Microwave assisted catalytic reduction of sulfur dioxide with methane over MoS2 catalysts
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Abstract
The catalytic reduction of sulfur dioxide with methane to form carbon dioxide and sulfur has been studied over MoS2/Al2O3 catalysts. The reaction has been found to occur with microwave (2.45 GHz) heating at recorded temperatures as much as 200 °C lower than those required when conventional heating was used. An activation energy of 117 kJ mol−1 has been calculated for the conventionally heated reaction, but an Arrhenius analysis of the data obtained with microwave heating was not possible, probably because of temperature variations in the catalyst bed. The existence of hot spots in the catalysts heated by microwave radiation has been verified by the detection of γ- to α-alumina phase transition is normally observed. Among four catalysts prepared in different ways, a mechanically mixed catalyst showed the highest conversion of SO2 and CH4 for microwave heating at a given temperature. Supported catalysts, sulfided either by conventional heating or under microwave conditions, showed little difference in the extent of SO2 and CH4 conversions. The highest conversions to carbon dioxide and sulfur, combined with low production of undesirable side products, was obtained when the molar ratio of SO2 to CH4 was equal to two, the stoichiometric ratio.
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1. Introduction
Sulfur dioxide is generally accepted to be the most important precursor to acid rain. It is produced in vast quantities by large fuel-consuming installations such as non-ferrous smelters and the need to find efficient and economical methods of reducing the amount of sulfur dioxide emissions has become ever more urgent, as evidence demonstrating the detrimental effects of both sulfur dioxide and acid rain on the environment has accumulated [1,2].

A number of processes for the removal of sulfur dioxide have been developed and evaluated [3–6], and they can be classified into three groups.

1. Conversion of sulfur dioxide into solid salts such as calcium sulfate and calcium sulfide by the following reactions.

\[ \text{CaO(s) + SO}_2(g) + \frac{1}{2}\text{O}_2 = \text{CaSO}_4(s) \quad (1) \]

\[ 4\text{CaO(s) + 4SO}_2(g) = 3\text{CaSO}_4(s) + \text{CaS(s)} \quad (2) \]

2. Oxidation of sulfur dioxide to sulfur trioxide, which may then be converted into sulfuric acid.
X. Zhang et al. / Applied Catalysis B: Environmental 33 (2001) 137–148

3. Reduction of sulfur dioxide to elemental sulfur with a number of reducing agents such as hydrogen, hydrogen sulfide, carbon, carbon monoxide and hydrocarbons.

SO₂(g) + reductants → [S](s) (5)

In method (1), large amounts of essentially worthless materials are produced, which require disposal and subsequently result in other environmental problems. Sulfuric acid produced in method (2) is a product which is difficult to store and transport. As an end product, elemental sulfur has several advantages over sulfuric acid, because it can be handled, stored and transported safely, with no danger to the environment. Therefore, method (3), which involves reducing sulfur dioxide to elemental sulfur, especially with methane as the reductant, appears the most promising and has attracted increasing attention from researchers in recent years because of the relative availability and low price of methane.

The principal reaction for the reduction of SO₂ by CH₄ can be represented as

2SO₂(g) + CH₄(g) = 2[S](g) + CO₂(g) + 2H₂O(g) (6)

where [S] denotes the various sulfur species (S₁, S₂, ..., S₈) in the gas phase. From thermodynamic data it can be calculated that most of the sulfur will exist as diatomic molecules at temperatures >600°C [7].

Sarlis and Berk [8] have shown that the homogeneous reaction occurs at a satisfactory rate at temperatures between 650 and 700°C, but there is significant formation of unwanted by-products such as H₂S, COS, CO and H₂. To achieve both a high conversion of sulfur dioxide and a high product selectivity it is necessary to work with heterogeneous catalytic systems, which operate in a lower temperature range. Much research has been conducted in this area, the catalysts used being activated alumina [8] and metal sulfides such as MoS₂ and CoS₂ [9–12].

Recent studies [13–15] have shown that the rates of many heterogeneous catalytic reactions can be considerably enhanced by using microwave radiation to heat the catalyst. This effect is thought to arise because the reaction sites are at a considerably higher temperature than the bulk of the catalyst. This paper reports an investigation into the effect of microwave heating on the catalytic reduction of SO₂ with CH₄ over MoS₂ catalysts, which were prepared in a variety of ways.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

The following chemicals were purchased from Aldrich Chemicals: sulfur dioxide SO₂, purity >99.9%; methane CH₄, purity >99.0%; molybdenum disulfide MoS₂, purity >99%.

