

Does Pet Ownership in Infancy Lead to Asthma or Allergy at School Age? Pooled Analysis of Individual Participant Data from 11 European Birth Cohorts

Karin C. Lødrup Carlsen^{1,2,9}, Stephanie Roll^{3,9}, Kai-Håkon Carlsen^{2,4}, Petter Mowinckel¹, Alet H. Wijga⁵, Bert Brunekreef^{6,7}, Maties Torrent⁸, Graham Roberts⁹, S. Hasan Arshad⁹, Inger Kull¹⁰, Ursula Krämer¹¹, Andrea von Berg¹², Esben Eller¹³, Arne Høst¹⁴, Claudia Kuehni¹⁵, Ben Spycher¹⁵, Jordi Sunyer¹⁶, Chih-Mei Chen¹⁷, Andreas Reich³, Anna Asarnej^{10,18}, Carmen Puig¹⁹, Olf Herbarth²⁰, Jestinah M. Mahachie John^{21,22,23}, Kristel Van Steen^{21,22,23}, Stefan N. Willich³, Ulrich Wahn²⁴, Susanne Lau²⁴, Thomas Keil³, as part of the GA²LEN WP 1.5 'Birth Cohorts' working group²⁵

1 Department of Paediatrics, Oslo University Hospital, Ullevål, Norway, **2** Faculty of Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway, **3** Institute for Social Medicine, Epidemiology and Health Economics, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany, **4** Voksentoppen, Oslo University Hospital, Rikshospitalet, Oslo, Norway, **5** Centre for Prevention and Health Services Research, National Institute for Public Health and the Environment, Bilthoven, The Netherlands, **6** Institute for Risk Assessment Sciences, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands, **7** Julius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care, University Medical Center, Utrecht, The Netherlands, **8** Ib-salut Menorca Health Area and Fundacio Caubet-Cimera, Menorca, Spain, **9** The David Hide Asthma and Allergy Research Centre, Isle of Wight and University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom, **10** Institute of Environmental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden, **11** Institut für Umweltmedizinische Forschung, University of Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany, **12** Department of Pediatrics, Marien-Hospital Wesel, Wesel, Germany, **13** Allergycenter, Department of Dermatology, Odense University Hospital, Odense, Denmark, **14** Department of Pediatrics, Odense University Hospital, Odense, Denmark, **15** Institute for Social and Preventive Medicine, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland, **16** Environmental Epidemiology Research Centre and Institut Municipal d'Investigacio Medica, Barcelona, Spain, **17** Institute of Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Centre for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany, **18** Astrid Lindgrens' Children's Hospital, Karolinska University Hospital, Solna, Sweden, **19** Unitat recerca infancia i entorn, Institut Municipal d'Investigacio Medica - Hospital del Mar, Barcelona, Spain, **20** Faculty of Medicine, University of Leipzig and Department of Human Exposure Research and Epidemiology, UFZ - Centre for Environmental Research Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany, **21** Dept. of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology, University of Ghent, Ghent, Belgium, **22** Systems and Modeling Unit, Montefiore Institute, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium, **23** Bioinformatics and Modeling, GIGA-R, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium, **24** Dept. for Paediatric Pneumology and Immunology, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany, **25** Global Allergy and Asthma European Network (GA²LEN)-Work Package 1.5 'Birth Cohorts'

Abstract

Objective: To examine the associations between pet keeping in early childhood and asthma and allergies in children aged 6–10 years.

Design: Pooled analysis of individual participant data of 11 prospective European birth cohorts that recruited a total of over 22,000 children in the 1990s.

Exposure definition: Ownership of only cats, dogs, birds, rodents, or cats/dogs combined during the first 2 years of life.

Outcome definition: Current asthma (primary outcome), allergic asthma, allergic rhinitis and allergic sensitization during 6–10 years of age.

Data synthesis: Three-step approach: (i) Common definition of outcome and exposure variables across cohorts; (ii) calculation of adjusted effect estimates for each cohort; (iii) pooling of effect estimates by using random effects meta-analysis models.

Results: We found no association between furry and feathered pet keeping early in life and asthma in school age. For example, the odds ratio for asthma comparing cat ownership with “no pets” (10 studies, 11489 participants) was 1.00 (95% confidence interval 0.78 to 1.28) ($I^2 = 9\%$; $p = 0.36$). The odds ratio for asthma comparing dog ownership with “no pets” (9 studies, 11433 participants) was 0.77 (0.58 to 1.03) ($I^2 = 0\%$, $p = 0.89$). Owning both cat(s) and dog(s) compared to “no pets” resulted in an odds ratio of 1.04 (0.59 to 1.84) ($I^2 = 33\%$, $p = 0.18$). Similarly, for allergic asthma and for allergic rhinitis we did not find associations regarding any type of pet ownership early in life. However, we found some evidence for an association between ownership of furry pets during the first 2 years of life and reduced likelihood of becoming sensitized to aero-allergens.

Conclusions: Pet ownership in early life did not appear to either increase or reduce the risk of asthma or allergic rhinitis symptoms in children aged 6–10. Advice from health care practitioners to avoid or to specifically acquire pets for primary prevention of asthma or allergic rhinitis in children should not be given.

Citation: Lødrup Carlsen KC, Roll S, Carlsen K-H, Mowinckel P, Wijga AH, et al. (2012) Does Pet Ownership in Infancy Lead to Asthma or Allergy at School Age? Pooled Analysis of Individual Participant Data from 11 European Birth Cohorts. *PLoS ONE* 7(8): e43214. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043214

Editor: Sanja Stanojevic, Hospital for Sick Children, Canada

Received: March 29, 2012; **Accepted:** July 20, 2012; **Published:** August 29, 2012

Copyright: © 2012 Lødrup Carlsen et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This collaborative project was initiated, supported and funded by the Global Allergy and Asthma European Network (GA2LEN; www.ga2len.net) under the 6th framework programme of the European Commission (project no. FOOD-CT-2004-506378). Sources of funding of the individual birth cohort studies are described in their publications. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: stephanie.roll@charite.de

☛ These authors contributed equally to this work.

