THE $\ell^2$-COHOMOLOGY OF ARTIN GROUPS

M. W. DAVIS AND I. J. LEARY

ABSTRACT. For each Artin group we compute the reduced $\ell^2$-cohomology of (the universal cover of) its “Salvetti complex”. This is a CW-complex which is conjectured to be a model for the classifying space of the Artin group. In the many cases when this conjecture is known to hold our calculation describes the reduced $\ell^2$-cohomology of the Artin group.

1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose that $G$ is a countable discrete group acting properly and cellularly on a CW-complex $E$ with compact quotient. As in [14] or [16] one can define the reduced $\ell^2$-cohomology group $\mathcal{H}^i(E)$ for each non-negative integer $i$. (We will give the definition in Section 3.) For each $i$, $\mathcal{H}^i(E)$ is a Hilbert space with an orthogonal $G$-action. When $G$ is infinite, these Hilbert spaces tend to be infinite-dimensional unless they are zero. However, each $\mathcal{H}^i(E)$ is a “Hilbert $G$-module”, and to any Hilbert $G$-module $V$ one may associate a real number, called its von Neumann dimension and denoted by $\dim_G(V)$. One can then define the $\ell^2$-Betti numbers of $E$ with respect to $G$ by

$$\ell^2 b_i(E; G) := \dim_G \mathcal{H}^i(E).$$

If $E$ is contractible and $G$ acts freely on $E$ (so that $E/G$ is a classifying space for $G$), these $\ell^2$-cohomology groups (and their von Neumann dimensions) are independent of the choice of $E$ and so are invariants of $G$. In this case we will use the notation $\mathcal{H}^i(G)$ and $\ell^2 b_i(G)$ to stand for $\mathcal{H}^i(E)$ and $\ell^2 b_i(E; G)$ respectively.

$\ell^2$-cohomology groups have proved difficult to compute directly. To date, most of the calculations have been based on two principles: (i) certain vanishing theorems; (ii) Atiyah’s formula. A typical vanishing
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Theorem will assert that for a certain class of groups $G$, $\mathcal{H}^i(G)$ is zero for $i$ within a certain range. Atiyah’s formula states that in the case when $G$ acts freely on $E$, the alternating sum of the $\ell^2$-Betti numbers of $G$ is equal to the ordinary Euler characteristic of $E/G$. In cases where $\mathcal{H}^* (E)$ is known to vanish except in one dimension, this formula provides an exact calculation of the unique non-zero $\ell^2$-Betti number.

In this paper we compute the $\ell^2$-Betti numbers $\ell^2 b_i(G)$ when $G$ is an Artin group. Surprisingly, there is a completely clean answer which is non-trivial in the sense that many of the $\ell^2 b_i(G)$ are non-zero.

Associated to any Coxeter matrix $(m_{ij})$ on a finite set $I$, there is a Coxeter group $W$, an Artin group $A$ and a simplicial complex $L$ with vertex set $I$, called the “nerve” of the Coxeter matrix. (The definitions will be given in Section 5.) Coxeter groups are intimately connected to groups generated by reflections. For example, if $n = \text{Card}(I)$, there is a standard representation of $W$ as a linear reflection group on $\mathbb{R}^n$, so that there is a certain convex cone in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $W$ acting properly on its interior $\Omega$.

Artin groups have a related geometric interpretation. Consider the complexification of the $W$-representation described above. Then $\mathbb{R}^n + i\Omega$ is a a $W$-stable open convex subset of $\mathbb{C}^n$ on which $W$ acts properly. Moreover, $W$ acts freely on the hyperplane complement

$$Y = (\mathbb{R}^n + i\Omega) - \bigcup_r H_r,$$

where $r$ ranges over all reflections in $W$ and $H_r$ denotes the intersection of the complex hyperplane fixed by $r$ and $(\mathbb{R}^n + i\Omega)$. It is proved in [7] that there is a finite CW-complex $X$, called the “Salvetti complex” which is homotopy equivalent to $Y/W$. The complex $X$ has one vertex and, for each $k > 0$, one $k$-cell for each $(k - 1)$-simplex of $L$. The 2-skeleton of $X$ is the presentation complex associated to the standard presentation of $A$. It follows that $\pi_1(Y/W) = \pi_1(X) = A$. It is conjectured that the universal cover $\tilde{X}$ of $X$ is contractible, or equivalently that $X$ is a classifying space $BA$ for $A$. Deligne [12] proved this conjecture in the case when $W$ is finite. The conjecture is also known to be true when $W$ is “right-angled”, when $\dim L \leq 1$, and in many other cases (see [6, 7]). Our actual calculation is of $\mathcal{H}^*(\tilde{X})$. So our title is somewhat misleading: we only have a computation of the reduced $\ell^2$-cohomology of $A$ modulo the conjecture that $X = BA$.

**Theorem 1.** For any Artin group $A$ and any $i$, there is an isomorphism of Hilbert $A$-modules:

$$\mathcal{H}^i(\tilde{X}) \cong \overline{H}^{i-1}(L) \otimes \ell^2(A).$$
Corollary 2. \( \ell^2 b_i(\widetilde{X}; A) = \overline{b}_{i-1}(L) \).

Here \( \overline{b}_j(L) \) denotes the ordinary “reduced Betti number” of \( L \), i.e., \( \overline{b}_j(L) \) is the dimension of the reduced homology group \( \overline{H}_j(L; \mathbb{R}) \).

When \( W \) is finite, the Artin group \( A \) is said to be “spherical”. If \( A \) is spherical, then its centre always has an infinite cyclic subgroup (coming from the \( \mathbb{C}^* \) -action on the hyperplane complement). For such groups the reduced \( \ell^2 \)-cohomology is known to vanish (see Section 4). Hence, in the spherical case, Theorem 1 is well-known. This vanishing result in the spherical case is the key ingredient for our proof in the general case.

In fact, a stronger result that Theorem 1 is true. In the following statement, the “standard homomorphism” from an Artin group to \( \mathbb{Z} \) is the homomorphism that sends each Artin generator to \( 1 \in \mathbb{Z} \).

Theorem 3. Suppose that \( A' \) is a normal subgroup of the Artin group \( A \) that is contained in the kernel of the standard homomorphism \( A \to \mathbb{Z} \). Let \( X' \to X \) be the corresponding covering space of \( X \) and let \( G = A/A' \). Then \( H^i(X') \) and \( \overline{H}^{-1}_i(L) \otimes \ell^2(G) \) are isomorphic as Hilbert \( G \)-modules. In particular, \( \ell^2 b_i(X'; G) = \overline{b}_{i-1}(L) \).

Theorem 3 was suggested by a result of [15].

2. Hilbert \( G \)-modules

Let \( G \) be a countable discrete group. The Hilbert space of square-summable real-valued functions on \( G \) is denoted by \( \ell^2(G) \). There is an orthogonal (right) action of \( G \) on \( \ell^2(G) \), and a diagonal action on any direct sum of copies of \( \ell^2(G) \). A Hilbert space \( V \) equipped with an orthogonal(right) \( G \)-action is called a (finitely generated) Hilbert \( G \)-module if it is \( G \)-equivariantly isomorphic to a \( G \)-stable closed subspace of the direct sum of finitely many copies of \( \ell^2(G) \). A map \( f : V \to V' \) of Hilbert \( G \)-modules is by definition a \( G \)-equivariant bounded linear operator. The kernel of \( f : V \to V' \), denoted \( \text{Ker}(f) \), is a closed subspace of \( V \), but the image, \( \text{Im}(f) \), need not be closed. The map \( f \) is weakly surjective if \( \overline{\text{Im}(f)} = V \); \( f \) is a weak isomorphism if it is both injective and weakly surjective.

