Glycerol Dehydrogenase: Structure, Specificity, and Mechanism of a Family III Polyol Dehydrogenase
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Summary

Background: Bacillus stearothermophilus glycerol dehydrogenase (GlyDH) (glycerol:NAD⁺/H⁺ 2-oxidoreductase, EC 1.1.1.6) catalyzes the oxidation of glycerol to dihydroxyacetone (1,3-dihydroxypropanone) with concomitant reduction of NAD⁺ to NADH. Analysis of the sequence of this enzyme indicates that it is a member of the so-called iron-containing alcohol dehydrogenase family. Despite this sequence similarity, GlyDH shows a strict dependence on zinc for activity. On the basis of this, we propose to rename this group the family III metal-dependent polyol dehydrogenases. To date, no structural data have been reported for any enzyme in this group.

Results: The crystal structure of B. stearothermophilus glycerol dehydrogenase has been determined at 1.7 Å resolution to provide structural insights into the mechanistic features of this family. The enzyme has 370 amino acid residues, has a molecular mass of 39.5 kDa, and is a homooctamer in solution.

Conclusions: Analysis of the crystal structures of the free enzyme and of the binary complexes with NAD⁺ and glycerol show that the active site of GlyDH lies in the cleft between the enzyme’s two domains, with the catalytic zinc ion playing a role in stabilizing an alkoxide intermediate. In addition, the specificity of this enzyme for a range of diols can be understood, as both hydroxyls of the glycerol form ligands to the enzyme-bound Zn²⁺ ion at the active site. The structure further reveals a previously unsuspected similarity to dehydroquinate synthase, an enzyme whose more complex chemistry shares a common chemical step with that catalyzed by glycerol dehydrogenase, providing a striking example of divergent evolution. Finally, the structure suggests that the NAD⁺ binding domain of GlyDH may be related to that of the classical Rossmann fold by switching the sequence order of the two mononucleotide binding folds that make up this domain.

Introduction

Enzymes termed glycerol dehydrogenases (GlyDH) have been isolated from a number of different organisms including bacteria [1, 2], Neurospora [3], yeast [4, 5], and mammals [6]. These enzymes are divided into three classes depending upon the site of oxidation of the glycerol and the nature of the coenzyme required. In anaerobic conditions, many microorganisms utilize glycerol as a source of carbon through coupled oxidative and reductive pathways [7, 4]. The utilization of glycerol in such a manner is catalyzed by glycerol dehydrogenase (GlyDH) (glycerol:NAD⁺ 2-oxidoreductase, EC 1.1.1.6) and leads to the formation of dihydroxyacetone (1,3-dihydroxypropanone) with concomitant reduction of NAD⁺ to NADH (Figure 1) [4]. The dihydroxyacetone is then phosphorylated by dihydroxyacetone kinase and enters the glycolytic pathway for further degradation [8].

The GlyDH from the thermophilic bacterium B. stearothermophilus is a metallo-enzyme that displays maximum activity between pH 6.0 and pH 8.5 [2]. It consists of one Zn²⁺-dependent polypeptide chain of 370 amino acid residues with a molecular weight of 39.5 kDa [9] and is thought to be a homooctamer in solution [10]. Like the equivalent enzyme from other species, B. stearothermophilus GlyDH can utilize various diols such as propan-1,2-diol, butan-2,3-diol, ethan-1,2-diol, and 3-mercapto-1,2-dihydroxypropane [2, 3]. The class of GlyDH to which the B. stearothermophilus enzyme belongs shows considerable sequence conservation across species, with, for example, 49% identity between the latter and Escherichia coli GlyDHs [11] in a 367-residue overlap (Figure 2).

