ART FOR EVERYONE
Edward Dorrian
Film Music by Mark R Taylor

A
Ah, Democracy
VHS 15 hours
In March 2001 Five Years invited anyone who had the inclination, to show a painting in the gallery for the exhibition ‘Self-Service/ Painting’. The projection here shows the last weekend where people who had participated came back to the gallery to pick up their work.

B
Triumvirate
double screen DVD (29mins, 31mins)
We (Alex, Marc and myself) filmed a short and unrehearsed conversation in November 2005. The purpose at the time (as far as I was concerned) was to try and build a kind of prototype model for recording conversations between participants for archiving. There was no aim towards creating a finished piece of work as such. It was subsequently that I imagined the footage operating as part of a piece of work for this show.
The documentation now, can be seen as a continuation of previous gallery projects where the process of constructing or talking about work can be presented as part of the work. The rough draft is a transcription of the faltering patterns of direct speech and conversation. It is a process itself prone to error. It provides here the base material that will be developed further (à la research group style) for publication later this year by Five Years.

Film Music by Mark R Taylor
Film Music was not written for these images. These pieces were not edited to Film Music. For Taylor, ‘formally, the piece is an experimental spin-off from a procedure in [his] inchoate abstract-documentary-music-theatre pieces of the time’ - and connotes amongst other things a rueful taking to heart of certain of Adorno’s strictures on the subject.
Film Music wasn’t written for a film that exists. This exhibition isn’t that film either.

Many thanks to Marc, Alex and Mark for their kind participation.

1 Visual Art Choice The Independent On Sunday 11 March 2001
Self-Service/ Painting; Five Years ‘Democracy in action: all paintings submitted will be shown. It could change art as we know it... maybe’
2 e.g. Failure (2000), Edward Dorrian Paints A Picture (2002)
3 Film Music (1994/96) recorded at its premiere performance by David Maw, Oxford 2006
4 Mark R Taylor has performed and composed with Five Years in mind for some nine years: Silent Movies (1998); A Promise of Happiness (1999); Failure/ Rank Cheeseboard (2000); Starring (2000); Drop-out (2001); Silence and Darkness (2002); Edward Dorrian paints a Picture (2002); Frenzy: L’Art d’aujourd’hui (2006).
Pieces have included: For Marc Hulson (1997-98), For Susan Morris (1998-99), For Edward Dorrian (1998-99), Failure (I-VII complete) 2000/02/05
5 Oxford New Music 2006 programme notes- Mark R. Taylor
6 Mark R.Taylor
Self-Service Painting: Instructions 2001

1. You are invited to submit one painting (drawing etc.) for show.
2. All paintings will be accepted up to and throughout the duration of the show.
3. For the purposes of the show, paintings which can be handled and easily hung (framed, pinned, etc.) will be preferred. Please note that paintings should be a maximum of six feet (due to access).
4. ALL paintings will be shown.
5. Three paintings at any one time will be hung (one painting on one wall)
6. You are at liberty to look through the available paintings and to ask for whatever painting to be hung
7. How to enter a painting
   Deliver your work to Five Years*
   Mon 12 >Thurs 15 March 2001 1pm-6pm
   Fri 16 March >SUN 8 April (during gallery open hours) Fri-Sun 1pm-6pm)
8. How to look at a painting
   Please ask one of the invigilators to handle the paintings
9. *All paintings must be collected again at the end of the show on the last weekend
   Fri 13>Sun 15 April 1pm-6pm

1. Jane Ward
   18” x 12”
   oil on canvas
2. Hugh Gilmour
   6” x 6”
   oil on canvas
3. Lisa O’Neil
   Women do not like their babies in jars
   84cm x 63cm
   montype
4. Lee James Mathias
   140cm x 95cm
   oil on canvas
5. Sean Wu
   Earn, etc
   14” x 16”
   C-type photographic print, acrylic on canvas
6. Mohan Veraitch
   Cuboid 56
   61cm x 61cm
   oil on board
7. Ben Deakin
   Fallout
   150cm x 80cm
   oil on canvas
8. Thomas Falstad
   Zephyr
   40” x 40”
   oil on MDF
9. Steve Green
   Chrissie
   38” x 42”
   oil on board
10. Konstantina Kalara
    Landscape
    45cm x 45cm
    mixed media
11. Jonathan Parnall
    Overdose
    36” x 36”