To each Hilbert \( G \)-module \( V \) it is possible to associate a non-negative real number \( \dim_G(V) \), called its von Neumann dimension. The three most important properties of \( \dim_G(-) \) are:

1. \( \dim_G(V) = 0 \) if and only if \( V = 0 \);
2. \( \dim_G(V \oplus V') = \dim_G(V) + \dim_G(V') \);
3. \( \dim_G(\ell^2(G)) = 1 \).
It follows from (1) that for any map \( f : V \to V' \),
\[
\dim_G(V) = \dim_G(\ker(f)) + \dim_G(\text{Im}(f)).
\]
Using property (1), one can then deduce the following.

**Lemma 4.** Suppose that \( V \) and \( V' \) are Hilbert \( G \)-modules and that \( \dim_G(V) = \dim_G(V') \). The following are equivalent:

(a) \( f \) is injective;
(b) \( f \) is weakly surjective;
(c) \( f \) is a weak isomorphism.

**Proof.** By definition, (c) is equivalent to the conjunction of (a) and (b). Thus it suffices to show that (a) and (b) are equivalent. But we have that \( \dim_G(\text{Im}(f)) = \dim_G(V) - \dim_G(\ker(f)) \), and so \( \dim_G(\ker(f)) = 0 \) if and only if \( \dim_G(\text{Im}(f)) = \dim_G(V) = \dim_G(V') \). \( \square \)

Next suppose that \( C^* = \{(C^n, \delta_n)\} \) is a cochain complex of Hilbert \( G \)-modules, i.e., the coboundary maps \( \delta_i : C^i \to C^{i+1} \) are \( G \)-equivariant bounded linear operators. The cohomology group
\[
H^i(C^*) := \ker(\delta_i)/\text{Im}(\delta_{i-1})
\]
need not be a Hilbert space since \( \text{Im}(\delta_{i-1}) \) is not necessarily closed in \( \ker(\delta_i) \). However, the **reduced cohomology groups** defined as
\[
\mathcal{H}^i(C^*) := \ker(\delta_i)/\overline{\text{Im}(\delta_{i-1})}
\]
are Hilbert \( G \)-modules.

**Lemma 5.** Suppose that \( (C^*, \delta_i) \) and \( (C'^*, \delta'_i) \) are cochain complexes of Hilbert \( G \)-modules and that \( f : C^* \to C'^* \) is a weak isomorphism of cochain complexes (i.e., \( f_i : C^i \to C'^i \) is a weak isomorphism). Then the induced map \( \mathcal{H}(f) : \mathcal{H}^i(C^*) \to \mathcal{H}^i(C'^*) \) is also a weak isomorphism. In particular, \( \mathcal{H}^i(C^*) \) and \( \mathcal{H}^i(C'^*) \) are isometric Hilbert \( G \)-modules.

**Proof.** Let \( Z^i \) and \( B^i \) (resp. \( Z'^i \) and \( B'^i \)) denote the cocycles and coboundaries in \( C^* \) (resp. \( C'^* \)). Since \( f \) is a cochain map, \( f(Z^i) \subseteq Z'^i \) and \( f(B^i) \subseteq B'^i \). Moreover, since \( f \) is continuous, \( f(\overline{B^i}) \subseteq \overline{B'^i} \). Since \( f \) is injective this gives
\[
\dim_G(Z'^i) \geq \dim_G(Z^i) \quad \text{and} \quad \dim_G(B'^i) \geq \dim_G(B^i). \tag{1}
\]
From the short exact sequences
\[
0 \to Z^i \to C^i \to B^{i+1} \to 0 \\
0 \to Z'^i \to C'^i \to B'^{i+1} \to 0
\]
one obtains
\[ \dim_G(C^i) = \dim_G(Z^i) + \dim_G(B^{i+1}), \quad \text{and} \]
\[ \dim_G(C'^i) = \dim_G(Z'^i) + \dim_G(B'^{i+1}) \quad (2). \]
Since \( f \) is a weak isomorphism, one has that \( \dim_G(C'^i) = \dim_G(C^i) \).
Hence
\[ \dim_G(C^i) = \dim_G(C'^i) = \dim_G(Z'^i) + \dim_G(B'^{i+1}) \]
\[ \geq \dim_G(Z^i) + \dim_G(B^{i+1}) = \dim_G(C^i), \]
which together with (1) implies that
\[ \dim_G(Z'^i) = \dim_G(Z^i) \quad \text{and} \quad \dim_G(B'^i) = \dim_G(B^i). \quad (3) \]
It follows from Lemma 4 that the maps \( f : Z^i \to Z'^i \) and \( f : B^i \to B'^i \)
are weak isomorphisms. This implies that \( \mathcal{H}(f) : \mathcal{H}^i(C^*) \to \mathcal{H}^i(C'^*) \) is
weakly surjective.
To show that \( \mathcal{H}(f) \) is injective, let \( H \) be the orthogonal complement
to \( B^i \) in \( Z^i \). Note that \( H \) is closed and \( G \)-stable. Now
\[ \dim_G(Z'^i) = \dim_G(f(H + B^i)) \]
\[ = \dim_G(f(H)) + \dim_G(B^i) - \dim_G(f(H) \cap B^i) \]
\[ = \dim_G(Z^i) - \dim_G(f(H) \cap B^i), \]
where the third equality follows from (3). Hence \( \dim_G(f(H) \cap B^i) = 0 \), and therefore \( f(H) \) is a complementary subspace for \( B'^i \). Since \( f \) is
injective when restricted to \( H \), it follows that so is \( \mathcal{H}(f) \). (This follows
from the fact that any two weakly isomorphic Hilbert \( G \)-modules are
in fact equivariantly isometric [14].) □

3. COHOMOLOGY WITH LOCAL COEFFICIENTS AND \( \ell^2 \)-COHOMOLOGY

Suppose that \( Y \) is a connected CW-complex with fundamental group \( \pi \) and universal cover \( \tilde{Y} \). Suppose also that \( Y \) is of finite type (i.e., has
finite skeleta.) Let \( C_*(\tilde{Y}) \) denote the chain complex of \( \tilde{Y} \), which is a
chain complex of finitely generated free (left) \( \mathbb{Z} \pi \)-modules. By a local
coefficient system on \( Y \) we shall mean a (left) \( \mathbb{Z} \pi \)-module. The cochain
complex for \( Y \) with coefficients \( M \) is defined by
\[ C^*(Y; M) := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z} \pi}(C_*(\tilde{Y}), M). \]
Let $Y' \to Y$ be a regular covering space corresponding to a normal subgroup $\pi'$ of $\pi$, and let $G$ denote the quotient group $\pi/\pi'$. Then $\ell^2(G)$ may be viewed as a $\pi$-$G$-bimodule, where the left action of $\pi$ is via the action of $G$. The $\ell^2$-cochains on $Y'$ are defined by

$$\ell^2 C^*(Y') := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(C_*(Y'), \ell^2(G)) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}\pi}(C_*(\tilde{Y}), \ell^2(G)) = C^*(Y; \ell^2(G)).$$

Note that the orthogonal right action of $G$ on $\ell^2(G)$ makes $\ell^2 C^*(Y')$ a chain complex of Hilbert $G$-modules. The unreduced and reduced cohomology groups of $\ell^2 C^*(Y')$ will be denoted $\ell^2 H^i(Y')$ and $\mathcal{H}^i(Y')$ respectively. The $\ell^2$-Betti numbers of $Y'$ with respect to $G$ are then defined by $\ell^2 b_i(Y'; G) := \dim G H^i(Y')$.

In the case when $Y'$ is acyclic, $C_*(Y')$ is a free resolution for $\mathbb{Z}$ over $\mathbb{Z}G$. Any two such resolutions are chain homotopy equivalent. Similarly, if $Y'$ is only rationally acyclic, the rational chain complex $C_*(Y'; \mathbb{Q})$ is a free resolution for $\mathbb{Q}$ over $\mathbb{Q}G$, and is unique up to chain homotopy equivalence. It follows that in the case when $Y'$ is rationally acyclic, the $\ell^2$-cohomology groups and $\ell^2$-Betti numbers depend only on $G$ (and not on the particular choice of $Y'$). In this case we shall use the notation

$$\ell^2 H^i(G) := \ell^2 H^i(Y'),$$
$$\mathcal{H}^i(G) := \mathcal{H}^i(Y'),$$
$$\ell^2 b_i(G) := \dim G \mathcal{H}^i(Y').$$

(Of course, we have in mind the case when $G = \pi$ and $Y' = \tilde{Y}$ is contractible.)

