged Hg(II). In the case of a lower initial concentration of Hg(II) ≤ 200 μg l⁻¹, all of the Hg was adsorbed at the lower pH as there were sufficient protonated ligand sites available. At pH values above pH of point of zero charge (pHpzc), adsorption of Hg was complete as expected. While the initial Hg(II) concentrations reach above a certain level, the apparent zeta potential of nanoparticles-mercury complex becomes positive. This indicates that other adsorption mechanisms, such as ion exchange, might also play a role in the pH range below pHpzc allowing more Hg to attach to the adsorbent.

3.5. Bottled or tap water matrix and coexisting ions

The initial experiments to assess the adsorption properties of the nanoparticles were carried out with Hg(II) dissolved in deionised water. Practical applications would, however, be for the removal of very low concentrations of Hg(II) from water sources or treated wastewater. As a first step in assessing the effect that an interaction between Hg(II) and dissolved ions could have on adsorption, some tests were carried out using bottled and tap water as the solute. The ion concentration (mg l⁻¹) of the bottled water used is shown in Table 3S (in supplementary file). Initial Hg concentrations of 80, 120, 160, 200, 400, 600, 800, 1000 μg l⁻¹ were used with the TF-SCMNPs adsorbent at 8 mg l⁻¹ and the results given in Fig. 6a indicate that there was no interference with the maximum adsorption capacity for Hg(II). The value of 111.93 mg Hg g⁻¹ for bottled water was about the same as when deionised water was used as the solute. The maximum adsorption capacity calculated using the Langmuir model slightly decreased to 98.45 mg Hg g⁻¹ when using tap water as adsorption matrix.
3.6. Effect of temperature

The removal efficiency of Hg(II) from 100 ml of 160 μg l⁻¹ Hg solution by TF-SCMNPs at a concentration of 6 mg l⁻¹ was tested at 10, 22.5 and 35 °C. The results in Fig. 6b show removals of 93.48% at 10°C, 93.76% at 22.5 °C and 82.99% at 35 °C. The uptake at 10 and 22.5 °C was almost the same, but decreased between 22.5 °C to 35 °C. This result corresponds with those of Tsai et al. (2005) who suggested that in an exothermic adsorption process, the quantity of adsorbate taken up increases with a decrease in adsorption temperature. The variation in the adsorption may be as a result of an increased tendency of Hg(II) to escape as the temperature of the reaction mixture rises (Jeon and Ha Park, 2005). As the temperature rises, the fraction of Hg that can escape from the surface increases and the amount adsorbed decreases, resulting in a reduction in boundary layer thickness (Jeon and Ha Park, 2005). A similar observation has been reported by Zhou et al. (2009) who used thiourea-modified magnetic chitosan microspheres for the adsorption of Hg(II).

3.7. Desorption study

When using strong acid it is possible that desorption could occur as a result of acid solubilisation of the nanoparticle. This was checked by using 5 M HCl in a desorption trial. The result showed that 15.9% of Fe incorporated in the nanoparticles was dissolved, indicating that this strength of acid is not suitable as a regenerant. Table 2 shows that the desorption efficiency decreases with decreasing HCl concentration and that 5 ml of a 1.0 M HCl solution only gave 10% recovery of Hg(II). Results for subsequent tests which used a mixture of HCl and thiourea for desorption, are given in Table 2, and show that reducing the concentration of HCl from 5.0 to 1.0 M and adding 2 % thiourea gave a desorption efficiency of ~40%. The addition of 5 ml of 3 M HCl containing 2 % (m/v) thiourea as a complexing agent was able to recover 92.28, 88.48 and 87.29 % of Hg(II) at initial
concentration of 80, 120 and 200 μg l⁻¹, respectively, from the solution. A small amount of iron was dissolved when using 3 M HCl containing 2% (m/v) thiourea as eluent; however, this was less than 0.19 mg l⁻¹.

3.8. Regeneration study

A cycle using the same TF-SCMNPs at a concentration of 8 mg l⁻¹ was repeated five times, in each case using 50 ml of 80, 120, 200 μg l⁻¹ Hg(II) solutions in the adsorption cycle and 3.0 M HCl and 2% (m/v) thiourea in the desorption cycle. The results are given in Fig. 7 and show that at the end of the fifth cycle the TF-SCMNPs maintained adsorption efficiencies of 94.70, 92.68 and 92.94% for Hg(II) solution concentrations of 80, 120 and 200 μg l⁻¹, respectively. This indicates that there is some reduction in adsorption efficiency and this may be due to Hg(II) not being released from adsorption sites between cycles.

4. Conclusions

- TF-SCMNPs were prepared using a co-condensation pathway and the characterisation of these suggested that the process gave a uniform structure and properties to all of the particles.
- The adsorption data for Hg (II) onto TF-SCMNPs were fitted to the Freundlich and Langmuir isotherm and the maximum capacity for Hg(II) was calculated to be 207.7 mg g⁻¹ when 4 mg l⁻¹ of TF-SCMNPs were used.
- Adsorption of Hg(II) at 10 and 22.5 °C was almost the same, but decreased when temperature increased to 35 °C.
- The effect of pH was highly dependent on the initial concentration of Hg(II).
- Adsorption was not affected by coexisting anions and light cations under the conditions tested.
- Hg(II) ions adsorbed on TF-SCMNPs could be desorbed effectively using 3 M HCl containing 2 % (m/v) thiourea showing that the TF-SCMNPs could be regenerated and maintained at almost the same Hg(II) adsorption capacity.

