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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction
This report presents the findings from research undertaken by a team from the School of Education at the University of Southampton and the Institute of Education, London, into the working and learning environments and experiences of hospital porters in the Southampton University Hospitals Trust (SUHT). The overall aim of the project has been to support the Trust’s vision by developing and sustaining learning environments that will facilitate employees’ career progression at all levels through the Skills Escalator and aid the ‘Trust’s 20/20 Vision’ to become an employer of choice.

The research has identified the dedication of staff working within the Trust’s Portering Department. They care deeply about their work and how they perform it. The porters place the patients at the centre of their work and many show aspects of job performance that go beyond the expectations or perception that may be held of such a worker within the Trust. Making greater use of the knowledge and ethical practices embedded within the daily work of the porters would assist greatly in elevating their perceived status and would be to the benefit of everyone involved, not least the patients.

Research Design and Methods
The study was conducted over a ten month period from May 2009 to February 2010. Ethical approval was granted by the relevant NHS ethics committee and the University of Southampton’s University’s Research Governance Office.

Evidence was gathered by a variety of methods and involved a wide range of participants from across SUHT. Desk research was carried out to gain an understanding of hospital structures and processes. Interviews (which were recorded and transcribed) were conducted with 10 key informants (portering managers and members of the theatre, radiology, and emergency departments and the operations centre) and a representative sample of 20 porters. Eight porters completed Learning Logs on a weekly basis detailing their learning activities. The task forms used by hospital departments to request portering
services were analysed. A review of the National NHS Staff Survey 2008 was undertaken to compare SUHT’s performance with other University Hospital Trusts in the South of England.

A conceptual framework (the expansive – restrictive framework) was applied to analyse the characteristics of the porters’ existing workplace learning environment and to help indicate ways in which their learning opportunities may be enhanced and, hence, made more expansive. The findings from this report will enable the testing of a methodology which can be refined for application to other groups of the Trust’s employees (in Bands 1 to 4).

**The Organisation of Portering within SHUT**
The Portering Department is contained within Division 5 (Non-clinical Services) of the Trust’s operations. It currently employs 93 staff (as of 18 November 2009) including porters (83). Agency workers are used on a regular basis to supplement the full-time workforce. The porters are required to provide patient transfer services within the hospital ‘24/7’ on a shift basis, and to perform other duties such as transporting blood products, taking the deceased to the mortuary, changing gas bottles and collecting specimens. They are supervised and managed by three tiers of management - six Supervisors, two Head Porters and the Portering Manager, with further administrative support provided by the Pest Control Officer. In some cases, porters have their work allocated by staff in other departments (radiology, theatre, and emergency). Porters are on the lowest of the NHS Pay Bands, Band 1.

**Key Research Findings**

i. Porters perceive their role to be under-valued and poorly understood within the Trust.

ii. The day-to-day work of portering involves constant interactions with patients, members of the public, and SUHT employees. Porters use a range of inter-personal skills and continually make judgements about how to deploy those skills. The development of inter-personal skills is, however, under-played in the mandatory training porters receive.
iii. Porter training focuses in the main on the practical skills required to ‘get the job done’, as well as on meeting mandatory health and safety requirements. The appropriateness of delivering this type of training via computer-based training packages was questioned.

iv. Finding ways to offer access to off-the-job training is challenging given the porters’ job design and employment conditions. Given, however, that the research found evidence of latent demand from porters to improve their skills and qualifications, ways should be found to combine both on and off-the-job opportunities for learning. The introduction of an appropriate type of qualification, which accredits porters’ existing competence and provides a platform for further progression should be explored.

v. Porters value the opportunity to learn from each other during the course of their daily activities. There was strong evidence that porters help and support each other to learn in the workplace. Some experienced porters are regularly acting as teachers at work including to nurses as well as their porter peers.

vi. Porters regularly interact and work with nurses and clinicians. Overlaps or ‘grey areas’ exist between the responsibilities and tasks of the different groups, creating opportunities for co-participation in training.

vii. There are limited opportunities for porters to contribute their views (and to be heard) about the development of their role and contribution to organisational goals. This is part of a wider problem relating to the tangential location of the portering department in the hospital structure. ‘Who owns the porters?’ is a question that needs to considered in order to help anchor their role more firmly in the structure.

viii. The categorisation of portering as a Band 1 job does not allow for differentiation between the performance of individual porters, or to recognise those porters who can be conceived as performing as ‘advanced practitioners’. The inflexibility of the banding system, therefore, restricts opportunities for career progression within the portering function.
ix. Application of the expansive – restrictive framework has identified a number of key areas for management to consider in reviewing and developing the workplace as a learning environment.

x. The evidence shows that the contribution of the porters, particularly those who are highly experienced and effective, needs to be recognised, and ways found to develop and capitalise on their expertise.

xi. The research suggests that a more holistic concept of the portering function and expansive vision of the porters’ role would make a more positive contribution to the achievement of organisational goals.

Recommendations

The following recommendations are provided as a way to create a more expansive workplace learning environment:

a) **Review the role porters play in the hospital in order to create a new vision** which recognises their critical contribution to the hospital’s efficiency as well as to the general well-being and satisfaction of patients and their relatives/friends.

b) **Create the role of Advanced Practitioner** to recognise and differentiate higher levels of expertise and experience and the ability to teach and mentor colleagues - particularly in relation to interpersonal skills.

c) **Introduce a mentoring system** to help with the integration of the formal (and mandatory) training and the learning that occurs as part of everyday workplace activity. The role of mentor would also provide a new role for experienced porters to aspire to.

d) **Create a hospital ‘map’** (including a ward/department locator list) for all new recruits and agency workers. This should be done with the involvement of porters who already have examples than could be drawn on. As the Trust has undergone a recent building expansion programme, the list should be held by the Head Porters/Portering Manager who would be in charge of ensuring the map is regularly updated.
Section 1: Introduction

1.1 This report presents the findings from research undertaken by a team from the School of Education at the University of Southampton and the LLAKES\textsuperscript{1} research centre at the Institute of Education, London, into the working and learning environments and experiences of hospital porters in the Southampton University Hospitals Trust (the Trust).

1.2 The research has covered a range of areas and issues related to the porters’ workplace learning activities, focusing on issues such as the organisation of the portering department, the porters’ work roles and training provision, inter- and intra-departmental communications and relationships, formal and informal learning experiences, and the porters’ views on the creation of NVQs for portering. It uses Fuller and Unwin’s (2003, 2004\textsuperscript{2}) expansive-restrictive framework as a tool to analyse the characteristics of the porters’ existing workplace learning environment and to help indicate ways in which their learning opportunities may be enhanced and, hence, made more expansive. The findings will enable the testing of a methodology which can then be refined for application to other groups of the Trust’s employees (in Bands 1 to 4).

1.3 The overall aim of the project has been to support the Trust by developing and sustaining learning environments that will facilitate employees’ career progression at all levels through the Skills Escalator and aid the Trust’s ‘20/20 Vision’ to become an employer of choice.

\textsuperscript{1} The Centre for Learning and Life Chances in Knowledge Economies and Societies
Research Design and Methods

1.4. Before the research could begin, the project had to gain approval from the relevant NHS ethics committee and the University of Southampton’s University’s Research Governance Office. (See Appendices 4 and 6 for examples of the documents submitted for ethical approval.) The study was conducted over a ten month period from May 2009 to February 2010.

1.5. The research involved a combination of desk and field work, utilising a range of methods and overlapping phases (see Appendix 2 for a description of the fieldwork process). The process involved five elements:

i) An initial period of desk-based research was designed to familiarise the researchers with the context in which porters work, and their roles and responsibilities.

ii) Interviews - 30 interviews were conducted with ten key informants (including one joint interview) and 20 porters. The interviews with porters provided the opportunity for respondents to offer their perceptions of the portering role, their experiences of working as porters, their perceptions and experiences of training, workplace learning, and working with colleagues throughout the hospital (see interview schedule attached as Appendix 7). Key informants from the portering management team (including the Porter Manager, the Head Porters and two of the Supervisors) were invited to discuss their roles and responsibilities, the day-to-day operation of the portering function and the way its performance is monitored, the recruitment of porters, their training and development and how the department works with others across the hospital (see interview schedule attached as Appendix 5). Key informants from the areas within the hospital with whom porters work and liaise were invited to offer their perceptions and experiences of their organisational
relationship with porters, the porters’ role and skills, and the contribution the porters make to the operation of a large and complex hospital Trust. The main features of the interview phase were as follows:

- The interviews with the porters took place in June 2009 and the key informant interviews between June and December 2009.
- A broadly representative sample (in terms of age, gender, ethnicity, length of service) of 20 porters was developed.
- The key informants included members of the portering department management team and individuals from departments which have particularly close relationships with the porters (including theatre, radiology and emergency departments and the operations centre).
- Interviews lasted between 30 minutes and one hour and were recorded and transcribed.
- Participation in the research was voluntary. Interviewees were given the right to withdraw at any time and were assured that the information they provided would be treated confidentially and that they would have their anonymity preserved.

iii) Learning Logs (Appendix 8) – the 20 porter interviewees were invited to complete a weekly learning log for a period of four weeks over the summer of 2009. The logs provided an opportunity for respondents to record aspects of their work routine and the opportunities they had to learn new skills as part of their daily activities. Eight porters participated, although not all completed all four logs.

iv) Task Forms – In the course of their work, the porters are required to work with various types of forms, which indicate the nature of the job including providing details about the patient and his or her transfer. An analysis of these contributed to the insights being generated through the interviews and learning logs.
v) A review of the National NHS Staff Survey 2008 was undertaken to compare the Trust’s performance with other University Hospital Trusts in the South of England. The findings from this aspect of the study are attached as Appendix 1 and help to contextualise the study within an alternative source of evidence on the work and development experiences of the Trust’s staff.

Organisation of the report

1.6 The report is organised in seven sections. Following the Introduction, Section 2 outlines the organisation of portering in the Trust and the job roles of members of the department. Section 3 discusses the key themes, using illustrative evidence from the interviews with porters and key informants. Section 4 identifies the major points emerging from the findings derived from the interviews and the learning logs. In Section 5, we review and comment on the layout and presentation of four of the task forms and the implications for training and performance. Section 6 presents the expansive-restrictive framework and shows how it can be used to analyse the character of the porters’ workplace as a learning environment. Finally, in Section 7, we present our conclusions and recommendations.
Section 2: The Organisation of Portering within the Trust

2.1 The Portering Department is contained within Division 5 (Non-clinical Services) of the Trust’s operations. It currently employs 93 staff (as of 18 November 2009) including porters, managers and administrative staff. General porters make up the vast majority of the department. The porters are required to provide patient transfer services within the hospital ‘24/7’. They are supervised and managed by three tiers of management - six Supervisors, two Head Porters and the Portering Manager, with further administrative support provided by the Pest Control Officer. In some cases, porters have their work allocated by staff in other departments (radiology, theatre and emergency) as outlined below.

2.2 The work of the Portering Department is overseen by the Operations Centre. The Operations Centre ensures that departments within the Trust operate effectively as a system, for example, by minimising any ‘bottlenecks’ in workflow. The Operations Centre can intervene in the porters’ work when there are logistical issues affecting the efficient running of the system, or if deadlines for Trust’s targets (such as the four hour patient ‘turnaround’ target in the Emergency Department) are in danger of being breached. Interventions are generally made in telephone consultation with one of the porter supervisors or the manager about how particular jobs might be prioritised over others. Interviews with key informants confirmed that meeting Trust targets is key to the Trust’s Foundation status. The portering department has its own targets (explained below) and it can be an operational challenge to ensure that the targets set for one department do not inhibit the achieving of targets in another, or for the Trust as a whole.

---

3 The other Divisions are as follows: Division 1 is for Clinical Services; Division 2 covers Medicine and Unscheduled Care; Division 3 incorporates Women and Children; Division 4 is for Specialist Services
Figure 1: Portering Department Structure (*Some portering tasks are allocated by individuals within departments – see below for details)

Portering Manager – has overall control of the department staff and budgets.

Pest Control Officer - provides additional administrative duties

Head Porters – organise day-to-day running of the service

Supervisors – receive job requests and allocate work to porters*

Porters – carry out tasks as required

Portering Manager (PM)

2.3 The department is headed by the PM, who is on the highest pay band for porters: Band 5\textsuperscript{4}. The responsibilities of the PM include: managing the portering department; ensuring performance targets, (most importantly in relation to task completion times) are met; monitoring staffing levels and sicknesses; calculating the numbers of agency porters required; and changing shifts to cater for the busiest periods. The PM also manages the department’s budgets and has responsibility for the stocks, orders and deliveries of the Trust’s medical gases, in addition to liaising with a range of departments (including the Operations Centre) within the hospital. As might be expected of a management position, the role is somewhat

\textsuperscript{4} Pay bands within the NHS range from Band 1, which is the lowest band, to Band 9, the highest. In the Portering Department these Bands range from Bands 1 to 5. The Bands create boundaries for pay. See Appendix 3 for how the pay bands relate to the pay scales within the Portering Department.
detached from the day-to-day portering duties and the task of overseeing the day-to-day running of the porters is delegated to the Head Porters and the Supervisors. The PM works closely with the Pest Control Officer (PCO), who also provides some administrative duties. The PM reports to the Care Group Manager. Since January 2010 the PM post has become part-time.

**Pest Control Officer (PCO)**

2.4 The PCO provides administrative support to the PM and is employed on a 37 and a half hour week. The main role is to deal with incidents of pests (mostly pigeons) within the hospital, all of which are reported to the PCO. The PCO then works with pest control contractors to resolve such problems.