4. A VANISHING THEOREM

Let $G$ be a group and $Z$ a central subgroup of $G$. Any (left) $G$-module $M$ may be viewed as a $G$-$Z$-bimodule where the right action of $z \in Z$ is defined by $mz = zm$. This induces a right action of $Z$ on $H^*(G; M)$. However one has:

**Proposition 6.** With notation as above, the action of $Z$ on $H^*(G; M)$ is trivial.

**Proof.** Let $P_*$ be a free resolution for $Z$ over $\mathbb{Z}G$, so that $H^*(G; M)$ may be computed using the cochain complex $\text{Hom}_G(P_*, M)$. In terms
of this cochain complex the right action of \( z \in Z \) on \( H^*(G; M) \) sends \( f \in \text{Hom}_G(P_*, M) \) to \( zf \), defined by \( zf(p) = z(f(p)) \) for all \( p \in P_* \). It suffices to show that this map is chain-homotopic to the identity map.

Since \( z \) is central, the map \( P_* \to P_* \) given by \( p \mapsto zp \) is a chain map, and this chain map lifts the identity map from \( Z \) to \( Z \), so is chain-homotopic to the identity map of \( P_* \). But for all \( f \in \text{Hom}_G(P_*, M) \) and all \( p \in P_* \), \( zf(p) = f(zp) \), and so the two actions of \( Z \) (on \( M \) and on \( P_* \)) induce the same action on \( \text{Hom}_G(P_*, M) \). □

**Corollary 7.** Let \( \pi \) be a group admitting a finite classifying space \( Y \), let \( \pi' \) be a normal subgroup of \( \pi \), let \( G = \pi/\pi' \), and suppose that the centre of \( \pi \) contains an element whose image in \( G \) has infinite order. Then \( H^*(Y') = \{0\} \), where \( Y' \) denotes the regular cover of \( Y \) corresponding \( \pi' \).

**Proof.** Let \( Z \) denote an infinite cyclic subgroup of the centre of \( \pi \) with \( Z \cap \pi' = \{1\} \). The usual right action of \( Z \) on \( \ell^2(G) \) and the action used in Proposition 6 agree, and hence the right action of \( Z \) on \( \ell^2H^*(Y') \) is trivial. It follows that the action of \( Z \) on \( \mathcal{H}^*(Y') \) is trivial. Since the image of \( Z \) in \( G \) is infinite, no non-zero element of \( \ell^2(G) \) is fixed by the right action of \( Z \). However, each \( \mathcal{H}^i(Y') \) is a Hilbert \( G \)-module, and so one obtains a contradiction unless each \( \mathcal{H}^i(Y') = \{0\} \). □

**Corollary 8.** Suppose that \( G \) has a finite classifying space and that the centre of \( G \) contains an element of infinite order. Then the \( \ell^2 \) cohomology of \( G \) vanishes.

**Proof.** This is just the case \( G = \pi \) of Corollary 7. □

Other more general vanishing theorems for \( \ell^2 \)-cohomology may be found in [8, 16, 19, 20].

### 5. Coxeter groups and Artin groups

Let \( I \) be a finite set. A **Coxeter matrix** \( M = (m_{ij}) \) on \( I \) is an \( I \)-by-\( I \) symmetric matrix with entries in \( \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\} \) such that each \( m_{ii} = 1 \) and such that whenever \( i \neq j \), \( m_{ij} \geq 2 \). Associated to \( M \) there is a **Coxeter group** denoted by \( W_I \) or \( W \) with generating set \( \{s_i : i \in I\} \), with relations

\[
(s_is_j)^{m_{ij}} = 1 \quad \text{for all } (i, j) \in I \times I.
\]

There is also an **Artin group** \( A_I \) (or \( A \)) with generators \( \{a_i : i \in I\} \) and with a presentation given by the relations

\[
a_i a_j \cdots = a_j a_i \cdots
\]
for all \( i \neq j \), where there are \( m_{ij} \) terms on each side of the equation. In both the Coxeter and Artin cases, the relation is interpreted as being vacuous if \( m_{ij} = \infty \).

Since each of the Artin relators contains the same number of copies of a generator on each side, there is a homomorphism from any Artin group to the infinite cyclic group \( \mathbb{Z} \), defined by sending each Artin generator to 1. Call this the standard homomorphism from \( A \) to \( \mathbb{Z} \).

Let \( q : A \to W \) denote the natural homomorphism sending \( a_i \) to \( s_i \). There is a set-theoretic section for \( q \) denoted \( w \mapsto a_w \) which may be defined as follows: if \( s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_n} \) is any word of minimal length for \( w \) in terms of the \( s_i \), then \( a_w := a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_n} \). As explained in [6, p. 602], it follows from Tits’ solution to the word problem for Coxeter groups that \( w \mapsto a_w \) is well-defined.

Given a subset \( J \) of \( I \), let \( M_J \) denote the minor of \( M \) whose rows and columns are indexed by \( J \), and let \( W_J \) (resp. \( A_J \)) be the corresponding Coxeter group (resp. Artin group). It is known (cf. [2]) that the natural map \( W_J \to W_I \) (resp. \( A_J \to A_I \)) is injective, and hence \( W_J \) (resp. \( A_J \)) can be identified with the subgroup of \( W_I \) (resp. \( A_I \)) generated by \( \{ s_i : i \in J \} \) (resp. \( \{ a_i : i \in J \} \)).

Say that the subset \( J \subseteq I \) is spherical if \( W_J \) is finite. If this is the case, then the groups \( W_J \) and \( A_J \) are both called spherical. (Note that this differs from the terminology of [6], where spherical Artin groups were said to be “of finite type”.) The poset of spherical subsets of \( I \) is denoted by \( S(I) \) or simply \( S \). The subposet of non-empty spherical subsets is an abstract simplicial complex which will be denoted \( L \), and called the nerve of \( M \) (or the nerve of (\( W_i \{ s_i : i \in I \} \))). Thus the vertex set of \( L \) is \( I \) and a subset \( \sigma \) of \( I \) spans a simplex of \( L \) if and only if \( \sigma \in S \) if and only if \( W_\sigma \) is finite. (Greek letters such as \( \sigma \) or \( \tau \) will be used to denote spherical subsets of \( I \) when viewed as simplices of \( L \).

**Example 1.** If \( W \) is finite, then \( L \) is a simplex of dimension \( \text{Card}(I) - 1 \).

Suppose now that \( m_{ij} = \infty \) for all \( i \neq j \), and let \( n = \text{Card}(I) \). In this case \( W \) is the free product of \( n \) copies of \( \mathbb{Z}/2 \), \( A \) is a free group of rank \( n \), and \( L \) is a 0-dimensional complex consisting of \( n \) points.

A Coxeter matrix is right-angled if each off-diagonal entry is either 2 or \( \infty \). The associated Coxeter group and Artin group are also said to be right-angled.

For any subset \( J \) of \( I \) and \( w \in W_I \), the following two conditions are equivalent:

1. \( w \) is the (unique) element of shortest word length in \( wW_J \in \frac{W_I}{W_J} \);
(2) for each \( j \in J \), \( l(ws_i) = l(w) + 1 \) (where \( l(w) \) denotes the word length of \( w \)).

If \( w \) satisfies either condition, then it is called \( J \)-reduced. Moreover, if \( w \) is \( J \)-reduced and \( v \in W_J \), then \( l(wv) = l(w) + l(v) \) (cf. [2, Ex. 3, p. 37]). For each pair \( J, J' \) of subsets of \( I \) with \( J \subseteq J' \), let \( W_J^J \) denote the set of \( J \)-reduced elements of \( W_J \).

