Exact BER of rectangular-constellation QAM subjected to asynchronous co-channel interference and Nakagami-m fading

X. Liu and L. Hanzo

The exact average bit error ratio (BER) of the rectangular quadrature amplitude modulation (QAM) constellation is studied in the context of asynchronous co-channel interference (CCI) and Nakagami-m fading. A new formula is derived for the characteristic function of the CCI, which requires no knowledge of the CCI distribution.

Introduction: Conventionally, the rectangular QAM (R-QAM) BER has been estimated by using various approximations or bounds. Most exact QAM BER results are obtained without taking into account the co-channel interference (CCI) and Nakagami-m fading. When CCI is considered, exact BER analyses procedures are performed only for BPSK [3–5] and QPSK [4, 5] systems. Hence, the contribution of this Letter is that we derive an exact BER expression for R-QAM systems corrupted by both asynchronous CCI and Nakagami-m fading, with dispensing with the use of approximate CCI distribution.

System model: We consider a general R-QAM system subjected to K asynchronous co-channel interferers. The received signal r(t) may be written as:

\[ r(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{K} b_k \left[ d_k^I b_k^I(t - \tau_k) \cos(\omega_c (t - \tau_k) + \theta_k + \phi_k) + d_k^Q b_k^Q(t - \tau_k) \sin(\omega_c (t - \tau_k) + \theta_k + \phi_k) \right] + n(t) \]  

where \( \omega_c \) and \( \theta_k \) are the common carrier frequency and the carrier phase shift. As illustrated in [1], 2d^I and 2d^Q are the minimum distances between signal point constellation along the in-phase and quadrature-phase axes, respectively. The in-phase and quadrature-phase data signals are given by \( b_k^I(t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} b_n p(t-nT) \) and \( b_k^Q(t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} b_n^Q p(t-nT) \), respectively, where \( b_n^I \) and \( b_n^Q \) are in-phase and quadrature-phase data symbols, respectively. The symbol duration is denoted as \( T \) and \( p(t) \) is the rectangular pulse having a duration of \( T \). The \( \ell \)th user's constellation size is \( M_{\ell} = M^I_{\ell} \times M^Q_{\ell} \) and \( \log_2 M_{\ell} \) as well as \( \log_2 L \) are Gray encoded and mapped onto the \( \ell \)th user's in-phase and quadrature-phase components [1], respectively. Hence, the in-phase and quadrature-phase symbol vectors, \( b_k^I \) and \( b_k^Q \), are equiprobably selected from the set of \( A_I^I = \{ \pm 1, \pm 3, \ldots, \pm (M^I_{\ell} - 1) \} \) and \( A_Q^I = \{ \pm 1, \pm 3, \ldots, \pm (M^Q_{\ell} - 1) \} \), respectively. The fading amplitude \( h_{\ell} \) obeys the Nakagami-m distribution having parameters \( (\phi_{\ell}, \Omega_{\ell}) \), the fading phase \( \phi_k \) and the time delay \( \tau_k \) are uniformly distributed over \([0, 2\pi)\) and \([0, T)\), respectively. The additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) is denoted by \( n(t) \). We assume that the \( \ell \)th user is the desired one. In the case of coherent demodulation as well as perfect channel estimation, the in-phase and quadrature-phase decision statistics, \( Z^I \) and \( Z^Q \), are given by:

\[ Z^I = d_k^I b_k^I + \sum_{h=0}^{K} h_x(X_h^I \cos \Delta_k + X_h^Q \sin \Delta_k) + \eta^I \]  

\[ Z^Q = d_k^Q b_k^Q + \sum_{h=0}^{K} h_x(X_h^I \cos \Delta_k + X_h^Q \sin \Delta_k) + \eta^Q \]  

where the phase shift difference \( \Delta_k = -\varphi_k(\tau_k - \tau_0) + (\theta_k - \theta_0) + (\phi_k - \phi_0) \) is uniformly distributed over \([0, 2\pi)\). The noise components \( \eta^I \) and \( \eta^Q \) can be shown to be zero-mean Gaussian distributed random variables, while the random variables \( X_h^I \) and \( X_h^Q \) in (3) are defined as:

\[ X_h^I = d_h^Q b_h^Q (1 - v_h) \]  

