

# Social Knowledge and the Web

Reuben Binns  
Web Science DTC  
ECS, University of Southampton  
[rdpbinns@gmail.com](mailto:rdpbinns@gmail.com)  
Twitter: @RDBinns

“Imagine a world in which every single human being can freely share in the sum of all knowledge.”

- Wikimedia Foundation

# What is Social Knowledge?

- Traditionally, epistemology has focused on individuals.
- Social epistemology investigates the social dimensions of knowledge
- Social Knowledge = Knowledge held collectively by more than one person

# Examples

a) 'Einstein knew  $E=MC^2$ '

b) '...the research team knew that surface silanols initiate aziridine polymerization off the surface with catalytic amounts of acetic acid'

c) 'The Ancient Chinese knew that *artemisia annua* is a cure for malaria'

# Reductive accounts

- Anthony Quinton:

“Groups are said to have beliefs, emotions and attitudes and to take decisions and make promises. But these ways of speaking are plainly metaphorical. To ascribe mental predicates to a group is always an indirect way of ascribing such predicates to its members. (1976, p.19)”

- “The group knows P” is shorthand for  
“Individual members of the group know P”

# Problems with reduction

- Discursive dilemmas - Pettit (2007)

	Committed action?	Contractual obligation?	Liable ?
Judge 1	Yes	Yes	Yes
Judge 2	Yes	No	No
Judge 3	No	Yes	No
Majority Decision	Yes	Yes	No?

# Problems with reduction

- Bird (2010?) Stored knowledge
- Not only is SK not reducible to IK, it doesn't even depend on it
- Storage – books, databases.
- Production: Automated Weather Systems



# Towards a non-reductive account

- Bird (2010): Functional definition of knowledge – input to deliberation and action
- See also Hawthorne and Stanley (2010): knowledge as a norm for action.
- Social knowledge is input to social deliberation and social action
- In the same way that individuals have certain cognitive faculties whose purpose is to generate knowledge for that individual, collectives have practices and institutions whose purpose is to generate social knowledge.

# Storage

according to Bird,

'...what is social is not just a collection of individuals ... The material means of coordination are also part of the social. And these can play a role in the generation and storage of social knowledge. Social knowledge can be stored, for example, not only in peoples' minds, but also in libraries and electronic databases; it can be produced both by scientists and by automated systems.' (p11)

# Storage

- The sum of human knowledge is clearly too great for one person (cf. Thomas Young) and even distributed across the global population.
- Paper, analogue and digital storage have allowed individuals and collectives to continue accruing knowledge beyond their natural capacity.

# Social Knowledge on the Web

- Collectively held by web users
- Input to social deliberation and action
- How does it differ?

# 1. Global Social Knowledge

- Reconfigured access to Social Knowledge
- Without the web, we might be able to increase SK relative to specific groups, (as above) but not create truly global SK
- The web allows any web user to access any item of knowledge on the web via a URL

# Visibility and input to action and deliberation

- A qualification:
- Knowledge that is obscured on the third page of search results, or in a walled garden, is clearly different to highly visible knowledge, published on the open web.
- Perhaps only when published on the open web, with SEO, does SK range over all web users

## 2. Offloading IK, relying on SK

- As we offload knowledge onto the web, individual knowledge becomes less important.
- We increasingly consult the web before acting on some information, even if we think we know it to be true
- The cost of checking SK outweighs the uncertainty of IK
- SK becomes the dominant source of input to action on an individual and social level

- More or less knowledgeable?
- Appraisal of an individual's epistemic state. A decrease in IK isn't necessarily a bad thing, as it may free up space for other cognitive tasks – reasoning and acting.
- Knowledge about knowledge; knowing where to find knowledge as and when it is needed.

# Risks?



WHEN WIKIPEDIA HAS A SERVER OUTAGE, MY APPARENT IQ DROPS BY ABOUT 30 POINTS.

# HEC and individual knowledge

- Hypothesis of Extended Cognition: Some objects in the external environment can be seen as extensions of the mind; if they function with the same purpose as the internal processes.
- Social knowledge on the web substitutes internal process of knowledge use.
- If this is true, then my IK is the same as whatever SK I have access to.

# 3. Co-ordination of social action

- Externalised knowledge used to be relative to an individual (also used to be generated by that individual)
- With the web, my externalised knowledge is often the same as yours; we are all using the same social knowledge, rather than our own, self-stored individual knowledge.
- This enables greater social deliberation and action, which again increases the emphasis on social knowledge

# Social Knowledge on the Semantic Web

- Principle of closure under known entailment.
- If Smith knows  $P$ , and Smith knows  $P \rightarrow Q$ , then Smith knows  $Q$ .
- Not so for social knowledge. Two items of SK can be disparate
- Semantic Web may allow such entailments to be made (semantic searches, inference engines)

# References

- Bird, A. (2009) "Social Knowing: The Social Sense of 'Scientific Knowledge'" (forthcoming in *Philosophical Perspectives*)
- Clark, A. and Chalmers, D. (1998). "The extended mind," *Analysis*, 58: 10–23.
- Gilbert, M. (2004) "Collective epistemology." *Episteme* 1: 95–107.
- Pettit, P. (2003) "Groups with minds of their own." In F. Schmitt (Ed.), *Socializing Metaphysics*, pp. 167–93. Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield.
- Pettit, P.(2007) "Responsibility Incorporated." *Ethics* 117: 171–201
- Quinton, A. (1976). "Social objects." *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society* 76: 1–27.
- Williamson, T. (1996) "Knowing and asserting." *The Philosophical Review* 105: 489–523.