We recall now a formula from [22, 11]. Given a pair of spherical subsets \( \tau, \sigma \) with \( \tau \leq \sigma \), define an element \( T^\tau_\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}A_\sigma \) by the formula

\[
T^\tau_\sigma := \sum_{w \in W^{\tau}_\sigma} (-1)^{l(w)} a_w.
\]

**Lemma 9.** For any three spherical subsets, \( \tau, \sigma, \rho \in S \) with \( \tau \leq \sigma \leq \rho \), we have

\[
T^\rho_\sigma \cdot T^\tau_\rho = T^\tau_\sigma.
\]

**Proof.** If \( u \in W^\sigma_\rho \) and \( v \in W^\tau_\rho \), then it may be shown that \( l(uv) = l(u) + l(v) \) (see Ex. 3 on p.37 of [2].) It follows that \( a_u a_v \) equals \( a_{uv} \).

Since, for each \( i \in \tau \), \( l(uvs_i) = l(u) + l(vs_i) = l(u) + l(v) + 1 = l(uv) + 1 \), the element \( uv \) is \( \tau \)-reduced. Hence, as \( u \) ranges over \( W^\tau_\rho \) and \( v \) ranges over \( W^\rho_\tau \), \( uv \) ranges over \( W^\tau_\rho \). Therefore,

\[
T^\rho_\sigma \cdot T^\tau_\rho = \left( \sum_{u \in W^\tau_\rho} (-1)^{l(u)} a_u \right) \left( \sum_{v \in W^\rho_\tau} (-1)^{l(v)} a_v \right) = \sum_{w \in W^\rho_\tau} (-1)^{l(w)} a_w = T^\tau_\sigma.
\]

\[
T^\rho_\sigma \cdot T^\tau_\rho = \left( \sum_{u \in W^\rho_\sigma} (-1)^{l(u)} a_u \right) \left( \sum_{v \in W^\tau_\sigma} (-1)^{l(v)} a_v \right) = \sum_{w \in W^\tau_\sigma} (-1)^{l(w)} a_w.
\]

\[ \square \]

**6. Some homological algebra**

Let \( L \) be a finite abstract simplicial complex. Later we shall assume that \( L \) is ordered, in the sense that there is a given linear ordering of its vertex set. The *face category* \( \mathcal{F}(L) \) of \( L \) is defined to be the category whose objects are the simplices of \( L \), with one morphism from \( \tau \) to \( \sigma \) whenever \( \tau \) is a face of \( \sigma \). The *augmented face category* \( \mathcal{F}^+(L) \) has the same objects and morphisms as \( \mathcal{F}(L) \), but also has one extra object (the “\(-1\)-simplex”, denoted \( \emptyset \)) equipped with one morphism to each object. If \( L \) has vertex set \( I \), each of \( \mathcal{F}(L) \) and \( \mathcal{F}^+(L) \) is isomorphic.
to a full subcategory of the category of subsets of \( I \). A cosheaf on \( L \) with values in a category \( \mathcal{C} \) is a covariant functor \( F \) from \( \mathcal{F}^+(L) \) to \( \mathcal{C} \). In the cases of interest to us, \( \mathcal{C} \) will be either abelian groups or Hilbert \( G \)-modules. A homomorphism of cosheaves on \( L \) is given by \( \phi : F \to F' \), a natural transformation from \( F \) to \( F' \).

If \( \tau \leq \sigma \) are faces of \( L \) (possibly including the \(-1\)-simplex), let \( \iota^\sigma_\tau \) denote the morphism in \( \mathcal{F}(L) \) from \( \tau \) to \( \sigma \). The morphisms of \( \mathcal{F}(L) \) are generated by those \( \iota^\sigma_\tau \) such that \( \tau \) is a codimension one face of \( \sigma \), and all relations between the morphisms are consequences of the relations

\[
\iota^\sigma_\tau \iota^\tau_\sigma = \iota^\sigma_\tau \iota^\tau_\sigma, \quad (4)
\]

where \( \sigma' \) is a codimension two face of \( \sigma \), and \( \tau, \tau' \) are the two codimension one faces of \( \sigma \) containing \( \sigma' \). To define a cosheaf \( F \) on \( L \) it suffices to specify the objects \( F(\sigma) \), and morphisms \( F(\tau) \to F(\sigma) \) for all codimension one pairs, so that relations (4) are satisfied for all codimension two pairs.

Now suppose that \( L \) is ordered. Then for any \( n \geq 0 \) the vertices of an \( n \)-simplex form an ordered set isomorphic to \( 0 < 1 < \cdots < n \). For any \( 0 \leq i \leq n \) and any \( n \)-simplex \( \sigma \), the \( i \)th face of \( \sigma \) is defined to be the \((n-1)\)-simplex spanned by all vertices of \( \sigma \) except the \( i \)th. If one writes \( \partial_i = \iota_{\tau \sigma} \), where \( \tau \) is the \( i \)th face of \( \sigma \), then the relations between the morphisms become the familiar “cosimplicial identities” \([24]\) 8.1.

A cosheaf \( F \) of abelian groups on an ordered simplicial complex \( L \) gives rise to a cochain complex \( C^*(L; F) \) defined as follows: \( C^n = 0 \) for \( n < -1 \), and for \( n \geq -1 \),

\[
C^n = \bigoplus_{\tau \in L^n} F(\tau),
\]

where the indexing set is the set of \( n \)-simplices of \( L \). Under the natural isomorphism

\[
\text{Hom}(C^n, C^{n+1}) \cong \bigoplus_{\tau \in L^n, \sigma \in L^{n+1}} \text{Hom}(F(\tau), F(\sigma)),
\]

the coboundary map \( d : C^n \to C^{n+1} \) corresponds to the matrix \( (d_{\tau \sigma}) \), where \( d_{\tau \sigma} = 0 \) unless \( \tau \) is a face of \( \sigma \), and is equal to \((-1)^i F(\iota^\tau_\sigma) \) if \( \tau \) is the \( i \)th face of \( \sigma \).

**Example 2.** For any abelian group \( N \), and any simplicial complex \( L \), there is a constant cosheaf on \( L \) denoted by \( \underline{N} \), which sends each object of \( \mathcal{F}^+(L) \) to \( N \) and each morphism to the identity map. The cohomology of the corresponding cochain complex is isomorphic to the reduced cohomology of \( L \) with coefficients in \( N \):

\[
H^* C^*(L; \underline{N}) = \overline{H}^*(L; N).
\]
Now suppose that \( M \) is a Coxeter matrix with nerve \( L \) and Artin group \( A \), and let \( N \) be a \( \mathbb{Z}A \)-module. Define a cosheaf \( \mathcal{N} \) on \( L \) by sending each object to \( N \), and for each \( \tau < \sigma \) sending the morphism \( \iota_{\tau}^{\sigma} \) to left multiplication by \( T_{\tau}^{\sigma} \). (Lemma 9 implies that this does define a cosheaf.)

One of the key observations of this paper is:

**Lemma 10.** For any Coxeter matrix, \( M \), with \( L \), \( A \) and \( N \) as above, there is a cosheaf homomorphism \( \phi : \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N} \) defined by
\[
\phi(\sigma) : n \mapsto T_{\emptyset}^{\sigma} \cdot n.
\]

**Proof.** Immediate from Lemma 9. \( \square \)

**Corollary 11.** Let \( N \) be a \( \mathbb{Z}A \)-module upon which \( T_{\emptyset}^{\sigma} \) acts isomorphically for each \( \sigma \in L \). Then
\[
H^*C^*(L; \mathcal{N}) \cong \overline{H}^*(L; N)
\]

**Proof.** In this case the map described in Lemma 10 is an isomorphism of cosheaves, and so induces an isomorphism of cochain complexes \( C^*(L; \mathcal{N}) \to C^*(L; \mathcal{N}) \). \( \square \)

7. **The Salvetti Complex**

Let \( M \) be a spherical Coxeter matrix on a set \( I \) of cardinality \( n \). By definition the associated Coxeter group \( W \) is finite, and so admits a standard representation as an orthogonal reflection group on \( \mathbb{R}^n \). In this case, Salvetti [22, 23] defined a regular CW-complex \( X' \) which is equivariantly homotopy equivalent to \( Y \), the complexification of \( \mathbb{R}^n \) minus the hyperplanes fixed by reflections in \( W \). Each closed cell of \( X' \) is naturally identified with a face of a certain convex polytope associated to \( W \) (namely the convex hull of a generic \( W \)-orbit in \( \mathbb{R}^n \)). Such a polytope is called a “Coxeter cell”. The group \( W \) acts freely on \( X' \). The quotient space, denoted by \( X_I \) or \( X \), is called the Salvetti complex, and has fundamental group \( A \).