Aluminum oxide (activated, 99% Al₂O₃, surface area 90 m² g⁻¹) and ammonium heptamolybdate (NH₄)[Mo₇O₂₄]·4H₂O were supplied by Alfa, Johnson Matthey plc.

2.2. Catalyst preparation

The catalysts used in this study were prepared either by mechanical mixing or by impregnation.

2.2.1. Mechanically mixed catalysts (MC)

MoS₂ was purchased as a powder (particle diameter 2 μm) and activated alumina as 3 μm pellets. The activated alumina was ground and sieved to give the desired size before use. The MoS₂ powder was compressed into pellets in a stainless steel die before also being ground and sieved to the desired size. Catalyst MC-1 was made by mixing 30 wt.% MoS₂ with 70 wt.% alumina, both in the same particle size range (152–178 μm).

Catalyst MC-2 was made by first mixing 30 wt.% MoS₂ (2 μm) with 70 wt.% alumina (66 μm), then compressing the mixture into pellets, followed by grinding and sieving to the desired size (152–178 μm).

2.2.2. Supported catalysts (SC)

The supported molybdenum catalysts were prepared by impregnating the activated alumina support with ammonium heptamolybdate ([NH₄]₂[Mo₇O₂₄]·4H₂O) solution. An appropriate amount of solution, calculated to produce 30 wt.% MoS₂ on the support, was
first mixed with alumina and allowed to stand at room temperature for a period of 6 h. The paste was then dried in a furnace with gradual increase of temperature to 105 °C over a period of 3 h, followed by isothermal heating at 105 °C for 18 h. Once dried, the sample was calcined in a flow of air at 500 °C for a period of 2 h. The catalyst precursor was then sulfided at a temperature of 900°C for 2 h, using either conventional or microwave heating. The sulfiding gas consisted of a mixture of H2S/H2 (15 mol% H2S), which was flowed over the catalyst at a rate of 90 ml min⁻¹.

2.3. Characterization of catalysts

The catalysts used in this study were characterized by using a combination of several analytical methods. The surface area was measured, before and after reaction, by the BET method using a Micromeritics ASAP-2000 analyzer. The composition of the catalysts before and after reaction was determined by X-ray powder diffraction using a PW1710 X-ray diffractometer (Phillips Electronic Instruments) with Cu Kα radiation. The surface structures of the catalysts were investigated by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using a JEOL (JSM-T 200) scanning microscope.

2.4. Experimental procedures

All reactions were carried out in a laboratory-scale, continuous-flow reaction system with a tubular packed-bed quartz reactor (i.d. 10 mm) which could be placed either in a cylindrical microwave cavity or in a conventional furnace [14]. A directional coupler was inserted into the microwave guide system so that the amount of microwave power reflected from the cavity could be measured. This was minimized by tuning the cavity with two adjustable stubs. The temperature of the catalyst was measured with an Accufiber optical fiber thermometer (Model 10, Luxtron), placed at the center of the catalyst bed. This was calibrated against a chromel/alumel thermocouple before the catalytic studies commenced.

The product mixture from the reactor outlet passed through a heated tube to an ice–water trap, where sulfur and water were condensed out. This trap was emptied after each reaction. Products remaining in the gas phase were analyzed using a quadruple mass spectrometer (QMS-200D, European Spectrometer Systems).

All the catalytic reactions were carried out under atmospheric pressure at temperatures ranging from 500 to 800°C. The molar ratio of SO2 to CH4 in the feed gas was varied between 1 and 3, keeping the total flow rate constant at 40 ml min⁻¹. The weight of catalyst used in all experiments was 0.50 g.

