Multicell Cooperation Based SVD Assisted Multi-User MIMO Transmission
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Abstract—In this treatise, we investigated the application of singular value decomposition (SVD) assisted multiuser transmission in a multicell scenario. The SVD based scheme is capable of completely removing the cochannel interference, similarly to the classic zero forcing (ZF) based and block diagonalization (BD) aided schemes. Two different power allocation schemes are investigated for both SVD, ZF and BD based multicell transmission. The SVD scheme achieves a suboptimal performance, but at a reduced complexity. Nonetheless, it always outperforms the ZF based scheme due to the joint reception of the transmitted symbols.

I. INTRODUCTION

Multiple input multiple output (MIMO) systems are capable of supporting high-rate, high-integrity transmission [1]. Intensive research efforts have been dedicated to single-user MIMO (SU-MIMO) designs [2], [3]. For multi-user MIMO (MU-MIMO) systems, spatial division multiple access (SDMA) has been proposed, where each user’s unique channel state information (CSI) is used to distinguish them. Furthermore, in order to simplify the receiver’s design at the mobile station (MS) in the context of downlink (DL) transmissions, transmit preprocessing has been proposed to move the part of the required signal processing from the MS to the base station (BS) [4]–[7].

In the simple single-cell scenario only intra-cell interference has been considered [5], [6], but owing to frequency reuse, inter-cell interference is imposed by the other cells [8].

Recently, multiple base station (BS) aided systems have attracted substantial attention [9]–[13]. It has been shown that for a multiple cell system, the achievable system performance can be substantially improved, if cooperative BSs are invoked [9], [10]. For the DL of cooperative BSs, various joint transmission schemes have been proposed. The attainable system capacity was approached by the so-called dirty paper (DP) precoding [9]. However, due to its complexity, linear joint transmission schemes may be more preferred, such as joint zero-forcing (ZF), joint minimum mean square error (MMSE) based DL processing, joint block diagonalization (BD), and joint signal to leakage plus noise ratio (SLNR) based processing [11], [12].

For the cooperative BS aided DL system, each MS may be able to synchronously receive its own signal from different BSs. However, the interference is essentially asynchronous and ignoring this asynchronous interference may result in a severe performance loss [11], [12]. Fortunately, the joint ZF and joint BD based transmission schemes are capable of completely eliminating the interference, which is in contrast to the residual interference experienced after joint MMSE or SLNR processing.

However, the joint ZF based transmission results in several parallel single input single output (SISO) channels, which results in a performance loss compared to the joint detection of the transmitted symbols. Joint BD based transmission is capable of generating several parallel single user multiple input multiple output (SU-MIMO) systems, facilitating the joint DL detection of parallel streams in the transmitted MIMO symbols. However, a specific drawback of the joint BD based transmission is that the resultant SU-MIMO channel will have to be estimated by each MS [14], which may impose an excessive complexity. Recently, singular value decomposition (SVD) based multiuser transmission has been developed in the literature for single-cell systems, which is also capable of decomposing the MU-MIMO system into parallel SU-MIMO systems, enabling the joint detection of the transmitted SU-MIMO symbols [15]. Naturally, the effective SU-MIMO channel is entirely determined by each MS’s own channel, hence no extra training or overhead is needed compared to BD based transmission.

In this paper, we extend the SVD-based MU-MIMO transmission from the single-cell case to the multicell scenario. Furthermore, the achievable system performance is evaluated in conjunction with two different power allocation schemes derived for both the BD, SVD and ZF based multicell transmission schemes.

II. SYSTEM OVERVIEW

Let us assume that there are $K$ cochannel mobile users arbitrarily distributed in the DL of a multicell system, with $N_k$ being the number of receive antennas at each MS and $N_b$ the number of adjacent cochannel BSs in the system, with $N_t$ being the number of transmit antennas at each BS, respectively as in Fig. 1 for a scenario associated with $N_b = K = 3$. Assuming non-dispersive or flat-fading conditions, let $H_{j,k}(j = 1, \cdots, N_b, k = 1, \cdots, K)$ be the small-scale fading channel matrix characterizing the channel between BS $j$ to MS $k$ having zero-mean, unit-variance complex-Gaussian entries, and let $a_{j,k}$ be the corresponding large-scale fading coefficients including the effect of both path-loss and shadow fading.
multiuser interference (MUI), hence resulting in an effective transmitted data, which is given by
\[ \mathbf{d}_k = \mathbf{P}_k \mathbf{x}_k, \]
where \( \mathbf{P}_k \) is an \((M \times N_k)\)-element preprocessing matrix with \( M = N_b \times N_t \), which is responsible for cancelling the multiuser interference (MUI), hence resulting in an effective SU-MIMO system. Furthermore, \( \mathbf{x}_k \) is a \((N_k \times N_t)\)-element diagonal matrix hosting the power control coefficients.