As mentioned in the introduction, the definition of \( X \) was extended to arbitrary Coxeter matrices in [7]. As before, there is a regular \( W \)-CW-complex \( X' \) such that each closed cell is a Coxeter cell and such that \( X' \) is homotopy equivalent to a suitably defined hyperplane complement \( Y \). The quotient space \( X = X'/W \) is the Salvetti complex, and has fundamental group \( A \). The space \( X \) has one open cell \( e_\sigma \) for each spherical subset \( \sigma \) of \( I \). Moreover the closure of \( e_\sigma \) in \( X \) is the subcomplex \( X_\sigma \) corresponding to the spherical Artin group \( A_\sigma \).
**Example** 3. Let $\mathbb{T}^n$ denote the usual cubical structure on the $n$-torus, with one $k$-dimensional cell for each subset of $I = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ of cardinality $k$. In the case when $W = (\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$, then $A = \mathbb{Z}^n$, and $X = \mathbb{T}^n$.

More generally, if $A$ is right-angled with generating set $I$ of cardinality $n$, then $X$ is a subcomplex of $\mathbb{T}^n$, consisting of those cells of $\mathbb{T}^n$ that correspond to spherical subsets of $I$.

It is proved in [7] that the poset of cells in $X'$ can be identified with $W \times \mathcal{S}$, where the partial order given by incidence of faces is given by $(w, \tau) < (v, \sigma)$ if and only if $\tau < \sigma$ and $v^{-1}w \in W_\sigma$. Henceforth we identify a cell with the corresponding element of $W \times \mathcal{S}$. The cell corresponding to $(v, \sigma)$ is a Coxeter cell associated to $W_\sigma$; its vertex set is the set $\{(vu, \emptyset): u \in W_\emptyset\}$ and its dimension is $\text{Card}(\sigma)$.

An edge or 1-cell of $X'$ has the form $(w, \{i\})$ for some $w \in W$ and $i \in I$. Orient this edge by declaring $(w, \emptyset)$ to be its initial vertex and $(ws_i, \emptyset)$ to be its terminal vertex. Since the $W$-action preserves the edge orientations, this gives rise to an orientation of the edges of $X = X'/W$. Let $\{e_i: i \in I\}$ denote the set of edges of $X$ with their induced orientation. Since $X$ has only one vertex, each $e_i$ gives rise to an oriented loop. By definition the generator $a_i$ of the Artin group $A = \pi_1(X)$ is the homotopy class of the oriented loop around $e_i$.

A vertex $x$ of a cell $C$ in $X'$ is called a top vertex (resp. bottom vertex) of $C$ if each edge of $C$ that contains $x$ points away from $x$ (resp. towards $x$). Each cell $(v, \sigma)$ of $X'$ has a unique top vertex, $(v, \emptyset)$, and a unique bottom vertex $(vu_\sigma, \emptyset)$, where $u_\sigma$ denotes the element of longest length in the finite Coxeter group $W_\sigma$.

Let $\tilde{X}$ denote the universal cover of $X$ (or equivalently of $X'$). The orientations of the edges of $X'$ lift to orientations on the edges of $\tilde{X}$. Each cell of $\tilde{X}$ then also has a unique top vertex and a unique bottom vertex. The 1-skeleton of $\tilde{X}$ is an oriented graph and each edge is labelled by an element of $\{a_i: i \in I\}$ so that if $e$ is an oriented edge labelled by $a_i$ whose initial vertex is $x$, then the terminal vertex of $e$ is $x \cdot a_i$.

Now fix a lift $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}$ of the vertex $(1, \emptyset)$ of $X'$. This gives an identification of the vertex set of $\tilde{X}$ with $A$ and of the 1-skeleton of $\tilde{X}$ with the Cayley graph of the standard presentation of $A$.

For each $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}$, let $\tilde{e}_\sigma$ be the lift of the cell $(1, \sigma)$ whose top vertex is $\tilde{x}$. Using the $A$-action we get an identification of the poset of cells in $\tilde{X}$ with $A \times \mathcal{S}$. The partial order on $A \times \mathcal{S}$ corresponding to “is a face of” is defined by $(b, \tau) < (a, \sigma)$ if and only if $a = ba_u$ for some
u \in W^\tau_\sigma$. Thus for a given cell \((a, \sigma)\) of \(\tilde{X}\), its set of faces of type \(\tau\) is \\{\((aa_u, \tau) : u \in W^\tau_\sigma\}\).

Once we have fixed an ordering on \(I\) there is an induced orientation for each cell of \(\tilde{X}\): a cell \(C\) with top vertex \(x\) is oriented so that the edges at \(x\) in their natural order give an oriented basis for the tangent space of \(C\) at \(x\) (recall that \(C\) may be viewed as a convex polytope in \(\mathbb{R}^n\) for some \(n\)). Suppose that \(D\) is a codimension one face of type \(\tau = \sigma - \{i_j\}\). Suppose also that \(D\) has the same top vertex as \(C\). In this case the natural orientation of \(D\) and its orientation induced by the orientation on \(C\) (by taking an outward pointing normal) differ by the sign \(\epsilon(\sigma, \tau)\) defined by \(\epsilon(\sigma, \tau) = (-1)^{l-1}\).

If \((v, \sigma)\) is a cell of \(X'\), then the (right) action of \(W_\sigma\) on the cell (induced by the action on its vertex set) is such that the action of \(u \in W_\sigma\) changes the sign of the orientation by \((-1)^{l(u)}\). Hence we have a formula for the boundary maps in the cellular chain complex \(C_*(X')\):

\[
\partial(v, \sigma) = \sum_\tau \epsilon(\sigma, \tau) \sum_{u \in W^\tau_\sigma} (-1)^{l(u)}(vu, \tau),
\]

where the summation is over all codimension one faces \(\tau\) of \(\sigma\). Similarly, we have a formula for the boundary maps in \(C_*(\tilde{X})\):

\[
\delta(a\tilde{e}_\sigma) = \delta(a, \sigma) = \sum_\tau \epsilon(\sigma, \tau) \sum_{u \in W^\tau_\sigma} (-1)^{l(u)}(aa_u, \tau)
= a \sum_\tau \tilde{e}_\tau T^\tau_\sigma \cdot \epsilon(\tau, \sigma).
\]

Remark. Note the similarity with the formula occuring in Lemma 9. An alternative proof of Lemma 9 can be given by considering the oriented incidence relation between cells in \(\tilde{X}\) of types \(\tau\), \(\sigma\) and \(\rho\), where \(\tau \subset \sigma \subset \rho\).

The cells \(\tilde{e}_\sigma\) for \(\sigma \in S\) form a \(ZA\)-basis for the cellular chain groups of \(\tilde{X}\). In matrix terms, the \(i\)th cellular chain group of \(\tilde{X}\) may be viewed as consisting of row vectors over \(ZA\), with the standard basis elements corresponding to the cells \(\tilde{e}_\sigma\), where \(\sigma\) ranges over the subsets of \(S\) of size \(i\). The \(ZA\)-action is the standard left action, and the boundary map in the chain complex is given by right multiplication by a matrix whose entry in the \((\sigma, \tau)\)-position is \(\epsilon(\tau, \sigma)T^\tau_\sigma\) if \(\tau \subset \sigma\) and is zero otherwise.