The NAD⁺-linked GlyDHs are members of a diverse group of polyol dehydrogenases that can be grouped into three distinct protein families. The first of these families, containing horse-liver alcohol dehydrogenase and human ββ₃α, alcohol dehydrogenase, is the Zn²⁺-dependent, “medium-chain” alcohol dehydrogenases, which all have a subunit size that contains approximately 400 residues [12, 13]. The second family of polyol dehydrogenases includes an alcohol dehydrogenase from Drosophila [15], ribitol dehydrogenases [16], mammalian 11β- and 17β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenases [17, 18], and β-ketoreductase [19] and consists of the “short-chain” enzymes [14], based on a subunit of approximately 250 residues. The three-dimensional structure of 3α,20α(2)-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase from Streptomyces hydrogenans [20] has provided valuable insights into the structure/function relationships within this family of proteins. The third family, currently termed the
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“iron-containing” alcohol dehydrogenases, includes polyol dehydrogenases isolated from bacteria [21] and yeast [22]. This family of enzymes is the least well characterized, and, to date, no structural data have been reported for any enzyme in this group. Analysis of the sequence of *B. stearothermophilus* glycerol dehydrogenase indicates that it is a member of this iron-containing family. Despite this, the biochemical data clearly point to a dependence on Zn$^{2+}$ rather than Fe$^{2+}$ for activity. While some members of this iron-containing alcohol dehydrogenase family show a dependence on Fe$^{2+}$ for activity (e.g., *E. coli* propanediol oxidoreductase [23]), other members also show Zn$^{2+}$ dependence (e.g., *B. methanolicus* methanol dehydrogenase [24]). Thus, it is now clear that this nomenclature is probably inappropriate. Each of the enzymes in this family appears to require a divalent metal ion for catalysis and to distinguish them from the medium-chain polyol dehydrogenase family, which also requires a divalent metal ion; we propose to His274 of the Zn$^{2+}$ ion. The Zn$^{2+}$ ion is bound deep in the cleft and is tetrahedrally coordinated through ion-dipole interaction with amino acid residues Asp173, His256, and His274 and one water molecule (Zn$^{2+}$ – Asp173 O$^{\beta 1}$ = 2.0 Å, Zn$^{2+}$ – His256 N$^{\epsilon 2}$ = 2.0 Å, Zn$^{2+}$ – His274 N$^{\epsilon 2}$ = 2.1 Å, and Zn$^{2+}$ – W1 = 1.9 Å) (Figure 3a). The analysis of the pattern of sequence conservation across the family III enzymes shows that the three ligands to the enzyme-bound zinc appear to be conserved in the iron-dependent enzymes of this family, albeit with differences in the flanking sequences (data not shown). At present, therefore, we cannot identify the molecular features that give rise to differences in cation specificity.

**Results and Discussion**

**Overall Fold, Secondary Structure, and Location of the Zn$^{2+}$ Ion**

The GlyDH subunit has approximate dimensions 60 × 40 × 40 Å and consists of a single polypeptide chain of 370 residues. It is composed of 9 β strands (β1–β9), 14 α helices (α1–α14), 4 $\beta$$_3$ helices, and a number of loops, which together fold into 2 domains that are separated by a deep cleft (Figures 2, 3a, and 3b).

The N-terminal α/β domain (residues 1–162) consists of a parallel 6-stranded β sheet, with relative strand order 165423 (absolute strand numbers 296534), flanked by 4 α helices and an additional β strand (β1). All β strands are connected via α helices, except strands β6 and β9, which are separated by a β hairpin (strands β7, β8). The fold of the N-terminal domain, while reminiscent of the Rossmann fold commonly found in other dinucleotide binding enzymes [25], is in fact quite distinct in structure due to the radically different connectivity. The C-terminal domain (residues 163–370) comprises two subdomains, each one formed from a bundle of α helices. Helices α6, α7, and α8 form a long antiparallel up-and-down helix bundle with a left-handed superhelical twist of approximately 60° around the common axis of the bundle. Helices α9–α14 form an antiparallel Greek key helix bundle [26] composed of helices α9, α10, α11, α13, and α14. Helices α2 and α7 are kinked at residues Ala46 and Tyr215, respectively, and can therefore, in principle, be represented as separate shorter α helices.

Analysis of the structure shows that a deep cleft is formed between the N- and C-terminal domains, with the Greek key helix bundle and N-terminal domain forming opposing faces of the cleft and the α6–α8 bundle forming the floor of the cleft. The Zn$^{2+}$ ion is bound deep in the cleft and is tetrahedrally coordinated through ion-dipole interaction with amino acid residues Asp173, His256, and His274 and one water molecule (Zn$^{2+}$ – Asp173 O$^{\beta 1}$ = 2.0 Å, Zn$^{2+}$ – His256 N$^{\epsilon 2}$ = 2.0 Å, Zn$^{2+}$ – His274 N$^{\epsilon 2}$ = 2.1 Å, and Zn$^{2+}$ – W1 = 1.9 Å) (Figure 3a). The analysis of the pattern of sequence conservation across the family III enzymes shows that the three ligands to the enzyme-bound zinc appear to be conserved in the iron-dependent enzymes of this family, albeit with differences in the flanking sequences (data not shown). At present, therefore, we cannot identify the molecular features that give rise to differences in cation specificity.