**Head Porters (HPs)**

2.5 There are two HPs who act as a bridge between the PM and the general porters. HPs are on pay Band 4 and work Monday to Friday, sharing a rota of 8am to 5pm and 9am to 6pm. They are responsible for ensuring shifts are adequately covered, that attendance records are maintained, and deal with day-to-day disciplinary issues. They also conduct annual staff appraisals and induction training and are in charge of some of the mandatory training.

**Supervisors**

2.6 Supervisors work in the control room and, unlike the HPs, operate on a 24/7 basis involving three shifts: ‘Earlies’ - 6am to 2pm; ‘Lates’ - 2pm to 10pm; and ‘Nights’ - 10pm to 6am. There is one supervisor working at all times, with a further supervisor covering busy periods from 9 am to 5.30 pm. Supervisors are on pay Band 2, one Band up from the porters. The supervisors’ job is largely sedentary: they cover some portering shifts
(approximately four days in every five week roster). Their main role is to receive job requests via phone calls from wards and departments, log them on to the computer system and allocate the tasks to porters in the pool room or via radios or the VOCERA communications system\(^5\) (the way tasks are organised, allocated and monitored is outlined in more detail below). Supervisors therefore require good communication and literary skills. Supervisors cover the times when HPs are not working and so will be required to make organisational decisions out of normal office hours. However, the opportunity for supervisors to take a ‘hands on’ approach to their role is limited as: a) in the normal day-shift they are stationed at the control room; and b) when they are able to work on the ‘shop floor’ they are themselves working as porters rather than porter supervisors. There was a perception that the way the supervisor’s job is conceived and designed inhibits the ability of individuals to use their discretion to overcome the sort of day-to-day operational challenges that arise. The following comment is illustrative:

“If there was a problem on the ward, where the patient’s delayed, or another department screams ‘where’s our patient’?, we could go and find out why. Trouble shoot, if you like; we can’t do that, because when we’re on the floor, we’re actually working anyway, so we can’t even do that” (Key Informant 2).

**Porters**

2.7 The Trust currently employs hospital porters with further support offered by agency workers as required (see below). The main role of porters is in the transporting of patients (‘patient transfers’) in and around the hospital,. They are also required to perform other duties such as transporting blood products, taking the deceased to the mortuary,

\(^5\) VOCERA is an electronic system which is somewhere between a radio and internal mobile phone and a unit is carried by some porters. The advantage of the VOCERA units is that Supervisors can communicate directly with the recipient, unlike the radios in which all transmissions can be heard.
changing gas bottles and collecting specimens. Porters are on the lowest of the NHS Pay Bands, Band 1. The number of patient transfers has been estimated by the PM to be around 300,000 a year, which equates to around 16.5 patient transfers per porter per shift (this calculation allows for staff sickness, holidays, etc.). The porters cover all areas of the hospital via the seven day a week, 24 hour shift system. There are established arrangements for porters to work in the Radiology (x-ray), Theatre, and Emergency Departments as well as in the porter pool or relief teams.

• **The Pool**: The number of porters working in the pool varies according to the shift. Between 6 am and 2 pm, there are four porters plus a supervisor; between 2 pm and 10 pm there are eight porters plus a supervisor, two of these porters are allocated to the Emergency Department; and between 10 pm and 6 am, there are five porters and a supervisor. Porters in the pool are allocated tasks by the supervisors and, along with the relief porters, are the most peripatetic of the portering staff as they may be required to work anywhere within the hospital. They have regular, long-term shift patterns.

• **Relief** porters work across all areas of the hospital. There may be as many as ten relief porters per shift but these will be distributed throughout the hospital - to the pool, theatres, radiology and so on according to staff absences and peak times. Unlike porters in the pool, their shifts are highly variable. They are generally given a week’s notice of their impending shifts, which are likely to include earlies, lates and nights. This can be very tiring for some, as this porter explained:

“*I could do four 6:00 to 2:00s, have a day off, do a 2:00 to 10:00, have a day off, start nights for three weeks. We do a system where we do nights, we do seven nights, two nights off, five nights, two nights off, two nights, two nights off and then we’re back on a 6:00 to 2:00 shift and you’re just totally obliterated, you’re just wasted for like a good three or four days*” (Porter 10)
• **Theatre**: Eight porters are assigned to the theatres, covering mornings and afternoons, with a further four porters working evenings, although there is a greater reliance on the pool porters during this period. Most of the porters working in the theatres are regularly deployed in this department. The theatres can be in operation from 8 am to 9 pm. The work of theatre porters generally involves transporting patients to and from wards, but not within the theatres themselves. This part of the patient transfer is undertaken by theatre staff. There are 21 theatres located in different areas of the hospital. Portering tasks are co-ordinated with the Centre Block Theatre by the ‘Portering Link Nurse’, a designated auxiliary.

• **Radiology (X-ray)**: There is generally a team of eleven porters working in Radiology. Five or six porters work regularly in this department and a further five or six are allocated there by the portering department to cover busy periods, often during the afternoon. Shifts are split between 9 am and 5.30 pm and 9.30 am to 6 pm during the week; on Tuesdays and Wednesdays two porters work between 8 am and 4.30 pm. Whilst the portering department has responsibility for the general management and training of these porters, radiology department staff issue tasks to the porters who have been assigned to them. Any patient transfer delays are reported back to Radiology rather than to the portering supervisor (as would be the case with the pool porters). The high volume of work in Radiology means that porters are expected to be fully trained before they begin work in this department. If porters are new to Radiology, they will be placed with a porter who is experienced in this area of the hospital until they are familiar with the work.

• **Emergency Department (ED)**: Generally, the ED requests porters from the portering department via the central request system. However, there is a regular arrangement that each day the ED is allocated two porters from the pool by the portering department between 2 pm and 10 pm.

---

6 The portering link nurse is on pay band 2, the same band as the porter supervisors.
These two porters are stationed in the ED and have their tasks allocated by the nursing staff. The allocation of two porters is not normally sufficient to cover demand and so the ED also requests help from the portering department in the usual way too.

- **Agency staff** provide important support for the portering department and are used to cover busy periods or staff absences due to holidays and sick leave. Some agency staff may be sent repeatedly to the hospital, thereby building up experience of portering. As a result, agency workers can provide a reliable source of new recruits as they already have some experience of the job prior to starting, although as will become clear, they are not given the full range of training that Trust-employed workers receive. Six of the porters interviewed stated that they had previously worked as porters for agencies (from 3 to 18 months) before being taken on by the Trust. It was noted that agency staff do not receive the inoculations given to Trust-employed porters, despite being exposed to the same risks.

**Organisation of the porters’ work**

2.8 As outlined above, when porters are allocated to Theatre, Radiology and Emergency, their tasks are distributed by staff within those departments. However, in the main they undertake work following the requests that come into the supervisors from wards or departments across the hospital. All jobs are logged onto the computer system by the supervisors and jobs are timed from the point they are entered to the time a porter reports that the task has been completed. Job cancellations and delays are also logged and monitored. Supervisors may sometimes use one of the porters attached to the theatres to cover emergencies and in such cases may also prioritise one task over another. Their work, like other departments in the hospital, is monitored by the Operations Centre, which may also advise on prioritisations if particular delays are noticed. Supervisors also make on-the-spot decisions about the running of the
Portering services, although there are some aspects in which they are required to consult the HPs, particularly when there are ward delays (such as the occasions when, for example, patients are not ready for collection when the porter arrives).

2.9 Porters are required to inform their supervisor if there are delays so that these can be logged and the reasons for them can be monitored by the PM and, if necessary, investigated. A traffic light system is used to track the progress of jobs, with a green code given to those likely to be completed within the expected period and red to those which are in danger of exceeding ‘the time allowed’. The target is to complete tasks within 40 minutes, but the actual time taken will depend upon the nature of the request. As a rule, each job will remain on green for around 15 minutes, but if it has not been completed within that time, the status will change to amber and then to red if it has not been carried out within a further 15 minute period. Jobs coded red are expected to be completed within 10 minutes, but if the department is particularly busy there may be an increase in ‘reds’:

“If it [increase in red codes] happened during certain periods of the day, you know, we’re not going to get any go red before 9 o’clock, shouldn’t do, but shortly after 9 there might be a sudden rush because clinics start. Towards a lunchtime, 12 o’clock-ish there might be a little bit of a rush” (Key Informant 1)

2.10 Porters are required to carry radios but some prefer not to, which seems to be accepted by the managers. Those that prefer not to carry radios will phone back to the pool room on completion of a job or return to the room to await further instructions. Those porters who carry the ‘VOCERA’ communication equipment with them are able to have instant and direct communication with specific porters, unlike the radios through which all communications are heard.
2.11 Porter-patient interaction is clearly central to the porters’ role. Porters must not ask questions of patients regarding the nature of their illness and porters seem to recount this almost as the ‘golden rule’ of portering: “You can’t talk to people about what operations they’re having, or anything like that. You talk about the weather or something like that” (Porter 7). Others, though, have their own ways of interacting with patients: “I usually go in and say ‘okay, who wants a free op today, then?’ They all say ‘not me, not me!’” (Porter 4). But, if one thing stands out above all else, it is that porters view the patients as being their whole raison d’être: “At the end of the day the most important thing is the patient’s wellbeing” (Porter 18). Altruism was seen to play a part in many porters’ reasons for doing the job and the contact with patients provided the opportunity for them to make a positive and meaningful contribution to the patients’ experience: “A lot of people say they want to come here and give something back, because they’ve had an experience or whether that’s a relative or self, it’s nice to be hands-on with patients” (Key Informant 6).

2.12 Skills that porters bring with them from their previous occupations can play an important role in how they see and treat patients. Porters come from a variety of backgrounds, including sailors, cleaners, skilled trades people and factory workers. Educational backgrounds, too, are just as diverse, with interviewees ranging from those possessing no formal qualifications through to one porter holding a degree. Whatever their previous background, porters need to have the ability to the work with people and, hence, having experience of working with the public is deemed desirable in candidate selection.
Section Three: Themes from the Porter Interviews

3.1 The interviews with porters elicited their perceptions and experiences in relation to three main themes: the opportunities for participation in training and qualifications; the day to day experience of teaching and learning at work; and intra- and inter- departmental communication.

Training and Qualifications

“So the training, if you like, is more or less on-the-job training, on-hands. We don't actually do classroom training. Once a year there is a classroom training; they call it ‘Refresher’ training” (Porter 13)

3.2 The Portering Department is responsible for recruiting, training and managing the porters. All Trust-employed porters are required to take part in mandatory training, which covers subjects such as fire procedures, child protection, infection control, confidentiality, gas bottle changes, basic first aid, life support and patient handling. Most of the training focuses on the practical aspects of portering. Despite this focus, some training is delivered via computer-based training packages available for use in the HPs’ office rather than through ‘hands-on’ training sessions. The reason for using computer-based training is largely utilitarian as it provides a way of making training available to staff who are difficult to release from their ‘frontline’ duties: The following quotation illustrates the logistical challenges:

“There’s a hundred porters, we can only allow a few off … it’s really difficult to get them all trained in all these things because they could practically be doing just all these mandatory things and not doing the portering. So they brought it in that they could do it online, like a, you know, quick one, but I don’t know that that actually gets in, because you can do tick, tick, tick, and not absorbing” (Key Informant 4).
3.3 Porters also get annual ‘refresher’ courses, but there were concerns about the pedagogical approach. One senior porter said, “basically you run over a lot of old ground”. A member of the portering management team said:

“...a lecture format; the only things that you actually get involved with is the patient transfer from the bed, from one bed to another ... making sure you’re doing that correctly; maybe a medical gas cylinder. That’s about it” (Key Informant 3)

3.4 There is also a problem with fulfilling the requirements of the mandatory training in a department where the demands of the job are constant and where limits in capacity make it difficult to give workers time off-the-job to train. For instance, one porter commented that there are “[resuscitation] courses, you know, going on. But I haven’t done it yet, but some of the porters have done it” (Porter 9). This means that some porters will have received training before others, as this porter observed:

“He’ll teach me what it is that he thinks he’s been taught. Not necessarily... coz, and some of the information I’m getting from some porters is different to the others because you’ve got a different, people remember things differently or people can’t be bothered, you know, ‘oh, don’t worry’, you know, that sort of thing” (Porter 16).

3.5 Furthermore, there is often a time delay between when new porters begin work and when they receive the training:

“How you should perform some tasks and stuff like that should be given at the beginning of your job, at the beginning, ... not like after six months or something” (Porter 1)

3.6 A particular issue arose in relation to fire safety training. Porters stated that they were not made aware until they arrived at the class that by
undergoing the training they would become responsible for the fire regulations within the department. There was also a question over the utility of the course for their needs: “We wouldn’t have the time or the facilities to do it in our department” (Key Informant 2).

3.7 There are clear differences in the training given to the Trust-employed porters and the agency porters. For example, agency staff are not trained in the use of gas bottles and in how to change them. While this can be limiting in terms of restricting the jobs agency porters can perform, these workers are used as spare capacity to cover busy periods or staff absences. This porter said that prior to being employed by the Trust, he worked as an agency porter, where he received:

“…one day’s training. First I got here, I was put up in Theatres; I got half a day in Theatres. Then in the afternoon I was put in X-ray and you just go with a full time person and he shows you the ropes.”

Yet, since being employed by the Trust, the same porter reported that:

“You get training all the time; you are always going in for training sessions. You get First Aid, Life Saving, Fire, nearly – well anything that works in a hospital. You know, how to undo the oxygen and that” (Porter 6).