Introduction

The causes of the worldwide asthma and allergy epidemic over recent decades remain uncertain. Environmental and lifestyle factors, possibly interacting with genetic variants, may play a role however clear evidence for a predominant risk factor is lacking. Pet exposure as a common indoor environmental exposure particularly in families with young children has been of increasing public health concern with regard to recommendations for primary prevention of respiratory and allergic disease. Considerable controversy exists as to whether particularly cat and dog exposure may be a risk or even a protective factor for developing asthma, allergic symptoms or allergic sensitization [1–24]. The conferred risks of pet exposure may be limited to individuals with allergic parents [25–27].

Previous results have come predominantly from cross-sectional studies and may therefore be skewed due to recall bias with regards to pet keeping [7], and early symptoms [28]; in addition, pet avoidance behaviour may distort the associations between pets and allergic diseases [15,29,30]. The heterogeneity of results might also be explained by differences in exposure classifications without “clean” categories of single pets and differences in the prevalence of pets in the community [31]. Furthermore, the climate may influence indoor versus outdoor pet keeping and its association with allergic outcomes [3,32].

Primary care practitioners are uncertain about respiratory health risks or benefits of furry pet ownership particularly in early childhood and what advice to give to parents. The objective of this study was to improve the evidence on the primary prevention of asthma and allergies in relation to pet keeping in early life, using data from a large data base of European birth cohort studies. The primary aim was to determine whether pet keeping in the first two years of life was associated with asthma in school-aged children (age 6 to 10 years). Secondly, we aimed to assess whether pet-keeping was associated with other allergic diseases (allergic or non-allergic asthma, allergic sensitization or allergic rhinitis).

Methods

Design and included birth cohort studies

As part of the Global Allergy and Asthma European Network (GA²LEN, www.ga2len.net) all population-based European birth cohort studies with a special focus on asthma and allergy were identified, contacted and their methods described and compared [33,34].

For the present combined data analyses, three inclusion criteria were defined: (i) European population-based observational birth cohort studies focusing on allergy and asthma (with ethical approval from local review boards); (ii) recruitment of subjects in pregnancy, at birth or during the first year of life; (iii) at least 1

prospective assessment during 6–10 years of age (early school age); (iv) data on pet ownership assessed prospectively during the first 2 years. To avoid recall bias about early childhood exposures, cross-sectional studies of school-children were not considered. For each included study the raw individual level participant data was available for data analysis.

Ethics statement

This meta-analysis was conducted according to the principles stated in the Declaration of Helsinki. All included birth cohort studies were approved by their local Institutional Review Boards and all participants' parents provided written informed consent. The Institutional Review Boards were for MAS: Ethical Review Board Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin (Germany); BAMSE: Regional Ethical Review Board, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm (Sweden); ECA: The regional committee for medical and health profession research ethics, South-East, (Norway); PIAMA-NHS: Ethical Review Boards Utrecht CCMO P04.0071C, Rotterdam MEC 2004-152, Groningen M 4.019912 (The Netherlands); LISA: Ethics committees of the Bavarian General Medical Council, the University of Leipzig, and the Medical Council of North-Rhine-Westphalia (Germany); GINI-B: Ethics committees of the Bavarian General Medical Council, the University of Leipzig, and the Medical Council of North-Rhine-Westphalia (Germany); ARC: The Regional Scientific Ethical Committee for Southern Denmark (Denmark); AMICS-Barcelona: Clinical Research Ethical Committee of the Parc de Salut Mar, IMIM, Barcelona (Spain); AMICS-Menorca: Comite etic d'investigacio clinica de les Illes Balears (Spain); Leicester: Leicestershire, Northamptonshire and Rutland Research Ethics Committees 1 and 2 (UK); Isle of Wight: Isle of Wight, Portsmouth & SE Hants HA Local Research Ethics Committee (UK).

Definition of primary outcome

Since current “wheeze” is not very specific for asthma [35], we chose the primary outcome to be “current asthma” for the last available follow-up during 6–10 years defined as satisfying at least 2 out of 3 parent-reported conditions (from self-report questionnaires or interviews): (i) doctor-diagnosed asthma ever; (ii) asthma symptoms/wheezing (last 12 months) according to the International Study of Asthma and Allergy in Childhood (ISAAC) core questions [36]; (iii) using asthma medication (last 12 months) [35]. For two studies (DARC, ECA) the study physician's asthma diagnosis was used.

Definition of secondary outcomes

“Allergic asthma” was defined as the presence of the primary outcome “asthma” and a positive serum specific immunoglobulin E (s-IgE) > 0.35 kU/l to (i) any aero- and/or food allergen. Further

Table 1. Birth cohort acronym, study setting, year of recruitment, number of children recruited, and pet ownership during the first 2 years of life in 11 European birth cohorts (sorted from north to south).

