Black polarization sandwiches are square roots of zero

M V Berry and M R Dennis

H H Wills Physics Laboratory, University of Bristol, Tyndall Avenue, Bristol BS8 1TL, UK

Abstract
In the 2×2 matrices representing retarders and ideal polarizers, the
eigenvectors are orthogonal. An example of the opposite case, where
eigenvectors collapse onto one, is matrices **M** representing crystal plates
sandwiched between a crossed polarizer and analyser. For these familiar
combinations, $\mathbf{M}^2 = 0$, so black sandwiches can be regarded as square roots
of zero. Black sandwiches illustrate physics associated with degeneracies of
non-Hermitian matrices.

Keywords: polarization, degeneracy, nilpotence, matrix optics

Tudor [1, 2] has recently remarked that the common
classification of polarizing devices into retarders, represented
by unitary operators, and ideal polarizers, represented by
projection operators, fails to include some commonly used
combinations of optical elements. Both retarders and ideal
polarizers are represented by 2×2 Jones matrices [3–5]
whose eigenvectors are orthogonal; the difference lies in the
eigenvalues: for retarders, two complex eigenvalues lie on
the unit circle, and for ideal polarizers, one eigenvalue is
zero. Tudor gives the example of a linear polarizer followed
by a quarter-wave plate, where the eigenvectors are not
orthogonal. He also gives an example of the extreme case
of nonorthogonal eigenvectors, namely *parallel* eigenvectors:
a linear polarizer sandwiched between two identical quarter-
wave plates oriented at 45° to the axes of the polarizer; this
example falls into a class described by de Lang [6].

Here we extend Tudor's remark in a very simple way,
by pointing out that this extreme case of parallel eigenvectors
is realized optically by a much wider class of devices
including some of the most familiar combinations employed in
polarization optics, namely any specimen (e.g. a crystal plate)
between a crossed polarizer and analyser—which we call a
black sandwich, for obvious reasons. The matrix for a black
sandwich is

$$\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{P}_+ \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P}_-, \tag{1}$$

where

$$\mathbf{P}_\pm = |\pm\rangle\langle\pm| \tag{2}$$

are the projection matrices corresponding to the ideal polarizer
$(-)$ and analyser $(+)$ that select orthogonal states represented
by the column (e.g. Jones) vectors $|\pm\rangle$, and **A**, representing the
specimen, can be any 2×2 matrix.

Because of its unsymmetrical form (polarizer and analyser
different), **M** is non-Hermitian, and explicit calculation shows
that both of its eigenvalues are zero. Therefore the two
eigenvectors, that are different for the general case, have here
collapsed onto one, namely $|+\rangle$. A polarization $|\psi\rangle$ entering
the black sandwich emerges in the state

$$\mathbf{M}|\psi\rangle = (\langle+|\mathbf{A}|-\rangle\langle-|\psi\rangle)|+\rangle. \tag{3}$$

In contrast to the ideal polarizer $\mathbf{P}_+$, from which the emerging
light is also in the state $|+\rangle$ but which extinguishes incident light
in the orthogonal state $|-\rangle$, the black sandwich extinguishes
its own eigenstate. This is obvious when **M** is written in the
form

$$M = (\langle+|A|-\rangle)|+\rangle\langle-|. \tag{4}$$

It follows immediately that

$$\mathbf{M}^2 = 0, \tag{5}$$

i.e. **M** is nilpotent, reflecting the obvious fact that the
combination of two black sandwiches extinguishes all
light. Therefore black sandwiches (1), incorporating general
matrices **A**, can be regarded as nontrivial square roots of zero.
(The trivial square root $\mathbf{M} = 0$ corresponds to $\mathbf{A} = 1$—simply a
crossed polarizer and analyser, i.e. a sandwich with no filling.)

A familiar black sandwich consists of a transparent crystal
plate between a linear polarizer and analyser. If the polarizer
and analyser are

$$|-\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{(polarizer)},$$

$$|+\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{(analyser)}, \tag{6}$$

and the orthogonal eigenpolarizations and eigenvalues of the crystal are

$$|\phi_1\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \text{eigenvalue } \lambda_0 + \lambda,$$

$$|\phi_2\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} v^* \\ -u^* \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \text{eigenvalue } \lambda_0 - \lambda, \tag{7}$$

with $|u|^2 + |v|^2 = 1$, then $\mathbf{A}$ is the unitary matrix

$$\mathbf{A} = \exp(i\lambda_0)[|\phi_1\rangle\langle\phi_1| \exp(i\lambda) + |\phi_2\rangle\langle\phi_2| \exp(-i\lambda)]$$

$$= \exp(i\lambda_0)\left[\cos\lambda\mathbf{I} + i\sin\lambda \begin{pmatrix} |u|^2 - |v|^2 & 2uv^* \\ 2u^*v & |v|^2 - |u|^2 \end{pmatrix}\right], \tag{8}$$

and the black sandwich matrix is

$$\mathbf{M} = \langle+|\mathbf{A}|-\rangle|+\rangle\langle-| = 2iuv^* \exp(i\lambda_0)\sin\lambda \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{9}$$