3.8 Agency staff generally receive one day’s training with the theatre porters before they begin work with the main portering staff: “…because it’s quietest; it’s not so intense. And just teaches you … the basics, really” (Porter 8). The limits of this training can sometimes be problematic for the Trust-employed porters in terms of the agency workers’ lack of knowledge. Agency staff will not be given some duties such as changing gas bottles as these tasks are given only to experienced and trained porters.
3.9 A major issue for agency workers and also for new Trust-employed porters is the size of the hospital and getting to know the layout. Agency workers do not necessarily have the same time to learn about ward and department locations, thereby restricting the areas that agency porters can be placed. Uncertainty about the geography of the departments means agency staff are of less value in the pool, where the nature of the duties range across the hospital. Therefore, they are often placed in the theatres and x-ray departments, where the working area is more limited. As many agency workers as well as some Trust employed porters (see below) do not speak English as their first language, this can lead to issues of miscommunication or porters speaking in their own language across the patient, something which they are not permitted to do, but was reported in the interviews as happening frequently.

3.10 There was recognition that learning from more experienced colleagues can be extremely valuable, as this comment about shadowing highlights:

“...helps tremendously. Rather than just sitting in a room with someone telling you what’s going to happen. So I think to follow someone around, to learn how things happen, that’s more efficient”

(Porter 13).

3.11 Here, again, where English is a second language, there can be problems. Porters may not understand instructions fully or may only use a patient’s surname instead of (as is required within the department), using both first and family names, in that order. In addition, there is some inconsistency in the way patients are referred to on task forms (see Section 5 for discussion). Confusion over the use of names can lead to time being wasted by porters looking for a patient by the wrong name.

3.12 Porters have significant, face-to-face dealings with ward staff and patients, which require different but equally important skills. Ward staff are often under pressures of their own, while patients will often be understandably
worried about what is happening to them. Porters have to deal with the very real emotions of the patients and in this respect the training they receive is often felt to be insufficient: “I don’t think there’s enough about contact, remembering the patient. They’re not like a factory line, it’s a human being” (Key Informant 6). One of the porters agreed, saying that porters are, “Working with human beings. They could be my mum, my dad, my brother, my sister” (Porter 5). Helping porters think through these identity issues should be a part of their training.

3.13 It is part of the porters’ contract that they are expected to perform mortuary duties (Online Recruitment Pack, General Duties: paragraph 2), although there is some discretion given to the supervisors in the allocating of mortuary jobs due to a porter’s personal circumstances. Training for specific duties such as mortuary visits was reported as being minimal, despite the obvious potential emotional aspects that such duties entail. Mortuary calls form part of the initial induction: “Part of our normal induction is to take people to the mortuary and explain. We’ve had one or two people actually left because they’ve said ‘sorry, can’t go down there’. It is stressful” (Key Informant 6). However, one porter interviewed said that he had already been working in the department for two weeks before his induction training and observed that by then:

“…he’s [the Head Porter] not showing you anything that you don’t already know and haven’t been doing, um, walk to the mortuary, say, ‘there’s the mortuary’, he didn’t even bother opening the door to go in, he says ‘you know what they do in there, don’t you?’, and he didn’t even open the door” (Porter 16).

3.14 Another porter was more philosophical about the mortuary duties, saying, “It’s just whether you got the head to do it, if you know what I mean” (Porter 17). Only one porter reported having received training before being allocated mortuary duties, although he did not clarify the depth of the training he had received. However, once again there is a difference
between Trust-employed porters and agency staff. Asked whether a porter might be expected to visit the mortuary without any training, one porter replied, “Probably would, if you were agency. But you would always be with somebody who’s done it before, you know. It’s one of those things” (Porter 3).

3.15 There appears to be a disjuncture between the formal training the porters receive and the potential of the training to support their longer-term career development and progression. For instance, two porters reported that they had either applied for or been promised further training, but neither had heard anything more. Other porters felt that there was a lack of an organised approach to training. In a previous workplace, one porter had received regular training updates because of the company’s quality control system, “but there’s nothing in stone at this place, it’s all grey areas or I’ve never seen nothing written down” (Porter 10).

3.16 During the interviews, porters were asked whether a portering qualification, such as an NVQ, would be beneficial to their work and support the possibility of career progression. The responses were mixed: some welcomed the idea; some thought it would be without foundation if not connected to a step-up in the pay band; and others dismissed it out of hand. For example, one porter thought that, “if they want us to do like an NVQ level 2 or a 3 whatever, they should up our band level, I think, ‘cause then we’d be more qualified than just run of the mill porters. If we put in, they should put out as well” (Porter 17). Another agreed:

“What do you need an NVQ in the portering department for? We do this job 365 days, 24:7, which they’re right in what they’re saying there, and I totally agree with them. I said to [the PM], I said, ‘If there’s an NVQ available to the portering department, are you going to move them up a band? Will we move up a band?’ and he went ‘No, you won’t move up a band.’ I said ‘What’s the point in having an NVQ, then?’ I said, ‘There’s no goal; there’s no incentive
for anyone in that department to go for it’ (Porter 7).

3.17 However, other porters accepted there may be some benefits to a portering qualification which reflected the work they do:

“I think you should offer [an NVQ] to [the porters], but I don’t think they should be forced to do it. I think the bit that should be forced upon porters is the actual rigorous on-site training” (Porter 19).

3.18 This view is supported by some of the porters who felt that they lacked sufficient training to do the job they do. Extra training which goes beyond portering was seen as unnecessary, particularly if it is not supported by improvements in their pay scales:

“I think a lot of the porters wouldn’t be too keen on the qualifications …. because what incentive would there be with Banding? It’s like we are on the lowest Band in the entire hospital. It’s like, I’ve been here over 10 years and I’m still on Band One!” (Porter 19).

3.19 One of the portering department management team was sceptical about the value of an NVQ:

“it’s questionable now whether it’s [an NVQ in portering] ever been relevant, because the job’s changed; the head porters has changed, the supervisors’ position has changed to what it was … So the whole scenario has changed really; a lot of it’s to do with infection control and also trying to make it a bit more professional service for moving a patient. And the movement of patients is what we do now; it’s a lot of movement” (Key Informant 6)

3.20 Another porter thought that a portering qualification would be:
“only a piece of paper saying that you’re qualified to do this or that. Honestly, I don’t know what there is to be gained, working as a porter. I’m speaking on this based on being a porter” (Porter 13).

3.21 One porter distinguished between the value of an NVQ and another type of vocational qualification that he felt would have worth: “If you said to me there’s a national diploma for portering, I’d do it tomorrow. Because then I could take that certificate and say to another hospital, you know, I’m going to apply for a job here” (Porter 14). A few porters said that if they applied for another job, then they would simply ask the HPs for a reference. Porters also had practical reasons for resisting studying for a qualification. One said that the work can leave the porter feeling, “physically and mentally exhausted. All I want to do is go home and prepare myself for the next one” (Porter 13). Incorporating the physical demands of the job and the 24/7 nature of the work for shift workers, can impinge on people’s desire to learn. This creates a tension in the balance between the needs of the Trust and the aspirations of the individuals. For instance, when asked whether the Trust encourages people to learn, one porter replied that:

“If you want to learn you will. If you don’t, you don’t. There are some courses advertised in our room, but not many people apply for them. So it’s all a matter of hours of work. Some people who do like regular shifts, they have to have a permission to go for classes and sometimes when it’s busy it’s not too… easy, let’s say” (Porter 1).

3.22 The observation was also made that, “some porters come in and think, ‘I’m just gonna do portering and that’s it’. Well, that’s up to them” (Porter 10).

3.23 While there seemed to be few opportunities for porters to progress within the hospital system, there are instances where porters felt they wanted to move on to other departments and believe that they are able to do so. Two porters both stated that they saw portering as a gateway to improved
careers in the hospital. Another recalled how one ex-porter became an auxiliary nurse in the Emergency Department, although it is rare for this to happen. When it comes to training individuals for more senior roles, again there is a balance between individual interest and the needs of the service. If a person is deemed suitable, for instance, for a supervisory role, then they will first be allowed to sit in with a supervisor: “There is a supervisory course, as well, that we would send them on. But we do the practical first, see how they get on, see if they like it, see if they can take the oppression!” (Key Informant1). While ‘oppression’ seems like an overly strong word, it perhaps goes some way to explaining the pressures faced by the supervisors. Supervisors also require different skills to general porters as the majority of their consists of telephone interactions, logging calls onto the computer and allocating jobs to the porters, yet they still have to be capable of taking responsibility for decision-making and undertaking general portering duties.

3.24 Porters receive certificates for courses they complete and these are generally held on their work files, but tend not to be displayed in the Department.

**Porters as learners and teachers**

3.25 While no formalised mentoring scheme is currently in place, initial training for new recruits will often see them shadowing a more experienced team member and many regard this as the best way to learn. One porter, however, stated that, although new porters are supposed to accompany an experienced member of staff, often, “they stick them with the normal, run of the mill porters; it sounds a bit daft but they stick them with any porters” (Porter 2). Experienced porters who are new to a department, such as Radiology, will initially be placed with a regular porter in order to learn the specific requirements of that department.
3.26 Many porters take pride in the knowledge and expertise they have in changing the oxygen and gas cylinders. Some were critical that nurses were not trained in the basics of gas bottle changes and their correct usage. As a result, the porters tended to be watchful of nurses’ practice and often acted as informal teachers to those nurses who were not fully competent. This porter’s description of one encounter was not unusual:

“the patient is wearing the mask and your oxygen is not yet on, the valve should be on, I said, so turn it anti-clockwise... the valve should be, you have to turn it anti-clockwise to open the valve and so that the air will pass through” (Porter 20).

3.27 Likewise, one porter reported how a nurse believed she had switched the valve on, but had failed to remove the safety tag (Porter 18). The porters we interviewed were well aware of the safety issues surrounding the use of gas bottles and received formal training in this task. Some would like the chance to extend their knowledge by visiting the gas company’s worksite to “learn from them” (Porter 2). Some porters were also concerned about the quality of nurse training, particularly more recently qualified nurses, in moving patients across beds and trolleys. It was felt that patient handling was an area where shared training between nurses and porters would be highly beneficial. One porter commented: “If you’re doing things like transfer, maybe put the porters and the nurses together on a course so they can understand our role in it and we understand theirs a bit” (Porter 8). The need for porters and nurses to work together was often expressed in the interviews, and is reflected in this comment: “It’s not just like, ‘oh, there’s the porters; that’s nurses’. If we worked as a team it would be a lot easier” (Porter 17). Training for patient transfers is important, otherwise if the nurses are not fully trained then “it’s the patient who suffers; the ‘be all and the end all’ is not to make the patient suffer” (Porter 8).

3.28 Some porters clearly take an interest in the wider workings of the hospital. They are self-directed in their learning and have gained a lot of knowledge
Inter- and intra-departmental communication and respect

3.31 Another key theme to emerge from the porter interviews was the perception that the nature of their job and the contribution they make to the smooth running of the hospital and the patient experience are underestimated and under-valued. Many of the porters felt that a lack of
understanding exists in the hospital, particularly on the part of ward staff, in terms of perceptions about what the porters do and their position within the hospital. One porter felt that portering is held in low regard within the hospital and he considered that feeding back his observations would not be well-received as, “it doesn’t really matter what I say. Probably say this, coming from a porter…! They won’t take it gladly” (Porter 13).

3.32 On the topic of how the portering department is perceived within the Trust, one porter commented that:

“It’s not as bad as when I first started but say NHS hierarchy, you start off with your surgeons at the top and the porters are at the bottom. But I know if the porters weren’t there, I know personally that a lot of the jobs wouldn’t get done, so it’s just changing other people’s perceptions, not mine, but it’s other people’s” (Porter 10).

3.33 Weaknesses in interdepartmental communication are highly problematic for porters, yet many think the situation could easily be remedied:

“When we are told to go and get the patient, when we go to the ward the patient is not ready and the nurses say ‘no, you are supposed to be at quarter to twelve’ and we are half an hour early, you know at quarter past. So radiology are supposed to, they should tell them to get the patient ready, so when we go up there won’t be any delay … And they should know that we are coming” (Porter 15).

3.34 A phone call from the department to the ward would help to ensure patients are ready before porters are despatched, but as one of the Management Team explained, “Theatres are supposed to phone a ward first before anyone goes up to tell ‘em they’re coming up for this patient. They swear they do, but I’m sure they don’t in all cases” (Key Informant 1).
Delays in one ward can then impact on further tasks:

“When we go up to wards; they say that they are ready and they are not, and we are delayed for about 10, 15 minutes... Otherwise, when you go to the next patient, they say “Oh you should have been in here five minutes ago, or 10 minutes ago”, especially if it’s a time job as well” (Porter 9).