Now suppose that \(N\) is any \(ZA\)-module. After identifying \(C_i(\tilde{X})\) with a space of row vectors with entries in \(ZA\) as above, it is natural...
to identify $\text{Hom}_A(C_\ast(\tilde{X}), N)$ with a space of column vectors (of the same length) with entries in $N$, so that the evaluation map corresponds to multiplying a row vector and a column vector to produce a single element of $N$. In these terms, the coboundary map in the cochain complex $\text{Hom}_A(C_\ast(\tilde{X}), N)$ is described as left multiplication by the same matrix over $\mathbb{Z}A$ as used previously to describe the boundary map in $C_\ast(\tilde{X})$. This gives the following theorem.

**Theorem 12.** For any $A$-module $N$, there is an isomorphism between $C^\ast(X; N) = \text{Hom}_A(C_\ast(\tilde{X}), N)$ as defined at the beginning of Section 3 and $C^{\ast-1}(L; N)$ as defined in Section 6.

In the spherical case, a statement equivalent to the above theorem is contained in [23, 11], and it is used to compute some cohomology.

*Remark.* There is a simple description of the CW-complex $X$. Firstly, for each $\sigma \in S$ we describe a CW-complex $X_\sigma$. Start with a Coxeter cell $C_\sigma$ of type $W_\sigma$. For each $\tau < \sigma$ identify $C_\tau$ with the face of $C_\sigma$ of type $\tau$ which has the same top vertex as $C_\sigma$. Now for each $\tau < \sigma$ and each $u \in W_\tau$ glue together $C_\tau$ and $uC_\tau$ via the homeomorphism induced by $u$. The result is $X_\sigma$. To construct $X$, start with the disjoint union of the $X_\sigma$ for $\sigma \in S$, and then use the natural maps to identify $X_\tau$ with a subcomplex of $X_\sigma$ whenever $\tau < \sigma$.

*Remark.* In section 3 of [6] it is shown how to associate a “simple complex of groups” $A$ to a Coxeter matrix. The underlying poset is $S$. To each $\sigma \in S$ one associates the Artin group $A_\sigma$, and for each $\tau < \sigma$, the associated homomorphism $A_\tau \rightarrow A_\sigma$ is the natural inclusion. There is a natural projection from (the barycentric subdivision of) $X$ to the geometric realization of $S$ such that the inverse image of the vertex corresponding to $\sigma$ is a copy of $X_\sigma$. Since it follows from [12] that $X_\sigma \simeq BA_\sigma$, it follows that $X$ is an aspherical realization of the complex of groups $A$ in the sense of [17]. Thus $X$ is homotopy equivalent to the classifying space $BA$ of $A$ (which is defined as a homotopy colimit of $BA_\sigma$ over the category $S$). The main conjecture of [6] is that $BA$ (or equivalently $X$) is aspherical. This has been proved in many cases: for example, it holds if the simplicial complex $L$ is a flag complex (e.g., if the Coxeter matrix is either spherical or right-angled) and it holds whenever the dimension of $L$ is at most 1.

8. COHOMOLOGY WITH GENERIC COEFFICIENTS

This section represents a slight digression, although the methods used are similar to those that will be applied to $\ell^2$-cohomology in later sections.
Let $A$ be an Artin group with Artin generators $\{a_i : i \in I\}$, let $G$ be the abelianization of $A$, and let and let $\alpha_i$ be the image of $a_i$ in $G$. In the case when $m_{ij}$ is even, the abelianization of the Artin relator between $a_i$ and $a_j$ is trivial, and in the case when $m_{ij}$ is odd the abelianization of the Artin relator is $\alpha_i = \alpha_j$. Hence one sees that $G$ is free abelian, of rank equal to the number of components of the graph with vertex set $I$ and an edge joining $i$ to $j$ if and only if $m_{ij}$ is odd. In particular, $G$ is never trivial. Let $m$ denote the rank of $G$, and (after changing the ordering on $I$), suppose that $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m$ freely generate $G$.

Now suppose that $k$ is a field of characteristic zero, and that $N$ is a $kA$-module whose underlying $k$-vector space is 1-dimensional. The action of $A$ on $N$ factors through $G$, a free abelian group of rank $m$, and so $N$ is classified up to isomorphism by an $m$-tuple $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m) \in (k^*)^m$, where $k^* = k - \{0\}$ and for $1 \leq i \leq m$, the generator $\alpha_i$ acts on $N$ as multiplication by $\lambda_i$. As an illustration of the methods we shall apply to $\ell^2$-cohomology, we shall compute the cohomology of the Salvetti complex $X$ with local coefficients $N$ for “generic $N$”, i.e., for $N$ corresponding to elements of a dense Zariski open subset of $(k^*)^m$.

**Theorem 13.** For a generic 1-dimensional $kA$-module $N$, there is an isomorphism

$$\overline{H}^{*-1}(L; k) \cong H^*(X; N)$$

**Proof.** Each side of the supposed isomorphism is isomorphic to the cohomology of $L$ with coefficients in a certain cosheaf:

$$\overline{H}^*(L; k) \cong \overline{H}^*(L; N) \cong H^*C^*(L; N)$$

and by Theorem 12,

$$C^*(X; N) \cong C^{*-1}(L; N).$$

It therefore suffices to show that for generic $N$, the cosheaf homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ described in Lemma 10 is an isomorphism. Equivalently, it suffices to show that for each simplex $\sigma$ of $L$, multiplication by $T^\phi_\sigma$ is an isomorphism for generic $N$.

For $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m) \in (k^*)^m$, let $\psi^\Lambda : ZG \rightarrow k$ be defined by $\psi^\Lambda(a_i) = \lambda_i$, and let $\psi^\Lambda : ZA \rightarrow k$ be the composite of the projection $ZA \rightarrow ZG$ and $\psi^\Lambda$. For each $\sigma \in L$, the set of $\Lambda$ such that $\psi^\Lambda(T^\phi_\sigma) = 0$ is a closed subset of $(k^*)^m$. The union over all $\sigma$ of these closed sets is not the whole of $(k^*)^m$, since in the case when $\Lambda = (-1, -1, \cdots, -1)$, $\psi^\Lambda(T^\phi_\sigma) = \text{Card}(W_\sigma)$. Since $(k^*)^m$ is connected, it follows that the set of $\Lambda$ for which each $\psi^\Lambda(T^\phi_\sigma) \neq 0$ is open and dense in $(k^*)^m$ as required. \(\square\)
Remark. The theorem does not hold for arbitrary 1-dimensional coefficients, as can be seen by comparing the case of “generic” 1-dimensional coefficients $N$ with the trivial 1-dimensional module $k$. As a first example, consider $H^1$. For any $A$, $H^1(A; k)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\text{Hom}(A, k)$, which has $k$-dimension equal to the rank of the abelianization of $A$. In particular it is non-zero for every Artin group, whereas for $A$ of spherical type and generic $N$, we have seen that $H^*(A; N) = \{0\}$. (Note that in the case when $A$ is of spherical type, $H^*(A; k)$ is computed in [4].)

As a further example, consider the case when $A$ is right-angled. In this case it may be shown that $H^i(A; k)$ is isomorphic to the “exterior face ring of $L$”, defined by taking the exterior algebra over $k$ with generators $\{x_i : i \in I\}$ with each $x_i$ in degree 1, and adding in the relation $x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_m} = 0$ whenever $i_1, \ldots, i_m$ do not form the vertex set of a simplex of $L$ [18, 13]. In particular, the rank of $H^i(X; k)$ is equal to the number of $i$-simplices in $L$ for each $i > 0$.