12. Yiannis Christakos
    Web Love
    35cm x 38cm
    oil on acrylic
13. Lenin Ovalles
    Titled 2001
    120cm x 110cm
    mix media
14. Luis Arroyo
    Forest 1999
    60cm x 50cm
    oil on canvas
15 Carlos Madriz
I Yampe (The Shamen Ritual) 2001
25" x 25"
acrylic

16 Ronis Varlaam
Nude 2 1999
51cm x 38cm
watercolour

17 Aristide Stornelli
Untitled
96cm x 80cm
acrylic and oilsticks on canvas

18 Syd Purnell Cack-U-Like
9th of March 2001 - Evening Standard
42cm x 30cm
photocopy/board

19 Linda Culverwell
Baby Art 2001
28cm x 28cm
glitter on PVC and board

20 Stephanie Douet
Untitled 2001
Three figures on a green ground
92cm x 42cm
mixed media

21 David Adkins
Generational memory painting 2001
A painting by memory of a landscape painted from memory by a great-uncle. Regenald Barker (1898-1986)
25cm x 30cm
oil on board

22 Augusto Villalba
Untitled (stay away) 2001
30cm x 30cm
mixed on paper/canvas

23 Jodie Honey
-2001
118cm x 84cm
ink and lavender oil on photocopy

24 Denise Hawrysio
-2000-1
-cm x -cm
-

25 Leona Sedric
Animal Astronauts- Sam 2001
40cm x 40cm
acrylic on canvas

26 Androula Kyri
Signs 2001
17.2cm x 23.2cm
watercolour

27 Mik Kemescsi
Spirit of the Woods 2000
60's folk surreal kitsch painting
39.5cm x 77.5cm
oil on board

28 Elieni Lakkioti
Out of the Blue 2001
40cm x 40cm
acrylic on canvas

29 Alex Schady
Spit 2000
10cm x 20cm
iris print

30 Edward Dorrian
Prototype
-cm x -cm
Photograph on MDF

31 Cedar Lewision
Lighter Fluid Buzz 2001
70cm x 120cm
painting

32 Mark Harris
Mr + Mrs Galaxy 2001
30cm x 30cm
mixed media

33 Bob Mathews
Welcome to Germany (welcome to England) 1998-2000
40cm x 40cm
spray paint on zinc

34 Martina Schmid
Reason Series 2001
28cm x 19cm
pencil on canvas

35 Peter Gomes
Mandala 4 1996
100cm radius
collage

36 Leigh Clarke
Untitled 2001
40cm x 29cm
acrylic, wallpaper on MDF

37 Stuart Hall
- 2000
91cm x 61cm
mixed

38 Dan Hays
Internal Assessor 2001
-cm x -cm
oil on canvas

39 Peter Lloyd Lewis
Blomb (pink and yellow) 2000
Acrylic expanding foam on canvas

40 Arnaud Desjardien
Monolith 22.2cm x 2001
-medium and gloss

41 Esther Planas
Dirty Snow 2000
Was supposed to be self-publicity for upcoming gigs (from Time Out) M Herbert + Heroine chic
-cm x -cm
water and pigment

42 Anthony Faroux
Somewhere on the Thames 1999
-

43 Jaakko Mattila
Tian an men 2001
-mixed media

44 Tony Truand
Portrait of Dorian Gray 1998
-oil on canvas

45 Sara Campbell
Shift
11cm x 14.5cm
pencil, paper

46 Seemab Gul
-2001
14" x 16"
oil on canvas

47 Marc Hulson
Untitled 2000
-cm x -cm
oil on canvas

48 Anita Fricek
-2001
25cm x 25cm
oil on canvas

49 Tracey Drew
Shadowtoy 1998
32" x 32"
oil on canvas

50 David Lillington
You cut my heart into little pieces 2001
30cm x 30cm
paint on vinyl

51 Henry Castle
Lazy Student 2001
86cm x 125cm
acrylic on canvas

52 Jennet Thomas
Found Painting collaboration 1965-2000
Very good. Bought from a charity shop.
A painting of a landscape done in 1965 that I have added my own elements to...
oil on board