3.35 The porters inform the supervisors of the delays, who log them onto the system. The results are then reviewed by the PM. It is the work of the supervisors to try and prioritise jobs and ensure work times are adhered to. The problem was described as:

“If someone phones up to get a patient moved and they’ve got nobody to do it, then generally they [the Supervisor] say they’ll do it as soon as they can and the customer will be happy with that, but it doesn’t work like that. They want to know when it’s going to be done, and you can’t put a time on it. If it was a fairly routine job, well routine to us, you tell somebody that we’ll have somebody free in ten minutes and in ten minutes, if Intensive Care phones up, or A&E patient to be moved, you may have to go first prioritise as well. And then our first customer gets a bit upset by that” (Key Informant 1)

3.36 Portering is seen as a service provided to clinical staff and to departments and wards across the hospital. When the service is seen to have fallen short of expectations, staff in those departments may well complain either directly to the porters or to the porter supervisors or managers. However, the explanation for the perceived unsatisfactory service is often complex and the result of pressures occurring at various points in the system and which are often not within the control of the porters. So, for example, although as this manager observes: “The relationship between porters and nurses could be better” (Key Informant 1), the factors affecting the
relationship need to be recognised. The following comment from another manager helps explain the competing pressures that exist between departments:

“When you come down on the wards, on the wards level, they are as busy as the porters are; they’re as short staffed as the porters and that’s where you get the frustrations building up. The frustrations are taken out in the phone calls, the abruptness of the phone calls, both ways, etc., but how you deal with that, I don’t know, you know. So there is a problem but you can’t blame that person, they’re in that predicament same as we are. This causes delays. Our biggest problem is ward delays. You go to get a patient – patient isn’t ready. We’re probably up to 8 to 10 thousand delays per year. We actually record 3,000 just in the pool system last year” (Key Informant 6)

3.37 The poor understanding that other departments have of porters’ workload was also explained as being due to:

“In the past I used to have groups of nurses come in and used to sit them down and talk about porters, specimens and post, and refuse and that. That doesn’t happen any more ....Now whether that’s because of the time, I don’t know” (Key Informant 6)

3.38 The interview evidence also suggests that communication within the portering department could be improved, particularly with regard to the provision of positive feedback when it is merited. One porter said: “…more involvement with management sometimes, you know? Let you know that ... you are doing a good job sometimes and things like that, ‘cos sometimes you don’t really know ... You are not always given the information and everything” (Porter 9). Support and appreciation are important to the porters and some commented that they felt there was a lack of feedback from the management and staff elsewhere in the Trust:
“Well, I have to say we basically survive on rumours and the grapevine, really, what we do know; as I said, we don’t get a helluva lot of feedback. All we’re told is we’re looking to move forward; I think that’s the line you get all the time” (Management 2)

3.39 Some porters commented on the helpfulness of the portering management team in settling them into the role:

“If something is wrong they won’t just tell you off but they will tell you this was supposed to be done like that and if you need a help just call us, you know” (Porter 15).

3.40 The supervisors were mentioned a number of times in respect of showing empathy to porters over specific duties. Although the view is, “You can’t refuse a job” (Key Informant 3), supervisors were aware of different porters’ circumstances, particularly where mortuary duties are concerned and accordingly do try and accommodate the porters’ needs when it is possible to do so.

3.41 One of the portering management team reported that he has tried to get more people involved by, for example, asking them to comment on rosters, but that there had been a poor response. There is a monthly opportunity for porters to take part in the ‘team brief’, but the response had been poor:

“Basically, it’s the supervisors, the head porter and portering manager, but we always say anybody can come along if they want to. But a lot of them, they don’t bother; they’re not that interested” (Key Informant 3).

3.42 In order to attend the monthly briefs, though, porters require the permission of the HPs, and current workloads and staffing levels can impact on whether they are able to attend, as do the porters’ shift patterns.
Section 4: Key Points from the Findings

4.1 In this section, we draw out the key points that have emerged from the findings from both the interviews and the learning logs. Overall, our analysis of the learning logs (completed by eight porters) indicates that the data is broadly consistent with the porter interviews. The collection of data via the learning logs has augmented the interview evidence as the log provides a different and complementary way of eliciting data. Rather than being invited to respond to semi-structured questions in a one-off, face-to-face encounter, the logs invite respondents to respond in private to a structured instrument once a week over a period of four weeks. Consequently, the logs have enabled the researchers to see how the accounts porters gave in the interviews were reflected in their weekly working lives. The data also reveal that the day-to-day events and experiences at work can make important differences to individuals’ sense of worth, job satisfaction, and awareness of the skills they are practising and developing, for example, by working with other porters as well as colleagues across the hospital.

Key Finding 1: The link between workplace relationships, job satisfaction and workplace learning

4.2 There is strong evidence to indicate a correlation between the nature of social relations at work, porters’ job satisfaction and workplace learning. The interview accounts and the comments in the learning logs create a narrative which links together a) the way staff treat each other and communicate, b) the way staff feel about their own contribution and that of others, and c) their opportunities for and experience of workplace learning. These comments are indicative:

“As in other weeks it is the professional staff and some of the porters who help by, for example, holding lifts so that I/we can
enter the lifts. This camaderie [sic] and being appreciated gives that confidence that I can't be doing too badly” (Porter 5 – Log 1)

“I just feel more confident and satisfied with my work and communication skills. This has given me more pleasure in doing the job I do and love and the thanks from patients and relatives that I receive” (Log 3)

Key Finding 2: Learning at work

4.3 Overall, the evidence suggests that porters are learning informally through experience and through the teaching and learning that takes place between colleagues on-the-job, as well as more formally by participating in the mandatory training provision. In addition to learning from other staff, including from other departments, the logs revealed that the opportunity to work in different areas of the hospital with different colleagues and different tools and equipment provided the opportunity for new learning:

“This week has given me a chance to work with new colleagues and learn their way of doing things. Friendlier work atmosphere made a rather nice change to the usual stressful environment” (Porter 13 – Log 4)

4.4 The log invited respondents to report any new learning they felt had occurred during the week. Overall, porters’ acquisition of new skills appeared to benefit from changes to their routines where they were stimulated to learn by the change of team or activity. On the other hand, there were benefits associated with gaining experience in one area, such as Radiology or Theatres, which helped porters become familiar with all aspects of that department’s work.

4.5 As discussed in the Section 3, there are weaknesses in the delivery of the current mandatory training including:
• The timing of porters training in relation to their induction and experience
• The matching of the mode of delivery, particularly the use of computer-based packages for practical skills training, with the focus of the training
• The limited capacity of the department to make staff available for off-the-training in a busy 24/7 service department
• The scope and content of what is covered in the mandatory training.

4.6 It is worth noting that virtually all interviewees reported that they were unaware of the existence of Individual Learning Accounts [ILA], despite porters being listed as “colleagues [who] have used an ILA towards learning” (IDEAL flyer for ILAs).

Key Finding 3: Inter-departmental training

4.7 There is some overlap between the work of porters and that of nurses, which means that the two departments are routinely required to interact with each other. There are, therefore, areas in which shared training would benefit both porters and nurses and could have positive effects on patient care. Porters often expressed the view that they felt as though they were seen as inferior by many of the medical staff. Trainers would need to be sensitive to this and recognise the work the porters do and their existing skills in order to create the conditions where nurses and porters are participating in joint training as equals.

---

7 ILAs are courses available person to workers in Bands 1-4 who do not have registered or professional qualifications and for which funding is provided. Funding is currently up to £300 per (IDEAL information leaflet: http://www.suht.nhs.uk/ Media/suhtideal/WHCTs/ILACriteria2009.pdf - Accessed 5 November 2009)
**Key Finding 4: Learning the layout**

4.8 The hospital covers a large geographical area. As such, one of the biggest challenges facing new porters and agency staff alike lies in finding their way around the hospital. It can take weeks or even months for porters to familiarise themselves with the layout and this lack of knowledge can result in possible delays. It also means new staff are not given certain tasks as they are unlikely to find the locations and this places additional burdens on their experienced colleagues. The creation of a hospital ‘map’ or ward/department locator list would go some way to solving these problems.

**Key Finding 5: Giving feedback to the porters**

4.9 The lack of positive feedback received by porters was felt to be undermining staff morale and was closely linked to the low status many porters felt they have in the hospital. Many porters interviewed expressed the view that they would appreciate departmental and senior hospital management feeding back any positive comments received, in addition to being thanked for work they had carried out. This would be relatively straightforward to put into practice and, as well as raising morale, would assist in engendering a broader hospital culture of respect. Intra-departmental communication could also be improved.

4.10 The way work is currently organised in to general ‘on the floor’ portering duties and management roles has generated a clear division of labour between porters and managers which can allow gaps to occur. While monthly departmental meetings are held, the practical aspects of the work often prevent porters from attending them or at least makes it difficult for them to attend. Moreover, some porters feel unable or unwilling to put forward their views, believing they would not be taken seriously.
**Issue of ‘ownership’ and belonging**

4.11 It is clear from the findings that there is confusion about which team porters can be said to belong to. This is important as team identity has some relation to the quality of learning experiences. As one interviewee commented, porters are often placed:

“.. *in the lone-worker role ... You know their service isn’t based within another service, I think portering is their team. I think that’s probably broken down even further in terms of, I think you’ll find, that the X-Ray porters will see themselves as a team with X-Ray porters, theatres will see themselves as a team, and you know the pool porters will see themselves as a team. They don’t naturally fit into a team anywhere else, which is an uncomfortable thing, I think, to admit, you know, but because you get a different porter every time you phone for a porter, the wards don’t encompass the porters as part of their team, because you know we could see any one of 57 different people, whatever the number is!*” (Key Informant 7).

4.12 Yet, despite the view expressed here that, “They don’t naturally fit into a team“, the porters we interviewed appeared to feel more engaged when they felt included, albeit in more than one team. We would conclude, therefore, that porters would be happy to be identified with more than one team so long as their work and skills are valued by whichever team claims to ‘own’ them.
Section 5: Analysis of Portering Task Forms

5.1 The following section examines the documents used to give porters information on their tasks within the hospital. Although this analysis was not originally included in the study methods, it became apparent in the interviews that the task forms are an integral aspect of the porters’ day-to-day work. Examining how the forms are used and the issues that arise, provided the research team with another window into the working and learning experiences of the porters. It helped to generate a more complete picture of their workplace environment. Four examples have been examined, including: the forms used by theatres, radiology, blood product transportation; and a print out of the information received in the pool room by the porter supervisors. Each form was assessed individually and the conclusions are given at the end of the section. Identifiable details have been removed by the research team to protect staff and patient confidentiality.
Example A: Theatre Patient Request Slip

Observations:
The slip is clearly headed ‘Theatre Patient Request Slip’. Of the two completed tickets reviewed, all sections in the top half were completed with the exception of the surgeon’s name. The method of transport and involvement of porters was ticked in both examples (Trolley (2 porters). The slip format is clearly laid out, appears easy to read and would take moments to complete. The slip requires details to be written by hand, rather than created electronically.

The Theatre/Ward sections give the porter the details of where to find the patient. The personal details and registration number allow the porter to match up the patient with the slip. There are four checks to ensure the correct patient is identified and these are presented here as they occur on the form: Surname; Forename; Date of Birth or Age; and Registration number. However, the placing of the family name
before the first name is at odds with comments in the porter interviews, as it
seems confusion can occur (and has done) when people give names over the
radio or telephone in this order (last name/first name), with the possibility that
time is wasted looking for a patient by the wrong name. The use of the unique
registration number should assist the porter in this respect and can be the most
important check if there are two patients with the same or similar names.

The lower section, ‘Method of Transport’, gives the porter the information
necessary to move the patient and whether one or two porters are required. No
signature is required by either the person completing the form or by the porter.

**Example B: Blood Request Form** (from photocopy of original)

**Observations**
In the example given, it is
difficult to read the ward
reference, but all sections
have been completed and
someone has signed the
form. The form is clearly
labelled and again requires
instructions to be completed
by hand. However, in
contrast to the above Theatre
Request Slip, the patient’s
name is written with the first
name followed by the family
name, which is the preferred
method for the porters.

What appears to be the
unique patient reference
number is written here as

**BLOOD REQUEST FORM**

WARD  

PATIENT’S NAME:  

HOSPITAL NO :  

DATE OF BIRTH:  

DATE & TIME :  

RECEIVED BY :  

flimsy signed
‘Hospital No.’, compared to ‘Registration number’ on the ‘Theatre Patient Request Slip’. Although a simple difference, the porter would therefore be expected to learn to recognise the number, which then makes the section title irrelevant.

**Example C: Porter task sheet** (from photocopy of original)

**Observations**

This is the printed copy of the information supervisors have onscreen. This is not generally given to the porters, but instead forms the basis for what instructions the porters receive, communicated either verbally (in person or via the radio system) or the details are written on a slip of paper and handed to the porter.

A name is given, but it is not clear whose name this refers to. Presumably it is the patient’s name. If so, the first name is given as an initial followed by the family name. Again, this is at odds with the stated
preferences of the porters interviewed who tend to use both first and family names in that order. The (patient’s) name is followed by two numbers, which appear to be the patient’s registration number and date of birth, although again it is not made clear. Unlike the previous two slips, not all of the sections are clearly labelled. There is a signature, but it is unclear who is required to sign or why, although porters do receive specific training on the collection and delivery of blood products and so will presumably be familiar with the requirements of the forms.

Example D: Radiology ticket

Observations
Although this ticket has no heading (e.g. ‘Radiology Patient Request Slip’), this example from the Radiology Department appears to be the most clearly set out of the porter task sheets. It is electronically printed rather than hand written, each item is clearly labelled and the requirements are easy to read. Once again, the patient’s name is written in the surname/forename format and there is no patient identification number, unlike on the other slips.

The mode of transport required is mentioned, as is the patient’s oxygen requirements.

| Ward:     | RDCU          |
| Time:     | 10:50         |
| Room:     | 15            |
| Inf:      |               |
| Surname:  | [Redacted]    |
| Forename: | [Redacted]    |
| Transport:| Bed           |
| Exam:     | ANGIO         |
| Card:     | CID           |
| Oxygen:   | No            |
| Notes:    | Yes           |
| Xrays:    | Yes           |
| Escort:   | No            |
| Ext:      | 8133          |
Conclusions

5.2 The format of the task forms differs according to the department that issued them. The lack of standardisation within and between hospital wards and departments can have adverse effects. For example, it was mentioned in two of the portering interviews that confusion can and has occurred when names are given in the wrong order or someone believes the first name to be the family name. The forms contained in this analysis may unwittingly contribute to instances of miscommunication.

5.3 There are further inconsistencies in the information contained within the forms. The Theatre Patient Request Slip (Example A) and Radiology ticket (Example D) both detailed the mode of transport required for the patient and the number of porters required. Extra patient information such as a patient identification number was given in examples A, B and C, but was absent from D. The labelling differs across the forms; for example what appears to be a patient hospital identification number is referred to as ‘Registration Number’ (in Example A), ‘Hospital Number’ (in Example B) and, in Example C, a number is given with no label.