**Quaternary Structure of Glycerol Dehydrogenase**

Previous studies on GlyDH from strain NC1B 11400 have suggested that this enzyme is a tetramer in solution [2]. More recently, gel filtration studies of the GlyDH S305C mutant from *B. stearothermophilus var. nondiastaticus* (strain DSM 2334) have suggested that GlyDH is an octamer in solution [10]. Electron microscopy analysis of both the wild-type and S305C mutant of GlyDH from *B. stearothermophilus* is consistent with a tetrameric structure comprising four subunits related by four-fold symmetry, giving a particle with approximate dimen-

![Figure 1. Glycerol Oxidation Scheme](image-url)
Figure 3. The GlyDH Subunit from B. stearothermophilus

(a) A stereo representation of β strands and α helices, which are represented as arrows and rods and are labeled as in the text. The Zn²⁺ ion (gray sphere), NAD⁺, and glycerol are also shown.

(b) A stereo representation of a C° backbone trace with every 20th residue labeled. The orientation is as in (a).
The initial crystals of the enzyme were grown in the presence of 10 mM NAD$^+$, and analysis of the electron density maps led directly to the identification of a strong electron density feature (Figure 5a) into which an NAD$^+$ moiety could be fitted in an unambiguous conformation.

The adenine ring binds in the anti conformation with $\chi = 117^\circ$ (classification according to [28]) in a pocket on the enzyme surface formed by the residues Ile41, Val42, Ile45, Thr118, Pro163, and Leu166 (Figure 6a). Direct hydrogen bonds are formed between the adenine nitrogen atom at position N6 and the carbonyl oxygen of Thr118 and the side chain of Thr118 at position N7. The adenine ribose appears to be in a C2'-endo conformation, and the ribose O2' hydroxyl group can be seen to be involved in a hydrogen bond with the side chain of Asp39, which lies at the end of strand $\beta$3.

The pyrophosphate moiety of the NAD$^+$ lies close to the loop between $\beta$6 and $\beta$7 (residues 121–122) and interacts with the glycine-rich turn (residues 94–96) that forms the loop between strand $\beta$5 and helix $\alpha$4. Hydrogen bonding contacts are made by the pyrophosphate oxygen atoms with the peptide nitrogen atoms of Gly96, Lys97 in this loop, and with the side chain of Ser121 (Figure 6a). The interaction of the pyrophosphate moiety of NAD(P) with a glycine-rich turn and a following $\alpha$ helix is characteristic of the classic recognition of NAD$^+$ by dehydrogenases having a typical Rossman fold, such as lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) [29]. However, the precise mode of recognition between the nucleotide and LDH and GlyDH is different. While in both GlyDH and lactate dehydrogenase the glycine-rich turn occurs at the C-terminal end of the $\beta$ strands in the center of the $\beta$ sheet, the orientation of the nucleotide is quite different. Compared to the nucleotide in lactate dehydrogenase, the nucleotide in GlyDH is rotated by approximately 180° about an axis that lies perpendicular to the $\beta$ sheet and passes approximately through the pyrophosphate moiety. This results in a modified arrangement in which the edge of the adenine ring and its associated ribose, which are exposed to the solvent in LDH, are buried against the protein surface in the GlyDH, and, furthermore, both groups are displaced to the other side of the glycine-rich turn (Figure 6b). In LDH and GlyDH, an acidic residue at the C-terminal end of a $\beta$ strand ($\beta$2 and $\beta$3, respectively) adjacent to the strand preceding the glycine-rich turn ($\beta$1 and $\beta$5, respectively) recognizes the adenine ribose hydroxyl groups (Figure 6b). The two $\beta$ strands that carry the acidic residues ($\beta$2 in LDH and $\beta$3 in GlyDH) are non-equivalent in our superposition, as expected from the difference in the orientation of the NAD$^+$ on the two enzymes. Torsion angle changes in the vicinity of the pyrophosphate moiety result in the same face of the nicotinamide ribose being presented to the enzyme surfaces; but, in both cases, it is clear that the nicotinamide ring lies on the same side of the sheet as the helix that follows the glycine-rich turn (Figure 6b).

The nicotinamide ribose is in a C2'-endo conformation, and hydrogen bonds are formed between the ribose O2' hydroxyl group and Tyr133 and between the O3' hydroxyl group and Lys97 and Tyr133 (Figure 6a). The nicotinamide ring is in the anti conformation with $\chi = 0.02$ Å, Zn$^{2+}$ mediated contacts are present between helices $\alpha$7 and the loop between $\beta$8 and $\beta$9 and their symmetry-related equivalents. A total of 14 direct protein-protein H bonds, 2 salt bridges, and approximately 60 van der Waals interactions form this interface, which buries approximately 1300 Å$^2$ (8.6%) of solvent-accessible surface per monomer.