For an anisotropic material, the polarization components u and v depend on direction, and any diffuse light (e.g. the sky, or white paper) viewed through the sandwich will exhibit the familiar conoscopic figures [7, 8]. An easy way to see the conoscopic figures displaying the polarization singularity at the optic axis of a biaxial material is with a 'crystal' consisting of a sheet of overhead-projector transparency film [9], so this 'black plastic sandwich' is a square root of zero. For conoscopic figures corresponding to more general polarization singularities, with $\mathbf{A}$ representing crystals that are gyrotropic and dichroic as well as birefringent, see [10].

The de Lang class [6] of nilpotent devices, mentioned at the end of the first paragraph, is more restricted, being of the form $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{UPU}$, where $\mathbf{U}$ is a quarter-wave retarder whose eigenpolarizations are directions on the Poincaré sphere perpendicular to that selected by $\mathbf{P}$. This class forms a four-parameter family of devices (including overall phase), whereas the black sandwiches form a ten-parameter family (or, if $\mathbf{A}$ is restricted to the class of retarders, a six-parameter family).

The polarization optics of black sandwiches joins a growing class of physics associated with the collapse of two eigenvectors onto one at a degeneracy of eigenvalues of non-Hermitian matrices. Other examples occur in the diffraction of atoms by 'crystals of light' [11–13], in nuclear physics [14–17], and in the linewidths of unstable lasers [18]. Such degeneracies also occur in the optics of absorbing crystals [8, 10, 19–21], for light travelling along a 'singular axis' (to avoid confusion, we emphasize that in (1) these degeneracies occur in the crystal matrix $\mathbf{A}$, not the black sandwich matrix $\mathbf{M}$).

References

[1] Tudor T 2003 Generalized observables in polarization optics *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **36** 9577–90

[2] Tudor T 2003 *ICO Topical Meeting on Polarization Optics* (*Physics Department Selected Papers* 8) ed A A Friesem and J Turunen (Polvijärvi: University of Joensuu) pp 46–47

[3] Azzam R M A and Bashara N M 1977 *Ellipsometry and Polarized Light* (Amsterdam: North-Holland)

[4] Berry M V and Klein S 1996 Geometric phases from stacks of crystal plates *J. Mod. Opt.* **43** 165–80

[5] Brosseau C 1998 *Fundamentals of Polarised Light: a Statistical Optics Approach* (New York: Wiley)

[6] de Lang H 1967 Polarization properties of optical resonators passive and active *Philips Res. Rep.* **37** Suppl. 1–67

[7] Born M and Wolf E 1959 *Principles of Optics* (London: Pergamon)

[8] Ramachandran G N and Ramaseshan S 1961 Crystal optics *Handbuch der Physik* vol XXV/I, ed H Flügge (Berlin: Springer)

[9] Berry M V, Bhandari R and Klein S 1999 Black plastic sandwiches demonstrating biaxial optical anisotropy *Eur. J. Phys.* **20** 1–14

[10] Berry M V and Dennis M R 2003 The optical singularities of birefringent dichroic chiral crystals *Proc. R. Soc.* A **459** 1261–92

[11] Oberthaler M K, Abfalterer R, Bernet S, Schmiedmayer J and Zeilinger A 1996 Atom waves in crystals of light *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77** 4980–3

[12] Berry M V and O'Dell D H J 1998 Diffraction by volume gratings with imaginary potentials *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **31** 2093–101

[13] Berry M V 1998 Lop-sided diffraction by absorbing crystals *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **31** 3493–502

[14] Heiss W D 2000 Repulsion of resonance states and exceptional points *Phys. Rev.* E **61** 929–32

[15] Heiss W D and Harney H L 2001 The chirality of exceptional points *Eur. Phys. J.* D **17** 149–51

[16] Rotter I 2001 Correlations in quantum systems and branch points in the complex plane *Phys. Rev.* C **64** 034301

[17] Rotter I 2002 Branch points in the complex plane and geometric phases *Phys. Rev.* E **65** 026217

[18] Berry M V 2003 Mode degeneracies and the Petermann excess-noise factor for unstable lasers *J. Mod. Opt.* **50** 63–81

[19] Pancharatnam S 1955 The propagation of light in absorbing biaxial crystals—I. Theoretical *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.* **42** 86–109

[20] Pancharatnam S 1955 The propagation of light in absorbing biaxial crystals—II. Experimental *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.* **42** 235–48

[21] Berry M V 1994 Pancharatnam, virtuoso of the Poincaré sphere: an appreciation *Curr. Sci.* **67** 220–23