53 Daniel Lehan
Domestic Landscape 2000
13.5cm x 13.5cm
paint on wood

54 Vicky Wright
Us 2001
13cm x 125cm
acrylic on canvas

55 Ed Giardina
Still Life with Taxidermy Squirrels 2001
30cm x 42cm
oil on canvas

56 David Lillington
Routine 1995
177cm x 122cm
vandyke brown print on canvas

57 Anonymous
Known Painting 2001

58 Shane Bradford
Cosmo 2001
41cm x 36cm
acrylic on canvas

59 Marischa Slusarski
-2001
30cm x 42cm
acrylic on canvas

60 Mary MacLean
-2001
25" x 25" acrylic

61 Larry Large
-2001
Larry Large - fashion/ commerce/ sex/ glitterati style oversized ego/ reference/ don't get me. I'm tooo ill
87cm x 49cm
oil and house paint in frame

62 Rebecca Fortnum
-60s folk surreal kitsch painting
2001
30cm x 42cm
acrylic on board

63 Beth Harland
Interstate 1998
30cm x 40cm
oil on canvas

64 Mark Brogan
Tarantula! 2000
15cm x 2
oil on MDF

65 Magnus Irvin
Armchair Daydream 1993-4
170cm x 110cm
woodcut and oil

66 Calum Kerr
The Twelve Suns of Citratan
2001
30cm x 30cm
acrylic on board
Triumvirate: Rough for Publication (second draft*)
Early evening November 2005
A Alex Schady
E Edward Dorrian
M Marc Hulson
E My only question was about… If we take on this new space… How does… How does it run? What do we do? How do we organize it? How do we afford it? Is that your question? (to A) Well we should get home early then…
M I thought we didn’t have any questions - we agreed we were going to do this as an open discussion… Ok that’s fair enough, and… because it was two years down the line… before we got the bigger space downstairs… or something like that…
E Yes
A But once something is up and running and you have a bit of trust going with the… landlord… anything then… the possibility of expanding something is obviously more straightforward than it is to say right, we want something where we can do… gigs… film showing blah blah from the word go…
E Yes… I don’t mean really… that its just scale or whatever… I mean for example there was the whole thing about the studios… which sort of financed it…
M I think that’s what we would be proposing in this space… that it would be a similar set-up… and also I don’t think the issue of a huge deposit is really… is not going to occur in the space we’re talking about… the space where MOT is… because I think that’s a fairly easy…
E But what I’m thinking is… that… would it be a good idea to actually have more than the three of us running it… Or could we actually organize a slightly hierarchical situation? Where… Yes… there are people involved… I mean we used to talk about this thing called ‘associate membership’… or whatever fancy word we used for it… I mean I think that it would be useful… to have a series of different inputs… into it… other than just ourselves…
M Yes
E But just the simple pragmatic aspect of… scraping together… to get a space together… scraping the money I mean… Or do you not see that as an issue?
M I think its very difficult to… really answer until we know exactly what kind of money we’re dealing with… and what space we’re dealing with… I mean if it was… For example if we had a space in that building where MOT are… and it was cheaper… and judging from what Chris said… it was cheaper than the studios that Alex and me are paying for per square foot anyway… and me and Alex continue to pay as much as we’re paying for our studios… we’d already be…
E Right…
M But, so I think it’s a separate… to me the thing about the financial question is just difficult to answer until… But the question… whether it would be valuable to have a large group of people is almost… worth dealing with separately… And to me the question of having a large group of people is… I think it would be interesting to have more people involved but the question would really be how it was structured… and whether we could agree on a way on how that’s structured… whether it should be hierarchical or whether it should in some way simply…
A Whether that group of people… I mean if it ends up being like a… stable of people… How we happen to… you know…
E What our stable?!
A Our stable… who’s work we really like and who’s… and then it doesn’t feel that you’re doing… It feels like a bunch of people who… who you’re interested in and think… would do interesting things… and that’s fine… its not like you’re grabbing any old person just for the sake of a tenner a month… so that they can all take part in a big group show at the end…