5.4 Not all tasks are allocated using written/printed instructions and porters will also be given jobs via the phone, radio or, if working in the Emergency Department, the VOCERA internal mobile phone system may also be used.

5.5 Experienced porters may be used to dealing with the differences between the forms issued by various departments and understand what and who they are looking for. However, a single and clearly labelled task slip might be a helpful development for all porters and particularly for agency staff and new recruits. There is also variation in the way forms are generated - some are completed by hand, whilst others are mostly completed electronically. We understand from the portering department that the way that porters receive their instructions is being reviewed as more standardisation would be beneficial.
Section 6: Situating the Interviews in the ‘Expansive/Restrictive Framework’

6.1 The following section considers the findings of the portering study in light of key components of workplace learning. The ‘Expansive/Restrictive Framework’ has been adapted for the purposes of this study in order to develop insights into the learning experiences of porters within the Trust and the nature of their workplace learning environment. As figure 2 (below) shows, the framework identifies a range of organisational and pedagogical features which can be differentiated in terms of their expansive or restrictive character along a continuum. Each feature has been allocated a code reference, which can be explored in relation to the findings from the study.
Figure 2: The Expansive - Restrictive Framework (adapted for the portering study from Fuller and Unwin, 2004)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXPANSIVE</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>RESTRICTIVE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Workplace is used as a vehicle for aligning the goals of developing the individual and organisational capability</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>Workplace is used to tailor individual capability to organisational need</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porter and Trust share a broader vision: progression for career</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>Vision for porters is static for the job; Trust does not possess or encourage a broader vision of portering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porter has dual status as learner and employee: explicit recognition of, and support for, porter’s status as learner</td>
<td>C3</td>
<td>Porter’s status as employee dominates: status as learner restricted to minimum required to meet job roles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porter makes a gradual transition to productive worker and expertise in occupational field</td>
<td>C4</td>
<td>Fast transition to productive worker with limited knowledge of occupational field; or existing, already productive, minimal worker development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porter is treated as a member of an occupational and workplace community with access to the community’s rules, history, knowledge and practical expertise</td>
<td>C5</td>
<td>Porter treated as extra pair of hands who only needs access to limited knowledge and skills to perform job</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porter participates in different communities of practice inside and outside the workplace</td>
<td>C6</td>
<td>Porter’s participation restricted to narrowly defined job role and work station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualifications develop knowledge for progression to next level and platform for further education</td>
<td>C7</td>
<td>Qualifications accredit limited range of on-the-job competences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porter has planned time off-the-job for study and to gain wider perspective</td>
<td>C8</td>
<td>Off-the-job simply minor extension of on-the-job</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porter’s existing skills and knowledge recognised and valued and used as platform for new learning</td>
<td>C9</td>
<td>Porter is regarded as ‘blank sheet’ or ‘empty vessel’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porter’s progress closely monitored and involves regular constructive feedback from range of employer and provider personnel who take a holistic approach</td>
<td>C10</td>
<td>Porter’s progress monitored for job performance with limited feedback – provider involvement restricted to formal assessments for qualifications unrelated to job performance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Applying the Framework

**C1 (Alignment of organisational and individual development):**

6.2 The evidence suggests that a more restrictive approach applies as there is little attempt to think through how the development of porters could facilitate organisational development and performance, as well as enhance the job satisfaction, status and career prospects of individuals. Some porters perceived that their knowledge and skills were an under-utilised and under-recognised resource that could be built on to support and mentor colleagues and to develop the porter role and the contribution it makes to achieving organisational goals. ‘Getting the job done’ seemed to be the key and rather limited narrative characterising the portering function.

**C2 (Post-induction training vision):**

6.3 There seems to be no broader, expansive organisational vision for post-induction training beyond the training required to ensure porters have the minimum skills necessary to perform the job. The mandatory training provides some opportunity for porters to learn a range of skills, for example, associated with first aid, resuscitation and fire safety as well as the tasks that are “focused on the job” (Porter 9).

6.4 The research found evidence that some porters are interested in developing their careers beyond the portering work they currently undertake. This includes moving to a different team in order to understand the broader workings of the hospital, and undergoing training to improve long-term prospects. In contrast, some porters do not regard learning beyond the requirements for the job as necessary.

**C3 (Porters’ learning status):**

6.5 The restrictive approach currently applies to the porters’ learning status. From an institutional perspective, porters do not have a dual identity status as learners and workers as would be the case, for example, with
healthcare professionals who are expected to engage in ‘lifelong learning’ and continuous development. There is a tension between the focus on porters learning enough to carry out their function and going beyond this to add value to the role and enhance the quality of the service to patients and the hospital more generally. Some individual porters are keen to learn and put themselves out to do so. They see learning as an important dimension to their work practice and, as a result, take personal responsibility for expanding their knowledge and developing their workplace capability, but there is little or no institutional recognition of this.

6.6 Our evidence suggests that there is a considerable degree of horizontal learning which occurs between colleagues in the course of daily work activities. So although porters do not have a dual status as learner/worker recognised by the organisation, learning through working is understood by many of the porters themselves to be highly relevant to their ability to perform their duties. The development of a more expansive approach to workforce development would be one which recognised porters’ workplace learning and created an environment better designed to support and encourage it.

**C4 (Pace and nature of transition to productive worker):**

6.7 New porters are expected to achieve a fast transition to productive worker. There is some evidence that many of the porters have knowledge and skills beyond the needs of the job and many porters bring with them relevant attributes, such as good people skills. However, few opportunities exist to develop these skills further and there is little reward or recognition for those porters who demonstrate wider awareness of how the porters can enhance the service provided to patients, the smooth running of patient movements round the hospital and the allied nursing role they also play. There seems then to be scope to improve the quality of support for the transition from new to productive porter. In addition, there may also be scope to build on the
notion of productive worker to recognise those who are in effect already operating as ‘advanced practitioners’, and to enable others, who have the capability, to make this further transition.

**C5 (Involvement in the occupational and workplace community):**

6.8 Some elements of the expansive approach are apparent, such as the opportunity for porters to participate in the monthly staff meetings, but the existing environment, including the practical barriers of shift work means it is difficult for many to attend such meetings. Beyond the porters’ own department there is scope to develop a more expansive approach to worker involvement in the workplace community for the benefit of individual and organisational performance. As the analysis of the empirical data has indicated, the work of porters and nurses often overlaps, yet in terms of training in areas where they work closely together, the two fields remain divided. For example, regulations state that porters should not perform certain roles such as moving equipment (other than changing gas bottles). In reality they often do, in agreement with the nurses, due to the pressures on nursing staff. The evidence suggests that both groups could benefit from shared training on patient handling. Porters often reported instances of nurses failing to appreciate patients’ needs during movements. They also felt unable to assist fully in certain techniques such as ‘log rolls’ because of their lack of training.

6.9 Porters’ general perception is that they are not appreciated and that their work is not well understood by other colleagues. Key informants agreed with the porters’ view. The development of a strong and integrated community of practice within the hospital is inhibited by this lack of awareness.

6.10 The logistical challenge of minimising delays associated with patient movements, either in terms of patients not being ready for collection or patients being ready and having to wait for porters, indicates that there is
room to improve the capacity of departments to work together and, in so
doing, create more expansive workplace learning environments for
porters (and other staff). This would be facilitated by the identification
and agreement across departments of shared organisational goals.

C6 (Access to broader communities of practice):

6.11 Despite the need for porters to work throughout the hospital and their
resultant interaction with a range of departments, the more restrictive
approach largely applies. The training porters receive is aimed mainly at
their specific workplace activities, although some training such as
resuscitation and fire safety are more general and may introduce porters
to staff from other departments.

6.12 The porters do not have any interactions with porters in other hospitals
and so there is little official opportunity for sharing of knowledge and
working practices outside their particular workplace. Creating the
opportunity for such cross-fertilisation of ideas and experience may
assist the portering department in improving certain aspects of their own
operations and expand the capacity of the workforce.

C7 (Qualifications, work and progression):

6.13 There are no qualifications for portering nor are qualifications needed to
begin work. Nevertheless, applicants’ previous work experiences are
taken into account when recruiting; for example, customer experience is
beneficial in dealing with patients and ward staff. Porters did not
welcome a restrictive approach which associates qualifications with the
accreditation of existing, or a limited range of, competences. They were
wary of NVQs, which they perceived as representing the restrictive
approach and, therefore, as having limited portability and worth. There
may be scope for introducing a qualification that has clear benefits in
terms of helping the porters to develop new knowledge and skills that is
relevant to their work as well as providing a platform for career
progression.
C8 (Allocation of time for off-the-job learning):
6.14 Planned time off-the-job for training and to gain a wider perspective is not generally available to porters, although there were occasional instances cited of where porters had been given the opportunity to pursue training that was not immediately connected to their portering role. In addition, there was an opportunity to participate in a one day off-the-job course relating to the handling and changing of gas bottles. The evidence indicates, therefore, a restricted approach for this feature.

C9 (Value given to existing skills):
6.15 From an expansive perspective, and as was noted above under C4, existing skills are valued in the portering recruitment process. On the other hand, the opportunity for porters to have their ‘advanced’ interpersonal skills recognised more formally through the pay and banding structure, appears to be limited.

C10 (Provision of support and feedback):
6.16 The portering department operates a system of annual staff appraisals, conducted by the HPs, although it is not clear that all porters receive an appraisal every year. The appraisals provide the opportunity for staff to feed back their views and experiences and receive comments on their work. There was some evidence that porters occasionally received feedback, for example by email, from other departments commenting on their work. Overall, the perception was that feedback in the form of complaints (often about matters outside the porters’ control) was more likely to be forthcoming than appreciation of good performance. This can be seen as indicative of the wider organisational (mis-)perception of portering as a ‘low level’ and low-skill role.

6.17 There appear to be few opportunities then, for porters to have their initiative and enthusiasm officially recognised. However, some porters mentioned the support they had received in response to requests to
change their shifts. In this respect, the HPs and supervisors received many positive comments for recognising where porters needed their shifts arranged to coincide with family commitments.
Section 7: Conclusions and Recommendations

7.1 This study has examined ‘portering’, an area of NHS work that is key to the success of an efficient hospital and the delivery of good healthcare and yet has tended to have a generally low status. It is clear from our research that patient wellbeing is at the heart of the porters’ work. The following key conclusions can now be drawn:

i. Porters perceive their role to be under-valued and poorly understood within the Trust.

ii. Social relations form an integral and crucial dimension of portering, but the development of inter-personal skills is under-played in the mandatory training porters receive.

iii. Porter training focuses in the main on the practical skills required to ‘get the job done’, as well as on meeting mandatory health and safety requirements. The appropriateness of delivering this type of training via computer-based training packages was questioned.

iv. Finding ways to offer access to off-the-job training is challenging given the porters’ job design and employment conditions. Given, however, the evidence of latent demand from porters to improve their skills and qualifications, ways should be found to combine both on and off-the-job opportunities for learning.

v. Porters value the opportunity to learn from each other during the course of their daily activities. There was strong evidence that porters help and support each other to learn in the workplace. Some experienced porters are regularly acting as teachers at work including to nurses as well as their porter peers.

vi. Porters regularly interact and work with nurses and clinicians. Overlaps or ‘grey areas’ exist between the responsibilities and tasks of the different groups, creating opportunities for co-participation in training.

vii. There are limited opportunities for porters to contribute their views (and to be heard) about the development of their role and contribution to
organisational goals. This is part of a wider problem relating to the tangential location of the portering department in the hospital structure. ‘Who owns the porters?’ is a question that needs to be considered in order to help anchor their role more firmly in the structure.

viii. The categorisation of portering as a Band 1 job does not allow for differentiation between the performance of individual porters, or to recognise those porters who can be conceived as performing as ‘advanced practitioners’. The inflexibility of the banding system, therefore, restricts opportunities for career progression within the portering function.

ix. Application of the expansive – restrictive framework has identified a number of key areas for management to consider in reviewing and developing the workplace as a learning environment.

x. The analysis shows that the contribution of the porters, particularly those who are highly experienced and effective, needs to be recognised, and ways found to develop their and capitalise on their expertise.

xi. The research suggests that a more holistic concept of the portering function and expansive vision of the porters’ role would make a more positive contribution to the achievement of organisational goals.

7.2 The study has highlighted the dedication of staff working within the Trust’s Portering Department. They care deeply about their work and how they perform it. The porters place the patients at the centre of their work and many show aspects of job performance that go beyond the expectations or perception that may be held of such a worker within the Trust. The opportunity exists to involve the porters more meaningfully in the evaluation of their work and design of their role. Making greater use of the knowledge and ethical practices embedded within the daily work of the porters would assist greatly in elevating their perceived status and would be to the benefit of everyone involved, not least the patients.
Recommendations

7.3 The following recommendations are provided as a way to create a more expansive workplace learning environment:

- Review the role porters play in the hospital in order to create a new vision which recognises their critical contribution to the hospital’s efficiency and which offers more opportunity for them to be involved in thinking about how the work process can be continuously improved; not least to enhance the general well-being and satisfaction of patients and their relatives/friends.

- Create the role of Advanced Practitioner to recognise and differentiate higher levels of expertise and experience and the ability to teach and mentor colleagues – particularly in relation to interpersonal skills.

- Introduce a mentoring system to help with the integration of the formal (and mandatory) training and the learning that occurs as part of everyday workplace activity. The role of mentor would also provide a new role for experienced porters to aspire to.

