Thermal stratification effects on flow over a generic urban canopy

³ V.B.L. Boppana · Z-T Xie · I.P. Castro

5 Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract The influence of local surface heating and cooling on flow over urban-6 like roughness is investigated using large-eddy simulations (LES). By adjusting the incoming or outgoing heat flux from the ground surface, various degrees of local thermal stratification, represented by a Richardson number (Ri_{τ}), were attained. Drag and 9 heat transfer coefficients, turbulence structure, integral length scales, and the strength 10 of quadrant events that contribute to momentum and heat fluxes were obtained and 11 are compared with locally stable, neutral and unstable flows. With increasing Ri_{τ} , or 12 equivalently as the flow characteristics change from local thermal instability to sta-13 bility, a gradual decline in the drag and heat transfer coefficients is observed. These 14 values are found to be fairly independent of the type of thermal boundary condition 15 (constant heat flux or constant temperature) and domain size. The maps of anisotropy 16 invariants showed that for the values of Ri_{τ} considered, turbulence structures are al-17 most the same in shape for neutral and unstable cases but differ slightly from those in 18 the stable case. The degree of anisotropy is found to decrease as Ri_{τ} increases from 19 -2 to 2.5. Compared to the neutral case, the integral length scales are shortened in 20 the streamwise and vertical direction by ground cooling, but enhanced in the vertical 21 direction with ground heating. Quadrant analysis showed that increase in floor heat-22 ing increases the strength of ejections above the canopy. However, the contributions 23 of updrafts or downdrafts to heat flux are found not to be significantly influenced by 24 the type of local thermal stratification for the values of Ri_{τ} considered. The transport 25 mechanisms of momentum and heat above the canopy are found to be very similar in 26 both locally unstable and stable flows. 27

Keywords Correlations · Drag coefficient · Heat transfer coefficient · Quadrant
 events · Turbulent structures

V. B. L. Boppana · Zheng-Tong Xie · Ian P. Castro Facultu of Engineering and Environment University of Southampton Tel: +44(0)23 8059 2320 Fax: +44(0)23 8059 3058 E-mail: V.B.Boppana@soton.ac.uk

30 1 Introduction

Do the effects of thermal stratification have a dominant role on the structure of tur-31 bulence and mechanisms of pollutant transport in and above roughness canopies of 32 various morphologies? To investigate this, numerous field, wind-tunnel and compu-33 tational studies have been conducted, especially in the last two to three decades. The 34 field studies included several vegetation (e.g. Gao et al., 1989) and urban (e.g. Chris-35 ten et al., 2007) areas to understand the similarities and differences in the transport 36 of momentum and heat over the two kinds of canopies. One of the similarities that 37 was observed is that sweep events contribute most to the momentum flux below and 38 immediately above the canopy height and ejection events dominate further above the 30 canopy; these events are considered to be the signatures of the large coherent struc-40 tures. Li and Bou-Zeid (2011) discussed in detail the dissimilarity of momentum, 41 temperature and water vapour transport with increasing instability from measure-42 ments over a vineyard and a lake. However, it is difficult to obtain comprehensive, 43 spatially detailed measurements from the field owing to instrument limitations and 44 the impossibility of obtaining repeated and controlled conditions; wind-tunnel and 45 computational studies can therefore be particularly useful. 46 The simplest geometry, yet challenging if thermal stratification is included, is 47 two-dimensional (2-D) street canyons. Allegrini et al. (2013), Huizhi et al. (2003), 48 Kovar-Panskus et al. (2002), for example, have studied such cases in wind tunnels 49 and shown that surface heating greatly influences the number and intensity of vortices 50 within the canyon. Similar observations have also been made from various computa-51 tional studies (e.g. Cai, 2012; Kim and Baik, 1999; Park et al., 2012). In the case of 52 3-D roughness morphologies, by adjusting the temperatures of the approach flow and 53 the floor of a wind-tunnel, Uehara et al. (2000) created a thermally stratified atmo-54 spheric boundary layer over square arrays of roughness obstacles. They showed that 55 a stable atmosphere results in weak cavity eddies whilst unstable conditions enhances 56 the strength of cavity eddies. Using LES, Inagaki et al. (2012) simulated a complete 57 day time atmospheric boundary layer over a square array of cubes with ground and 58 roof heating and showed that the turbulent organized structures above the canopy are 59 correlated to the strong upward motion that occurs within the cavity of the arrays. 60 All these 'generic' urban canopy investigations clearly imply that the dispersion of 61 pollutants might be affected by surface heating. Computational studies on field sites 62 like DAPPLE (Dispersion of Air Pollution and its Penetration into the Local Envi-63 ronment) have certainly suggested that weak unstable conditions in the approach flow 64 have notable effects on scalar dispersion (Xie et al., 2013). 65 It is necessary to quantify the effects of such thermal stratification on street and/or 66 neighborhood scale flows in order to provide required parameters for city or regional 67 scale modelling. For this purpose, we first performed computations to simulate pas-68 sive scalar dispersion from a surface area source in an array of uniform and random 69