The signal transmitted from all \( N_b \) BSs to all \( K \) MSs can be expressed as
\[ \mathbf{d} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbf{d}_k = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbf{P}_k \beta_k \mathbf{x}_k = \mathbf{P} \mathbf{x}, \]
where we have
\[ \mathbf{P} = [\mathbf{P}_1, \mathbf{P}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{P}_K], \]
\[ \beta = \text{diag}[\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_K] = \text{diag}[\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_{\sum_{k=1}^{K} N_k}], \]
and \( \mathbf{x} \) is a \((\sum_{k=1}^{K} N_k)\)-component vector containing the transmitted data, which is given by
\[ \mathbf{x} = [\mathbf{x}_1^T, \mathbf{x}_2^T, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_K^T]^T. \]

Now the signal vector \( \mathbf{y}_k \) received at the \( k \)th MS from all \( N_b \) BSs can be expressed as
\[ \mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{n}_k = \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{d}_k + \mathbf{H}_k \sum_{j \neq k} \mathbf{d}_j + \mathbf{n}_k, \]
where the \((N_b \times M)\)-element channel matrix \( \mathbf{H}_k \) is given by
\[ \mathbf{H}_k = [a_{1,k} \mathbf{H}_{1,k}, a_{2,k} \mathbf{H}_{2,k}, \ldots, a_{N_b,k} \mathbf{H}_{N_b,k}], \]
and \( \mathbf{n}_k \) represents the \( N_k \)-element AWGN vector having a zero mean and a covariance matrix of \( \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{n}_k \mathbf{n}_k^H] = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_{N_k} \).

Furthermore, the all signal vector \( \mathbf{y} \) received by all the \( K \) MSs from all \( N_b \) BSs can be expressed as
\[ \mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}_1; \mathbf{y}_2; \cdots; \mathbf{y}_K \end{bmatrix}^T, \]
\[ = [\mathbf{H}_1^T, \mathbf{H}_2^T, \cdots, \mathbf{H}_K^T]^T \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{n}. \]

Let us assume that the rows of \( \mathbf{H}_k \) \((k = 1, 2, \ldots, K)\) have full rank, i.e. we have rank(\( \mathbf{H}_k \)) = \( N_b \) and that \( M \geq \sum_{k=1}^{K} N_k \) is satisfied. Then, upon carrying out the SVD of \( \mathbf{H}_k \), we arrive at
\[ \mathbf{H}_k = \mathbf{U}_k \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_k^{1/2}; \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{V}_k^H \end{bmatrix}, \]
\[ = \mathbf{U}_k \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_k^{1/2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{V}_k^H \end{bmatrix}, \]
where \( \mathbf{U}_k \) and \( \mathbf{V}_k \) are \((N_b \times N_b)\)-component and \((M \times M)\)-component unitary matrices, respectively. Furthermore, \( \mathbf{A}_k \) is a \((N_b \times N_b)\)-component diagonal matrix containing the eigenvalues of \( \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{H}_k^H \), i.e. we have \( \mathbf{A}_k = \text{diag}[\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_{N_b}] \).

Fig. 1. System overview of multicell cooperation aided transmission.

**A. SVD Based Multicell Transmission**

Let us assume that the \( N_k \)-component DL transmitted signal vector destined for the \( k \)th MS is given by \( \mathbf{x}_k \) and that in the case of BS cooperation, we preprocess \( \mathbf{x}_k \) according to
\[ \mathbf{d}_k = \mathbf{P}_k \beta_k \mathbf{x}_k. \]
where \( \mathbf{P}_k \) is an \((M \times N_k)\)-element preprocessing matrix with \( M = N_b \times N_t \), which is responsible for cancelling the multiuser interference (MUI), hence resulting in an effective SU-MIMO system. Furthermore, \( \beta \) is a \((N_k \times N_t)\)-element diagonal matrix hosting the power control coefficients.

Upon substituting (11) into (9), we arrive at
\[ \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{A}^{1/2} \mathbf{V}^H \mathbf{P} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{n}, \]
where we have
\[ \mathbf{U} = \text{diag}[\mathbf{U}_1, \mathbf{U}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{U}_K], \]
\[ \mathbf{A} = \text{diag}[\mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{A}_K], \]
\[ \mathbf{V}_s = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{V}_1 s, \mathbf{V}_2 s, \cdots, \mathbf{V}_{K s} \end{bmatrix}, \]
\[ \mathbf{n} = [\mathbf{n}_s^T, \mathbf{n}_s^T, \cdots, \mathbf{n}_s^T]^T. \]

In (13) \( \mathbf{U} \) and \( \mathbf{A} \) are \((\sum_{k=1}^{K} N_b \times \sum_{k=1}^{K} N_b)\)-component matrices, \( \mathbf{V}_s \) is a \((M \times \sum_{k=1}^{K} N_b)\)-component matrix and \( \mathbf{n} \) is an AWGN vector, which is Gaussian distributed with zero-mean and a covariance matrix of \( \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_{\sum_{k=1}^{K} N_b} \).