In the crystals of GlyDH, neighboring octamers can be seen to interact via Zn$^{2+}$-mediated contacts arising from residues in the $\beta$2 helical loop between $\alpha$9 and $\alpha$10 in one octamer and the symmetrically equivalent residues in another octamer. The coordination sphere of this Zn$^{2+}$ ion involves four short and two long interactions ($\text{Zn}^{2+} - \text{His271} N^\text{2} = 2.05$ Å, $\text{Zn}^{2+} - \text{Glu268} O^\text{2} = 2.02$ Å, $\text{Zn}^{2+} - \text{Glu268} O^\text{1} = 2.9$ Å, and their symmetry equivalents).

Figure 4. *B. stearothermophilus* GlyDH
(a) Views of the electron microscopy structure seen down the four-fold axis, 45° to the four-fold axis, and 90° to the four-fold axis.
(b) A space-filling model of the GlyDH octamer with bound cofactor (white) viewed down the four-fold axis.
(c) A space-filling model with the four-fold axis in a vertical orientation.
Glycerol Binding Site

In order to analyze the nature of the binding site for glycerol, we have solved the structure of a binary complex of GlyDH cocystallized with glycerol. A comparison of this structure with that of the free enzyme revealed that there appears to be no major conformational rearrangements and that the rmsd between equivalent C\(^\alpha\) atoms is approximately 0.18 Å.

The electron density associated with the glycerol moiety in the structure of GlyDH S305C/glycerol complex is shown in Figure 5b. Inspection of the electron density provides evidence for two possible orientations of glycerol that differ by a rotation of the glycerol around an axis through the molecule, which results in a net displacement of only the O2 oxygen with respect to the protein. The electron density suggests that one of these orientations is preferred (hereafter called the major orientation). The binding of glycerol seems to involve the displacement of three highly ordered water molecules in the binding site, which lie in the vicinity of the Zn\(^{2+}\) ion in the structure of the GlyDH S305C/NAD\(^{+}\) complex. The orientation of the glycerol molecule in the active site is stabilized by van der Waals interaction of the C1 and C3 glycerol carbon atoms with the benzyl ring of Phe247, with additional electrostatic interactions between the negatively charged π-electron cloud of the benzyl ring and the partially positively charged carbon atoms of glycerol.

In this structure, the O1 atom of glycerol forms one ligand to the tetrahedrally coordinated Zn\(^{2+}\) ion and is 2.4 Å and 2.9 Å from the Asp123 O\(^{\beta}\) and His256 N\(^{\alpha}\) atoms, respectively, implying a significant interaction. In the major orientation for the glycerol, the O2 atom...
Figure 6. Analysis of the GlyDH/NAD<sup>+</sup> Binding Site
(a) A stereo diagram of the NAD<sup>+</sup> binding site of GlyDH showing the H bond contacts made by the NAD<sup>+</sup> cofactor with the enzyme surface. All residues involved in an interaction with NAD<sup>+</sup> are labeled.
(b) A schematic diagram of the NAD<sup>+</sup> binding domains in GlyDH (top) and lactate dehydrogenase 1LDH (middle) drawn to show the structural equivalence between the two proposed in this paper and oriented such that the glycine-rich turn (dark blue) and the following nucleotide binding helix (yellow) are similarly positioned. The lower figure represents the enzyme DHQS oriented to show the equivalence proposed by Brown and coworkers [33] between this enzyme and LDH. The acidic residues that recognize the adenine ribose hydroxyls in each of the enzymes are shown. β strands in GlyDH and DHQS that have no equivalents in LDH in the respective proposed superpositions are colored gray. Strands 3 and 6 in LDH, which have equivalents in only one or the other of the two possible superpositions alone, are colored pink.
(c) Structural changes in the vicinity of the active site of GlyDH caused by NAD<sup>+</sup> binding. A stereo representation of the superimposed C<sup>α</sup> trace of the GlyDH S305C mutant (green-blue) and its complex with NAD<sup>+</sup> (black). The positions of the Zn<sup>2+</sup> ion (blue), NAD<sup>+</sup> (green), and glycerol (red) are also shown.

provides a second ligand to the Zn<sup>2+</sup> ion and is also involved in three hydrogen bonds with His274 N<sup>ε2</sup>, His256 N<sup>ε2</sup>, and with the water molecule W1. In the minor orientation of glycerol, the O2 atom is involved in a hydrogen bond with Asp123 O<sup>1</sup> and is adjacent to the plane of the peptide bond Asp123–Ala124. Superposition of the two orientations of glycerol in the enzyme-complex onto the structure of the S305C/NAD<sup>+</sup> binary complex would suggest that the minor orientation is not possible in the presence of NAD<sup>+</sup> because of steric clashes of the glycerol O2 atom with the nicotinamide ring of NAD<sup>+</sup>. The O3 glycerol atom is involved in a hydrogen bond with the water molecule W1, which is, in turn, hydrogen bonded to the O2 glycerol oxygen.

