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Abstract

In recent years there have been many advances in the miniaturization of electronic and mechanical technologies for space applications. However, the satellite power requirement does not reduce linearly with the satellite mass, creating the need for small satellite deployable arrays. This paper outlines the current state of technology for small satellite deployable structures, briefly discussing the current and near future systems that have been made known to the international scientific community. A concept design outline of a nano satellite area deployment device is then presented. This design makes use of tape springs to drive the deployment of a solar array blanket in three dimensions. Further research in this area is then briefly outlined.

Introduction

Over the last fifteen years there has been growing research and advances in the miniaturization of electronic and mechanical technologies (for example, micro sun sensors, micro-gyroscopes etc [1]). It now possible to construct satellites weighing only a few kilograms, thereby dramatically reducing the cost of access to space. Such development opens up many new possibilities for space exploration at low cost for a far wider community of scientists and businesses. In-fact, many nations have identified nano-technology as a critical technology underpinning advances across many market sectors. However, as the total satellite mass reduces, the power requirement of the satellite, in many applications, does not reduce at the same rate. For example, the small size of cellular telephones and hand held receivers for the Global Positioning Satellite (GPS) navigation system creates a need for larger antenna sizes in the space-based components of the system. Greater capacity requires greater on-board power, provided by deployed solar arrays. There is also ongoing research into the use of ion propulsion systems, as primary and secondary propulsion for small satellites, due to their low mass potential. Such systems would also significantly increase the power requirement of the satellite. Usually micro and nano satellites employ body mounted solar cells, however, there is growing
interest in deploying photovoltaic cell areas larger than the surface area of the
bus face. Small, cheap, reliable and efficient deployment methods are therefore
required to meet the potential of future small satellite missions.
This paper focuses on the supporting deployable structure for not only current
and future array blankets, but any space application that requires an area
deployment device (for example: solar sails, de-orbiting devices).

Current Technology

Over recent years many small satellites have been launched. The vast major-
ity of these satellites have been technology demonstrators, and have had body
mounted photovoltaic cells. The small satellites that currently require higher
power levels use simple, single hinge fold out panels.
As the future power requirements of these small satellites is likely to rise, com-
panies specializing in this field have begun researching low mass, area deploy-
ment devices. Such companies include AEC-Able, AeroAstro, The Aerospace
Corporation, L’Garde and the Deployable Structures Lab. It should be noted
at this stage that there may be many more concepts under development for
which (due to military or commercial reasons) information has not been pub-
licly released. Some companies have identified inflatable structures as the key
technology for small satellite deployable structure. Other companies have used
traditional technologies in novel designs. Four example designs include the De-
ployable Structures Lab (DSL) design study for QinetiQ (UK) (developed for
micro satellites [2]), AEC-Able’s Ultraflex Array (developed for micro satel-
lites [3]), L’Garde’s inflatable ITSAT array (developed for micro satellites [4])
and Aerospace Corporation’s Powersphere (developed for micro/nano satel-
lites [5]).
The first three systems listed are aimed at micro satellites (i.e. satellites with
a mass of around 50 - 100kg) and they each demonstrate different design
philosophies. These systems all deploy perpendicular from the bus face. The
Powersphere concept is aimed at very small satellites weighing from under one
kilogram to 60 kilograms. (This study focuses on very low mass systems, such
as the powersphere, and as this is the only real nano satellite design, it is the
only system which is discussed further.)
Developed by The Aerospace Corporation, the PowerSphere Nanosatellite has
received the patent for the deployable geodesic solar-panel array consisting
of connecting pentagon- and hexagon-shaped panels that are solar-energy-
collecting cells. Folded in a flat stack at either end of a strut attached to
the payload, the panels of two halves of the PowerSphere unfurl during de-
ployment, creating two hemispheres that interlock and encase the satellite.
Once deployed the PowerSphere becomes a 360-degree solar array that col-
lects a constant amount of electric power regardless of its attitude relative to
the sun. Encasing the satellite is a design feature which would be an advan-
tage for some missions as it provides a controlled thermal environment for the
nanosatellite electronics and battery [5]. However, for missions which rely on scientific sensors and cameras, encasing the satellite would not be a feasible solution to supply the required power.

**Design Layout**

This research study aims at designing and producing an area deployment device for low-mass satellites (10 - 15 kg). Key design drivers for low-mass satellites are reliability and low cost. This dictates that an area deployment system needs to be as simple as possible to reduce the risk of deployment failure. Expensive systems would significantly increase the total mission cost and would reduce the financial appeal of such small satellite missions. The extreme low-mass requirement dictates that the photovoltaic cells must be supported on a blanket array. Researching the methods of structurally supporting blanket arrays, it was concluded that a ‘simply supported’ array would be the most suitable design. Simply supported, in this context, means that the array is supported at each of the corners by structural members running along the diagonals of the array (See figure 4a). The widely used example of this is a kite. For this design the optimum array geometry would be a square. To achieve the maximum density of photovoltaic cells on the blanket, (i.e. to maximize the power density of the array) the array must have the minimum achievable number of fold lines. Conversely, the area between the fold lines must be the maximum possible. The maximum possible area, between fold lines, (for a compactly folded array) is the area of the bus Sun face. The largest simple deployable area of array would therefore be nine times the area of the bus face. This can be seen in figure 1. This has implications on other satellite systems, for example the positioning of sun sensors, however this is beyond the scope of the present work. The baseline structure for the array has been chosen to be tape springs, due to the fact that they require no moving parts, lubrication or locking devices and once deployed have good structural properties. This structure can be optimised for different size arrays to ensure that the array meets the stiffness requirements of the specific mission. To reduce the structural mass to a minimum the array would be mounted centrally to the sun facing edge, reducing the cantilever length of the structure.