- Create a hospital ‘map’ (including a ward/department locator list) for all new recruits and agency workers. This should be done with the involvement of porters who already have examples than could be drawn on. As the Trust has undergone a recent building expansion programme, the list should be held by the Head Porters/Portering Manager who would be in charge of ensuring the map is regularly updated.
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APPENDIX 1: COMPARISON WITH THE NATIONAL NHS STAFF SURVEY 2008

This appendix provides an analysis of aspects of the most recent National NHS Staff Survey. The comparison is not meant to be a complete picture, but focuses on findings that are relevant to our study and which provide useful contextual information. There are obvious difficulties in analysing and comparing the National Survey (NS) data with the research on portering due to the different objectives and methods employed. Importantly, the NS does not include a specific category for porters; they are incorporated into the broader ‘Maintenance/Ancillary’ group.

The items from the NS which are most pertinent to the porter study are listed below as Key Findings. The NS includes a range of questions which explore the extent to which staff are satisfied with various aspects of their work and the way in which they are managed and developed. For example, in relation to Key Finding (KF) 1 survey respondents were asked about the extent to which they feel satisfied with the quality of work and patient care they are able to deliver. The interview accounts provided by the porters provide an opportunity to explore their perceptions on this topic as reflected in the comments that accompany each KF statement. References to the ‘national average’ compare the Trust’s scores against those of all other Acute Trusts. The tables a) and b) below compare the Trust’s performance on these Key Findings with four University Trust Hospitals in the South of England that are seen as broadly comparable Trusts to SUHT. The tables give perspective to the Trust’s rankings for each of the Key Findings of the National NHS Staff Survey 2008. They also help to contextualise the findings of the porter study within wider findings about Trust staff’s perceptions.

KF1: ‘Feeling satisfied with the quality of work and patient care they are able to deliver’

The porters we spoke to reported on many occasions that patients were at the centre of their work and many believed that in performing their work they were
satisfied with their role in the care of patients. This is at odds with the Trust’s score, which was below that of the national average and the lowest of the five UHT whose data were reviewed.

**KF3: ‘Feeling valued by their work colleagues’**

The Trust scored well in this question in relation to the national average and was joint second in the UHT tables. The evidence from the porter study was mixed in this respect, with both positive and negative perceptions and experiences reported.

**KF8: ‘Trust commitment to work-life balance’**

While the SUHT score had deteriorated from the previous year and the Trust’s position in the tables was fifth, the majority of porters we spoke to reported generally good levels of support from their line managers in regard to their work-life balance. There were cases reported where shifts had been changed in order to accommodate a porter’s family needs.

**KF11: ‘Feeling there are good opportunities to develop their potential at work’**

The Trust’s score was in line with the national average in this respect, but was only fourth in the comparison tables. The views of the porters suggested that they perceived that few opportunities for career progression were available to them.

**KF12: ‘Receiving job-relevant training, learning or development in last 12 months’**

The Survey shows that the Trust had improved its performance since the previous year and that it was marginally above the national average. It was positioned joint third in the tables. The porter data suggested that the training was not always relevant to porters’ work, particularly in respect of the types of training they received and the medium through which it was taught.
KF13: ‘Appraised in last 12 months’

Here, the Trust was below the national average and was fifth in the relevant table, although it was noted that some improvement had been made over the previous year. It was not uncommon for the porters to state that there had been more than twelve months between appraisals and there were no mentions in the interview data of personal development plans.

KF16: ‘Support from immediate managers’

The support from line managers in the NS had decreased and the Trust was placed third in the relevant table. However, the porters we spoke to were generally happy with the levels of support they received, particularly from the Head Porters and Supervisors.

KF17: ‘Receiving health and safety training in last 12 months’

The Trust scored high on this aspect of training compared with the national average and came top in the tables. In terms of the porter data, some porters raised concerns that training for resuscitation might require them to take out personal liability insurance in case they caused injury while performing emergency treatment. It was mentioned that the porters had heard some nurses had already taken out insurance, but they felt that they would not be able to afford this work-related expense.

KF 20: ‘Availability of hand washing materials’

The Trust’s score was below the national average and last in the tables, although the score had improved since the previous year. The porters we spoke to reported that training for hand washing was not always appropriate. They were particularly concerned that the use of computer-based training was not a suitable method for delivering this sort of training.

KF21: ‘Witnessing potentially harmful errors, near misses or incidents in last month’

In the Survey, 40 per cent of Trust staff had witnessed errors, incidents or near misses, marginally higher than the national average. It was second in the tables.
The interviews with the porters suggested that there were some concerns about the correct use of gas bottles by nurses and the way patients were handled. The need for shared training in areas such as these was proposed.

**KF27: ‘Experiencing harassment, bullying or abuse from staff in last 12 months’**

Bullying and abuse from staff shows the Trust to be in the worst 20 per cent of Acute Trusts and placed the Trust fourth in our tables. Although bullying was raised in the porter data, there were few actual cases reported by the portering staff.

A further matter of related interest was contained in a report published by the Care Quality Commission (2009) which surveyed the views of hospital inpatients. While this report has no direct bearing on the portering study, it is worth noting the absence of patients’ views on their interactions with porters; the study only focuses on patient contact with nurses and doctors (pp. 8–9). This is interesting, given that porters have a great deal of patient interaction and that patient care was reported many times as being central to the work of the portering staff.

The following two tables set out the scores for the Key Findings for SUHT and four other University Trust Hospitals (UHT) in the South of England. The tables give perspective to the Trust’s rankings for relevant Key Findings from a select number of similar University Hospital Trusts included in the National NHS Staff Survey 2008, but are not intended to report the Trust’s performance within the whole of the UK. The tables allow comparisons to be made with other similar Trusts by reading across the rows on specific issues or by reading down the columns to see how the Trust fared.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Finding (KF)</th>
<th>Southampton University Hospitals NHS Trust</th>
<th>Brighton and Sussex University Hospitals NHS Trust</th>
<th>University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust</th>
<th>Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust</th>
<th>University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value in brackets is the position for each KF</td>
<td>54 (5)</td>
<td>60 (4)</td>
<td>62 (3)</td>
<td>66 (2)</td>
<td>67 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF1: ‘Feeling satisfied with the quality of work and patient care they are able to deliver’</td>
<td>79 (=2)</td>
<td>77 (4)</td>
<td>79 (=2)</td>
<td>89 (1)</td>
<td>75 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF3: ‘Feeling valued by their work colleagues’</td>
<td>3.27 (5)</td>
<td>3.36 (4)</td>
<td>3.43 (2)</td>
<td>3.5 (1)</td>
<td>3.39 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF8: ‘Trust commitment to work-life balance’</td>
<td>43 (4)</td>
<td>41 (5)</td>
<td>48 (2)</td>
<td>54 (1)</td>
<td>45 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF11: ‘Feeling there are good opportunities to develop their potential at work’</td>
<td>82 (=3)</td>
<td>81 (=3)</td>
<td>83 (2)</td>
<td>84 (1)</td>
<td>78 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF12: ‘Receiving job-relevant training, learning or development in last 12 months’</td>
<td>59 (5)</td>
<td>70 (3)</td>
<td>73 (1)</td>
<td>71 (2)</td>
<td>60 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF13: ‘Appraised in last 12 months’</td>
<td>59 (5)</td>
<td>70 (3)</td>
<td>73 (1)</td>
<td>71 (2)</td>
<td>60 (4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table a): Comparison of relevant Key Findings 1-13 of five University Hospitals Trusts in the South of England, taken from National NHS Staff Survey 2008

Note: In KF1-20, the **higher** the value the **better** the outcome. The first figure is the score each Trust received in the Survey, while the figure in brackets relates to the position in the tables where 1 is the highest and 5 is the lowest.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Finding</th>
<th>Southampton University Hospitals NHS Trust</th>
<th>Brighton and Sussex University Hospitals NHS Trust</th>
<th>University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust</th>
<th>Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust</th>
<th>University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KF16: ‘Support from immediate managers’</td>
<td>3.62 (3)</td>
<td>3.5 (4)</td>
<td>3.66 (2)</td>
<td>3.72 (1)</td>
<td>3.47 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF17: ‘Receiving health and safety training in last 12 months’</td>
<td>91 (1)</td>
<td>76 (3)</td>
<td>69 (4)</td>
<td>88 (2)</td>
<td>66 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF20: ‘Availability of hand washing materials’</td>
<td>4.53 (5)</td>
<td>4.64 (2)</td>
<td>4.58 (3)</td>
<td>4.66 (1)</td>
<td>4.57 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF21*: ‘Witnessing potentially harmful errors, near misses or incidents in last month’</td>
<td>42 (2)</td>
<td>43 (3)</td>
<td>44 (=4)</td>
<td>44 (=4)</td>
<td>40 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF27*: ‘Experiencing harassment, bullying or abuse from staff in last 12 months’</td>
<td>22 (4)</td>
<td>21 (3)</td>
<td>18 (1)</td>
<td>19 (2)</td>
<td>25 (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table b): Comparison of relevant Key Findings 16-27 of five University Hospitals Trusts in the South of England, taken from National NHS Staff Survey 2008

*Note: In KF21 and 27, the lower the first value the better the outcome. However, the position in brackets applies to the position in the tables where 1 is the highest and 5 is the lowest.
APPENDIX 2: DESCRIPTION OF FIELDWORK

Once ethical and research approvals were received, porters and members of the portering management team within the SUHT were invited to participate in interviews aimed at understanding the porters’ working and learning experiences. Interviews were conducted in June 2009 with a representative sample of the portering department. Representative sampling ensured that the demographics of the sample group matched the broader portering department in terms of age, gender, ethnicity and length of service (the portering department has many staff with long employment records with the Trust). In order to protect informant identities, all interviewees were allocated unique identity numbers, which only the research team have access to. All interviews were recorded and transcribed. The recordings and transcriptions are held on a secure University site accessible only by the research team. In transcribing the interviews, all names were allocated pseudonyms to protect their identity. In the writing of this report, individual identities have been further safeguarded by referring to the interviewees only by their position as Key Informant or Porter 1, 2, etc. In total, 30 interviews were conducted (20 porters and 10 key informants). Each interview lasted between 30 minutes and one and a half hours and was conducted within normal day-shift working times.

All of the porters interviewed in the study were subsequently invited to take part in the completion of four weekly learning logs in order that their working routines and experiences could be analysed. Thirteen porters initially agreed to complete the learning logs, of whom finally eight participated. We know that two of these individuals left their positions in this time and no logs were received from them.

Each porter’s logs were identifiable via the unique identity number printed on the front of each log; logs were therefore specific to each porter. If a replacement log was required, the porters were given instructions to contact a member of their management who would then contact the research team. All logs were sent in sealed envelopes addressed to the individual porters and so
no other person in the Trust or porter ing department would know the identity number.

A total of 23 logs were received: four porters completed all four weeks; one porter completed three; one completed two and two returned just one log. All eight completed the first log; six completed Log 2; five returned Log 3; and four completed the final log. The tailing off of responses in the latter weeks of the log completion suggests survey fatigue, although other possibilities may have impacted on the returns. Overall, the response rate for all returned logs based on the eight porters who took part on Log 1 was 72 percent, although this reduces to 44 percent if the total number of thirteen porters who originally agreed to take part are included. Given the two that left their posts, the first figure provides a better representation of the response rate.
APPENDIX 3: PAY BANDS AND PAY SCALES FOR THE PORTERING DEPARTMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band</th>
<th>Pay Range</th>
<th>Staff</th>
<th>No. of stages within Banding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>£13,233-13,944</td>
<td>Porters</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>£13,233-16,333</td>
<td>Supervisors</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>£15,190-18,157</td>
<td>None(^a)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>£17,732-21,318</td>
<td>Head Porters</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>£20,710-26,839</td>
<td>Portering Manager</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Band 3 is not currently used in the Portering Department.

Taken from ‘NHS Pay - agenda for change - pay rates: Pay Rates from April 1\(^{st}\) 2009’
APPENDIX 4: KEY INFORMANT FIELDWORK DOCUMENTS

Key Informant Letter of invitation to participate in the study

2 April 2009

Dear

Workplace Learning: creating an expansive learning environment in the Portering Department

Re. Invitation to participate in study

A research project conducted by the University of Southampton will explore workplace learning within the Southampton University Hospitals Trust, Portering Department. The purpose of the research is to provide a better understanding of the workplace as a learning environment and to provide recommendations for improvement (please see the enclosed information sheet for more information about the study).

In the first instance we would like to talk to managers such as yourself about the workplace learning opportunities that exist for porters and what you think are the key features of the working environment that are relevant to learning. The interviews will collect information about your perceptions including on formal and informal learning opportunities and your role in providing these and supporting staff. Information given to us in interviews will be treated as confidential. In the research reports, findings will be presented anonymously so that you cannot be identified from what you have said.
The interview will take up approximately 40 minutes to complete and can be conducted at a time and place that is convenient to you.

We really hope that you will be willing to engage with this research which will assist the Southampton University Hospital Trust in strengthening and developing its workplace learning environments. One of the team will phone your Department within a few days to see whether you would be willing to take part in our study and if so, to arrange a convenient time to meet with you.

Best wishes

(on behalf of the research team) Alison Fuller

Direct tel: +44 (0)23 8059 8864  
email:af@soton.ac.uk  
REC ref: 08/HO501/121    R&D number: RHM HOS0201
‘Participant Information Sheet’ – Key Informants

‘Learning at work’ in the Portering Department at Southampton University Hospitals Trust

We would like to invite you to take part in a research study. Before you decide you need to understand why the research is being done and what it would involve for you. Please take time to read the following information carefully. Talk to others about the study if you wish.

Ask us if there is anything that is not clear or if you would like more information. Take time to decide whether or not you wish to take part.