The fact that in the major orientation of the glycerol both the O1 and O2 atoms are ligands to the Zn<sup>2+</sup> ion provides a possible explanation for the substrate specificity of GlyDH that readily utilizes 1,2-diols [2]. This would suggest that the major orientation is the one adopted during catalysis. Further, details of the glycerol binding site are presented in Table 1.

A Possible Mechanism of Glycerol Oxidation
The analysis of the structures of the binary complexes of GlyDH with NAD<sup>+</sup> and with glycerol has allowed us to produce a model for the productive ternary complex of GlyDH with its substrates (Figure 7a). This model was based on positioning glycerol as observed in the
structure of its binary complex with GlyDH into an equivalent position in the structure of the binary complex with NAD\(^+\). The catalytic Zn\(^{2+}\) ion is located in the middle of the deep cleft between the enzyme’s two domains and becomes totally inaccessible to the solvent as NAD\(^+\) binds (Figure 7b). This allows the hydride transfer process to take place in an environment that is buried from the solvent.

The pK\(_a\) of the C2 hydroxyl oxygen of glycerol may be lowered considerably by interaction with the enzyme-bound Zn\(^{2+}\) ion. This would allow the hydroxyl proton to be removed possibly via a water molecule-mediated proton shuttle. Subsequent collapse of the resultant alkoxy ion intermediate to the ketone can then proceed with transfer of the hydride from the C2 carbon to the C4 of the nicotinamide ring. To facilitate this, it is clear that the nicotinamide ring is located in a suitable orientation and at a suitable distance (3.2 Å between the glycerol C2 and C4 of the nicotinamide ring of the NAD\(^+\)) for hydride transfer to occur [30, 31]. Preliminary modeling studies of the binding of dihydroxycetone to the active site suggest that this substrate can be accommodated in the binding pocket without any major structural changes and with the carbonyl oxygen close to the enzyme-bound Zn\(^{2+}\) ion.

### Structural Similarities with Other Proteins

A structural similarity search, performed with the atomic coordinates of GlyDH using the DALI server [32], indicated that this structure has a close structural similarity to the subunit of *Aspergillus nidulans* dehydroquinase synthase (DHQS) [33] (PDB accession code 1DQS), with an rmsd of 1.6 Å for the superimposition of 213 C\(^\alpha\) atoms, which include elements of secondary structures from both domains. Furthermore, the two domains of GlyDH appear to be held in an identical orientation to that seen in DHQS (Figure 8a). While for the entire sequence a structure-based sequence alignment shows only 14% identity, sequence alignment of the portions of the structures that superimpose well showed 24% identity (over 213 residues). There are no other known structures that have significant structural similarity either to the whole GlyDH subunit or to its separate domains.

DHQS catalyzes the conversion of 3-deoxy-D-arabino-heptulosonate-7-phosphonate (DAHP) to dehydroquinate in a complex multistep mechanism. The first step in this chemistry, the oxidation of a hydroxyl group to a ketone by NAD\(^+\) in a Zn\(^{2+}\)-dependent process, is similar to that catalyzed by GlyDH. Comparison of the active sites of these enzymes shows them to be closely related with the enzyme-bound Zn\(^{2+}\) of GlyDH occupying an identical position to the catalytic Zn\(^{2+}\) in DHQS. Interestingly, the orientation of two hydroxyl groups of a carbaphosphonate inhibitor bound to DHQS is very similar to that seen for the hydroxyl groups of glycerol in the major orientation. Furthermore, two of the three residues in GlyDH that interact with the Zn\(^{2+}\) ion (His256 and His274) are conserved in DHQS (His272 and His287, respectively) (Figures 2 and 8b), and the third residue, Asp173, is conservatively substituted by Glu194.