\[ X_h^Q = d_h^Q b_h^Q (1 - v_h) \]  

where \( v_h = \tau_h / T \) is the time delay normalised by the symbol duration. BER analysis: Upon exploiting equation 57 of [6], we have the characteristic function (CF) of the in-phase CCI \( \Phi^I_{\ell_1,\ell_2}(x^I) = \Phi^I_{\ell_1}(x^I) \) conditioned on \( Z^I \) and \( X^Q \) in the following form:

\[ \Phi^I_{\ell_1,\ell_2}(x^I) \]  

where \( \Phi^I_{\ell_1}(x^I) \) is the confluent hypergeometric function [7]. Averaging \( \Phi^I_{\ell_1,\ell_2}(x^I) \) over the \( \ell_1 \)th interferer's data symbols and the time delay, we obtain the CF of \( I^I_\ell \), \( \Phi^I_{\ell_1}(x^I) \) as follows:

\[ \Phi_{\ell_1}(x^I) = \frac{1}{M_{\ell_1}} \sum_{h_1} \sum_{\ell_1'} \Phi_{\ell_1,\ell_1'}(x^I) \]  

where the coefficients \( \lambda_0, \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) are defined as:

\[ \lambda_0 = (d^I_1)^2 (b^I_1)^2 + (d^Q_1)^2 (b^Q_1)^2 \]  

\[ \lambda_1 = (d^I_1)^2 b^I_1 b^I_{1-1} - b^I_0 b^I_0 \]  

\[ \lambda_2 = (d^I_1)^2 b^I_{1-1} b^I_0 - (d^Q_1)^2 b^Q_{1-1} b^Q_0 \]  

The conditional CF, \( \Phi_{\ell_1,\ell_1',\ell_1'}(x^I) \), may be shown to be given by:

\[ \Phi_{\ell_1,\ell_1',\ell_1'}(x^I) = \left[ \frac{1}{M_{\ell_1}} \sum_{h_1} \frac{\chi_{\ell_1}^{\ell_1'}(x^I)}{\chi_{\ell_1}^{\ell_1'}(x^I)} \right] \]  

where

\[ \chi_{\ell_1}^{\ell_1'}(x^I) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi_{\ell_1}(x^I) \Phi_{\ell_1}(x^I) dx \]  

Extending the AWGN result of [1] to the scenarios in the presence of CCI and noise, the conditional error probability \( P_{\ell_1,\ell_2}(u) \) of the \( \ell_1 \)th bit of the in-phase component can be expressed as follows:

\[ P_{\ell_1,\ell_2}(u) = \frac{1}{M_{\ell_1}} \sum_{\ell_2} \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi_{\ell_1}(x^I) \Phi_{\ell_1}(x^I) dx \\ \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi_{\ell_1}(x^I) \Phi_{\ell_1}(x^I) dx \end{array} \right) \]  

where \( (\Phi_{\ell_1}(x^I)) \) is the imaginary part of the CF of the desired user's fading amplitude, \( h_{\ell_1} \), which is given by Table II of [8]. Following the same approach, we may derive the error probability \( P_{\ell_1}(u) \) of the \( \ell_1 \)th bit of the quadrature-phase component in the same form by replacing all symbols having the superscript \( I \) by their counterparts with the superscript \( Q \) in (14). Finally, the average BER of M-ary general R-QAM can be obtained by averaging the error probabilities over the in-phase and quadrature-phase components [1]. When there is no interference, i.e. we have \( K = 0 \), and (14) reduces to the single-user results of [2]. As expected,
when only BPSK or QPSK are considered, (14) reduces to the results of [5].

\[ \text{BER} = \frac{1}{\log_2 M} \sum_{k=1}^{M} \left[ \frac{(d^2_k)^2 + (d^2_{Q_k})^2}{\Omega_k} \right] \]

Since the evaluation of the effects of CCI on the QAM BER is the main objective of our analysis, we assume that the effects of noise are negligible. Fig. 1 shows average BER performance against per-bit SIR expressed in dB. Fig. 2 shows average BER performance against the number of interferers. As seen in both Figures, the results calculated by our exact BER analysis and the simulation results match for various constellation sizes. On the other hand, the GA overestimates the average BER, especially when the constellation size is small and the per-bit SIR value is high or the number of interferers is small.
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