![Figure 1: Nine panel array](image)

This area deployment configuration has been studied at DSL for a de-orbit
application (also using a tape spring structure, see reference [6]) and has also been used as a solar sail, developed by L’Garde (with inflatable ribs), for the Team Encounter mission.

**Structural Folding**

This array, with this arrangement of fold lines, would deploy in two stages as shown in figure 2. With structurally supporting tape springs running across the diagonals of the array (see figure 4a), it can be seen that this deployment would require three dimensional folding, and deployment of the tape spring structure. Figure 3 shows a finite element model of a three dimensional tape spring fold. The tape at the corner of the bus face, however, needs to be folded about one axis to fold the open array into the stage 2 position, then about another axis to fold the array into the stage 1 position. To make this design physically achievable, either the bus dimensions, the array dimensions or the location of the folds needs to be altered. An example of this is shown in figure 4.

![Figure 2: Deployment Stages](image1)

![Figure 3: Finite Element model of a 3D fold in a tape spring](image2)

The deployment of tape springs has been studied by Cambridge University, and the Deployable Structures Lab in Cambridge, UK [7]. However, this research has focused on the deployment dynamics of tape springs in two dimensions. Therefore, before the deployment of this array could be modelled, the deployment dynamics of tape springs in three dimensions needs to be determined. Before this can be achieved it is important to understand the folding dynamics of tape springs.
**Analysis Background**

Tape springs are defined as thin metallic strips with a curved cross section, which has the key property that it is continuous (i.e. contains no mechanical hinges or other folding devices) and yet it can still be folded elastically. In standard, two dimensional, tape spring analysis, the springs are loaded by a pair of equal and opposite bending moments, $M$, which cause them to bend in the 'soft' plane of bending as shown in figure 5 (where $R_L, R_T$ are the longitudinal and transverse radii of curvature of the tape spring). The general sign convention is that a positive bending moment induces tensile stresses along the edges [8]. The bending induced by this positive moment is known as opposite-sense bending because it produces a change of transverse curvature that is of opposite sense to the initial transverse curvature of the tape spring. Conversely, a negative bending moment induces compressive stresses along the edges of the spring, and is referred to as equal-sense bending. The corresponding longitudinal rotation of the tape spring, $\theta$, (which is positive, for a positive applied moment) is the key deformation parameter in this study. For two dimensional analysis the moment, rotation relationship is shown in figure 6 (where $M_{+}^{max}, M_{-}^{max}$ are the peak moments for opposite-sense and equal-sense bending and $M_{+}^{*}, M_{-}^{*}$ are the steady-state moments for
opposite-sense and equal-sense bending.) It can be seen that the tape spring 

snap through at A and F.

This relationship is modelled theoretically by Mansfield, [9], however, simple

Figure 6: Graph of Moment-Rotation relationship for a straight tape spring

models for $M^*_+$ and $M^*_-$, shown below, can be obtained through simple shell 

theory [10].

$$M^*_+ = (1 + \nu)D\alpha$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$M^*_- = -(1 - \nu)D\alpha$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Where:

\begin{itemize}
  \item $\nu$  Poisson’s ratio
  \item $D$  flexural rigidity
  \item $\alpha$  angle subtended by cross-section of spring
  \item $\theta$  relative rotation of elastic folds
\end{itemize}

**Tape Spring Deployment in 3D**

A critical parameter for the deployment of the array in three dimensions, 
is the steady state moment $M^*$ and how this value changes throughout the 
deployment. The theory currently available needs to be extended and validated 
through experimental testing, which will investigate $M^*$ in three dimensional 
deployment. The system has been modelled using finite element analysis, 
to compare this analysis approach to the experimental results. Along with 
the steady state moment $M^*$, the twisting moment of the tape will also be 
determined experimentally. The combination of the twisting moment, and the 
longitudinal steady state moment $M^*$ defines the nature of the deployment. 
Ultimately, an accurate model of the 3D deployment sequence is required. This 
type of deployment will be further investigated using experimental testing, 
finite element analysis and shell theory. Such theories would contribute to the
current knowledge of two dimensional deployment as torsion and bending are coupled in the buckling of tape springs subject to negative (i.e. equal sense) bending moments.

Conclusions

In this paper the current state of technology for micro and nano satellite deployable structures has been briefly outlined, discussing the current and near future systems that have been made known to the international scientific community. A conceptual design for a small satellite deployable array has also been presented. This outline design uses tape springs folded in three dimensions to drive the deployment. Such a design could be scaled up, with a corresponding increase in structural strength, to produce a family of very adaptable deployment designs. The necessity for accurate three-dimensional tape spring theories is emphasized to accurately model the deployment.
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