Birth cohort acronym	Study setting/first year of recruitment	Children initially recruited, N	Pet ownership at age 0–2 y					
			Cat(s) only ¹	Dog(s) only ¹	Cat(s) and dog(s) only ¹	Bird(s) only ¹	Rodent(s) only ¹	No furry or feathered pets
			%					
			(n/N)					
ECA	Oslo	3754	7.9	8.8	0.9	4.3	1.5	76.6
	Norway, 1992	(1877) ²	(222/2810)	(247/2810)	(24/2810)	(122/2810)	(42/2810)	(2153/2810)
BAMSE	Stockholm	4089	9.6	4.4	1.5	2.4	1.6	80.6
	Sweden, 1994	(355/3719)	(163/3719)	(89/3719)	(54/3719)	(60/3719)	(2998/3719)	
DARC	Odense	562	16.2	11.0	4.0	3.6	2.3	62.9
	Denmark, 1998	(72/445)	(49/445)	(16/445)	(18/445)	(10/445)	(280/445)	
Leicester 1998	Leicester	566 ³	16.4	16.4	4.4	1.5	6.5	54.8
	UK, 1998	(86/524)	(86/524)	(86/524)	(23/524)	(8/524)	(34/524)	(287/524)
Isle of Wight	Isle of Wight	1456	25.5	18.5	11.5	3.5	2.5	38.5
	UK, 1989	(274/1074)	(199/1074)	(38/1074)	(123/1074)	(27/1074)	(413/1074)	
PIAMA-NHS	Multicenter	3291	27.8	8.7	5.7	6.1	6.2	45.5
	The Netherlands, 1996	(729/2620)	(228/2620)	(160/2620)	(148/2620)	(163/2620)	(1192/2620)	
MAS	Multicenter	1314	11.6	6.1	1.6	8.5	3.4	68.8
	Germany, 1990	(108/933)	(57/933)	(15/933)	(15/933)	(79/933)	(32/933)	(642/933)
LISA	Multicenter	3097	9.6	6.1	1.5	4.7	5.4	72.7
	Germany, 1997	(232/2406)	(147/2406)	(37/2406)	(112/2406)	(129/2406)	(1749/2406)	
GINI-B	Multicenter	3739	6.8	7.2	1.9	4.8	4.3	75.0
	Germany, 1996	(162/2377)	(172/2377)	(113/2377)	(44/2377)	(103/2377)	(1783/2377)	
AMICS-Barcelona	Barcelona	487	6.2	9.8	1.0	21.8	4.2	57.0
	Spain, 1996	(12/193)	(19/193)	(2/193)	(42/193)	(8/193)	(110/193)	
AMICS-Menorca	Menorca	485	4.3	18.3	6.5	18.1	2.0	50.8
	Spain, 1997	(17/398)	(73/398)	(26/398)	(72/398)	(8/398)	(202/398)	
Total		22840	13.0	8.2	2.9	4.9	3.5	67.5
		(2269/17499)	(1440/17499)	(851/17499)	(514/17499)	(616/17499)	(11809/17499)	

ECA: Environment and Childhood Asthma Study. BAMSE: Children, Allergy, Millieu, Stockholm, Epidemiological Survey. DARC: Danish Allergy Research Council Study. PIAMA-NHS: The Prevention and Incidence of Asthma and Mite Allergy – Natural History Study. MAS: Multi-center Allergy Study. LISA: Lifestyle-related Factors on the Immune System and Development of Allergies in Childhood Study. GINI-B: German Infant Nutritional Intervention Study (observational part). AMICS: Asthma Multicentre Infant Cohort Study.


¹and no other furry or feathered pet.

²3754 initially recruited and followed-up until 2 years; for ECA: 1877 invited for longer follow-up.


³More children are part of the Leicester 1998 cohort, but for the present meta-analyses on early pet ownership we included only children who were recruited during the 1st year of life.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043214.t001


A) Cat only vs. no pet ownership (n=11489)




B) Dog only vs. no pet ownership (n=11433)



C) Cat and dog only vs. no pet ownership (n=10262)



D) Bird only vs. no pet ownership (n=11591)



E) Rodent only vs. no pet ownership (n=9484)




Figure 1. Current asthma. Meta-analyses of the adjusted odds ratios of **asthma** at 6–10 years of age and pet ownership in the first 2 years of life for: A), cat only vs. no pets; B), dog only vs. no pets; C) cat and dog only vs. no pets; D) bird only vs. no pets; E) rodents only vs. no pets. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043214.g001

definitions of allergic asthma were specified as asthma with a positive s-IgE to: (ii) any aero-allergen (in- or outdoor); (iii) cat allergen; (iv) dog allergen. “Non-allergic asthma” was defined as the presence of “asthma” without sensitization to any tested aero-/food allergen (s-IgE ≤ 0.35 kU/l). The reference groups were non-asthmatic children without allergic sensitization.

“Allergic sensitization” regardless of symptoms was defined as a positive s-IgE test >0.35 kU/l for the following categories: cat, dog, any indoor, any outdoor, any aero-, and any aero-/food allergen.

“Allergic rhinitis” included parent-reported symptoms during the last 12 months (ISAAC core questions: sneezing, runny or blocked nose without a cold or flu) plus s-IgE >0.35 kU/l against at least 1 aero-allergen.

Definition of household pet keeping

Based on parent-completed questionnaires or interviews between the children’s birth (or during pregnancy) and second birthday, we defined 6 pet ownership categories: (i) cat(s) only; (ii) dog(s) only; (iii) cat(s) and dog(s) only; (iv) rodent(s) only; (v) bird(s) only; (vi) and no furry or feathered pets (“no pets”) as the reference category. Six percent of families could not be classified into one of the categories above because they had a combination of different types of pets and were thus excluded from the analyses. Information on pet contact outside the home or outdoor pet keeping was not available in most cohorts. Other pets such as reptiles or amphibians were not considered.

Our primary aim was to examine the effect of pet ownership at any time between birth and the 2nd birthday. In addition, to

Table 2. Prevalence of current asthma, allergic asthma (sensitized to ≥1 aero-allergen), allergic rhinitis (sensitized to ≥1 aero-allergen) and allergic sensitization (≥1 aero-allergen >0.35 kU/L) at last follow-up assessment between 6 to 10 years in 11 European birth cohorts.