Catalysis by DHQS involves further chemical steps resulting in reoxidation of the enzyme-bound NADH co-factor in a process that involves β-elimination of inorganic phosphate, reduction of the ketone, a ring opening, and an intramolecular aldol condensation. Important residues in this process are thought to be Arg130, Lys152, Asp162, Lys250, Arg264, Asn268, and Lys356. None of these residues are conserved in GlyDH, and, thus, the region of the enzyme responsible for this difference in function is completely altered. Analysis of the active site of DHQS revealed that there were similarities to the arrangements of the residues that mediate alcohol oxidation in the Zn\(^{2+}\)-dependent “long-chain” alcohol dehydrogenases. However, the difference in the folding pattern of DHQS and this class of polyol dehydrogenases suggested a convergent evolutionary relationship between them [33]. On the basis of our study, we assume that GlyDH and DHQS are related by divergent evolution from a common ancestor. However, whether the more complex chemistry of DHQS represents that of the ancestor enzyme that was subsequently modified to that of a much simpler polyol dehydrogenase through gene duplication and mutation or vice versa is unclear.

The NAD\(^+\) binding domain of DHQS [33] is very similar to that seen in GlyDH, with both of them being more similar to each other than they are to the Rossmann fold commonly found in other dinucleotide binding enzymes [25]. Comparison of DHQS with enzymes that have the classical Rossmann fold led Brown and coworkers [33] to suggest that, in DHQS, the nucleotide binds in a different orientation to that in LDH, with the nicotinamide and adenine moieties occupying the opposite faces of the β sheet. The superposition of Brown and coworkers of these two enzymes [33], which led to the above conclusion, followed naturally from the consideration of maximizing the topological equivalence of DHQS and LDH. However, across the family of dehydrogenases that carry the Rossmann fold, only the four central strands of the sheet and a glycine-rich turn that follows the first strand and the following nucleotide binding helix
are spatially conserved [34]. However, a disadvantage of the structural alignment proposed by Brown and co-workers [33] is that it does not conserve the position of the glycine-rich turn nor of the nucleotide binding helix with respect to their position in LDH.

In the previous discussion of the NAD⁺ binding site in GlyDH we have proposed an alternative view of the relationship between this enzyme and the more classical Rossmann fold proteins. Thus, in contrast to the superposition of Brown and coworkers [33], our alternative possible superposition retains the spatial conservation of the glycine-rich turn and the nucleotide binding helix.
but effectively transposes the sequence order of the two mononucleotide binding folds that together comprise the Rossmann fold (Figure 6b) so that it no longer conforms the conventional pattern. Given the absence of sequence similarity between either DHQS and GlyDH on the one hand and LDH on the other, it is not possible to prove which, if either, of the above superpositions represent the evolutionary relationship to LDH. Further, it is not possible to prove with present data if the GlyDH/DHQS fold is related to the Rossmann fold by divergent or convergent evolution. However, we suggest that our proposed alignment has the advantage of retaining significant functional similarities to the classical Rossmann fold. If this is indeed the case, then the transposition of the two mononucleotide binding folds suggests additional ways in which the domains of NAD\(^+\) binding enzymes have evolved. On the other hand, Brown and coworkers\(^{[33]}\) alignment has the advantage of maintaining the overall fold topology. Therefore, the structure of GlyDH poses two evolutionary conundrums both in terms of the precise origin of the undeniable similarity between GlyDH and DHQS and, more controversially, in terms of the relationship between both enzymes and the wider family of dinucleotide binding enzymes that possess the Rossmann fold.

Is it possible that, during evolution, two mononucleotide binding folds assembled in a different sequence order? Does the observation that GlyDH, DHQS, and LDH possess a glycine-rich turn and a nucleotide binding helix provide evidence for aspects of convergent evolution? Alternatively, have GlyDH and DHQS evolved by divergent evolution from an LDH-like fold, with repositioning of the substrate and radicals reorganization of the key elements required for substrate binding? Currently, we cannot answer these intriguing questions. However, it is possible that, in the future, as part of the global survey of protein folds that will result from initiatives in structural genomics, other variants of this fold will be observed and will help resolve the question of the origin of these proteins one way or another.

| Table 2. Heavy Atom Derivatives, X-Ray Data Collection, and Phasing Statistics |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Data Set                        | Pt\(^+\)        | Pt\(^{4+}\)     | Pt\(^{4+}\)     | Pt\(^{4+}\)     | Au              | U               |
| Heavy atom reagent              | PtCl\(_2\)      | Pt(NO\(_3\))\(_4\) | PtCl\(_2\)/Pt(NO\(_3\))\(_4\) | PtCl\(_2\)/Pt(NO\(_3\))\(_4\) | Kau(CN)\(_2\)  | UAc             |
| Resolution (\(R/\bar{R}_{\text{merge}}\)) (%) | 3.5/6.2        | 4.5/3.3         | 2.8/9.0         | 2.5/6.6         | 2.7/5.3         | 4.5/6.8         |
| Completeness (%)                | 99.6            | 99.7            | 62.4            | 70.3            | 99.3            | 98.9            |
| MFID\(^{\circ}\) (%)            | 6.1             | 9.8             | 17.4            | 15.8            | 8.6             | 13.5            |
| Phasing power\(^{\circ}\) (acentric/centric) | 0.88/0.67 | 1.31/0.91 | 1.93/1.39 | 1.40/0.96 | 0.62/0.40 | 0.73/0.51 |

\(^{a}\) The data set was collected from two crystals.

