Conduction in Linear Low Density Polyethylene Nanodielectric Materials
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Abstract: Nanodielectrics have been extensively researched in recent years with the expectation that they may enhance electrical performance. In this paper we have studied the electrical conduction processes in linear low density polyethylene (LLDPE) filled with nano alumina particles. The electrical conduction was measured at various applied electric fields. It has been found that the conduction current shows a minimum at a 1% b.w. concentration nano alumina particles. To aid an understanding of conduction processes in the nanodielectric, differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was used to analyse the interaction between nano alumina particles and the host matrix. Initial findings suggest that there is an interaction zone around the nano alumina particles. Neighbouring interaction zones are expected to overlap when the percentage of nano alumina particles exceeds 5% in LLDPE.
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INTRODUCTION

The term nanodielectric refers to a dielectric material which has been filled with nano sized particles in order to modify the properties of the material. It is also referred to as nanocomposite. In such a system, chemically dissimilar components are combined at the nanometer scale, and stronger interactions between the material and nanoparticles produce markedly improved materials with better electrical, mechanical, thermal, and rheological performances. Nanoparticles are usually defined as particles having dimensions smaller than 1000 nm, but more typically of the order of 1 to 100 nm. The field of nanodielectric research is relatively new but a lot of research has been carried out recently incorporating various nanoparticles into existing host dielectric matrices resulting in nanocomposites with improved benefits over conventional micro sized filler systems [1–6]. In reducing the size of the particles, the surface area of the particles increases significantly for the same volume of fillers. This change in the total interfacial area between the filler and the host material means that the mechanisms associated with the interface between two materials is expected to have a significant impact on the macroscopic properties of the composite.

As far as electrical transport properties of all dielectrics are concerned, they are closely associated with charge injection and bulk dynamics. The measurement of charge formation, transportation of nanodielectric materials provides an important tool to understand interaction between nanoparticles and the host material. Our results from space charge measurements for the same samples indicated that there may be an optimal amount (~1% b.w.) of nanoparticles for nanodielectric [7]. Beyond that the dielectric properties deteriorate very rapidly. In the present paper we have studied the electrical conduction processes in linear low density polyethylene loaded with weakly aggregated nano alumina particles. The electrical conduction was measured at various applied electric fields and temperatures. To aid the understanding of conduction processes in these semicrystalline nanodielectrics, differential scanning calorimetry was used to analyse the interaction between nano alumina particles and host matrix.

EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Sample Preparation

LLDPE used in this study is a commercial linear low density polyethylene from Atofina. It has a density of 0.934 g/cm3, a melt index of 0.87 g/10 min. Nanoparticles of aluminium oxide from Degussa with a particle size of about 13 nm was used as filler. This nano scale filler has a nearly spherical shape with a specific surface area of about 100 m2/g. The filler was dried before use. Polyethylene nanocomposites were prepared by melt mixing at 150 °C using a Brabender W50EHT mixer with chamber size of 50 cm3 under a nitrogen atmosphere. The mixer has a high shear force, the screw speed was controlled at 60 rpm, and the mixing time was 20 min for each sample. The sheets of mixtures were then extruded by using a Polylab Universal Moulding Machine at 150 °C. The nanocomposites were finally prepared into films with the thickness of 0.2 mm by hot melt pressing at 150 °C or 180°C. The cooling process has a significant influence on the morphological features of the sample which may alter the dielectric characteristics. To keep a consistence morphology the moulded samples were immersed into distilled cool water (quenching). Four types of polyethylene nanocomposite disc films with a
diameter of 35 mm were prepared with concentrations of alumina of 0, 1, 5, and 10 wt %, respectively. For 10% the moulding temperature had to be raised to 180°C to achieve a uniform sample. Table 1 shows the details of the samples prepared.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Alumina % by Weight</th>
<th>Moulding temperature (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PE-0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE-5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE-10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Electrical Conduction

The samples were stressed under various dc electric fields and the electrical current was measured by Keithley 486 picoammeter. Two electrodes (diameter ~ 5 mm) system was used in the present study. The electrode system was placed in oven so the desired temperatures can be achieved. The conduction current changes with time under an electric field and takes some time to reach a steady value. The time varies with the electric field applied and temperature. In the present exploratory study we record the current value after 1 hour application of the electric field which is a steady state conduction current.

**RESULTS**

Figure 1 shows the I-V characteristics at 20°C for the samples filled with different amount of alumina. The conduction current increases with the applied voltage as expected. However, the addition of alumina nanoparticles changes the magnitude of conduction current.

There are several features which can be seen in this diagram. The first one is the conduction current in the PE-1 sample is lower than the sample without any alumina nanoparticles. This means that the addition of small amount of alumina hinders the movement of charge in the bulk of the material. As the amount of alumina increases the conduction current in the PE-5 increases significantly compared to the PE-0. The second feature is the conduction current in the PE-10 sample showing significant reduction at lower voltages but becoming very high once the applied voltage exceeds 5 kV. This is unexpected.