Birth cohort, country (sorted from north to south)	Age of children at follow-up (years)	Follow-up rate	Asthma	Allergic asthma	Allergic rhinitis	Allergic sensitisation
			% (n/N)	% (n/N)	% (n/N)	% (n/N)
ECA	10	84%	11.9 (120/1010)	7.6 (73/963)	13.9 (135/972)	33.2 (325/979)
Norway						
BAMSE	8–9	84%	9.3 (308/3330)	5.2 (165/3187)	8.0 (255/3202)	26.0 (637/2451)
Sweden						
DARC	6	81%	7.7 (35/457)	4.3 ¹ (19/441)	4.1 ¹ (18/441)	35.9 ¹ (168/468)
Denmark						
Leicester 1998	6	57%	18.7 (66/353)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
UK						
Isle of Wight	10	94%	16.3 (223/1370)	8.8 (110/1257)	11.9 (142/1196)	33.0 (314/952)
UK						
PIAMA-NHS	8	83%	7.1 (194/2720)	2.7 (70/2596)	5.5 (131/2374)	29.7 (383/1289)
The Netherlands						
MAS	10	58%	11.2 (68/606)	9.1 (54/592)	20.0 (147/735)	48.1 (343/713)
Germany						
LISA	6	71%	3.0 (66/2185)	1.2 (25/2144)	5.5 (109/1988)	26.7 (318/1193)
Germany						
GINI-B	6	59%	2.9 (64/2179)	1.3 (28/2143)	4.5 (90/2020)	27.2 (257/945)
Germany						
AMICS-Barcelona	6	64%	12.5 (39/312)	3.9 ¹ (11/284)	5.8 ¹ (15/259)	18.9 ¹ (54/286)
Spain						
AMICS-Menorca	6	94%	7.9 (36/458)	3.0 ¹ (13/435)	0.5 ¹ (2/438)	12.3 ¹ (43/349)
Spain						
Total			8.1 (1219/14980)	4.0 (568/14042)	7.7 (1044/13625)	29.5 (2842/9625)

n.a. = not assessed.


¹in DARC, AMICS-Barcelona and AMICS-Menorca, sensitization data were only available for the age of 4 years.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043214.t002


A) Cat only vs. no pet ownership (n=10722)




B) Dog only vs. no pet ownership (n=9032)



C) Cat and dog only vs. no pet ownership (n=4941)



D) Bird only vs. no pet ownership (n=9446)



E) Rodent only vs. no pet ownership (n=7212)




Figure 2. Allergic asthma. Meta-analyses of the adjusted odds of **allergic asthma** (sensitized to at least 1 aero-allergen; secondary endpoint) in early school age and ownership of pets in the first 2 years of life for: A) cat only vs. no pets; B) dog only vs. no pets; C) cat and dog only vs. no pets; D) bird only vs. no pets; E) rodents only vs. no pets.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043214.g002

evaluate whether the timing of pet ownership is relevant, we examined different exposure periods: at time of birth; between birth and 1st birthday, and between 1st and 2nd birthdays.

Definition of possible confounding factors

Eleven variables, if available, collected by parental questionnaires or interviews, were considered as possible confounders in the adjusted analyses of the individual birth cohorts: 1. family history (parents and siblings) of asthma and/or allergic rhinitis (yes versus no); 2. family history of pet allergy (yes versus no); 3. maternal smoking during pregnancy (yes versus no); 4. postnatal maternal smoking from after birth to last follow-up between 6 to 10 years of age ('regular smoker' and 'irregular smoker' versus 'never smoke' as reference category); 5. educational level of parents at birth of child (by tertile according to school years as proxy for socio-economic status); 6. one or more older siblings (yes versus no); 7. home/apartment with convenient ground access (ground or 1st floor versus 2nd floor or higher); 8. crowding at home (number of persons per square meter or room; in quintiles, with the lowest quintile as reference category); 9. gender (boys versus girls); 10. breast feeding duration (in months); 11. doctor's diagnosed eczema any time between birth and 2 years (yes versus no).

Statistical analyses

For each cohort, a multivariable logistic regression analysis was used to calculate the adjusted odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) to estimate the effect of pet exposure in the first 2 years on the primary (current asthma) and secondary outcomes at age 6 to 10 years. Adjustment was performed for 7 potential confounders that were available for all studies (these were factors 1, 4–6, and 9–11 as listed above) and in addition, for all factors available for the respective cohort. Furthermore, we performed sensitivity analyses using (i) only the 7 potential confounders available for all studies, and (ii) using a propensity score approach for adjustment [37,38]. For the latter, all available covariates as listed above (except gender of the child) were used for each study separately to estimate scores indicating the propensity of pet ownership for each participant using logistic regression analysis; subsequently these propensity scores plus gender were used as adjustment variables for modelling pet ownership and outcomes. For the primary outcome, we additionally analyzed possible two-way interactions (effect modification) between pet exposure and (i) parental allergy status, (ii) smoking in pregnancy and (iii) postnatal maternal smoking.

The combining of results from all cohorts was done by random-effect meta-analyses with the inverse-variance method, based on the assumption that the associations in the different cohorts are not identical, estimating the average of the associations [39,40]. As further sensitivity analyses for the primary outcome, we calculated fixed-effect meta-analyses, where it is assumed that the association is the same across all cohorts [39].

In subgroup analyses, we assessed the associations for the following groups: (i) parents with and (ii) without asthma or allergic rhinitis ever; (iii) parents with and (iv) without pet allergies ever; (v) parents with asthma and/or allergic rhinitis, but without pet allergies; and (vi) parents without any allergies. Furthermore, we analyzed studies with high and those with low prevalence of pet

ownership separately, and compared cohorts from major climatic regions in Europe (Nordic, Maritime, Central, and South).

In all analyses, a level of 0.05 was considered as statistically significant, without adjustment for multiple testing. Heterogeneity among the studies was tested using chi-squared Q -statistic and I^2 . We performed meta-analyses with Review Manager version 5.0 (German Cochrane Centre, Freiburg, Germany) and all other analyses with SAS version 9.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

Results

11 European studies, including the largest and oldest birth cohorts that were specifically designed to examine asthma and allergies, expressed interest and were included in the combined analyses. The recruitment of newborns and their families took place from 1989 (Isle of Wight, UK) to 1998 (DARC, Denmark and Leicester, UK) (Table 1). During age 6 to 10 years most cohorts achieved a follow-up rate of over 75%, this being the highest in the Isle of Wight and the three Scandinavian cohorts (Table 1).