⁷⁰ height blocks (Boppana et al., 2010), followed by simulation of heat transfer from

⁷¹ the strongly heated leeward surface of a large building (Boppana et al., 2013). These

⁷² computations showed good agreement with the wind-tunnel experiments of Pascheke
 ⁷³ et al. (2008) and Richards et al. (2006) respectively. The former LES study had no

⁷⁴ buoyancy and the latter included its effects on the surrounding flow. These previous

2

⁷⁵ investigations led naturally to the current LES study where, instead of heating a sin-

⁷⁶ gle surface of an isolated obstacle, the entire ground surface (i.e. all streets, in direct

ra contact with the atmosphere) is uniformly heated (see Fig. 1) or cooled and the re-

⁷⁸ sulting buoyancy effects are included to model the flow over an array of staggered

⁷⁹ cubes. It is to be noted that, in this study, thermal stratification in a fully-developed

⁸⁰ boundary layer is a result of surface heating or cooling within the bottom canopy,
 ⁸¹ which is rather different to the case of a thermally stratified approach flow over an

⁸² unheated region (e.g. Xie et al., 2013).

The overall goal of the present paper is to obtain insights on the effects of uniform 83 ground heating or cooling on the flow over an array of uniform height staggered 84 buildings. To address this, the following objectives were formulated: (1) to quantify 85 the effects of thermal stratification on the surrounding flow, including the turbulence 86 structure, and (2) to determine the similarities and/or differences in momentum and 87 heat transport for stable, neutral and unstable stratified flows via assessment of the 88 affects of stratification on surface drag and heat transfer coefficients. We present the 89 numerical description in Sect. 2, followed by the results and conclusions in Secs. 3 90 and 4 respectively. 91

92 2 Numerical Details and Settings

The filtered continuity and Navier–Stokes equations governing unsteady incompress ible flow are

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i} = 0, \tag{1a}$$

95 and,

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u_i u_j}{\partial x_j} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} + \delta_{i1} \frac{\partial \langle P \rangle}{\partial x_1} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\frac{\tau_{ij}}{\rho} + \nu \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} \right) + f \delta_{i3}.$$
(1b)

The resolved-scale velocity and pressure are respectively given by u_i and p with u_i 96 v and w the streamwise, lateral and vertical velocity components respectively. The 97 flow was driven by a constant mean streamwise pressure gradient $\partial \langle P \rangle / \partial x$ and δ_{i1} is 98 the Kronecker-delta. $f \delta_{i3}$ is the body force due to thermal buoyancy and is estimated 99 using the Boussinesq approximation. ρ and v are the density and kinematic viscosity 100 of the fluid. τ_{ij} is the subgrid-scale (SGS) Reynolds stress and was handled using 101 the Smagorinsky model in conjunction with a Lilly damping function near the walls. 102 We set Smagorinsky's constant $C_s = 0.1$ since this was found to provide satisfactory 103 results in our earlier computations (Boppana et al., 2010). 104

In the streamwise (x) and lateral (y) directions, periodic boundary conditions were employed. Stress free conditions were imposed on the top of the domain, i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} = 0; \quad w = 0.$$
⁽²⁾

¹⁰⁷ No slip conditions were set on the bottom surface (z = 0) and on all faces of the ¹⁰⁸ roughness elements.



Fig. 1 Sketch of 3-D view of computational do-

main. All the bottom surface between cubes is



Fig. 2 Plan view of computational domain. The four typical locations, P_{0-3} are identified by 'circles' and data at 'dots' D_b , D_i , D_g are used for quadrant analysis in Sect. 3.5.



heated or cooled.

