

## The effect of high pressure torsion on the behaviour of intermetallic particles present in Al-1Mg and Al-3Mg

Jennifer Crump, Xiao Guang Qiao\*, Marco J. Starink,  
Materials Research Group, Engineering Sciences, University of Southampton, Southampton SO171BJ,  
UK

\*Corresponding author. e-mail: [xgqiao@126.com](mailto:xgqiao@126.com)

### Abstract

The effect of severe plastic deformation on intermetallic particles was investigated using high pressure torsion on an Al-1Mg-0.2Si-0.2Fe-0.3Mn alloy and an Al-3Mg-0.2Si-0.2Fe-0.3Mn (wt%) alloy. Extensive optical microscopy and scanning electron microscopy was performed to analyze the intermetallic particles using image analysis software. It was found that all intermetallic particles decreased in size with increasing strain whilst their spatial distribution was homogenised. A greater decrease in size was found for the intermetallic particles present in Al-1Mg alloy and the possible causes are discussed. Even though the strain near the centre of the sample is close to zero, refinement of intermetallic particles is substantial at this location.

**Key words:** Al-Mg alloy, High Pressure Torsion, Intermetallic, Particles fracture

### 1 Introduction

High pressure torsion (HPT) gives excellent grain refinement and strengthening of metals and alloys [1]. HPT differs from conventional torsion by imposing a large hydrostatic pressure of up to several GPa during processing. The HPT sample is a disk, typically with a diameter from 10 to 20 mm and a thickness of about 1 mm [2,3]. During HPT, the disk-shaped specimen is put into an almost closed die and a compressing force is imposed on top of the sample. Then one of the platens is rotated to impose a shear strain in the sample. A large accumulated strain and grain refinement can be achieved through HPT.

Despite extensive research existing on SPD (for instance on grain refinement [4,5,6] and hardening [6, 7]), not much work exists on the behaviour of intermetallic particles present in the alloys. Intermetallic particles are present in virtually all commercial alloys, and there are two main reasons for this. Firstly, in many cases it is prohibitively expensive to remove all impurities from the master alloys used for casting. Thus Al alloys will normally always contain some of the main impurities Fe and Si and non-soluble intermetallic particles containing 2 or more of the elements Al, Fe and Si, plus any intentional alloying additions [ 8 ]. Secondly, non-soluble intermetallic phases can be generated (or modified) due to

intentional alloying additions. As a result virtually all Al-based alloys in commercial use contain intermetallic particles, typically at 1 to 3 vol% [8,9,10,11]. These particles have a range of effects, some positive, some negative. For instance, in most high strength Al based alloys intermetallic particles reduce fracture toughness [12,13] and increase quench sensitivity [14]. In many Al-Mg based alloys Fe, Si and Mn are intentionally added to obtain intermetallic particles that are beneficial in controlling microstructure development during thermomechanical processing [15,16]. Study of particle breakup during SPD is further relevant because of potential application of SPD to recycle type Al based alloys, which contain substantial amounts of coarse intermetallic particles which need to be refined to enhance applicability of the alloys [17].

With a view to commercialising SPD of light alloys it is thus important to understand the changes in metallic particles induced by the high strains. The large strain and stress during HPT is expected to enable HPT to break the hard particles in the matrix and to obtain finer particles. Fine and homogeneously distributed particles in ultra fine-grained (UFG) materials significantly improve the strength/hardness [ 18 ], especially for Al alloys. Remarkable refinement of coarse Si particles of Al-7wt%Si was achieved through five turns of HPT [19].

Furthermore, stable fine particles can also improve thermal stability of the UFG microstructure through inhibiting grain growth [20].

The fundamental aim of this study is to provide a detailed quantitative analysis on how the intermetallic particles present in Al-Mg based alloys behave when subjected to HPT. The alloys studied are Al-(1-3)Mg-0.2Si-0.2Fe-0.3Mn alloys, in which  $\alpha$ -Al<sub>12</sub>(Fe,Mn)<sub>3</sub>Si and/or Mg<sub>2</sub>Si have been identified by thermodynamics simulation and experiments [21, 22]. Extensive optical microscopy and SEM will be performed on samples subjected to varying degrees of HPT.