For SVD based preprocessing, the preprocessing matrix \( \mathbf{P} \) can be set to be [15]
\[ \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{V}_s^H + \mathbf{V}_s \left[ \mathbf{V}_s^H \mathbf{V}_s \right]^{-1} \]
where we have
\[ \mathbf{V}_s = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{V}_1 s, \mathbf{V}_2 s, \cdots, \mathbf{V}_{K s} \end{bmatrix} \]
and \( \mathbf{V}_s^H \) denotes the pseudo-inverse of the matrix \( \mathbf{V}_s^H \).

Consequently, the resultant signal received at the \( k \)th MS is given by
\[ \mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{U}_k \mathbf{A}_k^{1/2} \beta_k \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{n}_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, K. \]
III. THE ACHIEVABLE MAXIMUM TRANSMISSION RATE

The major difference between multi-cell and single-cell transmission is that the power constraints have to be considered on a per-BS basis, i.e. the average transmit power of the jth BS is limited by $P_j$.

For multicell transmission, the preprocessing matrix for the kth MS can be expressed as

$$P_k = \begin{bmatrix} P_{k,1}^T, P_{k,2}^T, \cdots, P_{k,N_k}^T \end{bmatrix},$$

(17)

where the $(N_t \times N_k)$-dimensional matrix $P_{k,j}$ is the preprocessing matrix configured for transmission from the jth BS to the kth MS.

Furthermore, let the $(N_t \times \sum_{k=1}^{K} N_k)$-dimensional matrix $\mathbf{P}$ denote the preprocessing matrix configured for transmission from the jth BS to all the K MSs, which is given by

$$\mathbf{P}_j = \begin{bmatrix} P_{1,j}, P_{2,j}, \cdots, P_{K,j} \end{bmatrix},$$

(18)

which represents the preprocessing matrix configured for transmission from the jth BS to all the K MSs. In order to meet the per-BS power constraints, we have to satisfy

$$\text{Trace}[\mathbf{P}_j \mathbf{\beta} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^H \mathbf{P}_j^H] = \text{Trace}[\mathbf{\beta} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^H \mathbf{P}_j^H]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \beta_i^k \mathbf{P}_j^H \mathbf{P}_j, k, l \leq P_j, (19)$$

where $[\mathbf{P}_j^H \mathbf{P}_j]_{i,j}$ is the ith diagonal element of the matrix $[\mathbf{P}_j^H \mathbf{P}_j]$ and Trace() denotes the trace of the argument. The maximum achievable average transmission rate per user per antenna is given by

$$R_{SVD} = \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \log_2(1 + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{u}_k \mathbf{A}_k^{1/2} \mathbf{\beta}_k \mathbf{A}_k^{1/2} \mathbf{u}_k^H)$$

$$= \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \log_2(1 + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{\Lambda}_k^H \mathbf{\beta}_k \mathbf{\Lambda}_k)$$

$$= \max_{\beta} \log_2(1 + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{\Lambda}_k^H \mathbf{\beta}_k \mathbf{\Lambda}_k)$$

(20)

under the constraints of (19).

The above optimization problem may be solved for example, by the interior-point method as recommended in [13]. However, since it can be complex to deal with, we resort to a less complex suboptimal solution in this paper.

A. Scaled Suboptimal Power Allocation

First, the so-called scaled power allocation is considered [13]. In this case, the power allocation is performed firstly by assuming that all BSs can jointly pool their power, i.e. the maximum achievable average rate is obtained by

$$R_{\text{Joint}} = \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \log_2(1 + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \lambda_k \beta_k^2)$$

(21)
In this paper, we investigated the extension of SVD based multiuser transmission from the single cell case to the multicell scenario, where the multiple BSs may cooperate during their transmissions. We also compared the SVD based BS cooperation to the corresponding BD and ZF based schemes, while using different power allocation policies. The BD based scheme is capable of achieving the best performance, while the SVD based scheme is suboptimal. However, the BD based scheme requires extra training for generating the effective SU-MIMO CSI, while the SVD based scheme avoids this requirement. Hence, the SVD based scheme is less complex than the BD based one.
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