- The results suggest that TF-SCMNPs could be an effective alternate to conventional adsorbents for the adsorption of Hg(II) from water at a very high removal efficiency and in a very short time.
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Table 1- Estimated values for constants for the Langmuir and Freundlich models at different concentrations of TF-SCMNPs and pH 6.0.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adsorbent concentration (mg l(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Langmuir Constant</th>
<th>Freundlich Constant</th>
<th>R(^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Q_0) (mg g(^{-1}))</td>
<td>(b) (l mg(^{-1}))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>113.75</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>207.71</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(K_f)</td>
<td>(1/n)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>14.03</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.96</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 2** - Recovery of Hg(II) species bound to TF-SCMNPs, after desorption using different eluents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hg Concentration (μg l⁻¹)</th>
<th>Extent of desorption in different media (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 M HCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>8.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>11.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>10.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1- Structural properties of magnetite and thiol-functionalised silica-coated magnetite nanoparticles (TF-SCMNPs) as shown by X-ray diffraction (XRD) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). (a) XRD pattern of (i) Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs and (ii) TF-SCMNPs. (b) TEM micrograph of Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs. (c) TEM of TF-SCMNPs.
Fig. 2: Surface and magnetic properties of the nanoparticles at different stages of preparation. (a) FTIR spectra of Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs, SCMNPs and TF-SCMNPs. (b) Room temperature magnetisation measurement of Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs, SCMNPs, TF-SCMNPs.
Fig. 3- Adsorption kinetic and isotherm experiments. (a) Removal efficiency using 8 mg l$^{-1}$ of TF-SCMNPs with 80 μg l$^{-1}$ Hg(II) solution at pH 6.0. (b) Adsorption curve for 4 and 8 mg l$^{-1}$ TF-SCMNPs at initial pH 6.0.
**Fig. 4** - Comparison of Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms for Hg(II) at pH 6.0 using TF-SCMNPs at concentrations of 4 and 8 mg l\(^{-1}\). Langmuir isotherm for TF-SCMNPs at (a) 4 mg l\(^{-1}\) and (b) 8 mg l\(^{-1}\). Freundlich isotherm for TF-SCMNPs at (c) 4 mg l\(^{-1}\) and (d) 8 mg l\(^{-1}\).
Fig. 5- Effect of pH on adsorption behaviour and zeta potential of TF-SCMNPs. (a) Effect of pH on Hg(II) removal efficiency at different initial Hg(II) concentrations with the TF-SCMNPs adsorbent at 8 mg l⁻¹. (b) Zeta potentials at different pH values.
Fig. 6- Effect of competing ions and of temperature on Hg(II) adsorption by TF-SCMNPs. (a) Adsorption curve for TF-SCMNPs using deionised, bottled and tap waters with TF-SCMNPs adsorbent at 8 mg l$^{-1}$. (b) Effect of temperature on Hg(II) removal efficiency using TF-SCMNPs at an initial Hg(II) concentration of 160 μg l$^{-1}$ solution at pH 6.0 with SCMNPs adsorbent at 8 mg l$^{-1}$. 
Fig. 7- Percentage Hg(II) adsorbed and desorbed during five adsorption/desorption cycles at initial concentrations of 80, 120 and 200 μg l⁻¹.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sorbents</th>
<th>Equilibrium Time (h)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TF-SCMNPs</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2S- Comparison of the sorption capacities of adsorbents used for Hg(II) removal (mg g\textsuperscript{-1}).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>materials</th>
<th>sorbent</th>
<th>Max capacity (mg g\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
<th>Max Hg conc. (μg l\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
<th>Adsorbent conc. (g l\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
<th>Final Hg conc. (μg l\textsuperscript{-1})*</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thiol-modified magnetite beads-porous materials</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>60000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>46000</td>
<td>Dong et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Magnetic NPs modified with 2-mercaptobenzothiazole</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>Parham et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Humic acid coating</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>Liu et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Magnetic NPs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thiol-modified magnetite NPs-non porous materials</td>
<td>19.79</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>21900</td>
<td>Song et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Silica-graft dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate</td>
<td>8.10</td>
<td>30000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>361.1</td>
<td>Zhao et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zeolite</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Chojnacki et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Viraraghavan and Kapoor (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>856</td>
<td>U Senevirathna (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peat moss</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>844</td>
<td>Bailey et al. (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material</td>
<td>Initial concentration</td>
<td>Final concentration</td>
<td>sorption capacity</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fly ash</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Sen and De (1987)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activated carbon</td>
<td>109.89</td>
<td>200000</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>Namasivayam and Kadirvelu (1999)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activated carbon</td>
<td>22.28</td>
<td>140000</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>Rao et al. (2009)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TF-SCMNPs</td>
<td>207.7</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Some of the final Hg concentrations were not present in some references, but calculated according to other experimental parameters.
Table 3S- Typical chemical analysis of drinking water.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cations</th>
<th>Concentration (mg l⁻¹)</th>
<th>Anions</th>
<th>Concentration (mg l⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mg²⁺</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>SO₄²⁻</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca²⁺</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Cl⁻</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na⁺</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>NO₃⁻</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K⁺</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HCO₃⁻</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
a- Synthesis of magnetic NPs

- Adding FeCl$_3$, FeCl$_4$ and NH$_3$H$_2$O
- Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs (Magnetite Nanoparticles)

b- Synthesis of SCMNPs

c- Synthesis of SH-SCMNPs

Fig. 1S- 3 stages in the production of TF-SCMNPs.
Fig. 2S- Magnetic separation at (a) 0 sec., (b) 30 sec., (c) 1 min. and (d) re-dispersed.
References for the supplementary information