9. REDUCED $\ell^2$-COHOMOLOGY

Each Artin group of spherical type has a non-trivial centre. If $A$ is a spherical Artin group and $w$ is the longest element of the corresponding Coxeter group, then the element $(a_w)^2$ is in the centre of $A$ (c.f. [12]). Note that the expression for this element contains only positive powers of the Artin generators, and so in particular its image under the standard homomorphism $A \to \mathbb{Z}$ is non-zero.

Lemma 14. Suppose that $A$ is an Artin group of spherical type, and that $A'$ is a normal subgroup of $A$ contained in the kernel of the standard homomorphism. Let $X' \to X$ be the corresponding covering space of $X$ and let $G = A/A'$. For each $i$, the Hilbert $G$-module $\mathcal{H}(X')$ is the zero module.

Proof. In view of the remarks preceding the statement of Lemma 14, this is a special case of Corollary 7. □

Proposition 15. Let $H \leq G$, and let $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}H$. Then left multiplication by $\xi$ induces a weak isomorphism of $\ell^2(H)$ if and only if it induces a weak isomorphism of $\ell^2(G)$.

Proof. Consider $\mathcal{H}$, defined to be the set of all functions on $G$ that are square-summable on each right coset $Hg$ of $H$. As a left $\mathbb{Z}H$-module, $\mathcal{H}$ is isomorphic to a direct product of copies of $\ell^2(H)$ indexed by the coset space $H \backslash G$. One has that $\ell^2(H) \leq \ell^2(G) \leq \mathcal{H}$, and so multiplication by $\xi$ is injective as a self-map of $\ell^2(H)$ if and only if it
is injective as a self-map of \( \ell^2(G) \). The corresponding assertion with “weak isomorphism” in place of “injective” follows from Lemma 4. □

**Lemma 16.** Suppose that \( A = A_\sigma \) is an Artin group of spherical type, and that \( A' \) is a normal subgroup of \( A \) contained in the kernel of the standard homomorphism \( A \to \mathbb{Z} \). Let \( G = G_\sigma = A/A' \), and let \( \phi_\sigma : \ell^2(G) \to \ell^2(G) \) denote left multiplication by the element \( T_\sigma^0 \in ZA_\sigma \). Then \( \phi_\sigma \) is a weak isomorphism.

**Proof.** Let \( \pi \) denote the quotient map from \( A_\sigma \) to \( G_\sigma \). For any \( \tau < \sigma \), let \( i_\tau \) denote the inclusion of \( A_\tau \) in \( A_\sigma \), and define a subgroup \( G_\tau \leq G_\sigma \) by \( G_\tau = \pi \circ i_\tau(A_\tau) \). The composite of \( i_\tau \) and the standard homomorphism for \( A_\sigma \) is equal to the standard homomorphism for \( A_\tau \), and so the pair \( (A_\tau, G_\tau) \) satisfy the hypotheses of the Lemma.

The lemma is trivially satisfied if \( \text{Card}(\sigma) = 0 \), since \( T_\sigma^0 = 1 \). Hence by induction on \( \text{Card}(\sigma) \), we may assume that for any \( \tau < \sigma \), the map \( \phi_\tau : \ell^2(G_\tau) \to \ell^2(G_\tau) \) is a weak isomorphism. Here \( \phi_\tau \) is defined to be left multiplication by the element \( T_\tau^0 \inZA_\tau \). By Proposition 15, we may assume that \( \phi_\tau : \ell^2(G_\tau) \to \ell^2(G_\tau) \) is also a weak isomorphism.

Now let \( L \) be the simplex with vertex set \( \sigma \), where \( n = \text{Card}(\sigma) \). Let \( N \) denote \( \ell^2(G_\sigma) = \ell^2(G) \), and consider the cosheaves of Hilbert \( G \)-modules on \( L \) that were denoted by \( \mathcal{N} \) and \( \mathcal{N} \) in Section 6. Note that in this case, \( C^*(L;\mathcal{N}) \) and \( C^*(L;\mathcal{N}) \) are cochain complexes of Hilbert \( G \)-modules. Since the reduced cohomology of \( L \) is trivial, the cochain complex \( C^*(L;\mathcal{N}) \) is exact. By Theorem 12 the cochain complex \( C^*(L;\mathcal{N}) \) (with a shift of degree) may be used to compute the cohomology of \( A \) with coefficients in \( \ell^2(G) \), and so by Lemma 14, it follows that \( C^*(L;\mathcal{N}) \) is weakly exact.

Now consider the cosheaf homomorphism \( \phi : \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N} \) defined in Lemma 10, and the induced map

\[
\phi^* : C^*(L;\mathcal{N}) \to C^*(L;\mathcal{N}).
\]

In degree \( i \), each of \( C^i(L;\mathcal{N}) \) and \( C^i(L;\mathcal{N}) \) is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of \( \ell^2(G) \) indexed by the subsets \( \tau \leq \sigma \) with \( \text{Card}(\tau) = i \). With respect to these bases, the map \( \phi^i \) is given by a diagonal matrix, whose \((\tau,\tau)\)-entry is the map \( \phi_\tau \). By induction, this map is a weak isomorphism in each degree except possibly \( n \), the top degree. Consider the following commutative diagram, consisting of the right-hand ends of the two cochain complexes.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\rightarrow & C^{n-1}(L;\mathcal{N}) & \to \ C^n(L;\mathcal{N}) \\
\downarrow \phi_{n-1} & \delta' & \downarrow \phi_n \\
\rightarrow & C^{n-1}(L;\mathcal{N}) & \to \ C^n(L;\mathcal{N})
\end{array}
\]
This can be rewritten as follows, where \( \tau \) runs over the subsets of \( \sigma \) of size \( n - 1 \).

\[
\rightarrow \bigoplus_{\tau} \ell^2(G) \xrightarrow{\delta^r} \ell^2(G) \xrightarrow{\phi_\sigma} \ell^2(G)
\]

The coboundary map \( \delta' \) is surjective, the coboundary map \( \delta \) is weakly surjective, and by induction the left-hand vertical map is a weak isomorphism. It follows that the right-hand vertical map, \( \phi_\sigma : \ell^2(G) \rightarrow \ell^2(G) \) is weakly surjective. The claim now follows from Lemma 4.

\[\square\]

**Corollary 17.** Let \( A \) be any Artin group, let \( A' \) be a normal subgroup of \( A \) contained in the kernel of the standard homomorphism \( A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \), let \( G = A/A' \), and let \( N = \ell^2(G) \). Then the map \( \phi : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \) defined in Lemma 10 is a weak isomorphism of cosheaves of Hilbert \( G \)-modules on the simplicial complex \( L \).

**Proof.** On each object \( \sigma \in S \), the map \( \phi(\sigma) : \mathcal{N}(\sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\sigma) \) is equal to left multiplication by \( T^\emptyset_\sigma \). By Lemma 16 and Proposition 15, each of these maps is a weak isomorphism. \[\square\]

The next Corollary is immediate from the above.

**Corollary 18.** With notation as in Corollary 17, the induced map of cochain complexes

\[
\phi^* : C^*(L; \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow C^*(L; \mathcal{N})
\]

is a weak isomorphism.

The proofs of Theorems 1 and 3 are now completed by combining the description of \( \mathcal{H}(X') \) as the reduced cohomology of \( C^*(L; \mathcal{N}) \), given in Theorem 12, with Corollary 18 and Lemma 5.

10. **Closing remarks**

Although we have chosen to work with real \( \ell^2 \)-cohomology throughout, everything that we have done works equally well for complex \( \ell^2 \)-cohomology, defined in terms of the complex Hilbert space \( \ell^2_{\mathbb{C}}(G) \) of functions from \( G \) to the complex numbers for which the square of the modulus is summable.

Two other methods for proving Theorems 1 and 3 have been suggested to the authors. One of these, which was suggested by Tadeusz Januszkiewicz, gives some information concerning the \( \ell^2 \)-cohomology of arbitrary hyperplane arrangements and will be described in another publication. The other method, suggested to us by Wolfgang Lück and Thomas Schick, involves a spectral sequence argument. The advantage
of this method seems to be that it requires fewer explicit calculations with the Salvetti complex. However, it appears to give a weaker result than the method used above. To simplify the notation, we shall discuss this proof for Theorem 1 but not for Theorem 3.