The reaction products leaving the reactor consisted mainly of SO2, CH4, CO2 and CO, although, some traces of H2S and H2 were observed occasionally. The percentage conversion of sulfur dioxide and methane are given in terms of the percentage of sulfur dioxide and methane that have reacted. Thus,

\[
\% \text{SO}_2 \text{ conversion} = 100 \times \frac{[\text{SO}_2]_{\text{in}} - [\text{SO}_2]_{\text{out}}}{[\text{SO}_2]_{\text{in}}} \tag{7}
\]

\[
\% \text{CH}_4 \text{ conversion} = 100 \times \frac{[\text{CH}_4]_{\text{in}} - [\text{CH}_4]_{\text{out}}}{[\text{CH}_4]_{\text{in}}} \tag{8}
\]

The products yields and selectivities are defined as follows:

\[
\% \text{CO}_2 \text{ yield} = 100 \times \frac{[\text{CO}_2]_{\text{out}}}{[\text{CH}_4]_{\text{in}}} \tag{9}
\]

\[
\% \text{CO yield} = 100 \times \frac{[\text{CO}]_{\text{out}}}{[\text{CH}_4]_{\text{in}}} \tag{10}
\]

\[
\% \text{H}_2 \text{S yield} = 100 \times \frac{[\text{H}_2\text{S}]_{\text{out}}}{[\text{SO}_2]_{\text{in}}} \tag{11}
\]

\[
\% \text{Sulfur yield} = 100 \times \frac{[\text{SO}_2]_{\text{in}} - [\text{SO}_2]_{\text{out}} - [\text{H}_2\text{S}]_{\text{out}}}{[\text{SO}_2]_{\text{in}}} \tag{12}
\]

\[
\% \text{Sulfur selectivity} = 100 \times \frac{\text{Sulfur yield}}{\text{SO}_2 \text{ conversion}} \tag{13}
\]

The effectiveness of the various catalysts were primarily judged by the conversion/yield criteria.
3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization of the catalysts

3.1.1. Surface area measurements

The surface area of each of the catalysts, measured before and after reaction, are presented in Table 1. In each case, the reaction was carried out for a period of one and a half hours at a measured temperature of 800°C. It is apparent that the surface areas of the mechanically mixed catalysts were much smaller after reaction when microwave heating was used than they were after conventional heating. For example, the surface area of catalyst MC-2 was 57% of the original area after conventional heating, but only 17% of the original area after microwave heating. This indicated that microwave heating caused a considerable reorganization of the catalyst structure.

The similarities in the areas for the SC-CH and SC-MW catalysts, both before and after reaction, show that the use of microwave or conventional heating during the catalyst sulfiding process has little effect on the catalyst structure.

3.1.2. X-ray diffraction analysis

The mechanically mixed catalyst MC-2 and the supported catalyst SC-CH were both analyzed by X-ray diffraction after reaction at a measured temperature of 800°C using both conventional and microwave heating. Three crystal structures were identified from X-ray data [16]: the MoS2 layer structure (with principal peaks at 2θ = 14.3, 32.6, 33.5, 39.6 and 49.8°), γ-Al2O3 (with broad, ill-defined peaks at 2θ = 37.4, 45.7 and 67.2°) and α-Al2O3 (with well defined peaks at 2θ = 25.5, 35.1, 37.6, 43.3, 52.6, 57.4 and 68.3°). MoS2 and γ-Al2O3 were the only species found to be present after reaction with conventional heating, while significant amounts of α-Al2O3 were observed after reaction under microwave conditions for both catalysts examined. The peaks for α-alumina were quite sharp, showing a high degree of crystallinity. Since the phase change from γ-alumina to α-alumina is known to occur at temperatures above 1273 K [16], and the maximum average temperature recorded in the microwave experiments was 1073 K, it is concluded that microwave heating caused some regions of the catalyst to reach temperatures at least 200° greater than the average temperatures measured by the optical probe.

Molybdenum sulfide has a layer structure and is known to form slabs which tend to be anchored to the alumina particles via their edge planes [17,18]. A reasonable value for the thickness of these molybdenum sulfide slabs can be obtained by applying the Debye–Scherrer line-broadening equation to the XRD peak occurring at 2θ = 14.3° [19]. This peak represents the (0 0 2) reflection and the line broadening gives the coherence length of the crystallites along the c-axis, Lc. The latter has been calculated from the equation

\[ L_c = \frac{0.9 \lambda}{\beta \cos 7.15} \]  

(14)

where \( \lambda \) is the wavelength of the X-rays and \( \beta \) the width of the peak at half-maximum in radians. The average number of layers of MoS2 in a slab can then be calculated by dividing \( L_c \) by 0.619 nm, the thickness of a single layer. The results of this calculation are given in Table 2, where it can be seen that the thickest slabs were found with the mechanically mixed catalyst, for
which well-developed MoS\textsubscript{2} crystals already existed in the starting material. It is also noticeable that, for a given catalyst, the average thickness of the slabs is greater after microwave heating than after conventional heating, showing that more crystal growth had occurred.