Table 1 Chemical composition of alloys [21,22]

Wt.%	Mg	Cu	Mn	Fe	Si	Zn	Ti	Cr	B
Alloy									
Al-1Mg	1.02	<0.01	0.25	0.22	0.16	0.003	0.014	0.001	0.0018
Al-3Mg	2.95	<0.01	0.24	0.20	0.15	0.003	0.013	0.001	0.0018

From these alloy sheets disks of 9.8 mm in diameter were machined. The disks were ground and polished to 0.85 mm and subsequently processed by HPT up to 16 turns at room temperature. During HPT a pressure of 6 GPa was imposed on the disks and the anvil was rotated at a speed of 1 rpm. The processed disks were then cold mounted, ground and polished. The intermetallic particles in disks after HPT were observed by an Olympus BH2 optical microscope (OM) and a JEOL JSM 6500F thermal field emission gun scanning electron microscope (FEG-SEM). OM and SEM images were analysed by image processing software ImageJ and the cross sectional area was chosen as a measure of the size. Measurement was performed on an area of 50  $\mu\text{m}$   $\times$  50  $\mu\text{m}$ , and particle size smaller than 0.05  $\mu\text{m}^2$  were ignored. Both the standard deviation and the standard error of the mean (the standard deviation divided by the square root of the number of particles measured) were calculated. In the figures below the standard error of the mean is provided.

The hardness of the samples of several HPT samples was tested using a Vickers microhardness tester set at constant load 300g for 15 sec.

### 3 Results

The intermetallic particles in as-received condition were stretched along the rolling direction; see Fig. 1 and Fig. 2

## 2 Experimental

This work was carried out on two Al-Mg based alloys supplied by Alcan Banbury Laboratory UK; their compositions are shown in Table 1. The alloys will be referred to throughout this paper as Al-1Mg and Al-3Mg. The alloys were direct chill (DC) cast and the ingots were preheated to 540°C for four hours followed by hot rolling down to 5 mm. After this the plates were solution treated at 500°C for 20 minutes, quenched and then cold rolled with a rolling reduction of 60%.

a. After HPT, the particle distribution becomes more homogenous (see e.g. Fig. 2 b).

Fig. 3 shows SEM images of the intermetallic particles of Al-1Mg and Al-3Mg processed by HPT. White particles are observed in both alloys and EDS analysis (see Fig. 3 c) in conjunction with earlier investigations [21,22] allows identification of these particles as  $\alpha$ -Al<sub>12</sub>(Fe,Mn)Si. Black particles are observed in Al-3Mg only and EDS analysis (see Fig. 3 d) in conjunction with earlier investigations [21,22] allows identification of these particles as Mg<sub>2</sub>Si. In a high magnification SEM image (Fig. 4), a breaking particle is also observed.

Fig. 5 shows the measured particle size for the two alloys for samples processed for up to 16 turns by HPT, as measured from OM images. These results show that both Al-1Mg and Al-3Mg show evidence of their intermetallic particles decreasing in size with increasing strain.

Fig. 6 shows the average area of intermetallic particles of Al-1Mg and Al-3Mg after various strains by HPT, as measured from OM images. The area measurement was performed on the different positions on the HPT disks from centre to edge. The equivalent strain of each position is calculated through [6,23].

$$\gamma = \frac{2\pi Nr}{h} \quad \text{Eq 1}$$

$$\varepsilon = \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\right) \ln \left[ \left(1 + \frac{\gamma^2}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{\gamma}{2} \right] \quad \text{Eq 2}$$

where  $\gamma$  is shear strain,  $N$  is the number of rotations,  $r$  is the distance from the centre of the sample and  $h$  is its thickness,  $\varepsilon$  is the equivalent strain. The reported strain is the average strain on the  $50 \mu\text{m} \times 50 \mu\text{m}$  area over which the particle size is measured.

Fig. 6 shows that prior to HPT, i.e. after rolling, the particles in the Al-3Mg alloy are larger than in the Al-1Mg alloy. This is reversed in the course of HPT: after a strain of about 4 the particles of both alloys are refined to a similar size and at strain 7.5 the intermetallic particles in the Al-1Mg are finer than those in the Al-3Mg alloy. The particle size of Al-3Mg remains about constant after strain of 4. It is worth noting that the particle size at the centre of HPT disks, where the equivalent strain is theoretically zero (see Eqs 1 and 2), is smaller than the particle size of the alloy in as-received condition. Microhardness of Al-1Mg and Al-3Mg are also presented in Fig. 6. Hardness of both alloys increases with increasing equivalent strain and Al-3Mg is consistently about 40Hv harder than Al-1Mg.