E Well I’m not sure about the stable kind of metaphor… because that’s just us by extension…and I think if you’re going to talk about structure… which is fair enough… but then there is the possibility that you can open it out to whoever’s using it quite widely… but…ouch the terms in which they do it…so that there is… and we’ve used this word before… well I used it… the kind of responsibility to the… to the space…
A But in what… in the sense that they become… so it becomes like an… open submission show once a year à la Eddy style thing?
E No… Not really… but… no. There needs to be… Yes there’s a mixture… What were good at was mixing the types of shows we had… where… we had highly curated individual shows which could exist anywhere and were lovely showcases for the artist involved… this was a form and interesting work… which is… no bad thing… And then we also… things which… not so much were more risk-taking… either made more work which… not saying ‘academic’ but… hopefully would… provide the same kind of debate… near enough function… I guess its how you… how you describe the form and function of a gallery… that comes into this academic aspect where… this supposed educational form to it or… the commercial aspect which you know… a lot of the curated shows become springboards for… what are they called? Up and coming…
M I don’t get that at all… I don’t understand…
A No. I don’t understand the issue
M In what sense is there this distinction? Can you give an example of a show that was more ‘academic’… or more ‘educational’?…?
E Yeah… No there wasn’t any!
M Well…
E Well things which we were…
M I’m not… saying that they’re all one or the other…
E No… well its either… its something… its something we’ve filled in forms for… for council funding… is this educational remit… or like shows which exist in university galleries which are seen as part of a ‘research’… resource…
M Give me an example of a show that we did at Five Years which couldn’t possibly have been done in a university gallery?
E Couldn’t have been?
M Yeah… Or could have fulfilled a…
E None… I’m not saying we didn’t… I’m just drawing a distinction between the types…
A I can see that there are two types that you are describing…but I’m not sure if the way to distinguish between them is… academic and commercial… It doesn’t entirely make sense to me… I think it’s just more straightforward than that… I think there is one… you know… there was a whole series of shows… deliberately played games with who was being shown and who wasn’t…
E I’m not talking about us specifically…but it is…
M But it is about us specifically!
E Well… it’s kind of engendered in the kinds of debates that are going on… I mean universities are employing ‘professional’ artists for their research profiles…
A …but they’re not interested in an idea of democracy…
E Well no… but they’re supposed to be interested in an idea of education… and they’re government funded etc
M But do you mean how research is determined within those… in those institutions… and I don’t understand if… artists showing and making their own work… that’s the definition of research… credits for institutions within Fine Art Education… and I don’t see personally… I don’t see how you can…
E It’s an interesting problem that universities or educational institutions… art schools… are now universities… you know… so therefore they have to abide by the same notions… the same criteria that… science… research… so therefore there have to be certain degrees of… publishing… work…
A But where as in science or… you can quite clearly define a set of criteria by which you would describe whether it was worthwhile… How do you describe… By what criteria do you describe whether a show is educational… or what is it?
E OK… Well we brought up the whole thing about having… discussion… and about ‘seminars’ or… the usual presentation… conversion around the work… using the work as a way of… initiating a kind of discourse… so what I’m saying is that… that’s part of… I mean it runs in parallel… you’re right it’s entwined with all these things which are… you know… ‘professional’… ‘professionalism’… Professional profile of somebody who shows in X commercial gallery is… points… I just wonder where we fit in… that kind of industry… I mean there was that… and there is the sort of… there is the political aspect to it as well… you know are we some kind of entrepreneurial exercise? Like a small business… Like what we’re doing is creating an industry for a certain amount of artists?…
A I quite like that idea… that we should… that we could explore both these avenues… either…
E Well its all part of it…