For MoS\textsubscript{2} powders it has been found that the width at half-maximum of the (002) XRD peak decreases with increasing annealing temperature from about 1.8\degree C at 450\degree C to about 0.55\degree C at 900\degree C [20]. On this basis the maximum temperature reached during microwave heating of the MC-2 catalyst must have been considerably in excess of 900\degree C, a conclusion which is in keeping with the observed formation of \(\alpha\)-alumina.

### 3.1.3. Scanning electron microscopy examination

Scanning electron micrographs were taken of catalysts MC-2 and SC-CH, both before and after reaction. It was found that conventional heating in the furnace during reaction did not cause any significant change in the appearance of the surface or the state of dispersion of the MoS\textsubscript{2} particles for both catalysts investigated. However, after microwave heating some of the molybdenum disulphide, which was initially evenly distributed as 152–178 \(\mu\)m amorphous particles, formed hexagonal crystals. The results for the mechanically mixed catalyst MC-2, are shown in Fig. 1(a). For the supported catalyst the effect of microwave heating was even more marked with extensive formation of hexagonal crystals of MoS\textsubscript{2} being observed all over the catalyst, as shown in Fig. 1(b).

Compared with the state of dispersion of the MoS\textsubscript{2} before reaction it was notable that a considerable migration of MoS\textsubscript{2} had occurred. The melting point of MoS\textsubscript{2} is 1458\degree K, which is some 385\degree C higher than the maximum average temperature recorded in the microwave experiments. This temperature difference is significantly larger than that required to explain the formation of \(\alpha\)-alumina. However, complete melting may not be necessary to explain the formation of the hexagonal platelets, since this could equally well come about by a rapid rate of migration of MoS\textsubscript{2} moieties across the surface.

The extent of the migration occurring during microwave heating was also demonstrated by the formation of spheres of loosely coagulated material with diameters as large as 2 mm. These spheres were found to contain both \(\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3\) and MoS\textsubscript{2}.

In summary, X-ray diffraction, scanning electron microscopy and surface area measurements have shown that marked changes occurred in both the MoS\textsubscript{2} and \(\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3\) components of the catalysts during microwave heating. This is attributed to the formation of hot-spots, which reach a much higher temperature than that measured for the catalyst bed as a whole. This, in turn, resulted in a considerable acceleration in the reaction rate, as described below.

### 3.2. Conversion of SO\textsubscript{2} and CH\textsubscript{4} as a function of temperature with different heating methods

Fig. 2 compares the SO\textsubscript{2} and CH\textsubscript{4} conversion efficiencies for catalyst MC-2 as a function of temperature for conventional and microwave dielectric heating at an SO\textsubscript{2}/CH\textsubscript{4} molar feed ratio of two. It can be seen that significant conversion of SO\textsubscript{2} and CH\textsubscript{4} to products does not occur with conventional heating until the temperature reaches 600\degree C, whereas this can be achieved with microwave heating at temperatures as low as 450\degree C. For conversions between 10 and 70\%, it is found that the same percentage conversion of SO\textsubscript{2} or CH\textsubscript{4} can be achieved with microwave heating at temperatures which are about 200\degree C lower than those required when conventional heating was used. This temperature difference is almost exactly the same as that required to explain the formation of \(\alpha\)-alumina under microwave heating, although, it would be insufficient to cause melting of the MoS\textsubscript{2} crystallites. There is, therefore, clear evidence from a number of sources that some regions of the catalyst reach temperatures which are approximately 200\degree C in excess of the measured temperature.

This conclusion is in keeping with that of a previous study of the catalytic decomposition of H\textsubscript{2}S over MoS\textsubscript{2} catalysts [14], where microwave heating caused an acceleration in reaction rates and an apparent shift in the position of equilibrium. As in the current study, the results could be explained only by the formation of hot-spots, which were estimated to have temperatures 100–200\degree C higher than the average bulk temperature measured in the catalyst bed.