Fig. 7 shows the size of  $\alpha\text{-Al}_{12}(\text{Fe},\text{Mn})_3\text{Si}$  and  $\text{Mg}_2\text{Si}$  of Al-3Mg at centre and edge after 4 and 16 turns of HPT.  $\alpha\text{-Al}_{12}(\text{Fe},\text{Mn})_3\text{Si}$  particles are much smaller than  $\text{Mg}_2\text{Si}$  particles. The  $\alpha\text{-Al}_{12}(\text{Fe},\text{Mn})_3\text{Si}$  particle size decreases at both centre and near the edge of the sample with increasing the HPT turn number. However, for the  $\text{Mg}_2\text{Si}$  particle, a significant decrease in size is only observed at edge after 16 turns of HPT.

#### 4 Discussion

Hard non-shearable particles impede dislocation movement during deformation and the dislocation bypasses the particle by leaving a dislocation loop around it, which is so called Orowan bypassing mechanism [24]. With increasing strains, dislocations continuously move to particles and form loops, which cause stress concentration on the particle/matrix interface. The particle breaks when the fracture strength is exceeded. The dislocations also cause hardening of the alloy and grain refinement. Additional transmission electron microscopy work (not presented here) confirmed that the HPT processing caused

substantial grain refinement in the alloys in line with other reports on similar alloys [1,2,5,25,26,27,28,29]. Vickers microhardness tests confirmed that the alloys experience significant strain hardening during HPT (see Fig. 6). (But note that the refining of the particles should have no direct influence on hardness or strength because they are still too large.)

In the present study, the intermetallic particle size of Al-1Mg and Al-3Mg decreases with increasing strain because of the particle breakage (see Fig. 4). However, the rate of particle refinement at strains below 3 is larger in the Al-3Mg alloy as compared to the Al-1Mg alloy (see Fig. 6), and at strains 5 to 7.5 the particle size of Al-1Mg is smaller than that of Al-3Mg (see Fig. 6). If we just consider continuum mechanics, the main factors influencing the stresses experienced by each intermetallic particle would be their shape and size and the flow stress of the matrix immediately adjacent to the particle, with higher flow stress causing greater stresses on the particle [30]. Following this, the main reason for the more rapid refinement at strains up to 3 in the Al-3Mg alloy is that the particle size of Al-3Mg in as-received condition is larger than that of Al-1Mg and this causes larger stresses on the particles. But it is clear that basic continuum mechanics cannot explain the stronger refinement in the Al-1Mg alloy at strains over 4, as the Al-1Mg is the weaker of the two alloys.

Instead, one reason for the limited particle refinement in the Al-3Mg alloy is thought to lie in the stronger grain refinement experienced in this alloy. It has been shown that increased Mg content strongly increases grain refinement during SPD. A recent model of grain refinement [25] (tested against substantial a large database on grain refinement) indicates that at strain 4 the grain size in the Al-3Mg alloy is about 100nm and in the Al-1Mg alloy it is about 400nm. Hence the Al-3Mg alloy will be much more able to accommodate the macroscopic strain due to the torsion in grain boundaries, and less strain needs to be accommodated by the intermetallic particles.

Also the presence of  $\text{Mg}_2\text{Si}$  particles in the Al-3Mg alloy is thought to have some influence on the evolution of the particle size seen in optical microscopy.  $\text{Mg}_2\text{Si}$  is often used as a reinforcement particle in Mg matrix composites [31] and is a very hard intermetallic (hardness is about 450 kg/mm<sup>2</sup> [32]). Thermodynamic modelling predictions suggest that about 20vol% of the intermetallic particles in

the Al-3Mg alloys are  $Mg_2Si$  [22,33]. The size of  $Mg_2Si$  is much larger than  $\alpha-Al_{12}(Fe,Mn)_3Si$  (see Fig. 3 b and Fig. 7) and it does not decrease very much at HPT turns 4 to 16 (see Fig. 7 b). A small decrease of 15% in size is only observed at edge after 16 turns of HPT (see Fig. 7 b). As a result, the mean particle area of Al-3Mg by averaging the size of  $\alpha-Al_{12}(Fe,Mn)_3Si$  and  $Mg_2Si$  is larger than that of Al-1Mg after larger strains. It is further possible that the Al-rich phase adjacent to the  $Mg_2Si$  particle has a reduced Mg content (due to Mg diffusion towards the particle), which causes a local softened layer around the particle, which reduces stresses on it [30].