Recall from Section 7 that for a general Artin group $A$, the Salvetti complex $X$ may be expressed as a union of subcomplexes $X_\sigma$, where $\sigma$ ranges over the spherical subsets of the Artin generating set, and $X_\sigma$ is a copy of the Salvetti complex for $A_\sigma$. Let $Y_\sigma$ be the subcomplex of $\tilde{X}$, the universal cover of $X$, consisting of lifts of cells of $X_\sigma$. Each $Y_\sigma$ is a free $A$-CW-complex. By Corollary 8 and Proposition 15, the reduced $\ell^2$-cohomology of $Y_\sigma$ vanishes for $\sigma \neq \emptyset$. In contrast, $Y_\emptyset$ is just the 0-skeleton of $\tilde{X}$, which consists of a single free $G$-orbit of cells. Hence the reduced $\ell^2$-cohomology of $Y_\emptyset$ is a copy of $\ell^2(G)$ in degree zero.

One may construct a “Mayer-Vietoris double chain complex” $C_{*,*}$ for $\tilde{X}$ expressed as the union of the $Y_\sigma$. Let $L$ denote the set of maximal simplices in $L$, define $Y(\emptyset) = \tilde{X}$, and for $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq L$, define $Y(S) = \bigcap_{\sigma \in S} Y_\sigma$. Define $C_{*,0}$ to be the cellular chain complex for $\tilde{X}$, and for $j > 0$ define $C_{*,j}$ to be the direct sum over the subsets $S \subseteq L$ of size $j$ of the cellular chain complex for $Y(S)$. The boundary maps in $C_{*,*}$ of degree $(-1,0)$ are the boundary maps in the chain complexes $C_{*,*}(Y(S))$, and the boundary maps of degree $(0,1)$ are given by the matrices whose $(S,T)$-entry is $\epsilon(S,T)$ times the map induced by the inclusion of $Y(S)$ in $Y(T)$, where $\epsilon(S,T) = (-1)^i$ if $T$ is obtained from $S$ by omitting the $i$th element of $S$ (for some fixed ordering of $L$). By construction, this double complex has trivial homology, since the boundary map of degree $(0,1)$ is exact. Since each $C_{i,j}$ is free, it follows that for each $i$, the chain complex $C_{i,*}$ is split exact.

Now suppose that $N$ is a $\mathbb{Z}A$-module such that for each $\sigma \in L$, $H^*(A_\sigma; N) = \{0\}$. Define a double cochain complex by

$$E^m_{0,*} = \text{Hom}_A(C_{*,*}, N),$$

and let $E^m_{*,*}$ denote the spectral sequence in which the differential $d_0$ is induced by the boundary map of degree $(-1,0)$ on $C_{*,*}$. The boundary map on $E^m_{0,*}$ of degree $(0,1)$ is exact, and so the homology of the total complex for $E^m_{0,*}$ is zero. It follows that the $E_\infty$-page of the spectral sequence is identically zero. The $E_1$-page has $E^{i,0}_1 \cong H^i(A; N)$, $E^{i,j}_1 = \{0\}$ if both $i > 0$ and $j > 0$, and $E^{0,j}_1$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of $N$, indexed by those $j$-element subsets of $L$ such that the intersection of the corresponding simplices of $L$ is empty.

The structure of the $E_1$-page implies that $E^{i,j}_2 \cong E^{i,j}_1$ for $i > 0$, and it may be shown that $E^{0,j}_2 \cong \underline{H}^j(L; N)$. To see this, note that the
cochain complex $E_1^{0,*}$ embeds as a subcomplex in an exact complex $C^*$, where $C^j$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of $N$ indexed by all $j$-element subsets of $L$, and the quotient complex $C^*/E_1^{0,*}$ is isomorphic to the augmented cochain complex for the nerve of the covering of $L$ by the elements of $L$, shifted in degree by 1. The long exact sequence in cohomology coming from this short exact sequence of cochain complexes gives the claimed isomorphism.

Since the $E_\infty$-page is identically zero, and the only non-zero groups on the $E_2$-page are those $E_2^{i,j}$ for which either $i = 0$ or $j = 0$, it follows that the differential $d_r$ must be an isomorphism from $E_r^{0,r-1}$ to $E_r^{r,0} = E_1^{r,0}$. Thus we obtain

**Theorem 19.** For any $\mathbb{Z}A$-module $N$ such that $H^*(A_\sigma; N) = \{0\}$ for all non-trivial spherical subgroups $A_\sigma \leq A$, there is an isomorphism

$$H^*(X; N) \cong \overline{H}^{*-1}(L; N).$$

One corollary of this is a version of Theorem 13

**Corollary 20.** Let $k$ be a field of characteristic zero, and let $N$ be a 1-dimensional $kA$-module, such that for each non-trivial spherical subgroup $A_\sigma$, the centre of $A_\sigma$ acts non-trivially on $N$. Then $H^*(X; N) \cong \overline{H}^{*-1}(L; N)$.

**Proof.** By Proposition 6, the action of the centre $Z$ of $A_\sigma$ on $H^*(A_\sigma; N)$ is trivial. But as a $kZ$-module $H^*(A_\sigma; N)$ is isomorphic to a submodule of a direct sum of copies of $N$. The only possibility is that $H^*(A_\sigma; N) = \{0\}$. Hence Theorem 19 may be applied. □

Unfortunately, Theorem 19 does not apply directly to the case when $N = \ell^2(A)$, since we have only that the reduced $\ell^2$-cohomology groups $\mathcal{H}^*(A_\sigma)$ are equal to $\{0\}$. One way around this difficulty is to use W. Lück’s equivalence of categories (for any discrete group $G$) between the category of Hilbert $G$-modules and the category of projective modules for the group von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}(G)$, as explained in chapter 6 of [20]. The von Neumann dimension is defined for projective $\mathcal{N}(G)$-modules in such a way that it is preserved by the above equivalence of categories. Furthermore, von Neumann dimension extends to a dimension function on all (finitely generated) $\mathcal{N}(G)$-modules so that it is additive on extensions, and such that $\dim G(M) = 0$ if and only if $\text{Hom}_G(M, \mathcal{N}(G)) = \{0\}$. For any CW-complex $Y$ with fundamental group $G$, the von Neumann dimension of the ordinary homology group $H_i(Y, \mathcal{N}(G))$ is equal to the von Neumann dimension of $\mathcal{H}^i(Y)$. Hence the following statement is a weak version of Theorem 1.
Theorem 21. For any Artin group $A$ and any $i$, the von Neumann dimensions of $H_i(X; \mathcal{N}(A))$ and $\overline{H}_{i-1}(L; \mathcal{N}(A)) \cong \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \overline{H}_{i-1}(L)$ are equal.

Proof. Use the homology version of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence described above. In more detail, define a double chain complex

$$E^0_{n,s} = \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z} A} C_{n,s}.$$

The corresponding spectral sequence has the following properties:

1. $E^\infty_{n,s} = \{0\}$;
2. $E^2_{0,j} \cong \overline{H}_j(L; N)$;
3. $E^1_{1,0} \cong H_1(A; \mathcal{N}(A))$;
4. For $i, j > 0$, $\dim_G(E^1_{i,j}) = 0$.

(The fourth of these properties follows from Corollary 7.) It may be shown that for $1 < s \leq r$,

$$\dim_G(E^s_{r, r-1}) = \dim_G(E^2_{0, r-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \dim_G(E^s_{r, 0}) = \dim_G(E^1_{r, 0}).$$

Since the $E^\infty$-page is identically zero, the map $d^r : E^r_{r,0} \to E^r_{0, r-1}$ is an isomorphism, and hence $\dim_G(E^r_{r,0}) = \dim_G(E^r_{0, r-1})$. □
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