With conventional heating the percentage conversion was observed to increase approximately exponentially with temperature throughout the temperature range used. Fig. 3 shows an Arrhenius plot of the data, from which an activation energy of 117 kJ mol\textsuperscript{-1} was...
Fig. 1. Scanning electron micrographs of catalysts after reaction under microwave conditions up to 800°C.
calculated. It is surprising that the data gives such a good fit to a straight line for temperatures as high as 800°C because extrapolation of the straight line would result in 100% conversion at the slightly higher temperature of 894°C, a point at which the linear relationship obviously breaks down. Clearly, there must be a sharp change in the rate determining step at temperatures between 800 and 894°C. The most likely explanation for this behavior is that a surface reaction, or desorption process, is the rate determining step at the lower temperatures, but as the temperature is raised the concentration of reactants falls to a critical level below which adsorption takes over as the rate determining step. The measured activation energy would, therefore, refer to a surface or desorption process, one possible reaction being the decomposition of MoS2 to give S2(g).

The variation of percentage SO2 conversion with temperature was found to be quite different for the microwave heated catalyst, where there was a rapid rise in percentage conversion to a value of 50% at a temperature of 550°C, followed by a much more gradual rise to a maximum value of 90% at temperatures greater than 750°C. This difference can be accounted for by the non-uniformity of the temperature in the microwave heated catalyst. The rapid rise in the percentage SO2 conversion, observed at temperatures approximately 200°C below those observed with conventional heating, is believed to be caused by reactions occurring at the hot spots. These hot spots tend to be concentrated at the center of the catalyst and the more peripheral parts of the catalyst are cooler. As the temperature is raised SO2 passing over these more peripheral parts begins to react and this could give rise to the shape of the curve shown in Fig. 2.

The reason why the percentage SO2 conversion tends to level off at high temperatures at 90%, rather...
than 100%, is not clear. It cannot be caused by an approach to equilibrium because the free energy of reaction (6) is calculated to be $-225 \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ at 1000 K, a value which is sufficiently negative to make the concentrations of reactants present at equilibrium entirely negligible. The most likely explanation is that the maximum conversion of 90% is determined by the efficiency of the catalyst bed, which may allow a small percentage of reactant molecules to pass through it without coming into contact with the active sites in the catalyst surface.

Finally, it should be noted that the percentage conversion of SO$_2$ is found to be equal to the percentage conversion of CH$_4$ at all temperatures, irrespective of the method of heating. Since the gas mixture contains twice as much SO$_2$ as CH$_4$ this result shows that two molecules of SO$_2$ react with each molecule of CH$_4$, in accordance with Eq. (6).

### 3.3. Comparison of catalyst activity

The percent conversions of SO$_2$ and CH$_4$ obtained over a wide range of temperatures with four different catalysts are compared in Fig. 4 for both conventional and microwave heating.

With conventional heating the activities of the catalysts are generally in the order (SC-CH) > (SC-MW) > (MC-2) > (MC-1) with catalyst MC-1 having a markedly lower activity than the other catalysts. This order cannot be rationalized in terms of total surface areas because Table 1 shows that catalyst MC-1 has the highest surface area after reaction with conventional heating whilst the other three catalysts all have approximately the same area. The main difference between catalyst MC-1 and the others is that it contains relatively large alumina and MoS$_2$ particles whereas there is intimate mixing of the two components in the other catalysts. Thus, catalysts SC-CH and SC-MW have both been prepared by the impregnation technique whilst catalyst MC-2 has been prepared by compressing a mixture of fine MoS$_2$ and alumina powders into pellets followed by grinding and sieving. The low activity of the MC-1 catalyst, is therefore, likely to be associated with the low area of contact between the alumina and MoS$_2$ particles, which may indicate that reaction occurs preferentially at the alumina-MoS$_2$ interface.

The order of activity of the catalysts under microwave heating is as follows: (MC-2) > (SC-CH) > (SC-MW) > (MC-1)

The most significant feature is that the mechanically mixed catalyst MC-2 is now found to be the most active. This could arise either because parts of the catalyst bed have been heated to higher temperatures than those attained with the other catalysts or because the MC-2 catalyst has a higher basic activity.