The particle size measured at the disc centre differs substantially between the samples processed to several turns (see Fig. 6), even though, in theory, the strain would be zero in all cases. The reason for this is thought to lie in the deviations in location of the centre of the disc, which are estimated to be up to 100 $\mu m$ , which means that the measured particles will in fact have experienced substantial strain of up to 2.3 (16 turns).

Even though the strain near the centre of the sample is close to zero, Fig 6 shows that refinement of intermetallic particles is substantial at this location. For instance, the particle refinement for the centre of the Al-1Mg alloy at 16 turns (strain  $1 \pm_{0.0}^{1.3}$ ) is similar to that in samples that experienced a strain of about 6. It is thought that this is predominantly due to the strong strain gradient, which causes the generation of geometrically necessary dislocations (GNDs) [6,29] which will cause additional stresses on the intermetallic particles. For instance, at 25  $\mu m$  from the centre for a sample processed by 16 turns the density of GNDs is about  $5 \times 10^{14} m^{-2}$  (see e.g. [6,29]), which is similar to the density of statistically stored dislocations generated for ~4 turns at 2mm from the centre.

Overall, the present results show that whilst HPT is able to further refine the intermetallic particles present in these

rolled alloys, the level of refinement is limited. Thus one has to take into account that for SPD processed Al alloys, which will have a high strength due to the high dislocation densities and refined grain size, toughness and ductility can be reduced due to these coarse intermetallic particles.

## 5 Conclusions

In this work extensive analysis of the behaviour of intermetallic particles present in Al-1Mg and Al-3Mg subjected to HPT was studied. The following conclusions are drawn:

- The intermetallic particles decrease in size for both Al-1Mg and Al-3Mg whilst the spatial distribution is homogenised.
- The average intermetallic particle size at strains greater than 5 is smaller for the Al-1Mg alloy.
- The  $Mg_2Si$  intermetallic particles are larger in size than the  $\alpha-Al_{12}(Fe,Mn)_3Si$  intermetallic particles but much lower in abundance. It is also suggested that these particles are stronger and require greater dislocation pile-ups to fracture.
- Even though the strain near the centre of the sample is close to zero, refinement of intermetallic particles is substantial at this location.

## Acknowledgements

XGQ thanks funding from the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) PhD plus under Grant No. EP/P503841/1. Dr Zhihua Zhu and Dr G. Mahon are gratefully acknowledged for rolling of the alloys, and Dr Zhihua Zhu is additionally acknowledged for performing additional SEM work (for Fig. 1). Mr William Vousden is gratefully acknowledged for microhardness testing. Dr Nong Gao is gratefully acknowledged for discussion of HPT.






Fig. 1 SEM image of rolled Al-3Mg alloy (i.e. pre-HPT). The particles are aligned in the rolling direction.

Fig 2




Fig. 2 OM images show particles of Al-3Mg. (a) as-received; (b) 16 turns of HPT

Fig 3




Fig. 3 SEM images of four turns of HPT processed ( a ) Al-1Mg at edge of sample and ( b ) Al-3Mg at centre of sample and particles identification by EDS. ( c ) dark and ( d ) white particles in ( b ) are identified as  $\alpha$ -Al<sub>12</sub>(Fe,Mn)Si and Mg<sub>2</sub>Si, respectively.




Fig. 4 A breaking particle observed in Al-1Mg processed by 12 turns of HPT.

Fig 5 a




Fig 5 b




Fig. 5 Measured particle size (mean particle area) for (a) Al-1Mg; and (b) Al-3Mg for samples processed for up to 16 turns by HPT. The particle size shown in (b) is average size of  $\alpha$ -Al<sub>12</sub>(Fe,Mn)Si and Mg<sub>2</sub>Si.

Fig 6 (a)




Fig 6 (b)




Fig. 6 Dependency of (a) the intermetallic particle size and (b) material hardness on the equivalent strain.






Fig. 7 Effect of HPT turn number on the mean size of intermetallic particles of Al-3Mg measured by SEM. (a)  $\alpha$ -Al<sub>12</sub>(Fe,Mn)<sub>3</sub>Si, (b) Mg<sub>2</sub>Si. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean.

