


Proportion of total number of articles at each citation count (minus self-citations)

N= 27197




As the citation count increases, the fraction of the total OA articles at each citation count overtakes the corresponding fraction of the total non-OA articles. This is another way of displaying the OA advantage and shows more graphically how it is distributed across the citation ranges.

Note that the 2006 data (both IR and ISI citations) were probably incomplete and too recent at time of analysis (2008). We are now extending the analysis for the range 2002-2008.

Separate proportions for O (OA) vs \emptyset (non-OA) articles at each citation count, from 2002 to 2006

N= 27 197

N(O) = 6 719 N(\emptyset) = 20 478




OM vs \emptyset M article proportions from mandated institutions 2002-2006

N = 1338

N(OM) = 743

N(\emptyset M) = 595




OS vs \emptyset S article proportions from unmandated (self-selected) institutions 2002-2006

N= 4334

N(OS) = 644


N(\emptyset S) = 3690



N = 1406

N(OM) = 837


N(\emptyset M) = 569



N = 4599

N(OS) = 683


N(\emptyset S) = 3916



N = 1447

N(OM) = 849


N(\emptyset M) = 598



N = 4761

N(OS) = 767


N(\emptyset S) = 3994



N = 1442

N(OM) = 887


N(\emptyset M) = 555



N = 5686

N(OS) = 703


N(\emptyset S) = 4 983



N = 582

N(OM) = 348

N(\emptyset M) = 234



N = 1602

N(OS) = 258

N(\emptyset S) = 1344