Finally, it was observed that the supported catalysts sulfided prior to reaction, either under microwave conditions or by conventional heating, showed no significant difference in SO$_2$ conversion efficiency. This result indicated that the microwave radiation had no significant effects on the sulfidation process.

### 3.4. Effects of feed gas composition on SO$_2$ conversion and product selectivity

The effect of changing the molar SO$_2$/CH$_4$ ratio on the conversion of SO$_2$ is shown in Fig. 5 for microwave heating at different temperature levels over catalyst MC-2. It can be seen that a very high conversion rate is maintained for SO$_2$/CH$_4$ molar ratios between 1 and 2, but that the conversion efficiency drops off markedly when the SO$_2$ is in excess of the stoichiometric ratio required for reaction (6).

Fig. 6 illustrates the effects of the feed gas composition on the product selectivity at a temperature of 650°C over catalyst MC-2 under microwave conditions. It is apparent that as the SO$_2$/CH$_4$ molar ratio is reduced from 2 to 1 the yields of sulfur and CO$_2$ fall whilst the yields of less desirable by-products increase significantly. This is because the excess methane reacts with sulfur to produce CO and H$_2$S. It is also undesirable to operate with an excess of SO$_2$ because the overall conversion of SO$_2$ drops significantly to reach a value of about 55% at a molar SO$_2$/CH$_4$ ratio of 3.

It is clear that the best results were obtained when the molar ratio for SO$_2$/CH$_4$ was close to the stoichiometric value of 2.

### 3.5. Catalyst stability under microwave heating

For microwave heating at recorded temperatures up to 700°C, the catalysts appeared to be quite stable and
it was possible to obtain reproducible results, when the temperature was raised and lowered over a period of about 2 h. For recorded temperatures above 700 °C a slow decline in activity was observed and it may be significant that this is the temperature range in which the formation of α-alumina and the growth of large, hexagonal MoS₂ crystallites was first observed. There is much evidence to show that the catalytic activity of the MoS₂ platelets resides at the edges, where the molybdenum atoms are exposed [18]. This being so,
Fig. 5. Effects of the feed gas composition on SO$_2$ conversion for catalyst MC-2.

Fig. 6. Effects of the feed gas composition on product selectivity for catalyst MC-2.
activity would be expected to drop as the dimensions of the platelets increase.

3.6. Microwave power as a function of the temperature of catalyst bed

The cavity used for microwave heating was always tuned to minimize the amount of reflected power. Assuming that the system was relatively free of leakage, the power absorbed by the catalyst bed can be calculated as the difference between the output and reflected power.

Absorbed power (W) = input power (W) − reflected power (W).

Fig. 7 shows the variation of forward, reflected and absorbed microwave power with the temperature recorded by the probe for catalyst MC-2. The fraction of the input power that was reflected dropped from 0.66 at 500 °C to about 0.50 at 650 °C, after which it remained approximately constant. For this reason, only a slight increase in the input power was needed to raise the temperature from 500 to 650 °C. These results would seem to indicate that the effective dielectric loss factor of the catalyst was increasing at temperatures below 650 °C, but remained fairly constant at higher temperatures.

In work with an 8% MoS₂/alumina catalyst [21], it was found that the fraction of the input power that was absorbed by the catalyst increased from 0.13 at 18 °C to 0.25 at 350 °C. The higher values reported here must be due to the greater loading of molybdenum sulfide (30%). This material has an effective dielectric loss factor of 0.85 at 200 °C [22], compared to 0.025 for alumina, and must be responsible for the major part of the heating. The effective dielectric loss factor of the catalyst would be expected to continue rising at temperatures above 650 °C and the fact that it remains approximately constant may be connected with the formation of α-alumina or the growth of the hexagonal platelets of MoS₂ seen in Fig. 1.

4. Conclusions

The enhanced reaction rates observed with microwave heating seem to result from the formation of hot spots within the catalyst which cause the temperature recorded by the probe to be considerably less than the highest temperature attained in the catalyst. Support for this conclusion comes from the detection of α-alumina in catalysts which have been heated to a
nominal temperature of only 800°C, using microwave heating. The difference in temperature between the hot spots and the remainder of the catalyst is dependent upon the overall composition of the catalyst and the degree of contact between the MoS2 and Al2O3 components. No significant differences could be detected between catalysts which had been heated by microwaves during sulfiding and those where conventional heating had been used.
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