Figure 2 illustrates the I-V characteristics at 40°C for four samples with different amount of alumina nanoparticles. Generally, it shows similar features to those in Figure 1. Increasing temperature leads to higher conduction current for all the samples except PE-10. Additionally, the voltage that causes a rapid increase in the conduction current in PE-10 moves up to 7 kV.

I-V characteristics for all the samples at 60°C are shown in Figure 3. The same features as in Figure 2 are observed again. This may demonstrate that different interactions can take place when different amounts of alumina nanoparticles are added.

Based on the above results the relationship between conduction current and temperature in PE-0, PE-1 and PE-5 at different voltages can be derived. The plots show a similar trend and for the simplicity, only the current versus temperature plot at 5 kV is shown in Figure 4.

The activation energy can be extracted from the current and temperature plot and the average values for PE-0, PE-1 and PE-5 are shown in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>PE-0</th>
<th>PE-1</th>
<th>PE-5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Activation energy (eV)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It can be seen that by adding small amount of alumina nanoparticles the activation energy increases. Further addition of alumina nanoparticles results in a decrease in activation energy. All these results are consistent with the conduction current measurements.

The temperature dependence of the conduction current in sample PE-10 is very different from the other three samples as shown in Figures 1 to 3. Instead of increasing with temperature the conduction current actually decreases.

DISCUSSION

The addition of nano alumina to LLDPE has altered I–V characteristics of the material. It has been found the alteration depends heavily on the amount of nano alumina particles. For a small amount such as 1% by weight, I–V characteristics of the material is improved, i.e. less conduction current was observed for the same applied voltage. On the other hand when the amount of nano alumina particles is increased the conduction current increases as shown in Sample PE-5. Calculation of activation energy for the samples reveals that the activation energy changes with the amount of nano alumina particles added which may indicates different interactions between nanoparticles and the host LLDPE. Further increase in nano alumina particles leads to a lower conduction current at lower applied voltage but rapid increase in conduction current at higher applied voltage. More surprisingly, the temperature dependence of conduction current in the PE-10 shows a negative coefficient, indicating a different conduction mechanism from the samples with lower concentration of nano alumina particles.

The alteration in I–V characteristics is thought to be related to the interface between nano alumina particles and host LLDPE matrix. The interface may extend to tens of nm but no direct experimental evidence is reported here. For an idealized situation where all the nano spherical shaped particles are assumed to sit on the eight corners of a cube as shown in Figure 5, it is possible to calculate the separation distance between adjacent particles.

\[ d = r \left\{ \frac{4\pi}{3} \left( 1 + \frac{1 - F \rho_f}{F \rho_m} \right)^{1/3} - 2 \right\} \]

F is the weight fraction of nano alumina particles, \( \rho_f = 961 \text{ kg/m}^3 \) and \( \rho_m = 920 \text{ kg/m}^3 \) are the density of alumina and LLDPE matrix respectively. Figure 6 shows the separation distance d versus alumina fraction in LLDPE matrix for \( r = 6.5 \text{ nm} \). It can be seen that the separation distance varies rapidly for a small percentage of nano alumina particles while it changes slowly for high percentages.

To further investigate the results obtained for samples containing different percentage of nano alumina particles, analysis of the melting behavior was carried out using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). Samples of ~4-5mg of each of your materials were placed in an aluminium can. The samples started in their molten state (200°C) and were cooled at 1°C per minute to room temperature. The heat flow associated with the crystallisation process is recorded as shown in Figure 7.
It can be clearly seen that there are two processes, one at just below 120°C which occurs in the pure LLDPE and sample filled with 1% nano alumina particles and one at just above 112°C which appears in all the filled samples. It is interesting to notice that only the sample PE-1 has both of these features. This may be seen as direct evidence for an interaction zone around the nanoparticles - i.e. a region where the LLDPE behaves completely differently than in the absence of nano alumina fillers. In the 5% and 10% cases, the sample is then composed entirely of this interface region. It is believed that the confrontation of nano alumina particles and polymer matrix with dissimilar structures introduces a transition or interaction zone through which the properties change from those of the filler particle to the bulk polymer matrix. It is also possible that the interaction zone may behave completely differently. A schematic diagram is shown in Figure 8 to represent the interaction zone and overlap in the presence of higher percentage of nano alumina particles. Based on the idealised model shown in Figure 5, the radius of the interaction zone can be estimated from the separation distance shown in Figure 6. For 5%, the separation distance is around 15.8 nm, so the radius of the interaction zone is around 14.4 nm.

CONCLUSIONS

The conduction current in PE-1 is the lowest, indicating that there is an optimal amount of nano alumina particles which is possibly close to 1% b.w.. This is consistent with previous space charge measurements. In such a case, individual features of interaction zone and host matrix are coexistent. The conduction current starts to increase in PE-5 and DSC results reveal that the feature of the interaction zone completely takes over in this case. The estimated radius of the interaction zone is around 14 nm. Further increase in nano alumina particles leads to a different conduction process – probably tunneling - suggesting that the different zones behave differently prior to and after zone overlap.
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