\(^{b}\) \(R_{\text{merge}} = \Sigma_i |I_i| - |\bar{I}_i|/\Sigma_i |I_i|\), where \(I_i\) is the mean intensity of the reflection.

\(^{c}\) Mean fractional isomorphous difference = \(\Sigma|F_{\text{m}}| - |F_{\text{N}}|/\Sigma|F_{\text{N}}|\), where \(F_{\text{m}}\) and \(F_{\text{N}}\) are the structure factor amplitudes for derivative and native crystals, respectively.

\(^{d}\) Phasing power is defined as the rms value of heavy atom structure factor amplitude divided by the rms value of lack-of-closure error.
Crystal Structure of Glycerol Dehydrogenase

Table 3. Refinement Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data set</th>
<th>Native</th>
<th>NAD$^+$</th>
<th>glycerol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resolution (Å)</td>
<td>10.0–1.8</td>
<td>10.0–1.7</td>
<td>10.0–2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed reflections</td>
<td>194,595</td>
<td>196,167</td>
<td>104,049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of reflections used</td>
<td>34,153</td>
<td>41,600</td>
<td>24,761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness (%)</td>
<td>89.6 (83.4)</td>
<td>92.1 (78.6)</td>
<td>92.9 (72.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rmerge (%)</td>
<td>6.4 (41.5)</td>
<td>4.7 (37.6)</td>
<td>8.1 (28.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOM (%)</td>
<td>13.6 (2.7)</td>
<td>19.9 (2.7)</td>
<td>13.2 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rmerge (%)</td>
<td>16.3/20.8</td>
<td>15.1/19.0</td>
<td>15.8/20.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Biological Implications

Under anaerobic conditions, many microorganisms utilize glycerol as a source of carbon through coupled oxidative and reductive pathways. This is done through oxidation of glycerol and is catalyzed by glycerol dehydrogenase, leading to the formation of dihydroxyacetone (1,3-dihydroxypropanone) with concomitant reduction of NAD$^+$ to NADH. The dihydroxyacetone is then phosphorylated by dihydroxyacetone kinase to enter the glycolytic pathway for further degradation.

We describe here the structure of glycerol dehydrogenase from B. stearothermophilus, the first for any member of the so-called “iron-containing” polyol dehydrogenase family. Analysis of the mode of NAD$^+$ binding has allowed us to propose a possible mechanism of glycerol oxidation and provides an explanation for the substrate specificity of GlyDH that readily utilizes a wide range of 1,2-diols.

Structure comparisons reveal that the fold of GlyDH is remarkably similar to that of Aspergillus nidulans dehydroquinase synthase, despite the fact that these enzymes share only 14% sequence identity. While DHQS carries a more complex chemistry than GlyDH, they share a common chemical step, thereby providing a striking example of divergent evolution. The fold of the N-terminal NAD$^+$ binding domain of GlyDH is reminiscent of the Rossmann fold commonly found in other dinucleotide binding enzymes. However, one of two ways of superimposing the GlyDH structure on LDH retains the spatial equivalence of key elements involved in NAD$^+$ recognition but alters the relative sequence order of the two mononucleotide binding folds. This has potential implications for understanding the evolutionary origins of this type of domain.
one orientation. Alignment and classification was performed on all the particles as one group. For the first alignment run, a well-preserved particle with clear four-fold symmetry was used as a reference for alignment of the unitized particles. Using correlation techniques, translational and rotational differences of all images with respect to the reference were corrected. The structural resolution common to all particles was estimated by the use of Fourier Ring correlation and phase residual measurements [38]. The average image of the aligned particles served as the reference for a second alignment step, and the resolution limit was calculated to be 22 Å for the averaged projection image of the protein.

The image set was subjected to correspondence analysis [37, 38]. The first six factors determined from the correspondence analysis were used in the classification step. Four classes were generated, the most populated class of 886 particles displaying an unambiguous four-fold symmetry. Particles belonging to this class were selected for the three-dimensional reconstruction.