Pet ownership

Pet ownership ranged from around 60% (Isle of Wight, UK) to around 20% (BAMSE, Stockholm, Sweden), only cat ownership from 28% (Dutch PIAMA-NHS) to 4% (Menorca, Spain), and only dog ownership from 18% (the 2 islands Menorca and Isle of Wight) to 4% (BAMSE) (Table 1). Keeping both cat(s) and dog(s) but no other pets was particularly common on the Isle of Wight (UK), keeping birds only in the 2 Spanish cohorts, and keeping rodents only in Leicester, UK, and the Dutch PIAMA-NHS cohort, respectively. Data to define the pet ownership categories was available for 40% (Menorca, Spain) to 93% (Leicester, UK).


Primary endpoint

The prevalence of current asthma at 6–10 years ranged from 2.9%–18.7% (Table 2). There were no significant associations between any type of pet ownership during the first 2 years and asthma during 6–10 years in the adjusted estimates of the main meta-analyses or in any of the individual cohorts (Figure 1). The meta-analysis odds ratio (OR) for asthma when owning a cat was 1.00 (95% confidence interval 0.78–1.28) and 0.77 (0.58–1.03) when owning a dog. Owning both cat and dog resulted in an OR for asthma of 1.04 (0.59–1.84). The OR of bird ownership was 1.03 (0.69–1.52), and 1.03 (0.64–1.66) for rodents. Heterogeneity across the cohorts was not significant.


Main results were similar when analyzing shorter pet exposure time periods (e.g. around birth or during first 12 months) or in sensitivity analyses using a propensity score to control for potential confounding. Also, meta-analyses in subgroups showed no significant association of pet ownership and asthma among parents with or among those without asthma/allergies, in cohorts with only high or those with only low pet prevalence, or in subgroups of cohorts from 4 major climatic regions in Europe.

No significant associations that would suggest effect modification were found when we analyzed two-way interactions between pet exposure and parental allergies, maternal prenatal smoking, or postnatal maternal smoking. Results were similar for fixed compared with random effect meta-analyses.


A) Cat only vs. no pet ownership (n=6978)




B) Dog only vs. no pet ownership (n=6978)



C) Cat and dog only vs. no pet ownership (n=5747)



D) Bird only vs. no pet ownership (n=6978)



E) Rodent only vs. no pet ownership (n=5585)




Figure 3. Allergic sensitization. Meta-analyses of the adjusted odds of **allergic sensitization** (sensitized to at least 1 aero-allergen) in early school age and pet ownership in the first 2 years of life for: A), cat only vs. no pets; B), dog only vs. no pets; C) cat and dog only vs. no pets; D) bird only vs. no pets; E) rodents only vs. no pets. (There were no IgE data available for Leicester 1998 cohort.) doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043214.g003

Secondary endpoints

Allergic asthma. The overall prevalence of allergic asthma (defined as current asthma and sensitization to ≥ 1 aero-allergen) in early school age was 4.0%, ranging from 1.2% at six years to 9.1% at 10 years (Table 2). Pet ownership was not associated with asthma in combination with sensitization to ≥ 1 aero-allergen (Figure 2), to ≥ 1 indoor-, to ≥ 1 outdoor-, or to ≥ 1 aero- or food allergen in the meta-analyses of all cohorts (data not shown). Based on results from only 3 cohorts with data available, owning a dog was not associated with asthma in combination with sensitization to dog (OR 1.14, 95% CI 0.57–2.28) or to cat. However, owning cats increased the odds of having asthma combined with sensitization to dog (OR 2.59, 95% CI 1.49–4.49) and to cat (OR 1.91, 95% CI 1.16–3.12).

Non-allergic asthma. Asthma without sensitization to any aero- or food allergen (“non-allergic asthma”) was not significantly associated with cat (OR 0.99, 0.51–1.94), dog (OR 1.35, 0.71–2.57), bird (OR 1.80, 0.80–4.04) or rodent ownership (OR 1.70, 0.53–5.44) in the meta-analyses of all cohorts. Results from the only 2 cohorts with sufficient data regarding both cat and dog ownership (BAMSE and PIAMA-NHS) showed that owning both cat(s) and dog(s) increased the odds of non-allergic asthma (OR 3.66, 1.50–8.93).

Allergic sensitization and rhinitis. The prevalence of sensitization to ≥ 1 aero-allergen ranged from 26%–33% during 6–10 years (Table 2). Having dogs or rodents during the first 2 years significantly reduced the odds of sensitization to ≥ 1 aero-allergen (OR 0.65, 0.45–0.95 for dog; OR 0.67, 0.47–0.95 for rodent; Figure 3). Cat ownership showed a similar trend (OR 0.87, 0.73–1.04). The prevalence of parent-reported rhinitis during 6–10 years plus sensitization to ≥ 1 aero-allergen was 7.7% (Table 2). Allergic rhinitis was not associated with any pet ownership (Figure 4).

Insufficient data for the definition of the primary endpoint ranged from 5% (AMICS-Menorca) to 54% (MAS) of the participants; from 10% (AMICS-Menorca) to 55% (MAS) for defining allergic asthma; 10% (AMICS-Menorca) to 48% (ECA) for allergic rhinitis, and 17% (DARC) to 75% (GINI-B) for the definition of allergic sensitization.

Discussion

Principal findings

Our meta-analyses showed that ownership of single types of furry pets or birds in the first 2 years of life neither increased nor decreased the risk of asthma, non-allergic asthma (not sensitized to any aero- or food-allergen), allergic asthma or allergic rhinitis (both included sensitization to at least 1 aero-allergen) in school-aged children. However, living with furry pets in the first 2 years appeared to reduce the likelihood of becoming sensitized to aero-allergens in early school-age regardless of respiratory symptoms.