What is the purpose of the research?
A research project conducted by the University of Southampton will explore workplace learning within the Southampton University Hospitals Trust, Portering Department. The purpose of the research is to provide a better understanding of the workplace as a learning environment and to provide recommendations for improvement. The overall aim of the project is to support the Southampton University Hospital Trust’s workforce development vision by developing and sustaining learning environments that will facilitate employees’ job satisfaction and progression.

Why have I been chosen?
You have been chosen because you have management or supervisory responsibility and are involved in working with hospital porters at Southampton University Hospitals NHS Trust. We are looking for such employees to participate in a key informant interview to help us understand the explore the Trust’s approach to workforce development, training and career progression, and how it relates to the portering department.

Do I have to take part?
It is up to you to decide. We will describe the study and go through this information sheet. We will then ask you to sign a consent form to show you have agreed to take part. You are free to withdraw at any time, without giving a reason. If you withdraw from the
study, we will destroy all your identifiable information, but we will need to use the data collected up to your withdrawal.

What does the study involve?
Individual members of staff will be invited to participate in the study, but no-one will be obliged to take part. The interviews will collect information about employees’ perceptions of the workplace learning opportunities that may exist for porters. We will also conduct interviews with a representative sample of Porters (in terms of characteristics such as age, gender, ethnicity, length of service, existing qualifications) to gather their experiences of workplace learning and their perceptions on the extent to which the Portering Department provides an environment that is conducive to learning and skill-development. In addition, porters will be asked if they would like to volunteer to complete weekly ‘learning logs’ as a means of recording the quality of their ongoing workplace learning experiences.

What will participation involve for me?
If you decide to participate in an interview, you will be interviewed by a member of the research team for approximately 40 minutes. Before asking you any questions the interviewer will ensure that you understand the purpose of the study. You will be asked to sign a consent form to ensure that you are happy with the process, understand how your data will be processed and protected and that you are willing to proceed.

Who will see the information
All information which is collected from you during the course of the research will be kept strictly confidential and will be anonymised. The data will only be seen by members of the research team.

What will happen with the results of the research
The findings from the research will be written up in a report for SUHT. The researchers may also use the findings in articles they prepare for academic and professional journals. Any references to interviews in reports and articles will have personal, organisational and place names anonymised to ensure confidentiality.

If you have a question about any aspect of this study, you should ask to speak to the researchers who will do their best to answer your questions (023 80598864). If you remain unhappy and wish to complain formally, you can do this through the NHS
Complaints Procedure (or Private Institution). Details can be obtained from the hospital.

All research in the NHS is looked at by an independent group of people, called a Research Ethics Committee to protect your safety, rights, wellbeing and dignity. This study has been reviewed and given favourable opinion by Isle of Wight, Portsmouth and South East Hampshire Research Ethics Committee.

If you have any questions about this study, please contact:

Professor Alison Fuller, School of Education, University of Southampton,
Southampton SO17 1BJ
Tel: 023 80 598864, Email: A.Fuller@soton.ac.uk or

Anita Esser, Head of Wider Healthcare Teams Education, Integrated Directorate of Education and Learning, Southampton General Hospital, Tremona Road,
Southampton SO16 6YD
Tel: 023 80 794914, Email: anita.esser@suht.swest.nhs.uk
Participant Informed Consent Form: Managers

‘Workplace Learning: creating an expansive learning environment in the Portering Department’

Name of Researcher:

Please initial each box

1. I confirm that I have read and understand the information sheet dated 06/01/09 (Version 1) for the above study. I have had the opportunity to consider the information, ask questions and have had these answered satisfactorily.

2. I understand that my participation is voluntary and that I am free to withdraw at any time without giving any reason, without legal right being affected.

3. I understand that I will participate in research about learning at work and that if I am interviewed the interview will be audio-recorded for research purposes.

4. I have informed the researchers if I am participating in any other research study.

5. I understand that in any reference to my interview made in reports and articles and so on, personal, organisational and place names will be changed (anonymised) so that I, and any other individuals mentioned, cannot be identified. I also understand that fully anonymised verbatim quotations from my interview may be used in the report and subsequent publications.
6. I also understand that what I say will not be shared with other colleagues. (Please note that as required under the Data Protection Act (1998) your details will not be passed on to anyone else and data collected will be held securely.)

7. I understand that I may be contacted again during the project for clarification of points raised in the interview.

8. I agree to the possibility of the transcription of any interview being archived on the understanding that it will be totally anonymised such that nothing in it, such as organisation, place or individual names can be identified.

9. I understand that I will be sent a report on the project findings at the end of the project.

I have read and understood the above information and agree to participate in this research project on ‘Workplace Learning: creating an expansive learning environment in the Portering Department’ that is being conducted by a research team at the University of Southampton.

Name

Signature

Date

If you have any questions about this study, please contact:

Professor Alison Fuller, School of Education, University of Southampton, Southampton SO17 1BJ
Tel: 023 80 598864, Email: A.Fuller@soton.ac.uk or

Anita Esser, Head of Wider Healthcare Teams Education, Integrated Directorate of Education and Learning, Southampton General Hospital, Tremona Road, Southampton SO16 6YD
Tel: 023 80 794914, Email: anita.esser@suht.swest.nhs.uk
APPENDIX 5: KEY INFORMANT INTERVIEW SCHEDULE

‘Learning at work’ in the Portering Department at Southampton University Hospitals Trust

Key Informant Interview Schedule

Introductory comments

The interview is designed to give you the opportunity to tell us about your job role and your understanding of skills and workforce development issues at the Trust. We will explore your knowledge of these issues as they apply to porters but appreciate that, depending on your job role, you may have limited specific knowledge about this group of workers. We hope you feel able to share your knowledge and understanding with us and to be open with your opinions. There aren’t any right or wrong answers! As we have already explained the interview is in confidence.

Personal Profile

Name:

Male/Female

Age:

Job title:

Length of Service in current job:

Length of time with SUHT

Educational Background and Qualifications
General themes to probe for in the interview: trust, commitment, culture, work organisation, values, knowledge, learning, changing skills, progression

Your role

Could you tell me about your role/job as XXX [manager at SUHT]

• Responsibilities
• Duties
• Who you report to

How is work allocated here and who makes the decisions about this?

To what extent do you feel you can make decisions and to what extent do you feel that the amount of autonomy you have in your job is changing?

How would you describe the general style of management here at SUHT?

Would you say that you have trust in the manager(s) above you?

Would you say that The Trust has a particular set of values which characterises it as an organisation? What are these values and are they meaningful to you?

Are there any values that you don’t agree with or that you think are missing?

To what extent is the profile of the employees you manage changing e.g. gender, age, ethnicity, social class, educational background? What are the implications for you as a manager?

Is working here very different to your last job (if appropriate) in what ways (positive and negative)?
Workforce Development

What’s your understanding of the NHS’ approach to workforce development in general and in relation to non-clinical bands 1-4 in particular?

How does the Trust’s approach to workforce development reflect the wider approach of the NHS?

What do you perceive to be the main strengths and weaknesses of the current approach to workforce development?

How would you describe the attitude and commitment to training and development within the Trust?

- ‘Corporate’ approach
- Senior managers’ attitudes
- Financial commitment

In your view, are the skills needs of non-clinical bands 1-4 changing? If so, can you outline why you think this is so and in what ways skills are changing? How does this apply to porters? How is the organisation trying to support/meet these changing skill requirements?

What are the main organisational barriers and enablers to workforce development for non-clinical bands 1-4 and to creating a more highly skilled workforce? In what ways are these relevant to porters?

What opportunities for training and development are open to staff. Are all groups of employees entitled to these opportunities - including porters? Does this include the opportunity to participate in off-the-job courses and gain qualifications? How do employees (including porters) gain access to these opportunities?

Do all groups of employees (including porters) have the opportunity to discuss their career aspiration and development needs with, for example, their line manager, the training manager, other?
Is there an appraisal system – could you tell me a bit about this

- Who does the appraisal?
- Do all groups of employees have appraisals
- How regular are they?
- What do they involve?
- What is the main purpose of appraisal, are they seen as useful by staff?

**Workplace Learning Environment**

How would you characterise your workplace as a learning environment? To what extent do you feel there are opportunities for informal workplace learning – day to day? Can you provide some examples?

How do you learn the skills necessary to do your job? – from others at work, formal courses, through problem solving, personal research etc

To what extent does your job role allow you to innovate, find new ways of doing things?

To what extent are links made between workplace competence, training and career progression? Does progression/promotion tend to based on qualification attainment or proven ability in the workplace?

**Portering workplace learning environment**

What are your perceptions of the portering workplace as a learning environment? What sorts of informal learning opportunities are available - for example, through peer and supervisory support and feedback?

How do porters learn the skills necessary to do their job? What sorts of knowledge and skills would help them to do their job better?

To what extent is it possible for porters to suggest improvements to their work practice?

What sorts of formal learning opportunities are available for porters – for example, structured on-the-job training and off-the-job courses?
What other departments and groups of workers do porters need to work with in their job? To what extent do you think there is a good understanding between these departments of each others’ roles and priorities?

What aspects of the porter’s job do you think are most difficult?

Is there anything that you would say, for example, in terms of the organisation of work or the culture, that inhibits workplace learning?

What in your view would improve the workplace as a learning environment for porters, and also for other non-clinical bands 1-4?

What scope do you think there is for porters to progress a) within the portering department and b) to other roles within the Trust?

Is there anything else that you would like to add, or say?

Thank you very much for your time!
APPENDIX 6: PORTER FIELDWORK DOCUMENTS

Porter Letter of Invitation to Participate

<Name>
Portering Department
Southampton General Hospital
Mailpoint 73
Tremona Road
SOUTHAMPTON
SO16 6YD

11 June 2009
Dear <Name>  

Workplace Learning: creating an expansive learning environment in the Portering Department

Re. Invitation to participate in study

A research project conducted by the University of Southampton will explore workplace learning within the Southampton University Hospitals Trust, Portering Department. The purpose of the research is to provide a better understanding of the workplace as a learning environment and to provide recommendations for improvement (please see the enclosed information sheet for more information about the study).

We should like to identify staff in the Portering Department to take part in an interview with us. The interviews will collect information about your perceptions, attitudes and experiences of learning and training at work. Information given to us in interviews will be treated as confidential. In the research reports, findings will be presented anonymously so that you cannot be identified from what you have said.

The interview will take approximately 30 minutes to complete and can be conducted at a time and place that is convenient to you.

We really hope that you will be willing to engage with this research which will assist the
Southampton University Hospital Trust in strengthening and developing its workplace learning environments. One of the research team will phone your Department within the few days to see whether you would be willing to take part in our study and if so, to arrange a convenient time to meet with you.

Best wishes,

Alison Fuller
(on behalf of the research team)

Direct tel: +44 (0)23 8059 8864, email: af@soton.ac.uk
REC ref: 08/HO501/121    R&D number: RHM HOS0201

Encs
Porter Participant Informed Consent Form

Southampton NHS
University Hospitals NHS Trust

UNIVERSITY OF
Southampton
School of Education

Participant Informed Consent Form: Porters
Workplace Learning: creating an expansive learning environment in the Portering Department

Name of Researcher: Please initial each box

1. I confirm that I have read and understand the information sheet dated 03/06/09 (Version 3) for the above study. I have had the opportunity to consider the information, ask questions and have had these answered satisfactorily. ☐

2. I understand that my participation is voluntary and that I am free to withdraw at any time without giving any reason, without legal right being affected. ☐

3. I understand that I will participate in research about learning at work and that if I am interviewed the interview will be audio-recorded for research purposes. ☐

4. I have informed the researchers if I am participating in any other research study. ☐

5. I understand that in any reference to my interview made in reports and articles and so on, personal, organisational and place names will be changed (anonymised) so that I, and any other individuals mentioned, cannot be identified. I also understand that fully anonymised verbatim quotations from my interview may be used in the report and subsequent publications. ☐

6. I also understand that what I say will not be shared with other colleagues. (Please note that as required under the Data Protection Act (1998) your details will not be passed on to anyone else and data collected will be held securely.) ☐

7. I understand that I may be contacted again during the project for clarification of points raised in the interview. ☐

xxiv
8. I agree to the possibility of the transcription of any interview being archived on the understanding that it will be totally anonymised such that nothing in it, such as organisation, place or individual names, can be identified.

9. I understand that I will be sent a report on the project findings at the end of the project.

I have read and understood the information overleaf and agree to participate in this research project on ‘Workplace Learning: creating an expansive learning environment in the Portering Department’ that is being conducted by a research team at the University of Southampton.

Name

Signature

Date

If you have any questions about this study, please contact:

Professor Alison Fuller, School of Education, University of Southampton, Southampton SO17 1BJ
Tel: 023 80 598864, Email: A.Fuller@soton.ac.uk or

Anita Esser, Head of Wider Healthcare Teams Education, Integrated Directorate of Education and Learning, Southampton General Hospital, Tremona Road, Southampton SO16 6YD
Tel: 023 80 794914, Email: anita.esser@suht.swest.nhs.uk
Thank You Letter

25 June 2009

Dear

Workplace Learning: creating an expansive learning environment in the Portering Department

I am writing to thank you very much for agreeing to be interviewed in connection with the above research project. We are extremely grateful for the care and attention you gave to answering our questions and for the time you spent talking to us. Also, we'd like to confirm that the information that we collected from you is being kept confidential and is being anonymised. The interview recording will only be listened to by members of the research team.

As we explained before the interview, the purpose of the research is to provide a better understanding of the workplace as a learning environment and to provide recommendations for improvement. The overall aim of the project is to support the Trust’s workforce development vision by developing and sustaining learning environments that will facilitate employees' job satisfaction and progression.