A Yes, but that we define it quite clearly as these two distinct areas… that area we’re interested in exploiting… but I don’t think that the shows we’ve done… because I think both those things would fit around any of the shows we’ve done or would be comparable… any of the shows we’ve done… the shows themselves aren’t…can a show be educational in the sense that we were describing?
M Well most galleries justify their educational remit simply on the basis that they’re exposing work to the public… and that has appropriation an educational function
E I’m not sure if you can get away with that anymore…
M Well you probably have to… oh no that’s charities…
E No, I think its…
M I’m not saying its… you know…
E Its an interesting problem… I’m not saying one thing or the other… its something that seems unavoidable… its part and parcel of organizing not just this… space… but you know… a function… I’m using these as examples…
A And I think the educational worth of looking at a show is… slightly tenuous really…
E I think that it’s so…
A Well I think it’s setting it up to fail… that you would go to a show to educate yourself…
E Well obviously not this silly-ivered liberalism or whatever…but these are part of the argument…
A But the whole point of the… linking funding to education is to… to firm definite outcomes… so they want… a group…
E Yes
A of kids to have done a spangly collage or they want local communities to…
E But that’s our critical inroad… isn’t it? Its exactly on those issues… these points… these spurious points… however spurious or well interwoven or not…
M But I think the reverse is as spurious… that you just open your doors and the same fifty middle class… buyers or artists… walk through your door and you are somehow performing some sort of educational role… is…
E Crap…
A Isn’t realistic…
M I actually disagree…
A In what sense?
E Not apriori I’m sorry to say… not goes without saying…
M I would argue that… I don’t know… I would say that I’ve learned more about… art… by going to galleries and museums… than any other activity… I learned more about painting by going to look at the paintings… than reading the captions next to it…
A But that’s… artists learn more about art by going to see… shows… the general public don’t go and see those shows…
E Ah well… artists!
M And I would say I learn more about literature by reading novels…
E Yeah well I would agree with that…
A What the objects ‘in themselves’?
M Sorry?
E The objects. The museum?
M No we’re talking about books now… I mean I don’t write novels…but I think I know more about novels… by reading novels… than I do by attending seminars about novels…
E mmm?
M And depends how you define education… you know I mean what does an autodidact do?
E Well, what does ‘he’ do?
M They teach themselves by looking… searching out what they’re interested in…
E Is the big advantage that ‘art education’ has over… whatever… that the great emphasis is on independent learning? That almost by definition… they teach themselves by looking… searching out what they’re interested in…
A They teach themselves by looking… searching out what they’re interested in…
E Well, what does ‘he’ do?
A The objects. The museum?
E What the objects ‘in themselves’?
M Sorry?
E But that’s our critical inroad… isn’t it? Its exactly on those issues… these points… these spurious points… however spurious or well interwoven or not…
A But I think the reverse is as spurious… that you just open your doors and the same fifty middle class… buyers or artists… walk through your door and you are somehow performing some sort of educational role… is…
E Crap…
A Isn’t realistic…
M I actually disagree…
A In what sense?
E Not apriori I’m sorry to say… not goes without saying…
M I would argue that… I don’t know… I would say that I’ve learned more about… art… by going to galleries and museums… than any other activity… I learned more about painting by going to look at the paintings… than reading the captions next to it…
A But that’s… artists learn more about art by going to see… shows… the general public don’t go and see those shows…
E Ah well… artists!
M And I would say I learn more about literature by reading novels…
E Yeah well I would agree with that…
A What the objects ‘in themselves’?
M Sorry?
E The objects. The museum?
M No we’re talking about books now… I mean I don’t write novels…but I think I know more about novels… by reading novels… than I do by attending seminars about novels…
E mmm?
M And depends how you define education… you know I mean what does an autodidact do?
E Well, what does ‘he’ do?
M They teach themselves by looking… searching out what they’re interested in…