Comparison with other studies

An older meta-analysis, mainly with cross-sectional studies from the 1990s, showed a slightly increased risk of asthma or wheezing for children >6 years in relation to any pet exposure, but did not analyze different types of pets [41]. A more recent meta-analysis of 9 cohort studies (including children of all ages) showed a protective effect for asthma related to cat exposure [42]. Both previous meta-

analyses were based on published risk estimates with the disadvantage that exposure, potential confounders and outcome could not be harmonized across the included studies compared to our analyses using individual raw data from 11 birth cohort studies with long-term prospective assessments.

The reduced sensitization to aero-allergens related to furry pet ownership is consistent with similar findings in several previous studies, particularly for dogs [7,21,22,24,43]. We found that rodent ownership showed this protective effect too, and that dog ownership was associated with reduced risk of sensitization to common food allergens (data not shown).

Strengths of present analyses

Our approach was different than a previous meta-analysis on this topic because we were able to collect, harmonize, and combine the individual participant data from 11 birth cohorts instead of using published risk estimates based on heterogeneous outcome and exposure definitions and age groups [42].

The large sample allowed the definition of mutually exclusive pet exposure categories: ownership of “only cat(s)”, “only dog(s)”, “only rodent(s)”, and “only bird(s)”. This is another unique feature of our collaborative study compared to previous studies, which did not separate potential effects of “clean” pet exposure categories. Furthermore, analyzing the time at birth, the first and the second year of life separately, the results were very similar compared with the whole period of the first 2 years. This suggests that our results are robust, and do not point towards a narrow post natal period with increased susceptibility to pet exposure in the home.

For the outcome definition, previous studies used single variables such as parent-reported wheezing or doctor’s-diagnosed asthma, which may have over- or underestimated the real prevalence of asthma. To avoid a potential over-estimation of asthma prevalence we used a more stringent definition for the primary outcome asthma based on at least 2 out of the 3 conditions parent-reported wheezing, doctor’s-diagnosed asthma and asthma medication [35]. Also, pet ownership was not assessed in relationship to severity of asthma since our aim was to investigate the possible role of pets in primary prevention of asthma. Our definition of allergic rhinitis was not only based on typical symptoms but also included detection of serum IgE.


A limitation of previous studies may have been the lack of sufficient adjustment for potential confounding. The size of our sample had enough statistical power to take into account potential confounders including family, social and domestic factors in most cohorts [31].

Although the birth cohorts come from different climatic European regions, include children born in different years (between 1989–1998), have urban and rural/island study settings, different prevalences of allergies and patterns of pets, the statistical tests for heterogeneity were rarely significant, which strengthens the findings and generalizability of our analyses.


Possible limitations

Avoidance behavior in families with allergies could be an explanation for the “protective effect” of pet keeping seen in some previous studies (reverse causation) [7]; however reasons for avoiding pets were not assessed in most birth cohorts. We addressed the issue of avoidance behavior due to parental allergies to some extent by running meta-analyses in several subgroups.


A) Cat only vs. no pet ownership (n=10384)




B) Dog only vs. no pet ownership (n=10107)



C) Cat and dog only vs. no pet ownership (n=7233)



D) Bird only vs. no pet ownership (n=10207)



E) Rodent only vs. no pet ownership (n=8648)




Figure 4. Allergic rhinitis. Meta-analyses of the adjusted odds of **allergic rhinitis** (sensitized to at least 1 aero-allergen; secondary endpoint) in early school age and pet ownership in the first 2 years of life for: A), cat only vs. no pets; B), dog only vs. no pets; C) cat and dog only vs. no pets; D) bird only vs. no pets; E) rodents only vs. no pets.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043214.g004

The results were very similar among children from parents *without* allergies (asthma, allergic rhinitis, pet allergy) compared to children from parents *with* allergies. Furthermore, keeping certain types of pets may be associated with different life-styles that we were unable to account for in the present meta-analyses.

Another possible limitation of our analyses is that for some birth cohorts the outcome was only available for 6 years of follow-up (at this age asthma may not have been fully developed in some subjects), whereas for others we could include the 8 and 10 year follow-up data. Comparing the effect estimates of the individual birth cohorts, we did not find that cohorts with a 6 year follow-up differed from the older cohorts; instead we found rather homogeneous results across the cohorts.

Some cohorts assessed more potential confounding variables than others. However, when we repeated our analyses with only those confounders that were assessed in all studies our results did not change considerably. Since most cohorts did not ask for the number of pets at home, we could not examine the possibility of a dose-response relationship of pet keeping.

A risk of participation bias in each included study could be present and it could be different for each study (e.g. due to regional differences in disease awareness or in recruitment strategies). However, while this might influence the observed prevalences for allergic diseases, this should less influence any association between pet ownership and allergic disease. On the other hand, each cohort had different numbers of observations available to define the primary and secondary outcomes, and some kind of selection bias cannot be excluded.

Assessing total exposure to pet allergens in early life was outside the aim and scope of the present study. Furthermore, total allergen exposure, which is virtually impossible to measure, would not influence the scientific evidence for giving advice on pet keeping or not.

When interpreting the results, the reliance upon parents' questionnaire data should be kept in mind. It should be noted, however, that standardized ISAAC questions were used to assess allergic symptoms and diseases. In addition, the quality of data might not be equal across the included studies due to data collection timing and methods.

We examined various secondary endpoints while performing over a hundred additional explorative analyses of the whole dataset and of subgroups. Some of these subgroups included only 2 or 3 birth cohorts if these were the only ones with sufficient exposure and/or outcome data. Almost all analyses showed no associations between exposure and secondary outcomes with a few exceptions, e.g. a positive association between cat ownership and asthma in combination with sensitization to dog or cat allergens, however dog ownership was not associated with asthma in combination with dog or cat allergens. Another positive associa-

tion was found between ownership of both cat(s) and dog(s) and non-allergic asthma; however cat and dog ownership alone was not associated with non-allergic asthma. Since we did not correct for multiple testing, the statistically significant results in some of the subgroup analyses should be interpreted cautiously and as results of explorative analyses keeping in mind the possibility of false positive findings.