I'd like to thank you again on behalf of the research team for taking part in this phase of the study, you have made an important contribution which we very much appreciate. We are looking forward to taking the project forward.

Yours sincerely

Alison Fuller
Professor of Education and Work
Direct tel: +44 (0)23 8059 8864
email: a.fuller@soton.ac.uk
Workplace Learning: creating an expansive learning environment in the Portering Department

Re. Invitation to complete Learning Logs

You recently took part in a research project conducted by the University of Southampton to explore workplace learning within the Portering Department. As outlined in the Participant Information Sheet, we would now like to invite you to complete four weekly learning logs – participation is voluntary. These logs are designed to give us a further understanding of your work and learning environment. Each weekly log will take less than ten minutes to complete.

To protect your identity, each weekly log will contain a unique reference number which means that the information you give us will be anonymised. We will supply you with four pre-paid envelopes so that you can return the completed forms directly to the research team at the University at the end of each week. The logs do not need to be completed in four continuous weeks, so if you need a break, for example while you go on your summer holidays, that will not matter. However, we would ask you to complete your fourth and final log by the end of the first week of September 2009 (Sunday, 6 September).

We would like to thank you once again for your participation in the study and hope that you will be willing to take part in this final part of the project. Should you be willing to complete the learning logs, please advise Sally Davis or John Humby by Thursday, 16 July. The learning logs will then be distributed to you via your Department.
Best wishes,
Alison Fuller
(on behalf of the research team)

Direct tel: +44 (0)23 8059 8864, email:af@soton.ac.uk
REC ref: 08/H0501/121      R&D number: RHM H05201

Encs
Porter Learning Log Reminder Letter

Tuesday, 18 August 2009

Dear

Workplace Learning: Creating an expansive learning environment in the Portering Department - Learning Logs

Thank you for agreeing to take part in the completion of the learning logs. May we remind you to return to the research team any logs you have now finished, using the pre-paid envelopes? If you have already returned your completed logs, then please accept our sincere apologies.

As we mentioned previously, these logs form an important part of our research into your workplace learning experiences and provide us with additional information to the interviews.

Your help in this part of the research is greatly appreciated.

Best wishes

Alison Fuller
(on behalf of the research team)
Direct tel: +44 (0)23 8059 8864, email:af@soton.ac.uk
REC ref: 08/HO501/121 R&D number: RHM HOS0201
APPENDIX 7: PORTER INTERVIEW SCHEDULE

‘Learning at work’ in the Portering Department at Southampton University Hospitals Trust

Interview Schedule: Porters

Introductory comments

The interview is designed to give you the opportunity to tell us about your job role and your experiences and perceptions of workplace learning, training and development. We hope you feel able to share your experiences, knowledge and views with us and to be open with your opinions. The purpose of the study is to identify ways in which the workplace could be improved as a learning environment. There aren’t any right or wrong answers! As we have already explained the interview is in confidence.

Personal Profile

Name:

Male/Female

Age:

Job title:

Length of Service in occupation:

Length of time with current employer

Brief description of previous jobs

Brief description of educational and qualification background

xxx
General themes to probe for in the interview: trust, commitment, culture, discretion, work organisation, values, knowledge, learning, progression

Current position

What made you decide to become a porter?

Could you tell me about your job - what does a typical day look like in terms of what you do, i.e. your:

- tasks
- problem solving
- decision making
- motivating
- planning

To what extent do you work alone or with others?

How is work allocated here and who makes the decisions about this?

Who is your line manager and are you responsible to anyone else e.g. your supervisor?

Do you have a mentor?

How would you describe the general style of management in the Trust? Would you say that you have trust in your manager(s)?

Would you say that the Trust has a particular set of values which characterises it as an organisation? What are these values and are they meaningful to you?

Are there any values that you don’t agree with or that you think are missing?

Is working here very different to your last job (if appropriate) in what ways (positive and negative)?
**Learning at work**

What kind of training did you have when you first started the job – was it on the job, off the job, just the usual induction?

How would you characterise the skills you need in order to be able to do your particular job – what are these (prompt for any other skills/knowledge required in order to carry this role)?

Have you learnt a lot since starting as a porter - how did you learn these skills e.g. learning from others, courses, support from supervisor/manager etc

Do you feel that you are able to utilise these fully in your job (ask about how job is designed, supervised and managed – link to how skills are valued)?

What aspects of your job do you find most difficult?

Do you feel encouraged to learn new things here? What sort of things?

Have you learnt to improve your performance at work? In what ways?

How would you describe the attitude and commitment to training and development within the Trust?

- Corporate approach
- Senior managers’ attitudes
- Financial commitment

Are there many opportunities for you to learn at work? What kinds of opportunities are there and do they help you do your job better?

Do you feel that these opportunities are helpful and/or is there anything missing? Are there any activities or ways of learning and being taught that you think would help you to do your job better?
Have you been involved in any on-the-job training recently? If so what did this involve? (If not – why, i.e. no opportunities, time etc.)

Do you get many opportunities to do off-the-job courses (some examples)?

Would you say that you also learn important things for your job outside of formal training sessions and courses? What kinds of things and in what ways would you say you learn these (i.e. from and with others, alone – some examples)?

Do you also teach others through your work, for example:

- Helping others learn?
- Coaching, showing others etc?

Would you say that you also learn yourself through this teaching (some examples)?

Overall, how important would you say learning is in your job? Would you say that you are continuing to learn and do you see this as ongoing? Do you like to learn new things?

Is there anything that you would say prevents you from learning at work?

What do you do if you need help at work, and if you think you have any training needs?

If you have appraisals here, could you tell me a bit about these:

- who does your appraisal?
- how regular are they?
- what do they involve,
- main purpose of appraisal, and are they are useful?

Do you have any other regular staff or team meetings? If so what are these for and how are they organised (probe for access to knowledge)?

Are you encouraged to offer and share your ideas on work organisation and related issues and are these taken up (some examples)?
Is there any knowledge that you hold about your work which you feel you should not have to share with others (examples)?

How do people ‘get on’ (i.e. get promoted) in your department, and the Trust more widely?

How do you think your line manager perceives your attitude to learning at work and would you agree with their view?

What are your plans now in terms of developing your career – how do you see yourself in 2-5 years time? What are the opportunities and barriers to fulfilling your career aspirations?

**Learning and performance**

Do you generally get to know how well your department is doing? Would you say that it is doing well at the moment?

What in your view are the key things that make this department perform well/or not so well?

Would you say that there are any ways that the performance of the department could be improved?

How do you think your line manager perceives your performance and would you agree with their view?

**Is there anything else that you would like to add, or say?**

**Thank you very much for your time!**
APPENDIX 8: LEARNING LOGS
Learning Log for Weeks 1, 3 & 4

Workplace Learning: Creating an expansive learning environment in the Portering Department

Weekly Learning Log

Personal ID number: ****

Week beginning: _____/ _________/ 2009
(please give Monday’s date)

Thank you very much for completing the weekly learning log – when you have completed the log, please place it in one of the envelopes provided and post to the research team. Postage has been paid.

The ID number that has been given is unique to you and enables us to protect your anonymity, so it is important that you do not exchange blank logs with your colleagues. If you need a spare copy, please contact Sally Davis who will request one from the research team on your behalf.
1. Learning whilst doing your job

1.1. Do you feel you have learned anything new this week? Please tick the appropriate box.

Yes □ 1 No □ 2

If Yes, please answer Questions 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4. If you answered No, please go on to Section 2.

1.2 How did you gain this new learning? Tick as many boxes as you want and add any comments in the spaces provided.

By using different equipment □ _______________________

By using different tools □ _______________________

By completing a different type of form □ _______________________

By learning about health and safety regulations □ _______________________

Mandatory training □ _______________________

By teaching new people □ _______________________

By managing my workload □ _______________________

By speaking with other hospital staff □ _______________________

By speaking with patients/relatives □ _______________________

By following written procedures/instructions □ _______________________

By learning IT/computer-related tasks □ _______________________

By learning how to communicate appropriately with other hospital staff or patients □ _______________________

By working in a new area of the hospital □ _______________________

xxxvi
1.3 Did anyone help you gain this new learning? Please tick as many boxes as you want and add any comments in the spaces provided.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Help Provided</th>
<th>Box Ticked</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I found out for myself</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From working alongside a colleague/s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A more experienced employee showed/explained to me</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From the Head Porter(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From an external trainer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From an assessor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Through attendance at off-the-job learning session</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A nurse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From my supervisor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other. Please state: ______________________________________________________________

1.4. Did you receive any formal training or instruction?

Yes ☐ 1 No ☐ 2

If Yes, how was this training given? Please tick any of the boxes below that are relevant:
I received training off-the-job □ __________________________

I received training on-the-job □ __________________________

I was given a document to read □ __________________________

I completed training via a computer □ __________________________

Other. Please state: ____________________________________________

2. Changes to your job

2.1 Has your job changed in any way this week (e.g. you may have been asked to carry out new tasks or been asked to work in a different team)? Please tick the appropriate box.

Yes □ 1 No □ 2

If Yes, please answer the next question (2.2). If your job didn’t change, please go to Section 3.

2.2 How did your job change? Please tick any of the boxes that you feel capture the change. You can also write a comment in the space alongside each box to describe the change.

I was asked to do a new task □ __________________________

I was asked to complete my tasks in a different order or way □ __________________________

I was asked to work in a different part of the hospital □ __________________________

I was asked to work with a different team □ __________________________

I was asked to take on more responsibility □ __________________________
If your job changed in a different way to those in the list above, please describe what happened here:

_____________________________________________________________________________

3. Support to do your job

3.1 To what extent do you feel you have been supported in doing your job this week by the Trust?
Please tick the appropriate box.

- Very well
- Quite well
- Not very well

3.2 To what extent do you feel you have been supported in doing your job this week by the head porters/managers?
Please tick the appropriate box.

- Very well
- Quite well
- Not very well

3.3 To what extent do you feel you have been supported in doing your job this week by other porters?
3.4 How would you describe the feedback you have received on your work this week?

Please tick the appropriate box.

- Very well
- Quite well
- Not very well

3.5 Have you received positive feedback on your work this week?

Please tick the appropriate box.

- Yes 1
- No 2

If Yes, please answer the next question (3.6). If No, please go to Question 3.7.
3.6  Who gave you this feedback?

Porter Manager
Head Porters
Supervisors
Other porters
Ward nurses/staff

Other. Please state:

3.7  Have you received negative feedback on your work this week?

Please tick the appropriate box.

Yes □ 1 No □ 2

If Yes, please answer the next question (3.8). If No, please go to Section 4.

3.8  Who gave you this feedback?

Porter Manager
Head Porters
Supervisors
Other porters
Ward nurses/staff
Other. Please state: __________________________________________________________

4. Helping others learn

4.1 Have you helped anyone learn at work this week?

   Yes □ 1 No □ 2

   If Yes, please answer Questions 4.2 and 4.3. If No, go to Section 5.

4.2 What has this involved? You can also write a comment in the space provided to describe what happened.

   Please tick as many boxes as you want.

   Explaining/showing how to do something □  ____________________________

   Giving someone the information they needed to solve a problem □  _________________

   Teaching a new member of staff □  ____________________________

   Working with another person or team to solve a problem □  ____________________________

   Other. Please state: _________________________________________________________
4.3 Who was the person you helped?

A porter □

A nurse □

A doctor □

Other. Please state: ________________________________________________________

4.4 In which area were you able to help someone learn?

Please tick as many boxes as you want.

Using equipment □

Using gas bottles □

Health and safety □

Solving a problem □

Managing workload □

Handling/collecting specimens □

IT/computer □

Understanding written procedures/instruction □

Working with new people □

Understanding how to speak to patients and their relatives □

Patient handling/movement □

How to communicate appropriately with other hospital staff □

Dealing with supervisors/managers □

Directing another porter to a ward □

Other. Please state: ________________________________________________________
5. Gaining credits for your learning

5.1 Are you working towards a qualification as part of your job?

Yes ☐ 1 No ☐ 2

If Yes, please answer Question 5.2. If No, please go to Section 6.

5.2. Have you gained any credits for or passed any parts of your qualification this week?

Yes ☐ 1 No ☐ 2

If Yes, please answer Questions 5.3 and 5.4. If No, go to Question 6.

5.3 How were you assessed? Please tick the appropriate boxes.

Assessment of portfolio of evidence ☐
Oral assessment at work ☐
Observation of your work ☐
Course/project work (e.g. written assignment) ☐

Other. Please state: _________________________________________________________

5.4 Who was the assessor?

Please tick the appropriate box.

Workplace assessor ☐
External trainer/assessor ☐

Other. Please state: _________________________________________________________
6. **Would you say that anything you've experienced at work this week has:**

Please tick any boxes you feel are relevant.

- Given me greater confidence to do my job  □
- Undermined my confidence to do my job  □
- Improved my ability to solve problems  □
- Improved my ability to communicate  □

Other. Please state: _________________________________________________________

Please give an example, if you can, in the space provided below:

__________________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________________

**Thanks for completing this week’s learning log**
Learning Log Extra Section for Week 2

7. **Workplace learning environment**

7.1 If you feel you want to learn something or improve the way you do your job, who would you ask for support? Tick as many boxes as you want.

- Immediate colleagues
- Supervisor
- Head Porter
- Porter Manager

Other. Please state: ________________________________

7.2 Are you encouraged to ask for help if you feel you need it?

- Yes ☐
- No ☐

7.3 How closely is your work supervised or checked?

**Please tick the appropriate box.**

- At all times ☐
- Most of the time ☐
- Often ☐
- Occasionally ☐
- Hardly at all ☐
- Never ☐

Other. Please state: ________________________________

Thanks for completing this week’s learning log
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