E Is the big advantage that ‘art education’ has over… whatever… that the great emphasis is on independent learning? That almost by definition… they teach themselves by looking… searching out what they’re interested in…
A They teach themselves by looking… searching out what they’re interested in…
E Well, what does ‘he’ do?
M The objects. The museum?
E What the objects ‘in themselves’?
M Sorry?
E But that’s our critical inroad… isn’t it? Its exactly on those issues… these points… these spurious points… however spurious or well interwoven or not…
A But I think the reverse is as spurious… that you just open your doors and the same fifty middle class… buyers or artists… walk through your door and you are somehow performing some sort of educational role… is…
it? I don’t know… is there a distinct difference in
what we’re proposing here…? to what exists… or is it
just a model along with other models?
M Yes it’s a model along with other models…
without any doubt… but is… you know… very
much dependant on what you do with it… and
how the audience interacts with it… I mean that’s
certainly… and to me that’s a more interesting
question than to talk about audience… than
necessarily couch it in terms of education or
commercialism simply…
E I wasn’t trying to say it was one thing or
another…
M No… No, but you’re still introducing those
terms as kind of poles within it… which you would
consider activity…
E Not poles…
M Well you’re kind of setting them up as…
E Yes, yes…
M Certainly if we are going to set up another space,
you’re proposing something in a… I don’t know… I
don’t really want to use the word, tradition but…
E I don’t want to use the word venture, and you
don’t want to use the word tradition… what words
do you not want to use?
M It’s a well-rehearsed situation…
E Yes…
M And you have to decide for yourself whether
you think it’s interesting… whether you think you
can do anything interesting… or not… or whether
its… It’s determined by whether you’re excited by
the things that are going on or whether you’re bored
by them… both things are spurs towards doing it…
Bored or frustrated… or being excited by them… the
motivation to do it…
E Any last words…? I think we’ve still got ten
minutes…
A I think we should keep it going… I think there is
something interesting in there about what Eddy was
saying… even though…
E It was muddled.
A No I wasn’t going to say that actually…
E I don’t mind minds slots
A I don’t…
E Well actually what I found interesting was that…
Eddy, you and Marc were the ones who… have the
biggest disagreements about approaches to things…
though actually I would say that you found it easier to…
E Slot ourselves in there…
A To combine your ideas and projects than
possibly I could with either of you…
E Well the slot thing is what? What is it? It’s
curating by another means…
M Well I don’t know…
A It’s giving you some freedom… It’s saying you
don’t have to… to be shackled to each other…
M Yes it’s about freedom, really…
A Some kind of committee agreement…
E Well the things that you were talking about…
just at the end… say… people proposing work…
people proposing shows… and we facilitating that…
well discussing it… How does that work?
M I’m not sure what you’re talking about… When
were we discussing this…?
E Ah… I seem to remember us saying… you
know… alright Fergal you go away and come up
with an idea… and we’ll see how feasible it is…
and see if we can somehow… together… try and get
either funding or… you know, work with the idea…
but just broadening it out so that it was not just the
three of us… or four of us… but it was… well not an
open membership… but… there’s a…
M Yeah, I don’t know… I think that… that
on reflection… for us to adopt that position is
probably… going to be a real millstone around our
necks… for us to be the people who facilitate…
funding for proposals… agreeing on other people’s
proposals and setting up the infra-structure for people… I think that’s…
E What’s the word? You know in Julius Caesar…
the point about Caesar being assassinated… of the
tri… what was it? The dictatorship of the three…?
A Infamy! Infamy!
E That’s it…
recorded November 2005
transcribed 2007 Edward Dorrian
© Edward Dorrian, Marc Hulson, Alex Schady
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From Marc Hulson
attached with very minimal amendments…
I haven’t come up with anything much for the
wording of the ‘introductory’ part - i was just
thinking of a line or two saying something like
‘this is a transcript of a discussion staged by Edward
Dorrian as material for a possible piece of work’
or something to that effect see you later