Conclusions

This pooled analysis of individual participant data from 11 European birth cohorts found no association between ownership of single types of furry and feathered pets in the first 2 years of life and asthma or allergic rhinitis in school children aged 6–10. For primary prevention of asthma and allergy, we found no evidence for health care practitioners to give parents specific advice on avoiding or acquiring pets in early childhood. To evaluate the effect of pet keeping in early childhood on e.g. developing eczema, further pooled birth cohort data analyses are needed rather than single birth cohort analyses.

Acknowledgments

We thank all birth cohort study investigators and participants.

Members of the GA²LEN WP 1.5 'Birth Cohorts' working group include also the following researchers: Magnus Wickman (Sachs' Children's Hospital), Eva Hallner, Johan Alm (Institute of Environmental Medicine), Catarina Almqvist (Dept. of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden); Göran Wennergren, Bernt Alm (Dept. of Pediatrics, Queen Silvia Children's Hospital, University of Gothenburg, Sweden); Joachim Heinrich (Institute of Epidemiology, Helmholtz-Zentrum München, German Research Centre for Environmental Health, Munich, Germany); Henriette A. Smit (Center for Prevention and Health Services Research, National Institute for Public Health and the Environment, Bilthoven, The Netherlands); Carel Thijs, Monique Mommers (School of Public Health and Primary Care, Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands); Carsten Bindselev-Jensen (Dept. of Dermatology), Susanne Halken, (Dept. of Pediatrics, Odense University Hospital, Denmark); Maria Pia Fantini, Francesca Bravi (Dept. of Public Health, Alma Mater Studiorum, University of Bologna, Italy); Daniela Porta, Francesco Forastiere (Dipartimento di Epidemiologia ASL Rm E, Rome, Italy); Adnan Custovic (Wythenshawe Hospital, University of Manchester, UK); Ruta Dubakiene (Allergy Center, Vilnius University, Lithuania); Jestina Mahachie (Dept. of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology, University of Ghent, Belgium).

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: KCLC SR SL TK. Performed the experiments: KCLC SR TK. Analyzed the data: SR AR PM JMMJ KVS. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: KHC AW BB MT GR SA IK AvB EE AH CK BS JS CMC AA CP OH SW UW UK. Wrote the paper: SR KCLC TK.

References

- Almqvist C, Egmar AC, Hedlin G, Lundqvist M, Nordvall SL, et al. (2003) Direct and indirect exposure to pets - risk of sensitization and asthma at 4 years in a birth cohort. *Clin Exp Allergy* 33(9):1190–1197.
- Brussee JE, Smit HA, van Strien RT, Corver K, Kerkhof M, et al. (2005) Allergen exposure in infancy and the development of sensitization, wheeze, and asthma at 4 years. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 115(5):946–952.
- Al-Mousawi MS, Lovel H, Behbehani N, Arifhodzic N, Woodcock A, et al. (2004) Asthma and sensitization in a community with low indoor allergen levels and low pet-keeping frequency. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 114(6):1389–1394.
- Bener A, Mobayed H, Sattar HA, Al-Mohammed AA, Ibrahim AS, et al. (2004) Pet ownership: its effect on allergy and respiratory symptoms. *Eur Ann Allergy Clin Immunol* 36(8):306–310.
- Hesselmar B, Aberg N, Aberg B, Eriksson B, Bjorksten B (1999) Does early exposure to cat or dog protect against later allergy development? *Clin Exp Allergy* 29(5):611–617.
- Custovic A, Simpson BM, Simpson A, Hallam CL, Marolia H, et al. (2003) Current mite, cat, and dog allergen exposure, pet ownership, and sensitization to inhalant allergens in adults. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 111(2):402–407.