The filtered governing equation for temperature is

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u_j T}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left((k_s + k_m) \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_j} \right),\tag{3}$$

where T is the resolved-scale temperature. k_s is the subgrid turbulent diffusivity and 110 is given by v_s/Pr_s , where v_s is the subgrid viscosity and Pr_s is the subgrid Prandtl 111 number whose value was set to 0.9. k_m is the molecular diffusivity and is defined 112 as v/Pr_m , where Pr_m is the molecular Prandtl number whose value was set to 0.71 113 in our computations. Periodic boundary conditions were specified in the streamwise 114 and spanwise directions. The stable stratification in the computational domain was 115 obtained by specifying a negative heat flux at the bottom surface and the same was 116 set to enter through the top surface. Similarly, the unstable stratification was obtained 117 by specifying a positive heat flux at the bottom surface of the computational domain 118 and the same was set to leave through the top surface. These computations were 119 done on a domain size of $L_x \times L_y \times L_z = 4h \times 4h \times 6h$ (D4), where h = 0.2 m is the 120 cube height. Whilst this domain is probably too small to capture adequately the long 121 streamwise rolls known to exist in the outer flow, earlier work has demonstrated that it 122 is sufficient for domain-independent mean flow fields, particularly within the canopy 123 region. For example, based on two-point measurements on an array of the same con-124 figuration, Castro et al. (2006) showed that the integral length scales are constant in 125 the region $2 \le z/h \le 4$ and are 3h, 0.8h and h in x, y and z directions respectively. 126 Also, the DNS study by Coceal et al. (2006) showed that the mean flow field is in-127 dependent of the domain sizes $4h \times 4h \times 4h$, $8h \times 8h \times 4h$ and $4h \times 4h \times 6h$. 3-D and 128 plan views of the computational domain are shown in Figs. 1 and 2 respectively. A 129 finite volume approach was followed to discretize the flow and temperature equa-130 tions. The monotone advection and reconstruction scheme (STAR-CD, 2007) with a 131 blending factor of 0.9 was used for the spatial convective terms and the central differ-132 ence scheme was used for the spatial diffusive terms of (1) and (3). A second-order 133

134 backward implicit scheme was used for discretizing the time-dependent term. The

computational domain D4 consisted of hexahedral cells and the grid resolution was

h/16. The driving force was the constant streamwise pressure gradient in Eq. (1) on

¹³⁷ every cell and is given by

$$\frac{\partial \langle P \rangle}{\partial x} = \frac{\rho u_{\tau}^2}{L_z} \tag{4}$$

where u_{τ} is the total wall friction velocity. The Reynolds number (Re_{τ}) based on the total wall friction velocity and *h* was approximately 1200. The Reynolds number (Re) based on *h* and the streamwise velocity at *h* varied from 3000 to 5000. The initial duration of most of the simulations was approximately $200e_t$ where $e_t = h/u_{\tau}$ is the eddy turn-over time. The averaging duration varied from $200e_t$ to $400e_t$ depending on how rapidly the shear and dispersive stresses converged. All the computations were carried out using STAR-CD version 4.14 (STAR-CD, 2007).

Sensitivity tests were done by conducting a further four independent sets of com putations. They are

- D4T constant temperature instead of constant heat flux was specified on the top and bottom surfaces of the computational domain D4.
- D4S As an alternative means of achieving steady state for energy in the computational domain, constant heat sink (source) for unstable (stable) stratification was specified in all computational cells in D4 instead of a constant heat flux boundary condition on the top surface.
- 3. D16 the domain size was $8h \times 8h \times 10h$ with constant heat flux on the top and bottom surfaces of the domain. The vertical resolution varied geometrically from h/64 at z = 0 to h/16 at the building height i.e z = h, and in the remaining parts of the domain h/16 was used.
- 4. D64 the domain size was $16h \times 16h \times 10h$ with constant heat flux on the top and bottom surfaces of the domain. A uniform resolution of h/16 was set throughout the domain.
- ¹⁶⁰ A summary of all computations is given in Table 1.

161 3 Results

¹⁶² The first objective stated at the end of the Sect. 1 is addressed by determining the

¹⁶³ drag and heat transfer coefficients, displacement height d and roughness length z_0

¹⁶⁴ for various Ri_{τ} in Sect. 3.1 and 3.2 respectively. By analysing the Reynolds stress

anisotropy map, spatial correlations, quadrant and octant events for stable, neutral
 and unstable cases, the second objective is addressed and the details are presented in

the latter subsections.