## References:

- [1] Valiev RZ, Estrin Y, Horita Z, Langdon TG, Zehetbauer MJ, Zhu YT (2006) *JOM* 58:33-39.
- [2] Valiev RZ, Alexandrov IV (2002) *Ann.Chim.Sci.Mat.* 27:3-14.
- [3] Xu C, Horita Z, Langdon TG (2007) *Acta Mater* 55:203-212.
- [4] Zhilyaev AP, Nurislamova GV, Kim BK, Baró MD, Szpunar JA, Langdon TG (2003) *Acta Mater* 51:753-765.
- [5] Sakai G, Horita Z, Langdon TG (2005) *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* 393:344-351.
- [6] Zhang J, Starink MJ, Gao N (2011) *Mater Sci Eng A* 528:2581-2591.
- [7] Kawasaki M, Figueiredo RB, Langdon TG (2011) *Acta Mater* 59:308-316.
- [8] Wang SC, Starink MJ (2005) *Int Mater Rev* 50:193-215
- [9] Toda H, Kobayashi T, Takahashi A (2000) *Mater.Sci.Eng A* 280:69-75.
- [10] Warmuzek M, Mrowka G, Sieniawski J (2004) *J Mater Proc Tech* 157-158:624-632.
- [11] Starink MJ, Wang S (2010) *Scripta Mater* 62:720-723.
- [12] Hahn GT, Rosenfield AR (1975) *Metall Trans* 6A: 653-668.
- [13] Morgeneyer TF, Besson J, Proudhon H, Starink MJ, Sinclair I (2009) *Acta Mater* 57:3902-3915
- [14] Liu SD, Zhang XM, Chen MA, You JH (2008) *Mater Charact* 59:53-60.
- [15] Johnsson M (1995) *Thermochim Acta*, 256: 107-121.
- [16] Ning JL, Jiang DM (2007) *Mater.Sci.Eng.A* 452-453:552-557.
- [17] Szczygiel P, Roven HJ, Reiso O (2005) *Mater.Sci.Eng. A* 410-411:261-264.
- [18] Ashby MF (1971) *The Deformation of Plastically Non-Homogeneous Alloys*, in: A Kelly, R.B. Nicholson (Eds), *Strengthening Methods in Crystals*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 137-192.
- [19] Zhilyaev AP, Garcia-Infanta JM, Carreno F, Langdon TG, Ruano OA (2007) *Scripta Mater* 57:763-765.
- [20] Oh-ishi K, Horita Z, Smith DJ, Langdon TG(2001) *J. Mater. Res.* 16:583-589.
- [21] Zhu Z, Starink MJ (2008) *Mater.Sci.Eng. A* 489:138-149.
- [22] Zhu Z, Starink MJ (2008) *Mater.Sci.Eng. A* 488:125-133.
- [23] Polakowski NH, Ripling EJ (1966) *Strength and Structure of Engineering Materials*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
- [24] Orowan E (1934) *Z. Physik* 89:605, 614, 634.
- [25] Starink MJ, Qiao XG, Zhang J, Gao N (2009) *Acta Mater* 57:5796-5811.
- [26] Mazilkin AA, Straumal BB, Rabkin E, Baretzky B, Enders S, Protasova SG, Kogtenkova OA, Valiev RZ (2006) *Acta Mater* 54:3933-3939.
- [27] Lee Z, Zhou F, Valiev RZ, Lavernia EJ, Nutt SR (2004) *Scripta Mater* 51:209-214.
- [28] Straumal BB, Baretzky B, Mazilkin AA, Phillip F, Kogtenkova OA, Volkov, MN, Valiev RZ (2004) *Acta Mater* 52: 4469-4478.
- [29] Qiao XG, Gao N, Starink MJ (2012) *Phil Mag* 92, 446-470.
- [30] Starink MJ (2005) *Mater.Sci.Eng A* 390:260-264.
- [31] Gan WM, Wu K, Zheng MY, Wang XJ, Chang H, Brokmeier HG (2009) *Mater.Sci.Eng A*, 516:283-289
- [32] Savitskii EM (1957) *Influence of Temperature on the Mechanical Properties of Metals and Alloys* [in Russian], Izd-vo AN SSSR, Moscow, Quoted by Dvorina LA, Popova OI, Derenovskaya NA (1969) *Powder Metall Met Ceram* 8:363-366.
- [33] Zhu Z (2006) PhD thesis, of University Southampton