7. Anyo G, Brunekreef B, de MG, Aarts F, Janssen NA, et al. (2002) Early, current and past pet ownership: associations with sensitization, bronchial responsiveness and allergic symptoms in school children. *Clin Exp Allergy* 32(3):361–366.
8. Svanes C, Heinrich J, Jarvis D, Chinn S, Omenaas E, et al. (2003) Pet-keeping in childhood and adult asthma and hay fever: European community respiratory health survey. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 112(2):289–300.
9. Lau S, Illi S, Sommerfeld C, Niggemann B, Bergmann R, et al. (2000) Early exposure to house-dust mite and cat allergens and development of childhood asthma: a cohort study. Multicentre Allergy Study Group. *Lancet* 356(9239):1392–1397.
10. Lau S, Illi S, Platts-Mills TA, Ripošo D, Nickel R, et al. (2005) Longitudinal study on the relationship between cat allergen and endotoxin exposure, sensitization, cat-specific IgG and development of asthma in childhood—report of the German Multicentre Allergy Study (MAS 90). *Allergy* 60(6):766–773.
11. Chen CM, Rzechak P, Zutavern A, Fahlbusch B, Bischof W, et al. (2007) Longitudinal study on cat allergen exposure and the development of allergy in young children. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 119(5):1148–1155.
12. Chen CM, Morgenstern V, Bischof W, Herbarth O, Borte M, et al. (2008) Dog ownership and contact during childhood and later allergy development. *Eur Respir J* 31(5):963–973.
13. Abdulrazzaq YM, Bener A, DeBuse P (1995) Pet ownership in the UAE: its effect on allergy and respiratory symptoms. *J Asthma* 32(2):117–124.
14. McConnell R, Berhane K, Gilliland F, Islam T, Gauderman WJ, et al. (2002) Indoor risk factors for asthma in a prospective study of adolescents. *Epidemiology* 13(3):288–295.
15. Bornehag CG, Sundell J, Hagerhed L, Janson S (2003) Pet-keeping in early childhood and airway, nose and skin symptoms later in life. *Allergy* 58(9):939–944.
16. Jaakkola JJ, Jaakkola N, Piipari R, Jaakkola MS (2002) Pets, parental atopy, and asthma in adults. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 109(5):784–788.
17. Campo P, Kalra HK, Levin L, Reponen T, Olds R, et al. (2006) Influence of dog ownership and high endotoxin on wheezing and atopy during infancy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 118(6):1271–1278.
18. Litonjua AA, Milton DK, Celedon JC, Ryan L, Weiss ST, et al. (2002) A longitudinal analysis of wheezing in young children: the independent effects of early life exposure to house dust endotoxin, allergens, and pets. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 110(5):736–742.
19. Remes ST, Castro-Rodriguez JA, Holberg CJ, Martinez FD, Wright AL (2001) Dog exposure in infancy decreases the subsequent risk of frequent wheeze but not of atopy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 108(4):509–515.
20. Arif AA, Borders TF, Patterson PJ, Rohrer JE, Xu KT (2004) Prevalence and correlates of paediatric asthma and wheezing in a largely rural USA population. *J Paediatr Child Health* 40(4):189–194.
21. Perzanowski MS, Rönmark E, Platts-Mills TA, Lundbäck B (2002) Effect of cat and dog ownership on sensitization and development of asthma among preteenage children. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 166(5):696–702.
22. Ownby DR, Johnson CC, Peterson EL (2002) Exposure to dogs and cats in the first year of life and risk of allergic sensitization at 6 to 7 years of age. *JAMA* 288(8):963–72.
23. Celedón JC, Litonjua AA, Ryan L, Platts-Mills T, Weiss ST, et al. (2002) Exposure to cat allergen, maternal history of asthma, and wheezing in first 5 years of life. *Lancet* 360(9335):781–2.
24. Wegjenka G, Johnson CC, Havstad S, Ownby DR, Nicholas C, et al. (2011) Lifetime dog and cat exposure and dog- and cat-specific sensitization at age 18 years. *Clin Exp Allergy* 41(7):979–86.
25. Braback L, Kjellman NI, Sandin A, Björkstén B (2001) Atopy among schoolchildren in northern and southern Sweden in relation to pet ownership and early life events. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol* 12(1):4–10.
26. Sandin A, Björkstén B, Braback L. (2004) Development of atopy and wheezing symptoms in relation to heredity and early pet keeping in a Swedish birth cohort. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol* 15(4):316–322.
27. Celedon JC, Litonjua AA, Ryan L, Platts-Mills T, Weiss ST, et al. (2002) Exposure to cat allergen, maternal history of asthma, and wheezing in first 5 years of life. *Lancet* 360(9335):781–782.
28. Svanes C, Dharmage S, Sunyer J, Zock JP, Norbäck D, et al. (2008) Long-term reliability in reporting of childhood pets by adults interviewed twice, 9 years apart. Results from the European Community Respiratory Health Survey I and II. *Indoor Air* 18(2):84–92.
29. Brunekreef B, Groot B, Hoek G (1992) Pets, allergy and respiratory symptoms in children. *Int J Epidemiol* 21(2):338–342.
30. Svanes C, Zock JP, Antó J, Dharmage S, Norbäck D, et al. (2006) Early Life Working Group Of The European Community Respiratory Health Survey. Do asthma and allergy influence subsequent pet keeping? An analysis of childhood and adulthood. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 118(3):691–698.
31. Eller E, Roll S, Chen CM, Herbarth O, Wichmann HE, et al. (2008) Meta-analysis of determinants for pet ownership in 12 European birth cohorts on asthma and allergies - a GA²LEN initiative. *Allergy* 63(11):1491–1498.
32. Woodcock A, Addo-Yobo EO, Taggart SC, Craven M, Custovic A (2001) Pet allergen levels in homes in Ghana and the United Kingdom. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 108(3):463–465.
33. Keil T, Kulig M, Simpson A, Custovic A, Wickman M, et al. (2006) European birth cohort studies on asthma and atopic diseases: I. Comparison of study designs - a GA²LEN initiative. *Allergy* 61(9):221–228.
34. Keil T, Kulig M, Simpson A, Custovic A, Wickman M, et al. (2006) European birth cohort studies on asthma and atopic diseases: II. Comparison of outcomes and exposures - a GA²LEN initiative. *Allergy* 61(9):1104–1111.
35. Lodrup Carlsen KC, Haland G, Devulapalli CS, Munthe-Kaas M, Pettersen M, et al. (2006) Asthma in every fifth child in Oslo, Norway: a 10-year follow up of a birth cohort study. *Allergy* 61(4):454–460.
36. Asher MI, Keil U, Anderson HR, Beasley R, Crane J, et al. (1995) International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC): rationale and methods. *Eur Respir J* 8:483–491.
37. Rosenbaum P, Rubin D (1983) The central role of the propensity score in observational studies for causal effects. *Biometrika* 70(1):41–55.
38. d'Agostino R Jr (1998). Tutorial in Biostatistics: Propensity score methods for bias reduction in the comparison of a treatment to a non-randomized control group. *Statist Med*. 17(19):2265–2281.
39. Higgins JPT, Green S (editors) (2011) *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration. Available: www.cochrane-handbook.org.
40. DerSimonian R, Laird N (1986) Meta-analysis in clinical trials. *Controlled Clinical Trials* 7(3):177–188.
41. Apelberg BJ, Aoki Y, Jaakkola JJ (2001) Systematic review: Exposure to pets and risk of asthma and asthma-like symptoms. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 107(3):455–460.
42. Takkouche B, González-Barcala FJ, Etmiman M, Fitzgerald M (2008) Exposure to furry pets and the risk of asthma and allergic rhinitis: a meta-analysis. *Allergy* 63(7):857–864.
43. Simpson A, Custovic A (2005) Pets and the development of allergic sensitization. *Curr Allergy Asthma Rep* 5(3):212–220.