¹⁶⁸ 3.1 Drag and heat transfer coefficients

The degree of thermal heating or cooling can be characterized by the Richardson number Ri_{τ} defined as

1

$$Ri_{\tau} = \frac{gh(T_b - T_{z=0})}{T_b u_{\tau}^2}$$
(5)

6

| Type of instability | Domain size | Type of thermal boundary condition | $q_{z=0} (Wm^{-}2) \text{ or} T_{z=0} (K)$ | Ri_{τ} | C_d | C_h |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|-------------|--------|--------|
| Stable | | | -3 | 0.8775 | 0.0739 | 0.0066 |
| | | | -8 | 2.5099 | 0.0645 | 0.0057 |
| | $4h \times 4h \times 6h$ | constant | -10 | 3.1986 | 0.0662 | 0.0057 |
| | (D4) | heat flux | -12.5 | 4.1042 | 0.0628 | 0.0054 |
| | | | -15 | 4.9978 | 0.0618 | 0.0053 |
| | | | -18 | 6.1868 | 0.0569 | 0.0049 |
| | | | -25 | 8.9943 | 0.0552 | 0.0046 |
| Unstable | | | 1 | -0.2737 | 0.0758 | 0.0071 |
| | | | 3 | -0.7909 | 0.0779 | 0.0075 |
| | $4h \times 4h \times 6h$ (D4) | constant heat flux | 8 | -2.0472 | 0.0812 | 0.0079 |
| | | | 12.5 | -3.0969 | 0.0856 | 0.0084 |
| | | | 25 | -6.0259 | 0.0959 | 0.0091 |
| | | | 50 | -11.6382 | 0.1158 | 0.0104 |
| | | | 100 | -22.3893 | 0.1552 | 0.0125 |
| Unstable | | | 293.35 | -0.2703 | 0.0765 | 0.0072 |
| | $4h \times 4h \times 6h$ | constant | 294 | -0.7788 | 0.0796 | 0.0074 |
| | (D4T) | temperature | 297 | -3.1465 | 0.0868 | 0.0084 |
| | | | 307 | -11.0886 | 0.1155 | 0.011 |
| Unstable ^a | | | 3 | -0.7343 | 0.0761 | 0.008 |
| | $4h \times 4h \times 6h$ | constant | 8 | -1.9416 | 0.0811 | 0.0083 |
| Stable ^b | (D4S) | heat flux | -8 | 2.0722 | 0.0688 | 0.0072 |
| | | | -12.5 | 3.2955 | 0.064 | 0.0068 |
| Unstable | $8h \times 8h \times 10h$ | constant | 8 | -1.504 | 0.0791 | 0.0106 |
| | (D16) | heat flux | 25 | -4.1804 | 0.0862 | 0.0125 |
| Unstable | $16h \times 16h \times 10h$ | constant | 3 | -0.75 | 0.0814 | 0.0081 |
| | (D64) | heat flux | 8 | -1.9387 | 0.0817 | 0.0084 |
| Neutral | D4 | | | | 0.0759 | |
| | D16 | - | - | 0 | 0.0762 | - |
| | D64 | | | | 0.0816 | |

Table 1 Summary of computational cases.

a – To establish a steady state for energy, constant heat sink is specified throughout the domain.

b - To establish a steady state for energy, constant heat source is specified throughout the domain.

where *g* is the acceleration due to gravity and T_b is the bulk temperature, which is the average temperature over the whole domain. It is to be noted that Ri_{τ} is not known *a*

173 *priori*, but is an outcome of the computation that depends on the specified boundary

¹⁷⁴ conditions. The values of Ri_{τ} along with the resulting coefficients are listed in Table 1.

175 Instead of using the bulk or gradient Richardson numbers to represent the degree of

176 thermal stratification, a frictional Richardson number is used here because the former

177 two depend on domain size and particularly good accuracy in determination of the

flux gradients, respectively. In the conventional definition of Ri_{τ} , which is often used in (open) channel flows (e.g. Armenio and Sarkar, 2002; Dong and Lu, 2005; García-

in (open) channel flows (e.g. Armenio and Sarkar, 2002; Dong and Lu, 2005; García Villalba and del Álamo, 2011), the density or temperature difference between the two

surfaces and channel half height are used. This definition is modified here for two

reasons: (i) because a roughness height is a more appropriate characteristic length

and (ii) similar to the bulk velocity, temperature distribution inside the domain also

depends on domain height. Therefore, the temperature difference between the ground

¹⁸⁵ surface and bulk temperature instead of that at the top surface is used.