The random conical tilt method [39] was applied to reconstruct the three-dimensional structure from the centered tilted particles. The parameters of the alignment procedure on the untitled particles, i.e., the rotation angle of each particle with respect to the reference, combined with the known tilt angle of the tilted micrographs were used to calculate the projection direction of the corresponding view in the tilted exposure. Reconstruction was performed using a weighted back-projection algorithm [40, 41]. The final resolution of the three-dimensional structure was ~37 Å. At this resolution, the handedness of the structure cannot be distinguished.

**Crystallographic and X-Ray Structure Solution**

Crytal of the GlyDH S305C mutant were grown by the hanging-drop vapor-diffusion method, using ammonium sulphate and PEG 400 as a precipitating agent with the addition of ZnCl₂ and in the presence and absence of NAD⁺, as previously described [10]. Crystals of the GlyDH S305C complex with glycerol were obtained by co-crystallization of the enzyme under identical conditions to those described for the free enzyme, but with the addition of 0.3% w/v glycerol. All crystals belong to space group I422, with closely related cell dimensions (a = b = 110 Å, c = 149 Å). The superior diffraction of these crystals [10], compared to that of the wild-type enzyme [42], combined with the fact that the catalytic properties of the mutant and wild-type enzymes are essentially identical led us to preferentially investigate the structure of the mutant.

The structure of the GlyDH S305C/NAD⁺ complex was solved using the method of multiple isomorphous replacement with six heavy atom derivatives, prepared by soaking crystals in mother liquor containing the heavy atom reagents in addition to 10 mM NAD⁺ (Table 2). Diffraction data for derivatives Pt²⁺, Pt⁴⁺, Au and U were collected from a single crystal using a MAR345 image plate detector and platinum/nickel/mirror-focused CuKα X-rays produced with a Rigaku Ruc2000 rotating-anode generator. Data sets for the free enzyme, the Pt²⁺ derivative, and the binary complexes with NAD⁺ or glycerol were collected on a Quantum4 CCD detector at the SRS Daresbury laboratory on station 14.2, 9.2, 14.2, and 9.6, respectively. All the data were processed and scaled using DENZO/SCALEPACK [43] and were subsequently handled using CCP4 software [44]. Data collection statistics are summarized in Tables 2 and 3.

The major platinum site was determined from the isomorphous difference Patterson synthesis for the Pt²⁺ derivative, and an initial phase set was calculated. The locations of the heavy atom sites for all other derivatives were determined by difference Fourier methods. Refinement of heavy atom parameters and phase calculations was carried out with MLPHARE [44, 45]. The combination of derivatives gave an overall figure of merit of 0.46 (acentric 0.44, centric 0.61) for 13,826 reflections between 15.0 and 2.5 Å. An improved map was obtained by combined solvent flattening and histogram matching using the program DM [44], with a solvent content of 43.5%. The overall figure of merit of the resulting phase set after phase improvement procedure was 0.85.

A partial (95%) model of GlyDH was built from this map using TURBO-FRODO [46] and O [47]. After refinement with CNS [48] (R factor = 0.30, R₁ = 0.35), phases from this partial model and the isomorphous replacement phases were combined and extended up to 2.2 Å in SIGMAA [49] to generate an improved map. The model was then submitted to maximum likelihood and residual refinement using CNS [48]. Only data with cutoffs F_cr ≫ |F_o| were used in refinement, and a low-resolution cutoff was applied at 10.0 Å. A correction for the disordered solvent continuum was also applied [50]. Geometric data for NAD⁺ and glycerol were obtained from [51] force constants, and charge distributions were derived from those available within CNS [52].

Refinement of the binary complex with glycerol and the free S305C enzyme was carried out using the structure of the GlyDH S305C/NAD⁺ complex as a starting model. The refinement procedures for all structures were similar to those described above.

In the final model of the binary complex with NAD⁺, all residues are present, except two residues at the N terminus and three residues at the C terminus. In the refined structure of the free enzyme and complex with glycerol, the equivalent residues are also disordered. However, in addition, the loop between β7 and β8 (residues 132–140) is also partially disordered, and some of these residues have also been omitted. Typical rmsd on bond length and bond angles for the refinement structures are 0.01 Å and 1.5°, respectively. No residues lie in disallowed regions on the Ramachandran plot.

The calculation of rmsd of bond length and bond angles was carried out by CNS [48]. Analysis of the stereochemical quality of the models was accomplished using PROCHECK [53]. The refinement statistics are summarized in Table 3, and a representative part of the electron density map is shown in Figure 5. Superposition of different structures was carried out by Swiss-PdbViewer [54]. The sequence alignment was carried out with the help of ALSCRIPT [55].
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The coordinates of GlyDH S305C and its complexes with NAD⁺ and glycerol have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank with accession codes 1JPU, 1JQ5, and 1JQA, respectively.