The thermal impact on the surrounding flow can be quantified using drag (C_d) and heat transfer (C_h) coefficients defined here as

$$C_d = \frac{u_\tau^2}{u_{\tau=h}^2} \tag{6}$$

188

$$C_h = \frac{q_{z=0}}{c_p \rho u_{z=h} (T_b - T_{z=0})}$$
(7)

where c_p is the specific heat capacity at constant pressure and $q_{z=0}$ is the heat flux at the ground surface. Note that when constant heat flux was specified on the bottom surface, $T_{z=0}$ is the spatially and temporally averaged non-uniform surface temperature. Similarly when constant temperature was specified on the ground surface, $q_{z=0}$ is the spatially and temporally averaged non-uniform surface heat flux. The procedure for obtaining $T_{z=0}$ or $q_{z=0}$ (STAR-CD, 2007) was as follows:

$$T^{+} = \begin{cases} Pr_{m}z^{+} & \text{if } z^{+} \le z_{T}^{+} \\ (Pr_{s} + Pr_{m})(u^{+} + P) & \text{if } z^{+} > z_{T}^{+} \end{cases}$$
(8)

195 where

$$T^{+} = \frac{c_{p}\rho(T_{z=0} - T_{z_{1}})u_{*}}{q_{z=0}}$$
(9)

196 and

$$u^{+} = \begin{cases} z^{+} & \text{if } z^{+} \le z_{u}^{+} \\ \frac{1}{\kappa} \ln(Ez^{+}) & \text{if } z^{+} > z_{u}^{+}. \end{cases}$$
(10)

Here $z^+ = z_1 u_* / v$, T_{z_1} is the temperature at the near-wall grid point, z_1 is the distance from the wall to the centre of the near-wall grid point, u_* is the near-wall friction velocity determined by Spalding's law (Shih et al., 1999) and *P* is the sub-layer resistance factor (Jayatilleka, 1969). z_u^+ and z_T^+ satisfy the following equations:

$$z_u^+ - \frac{1}{\kappa} (E z_u^+) = 0 \tag{11}$$

$$Pr_m z_T^+ - (Pr_s + Pr_m) \left[\frac{1}{\kappa} \ln \left(E z_T^+ \right) + P \right] = 0$$
(12)

where E is an empirical coefficient whose value was set to 9. It was observed in our computations that most of the near-wall grid points lie within the viscous sublayer.

For the basic case, D4, Figs. 3a and b show an increase in C_d and C_h as the 199 thermal stratification changed from stable to unstable. For $Ri_{\tau} < 0$, a similar increas-200 ing trend was also found by Cheng and Liu (2011) and Kanda et al. (2007) in 2-D 201 street canyons and the COSMO (Comprehensive Outdoor Scale Model) experiments 202 respectively. Such an increase is due to a gradual increase in the strength of the tur-203 bulence motions, as illustrated by the data in Fig. 8a (discussed later). In comparison 204 with the flow over smooth terrain, stability effects on the flow over a rough surface 205 are likely to be lower because of the dominant influence of the mechanical turbulence 206 generated by the roughness elements. However, the assumption that urban flows may 207 be considered as neutral or nearly neutral in urban dispersion models (Britter and 208 Hanna, 2003) is probably invalid, as the results presented above suggest that stratifi-209

²¹⁰ cation effects are not negligible.



Fig. 3 Variation of (a) C_d and (b) C_h with Ri_{τ} . For the legend details, see Table 1.

211 *3.1.1 Sensitivity checks*

As mentioned in Sect. 2, the sensitivity tests were done by performing computations 212 on different domain sizes and grid resolution. In Figs. 3a and b, the values of C_d and 213 C_h from D16 and D64 are also shown. It can be observed that C_d from D4 and D16 214 are in good agreement. Both D4 and D16 show gradual increase in C_d with Ri_{τ} , while 215 the drag coefficient from D64 remains constant as Ri_{τ} decreases from 0 to -1.94 but 216 is anyway quite close to the results from the smaller domains. Figure 3(b) shows that 217 the values of C_h from D64 are approximately 7% larger and those from D16 are ap-218 proximately 41% larger than D4. The significant increase seen in D16 can perhaps be 219 partly attributed to domain size effects but, much more importantly, is a direct result 220 of the much finer resolution near the ground surface. Although we have shown that 221 it is necessary to employ fine resolution near the surface to predict scalar transfer co-222 efficients very accurately (Boppana et al., 2010), to save on expensive computational 223 time (which would be particularly demanding for D64) an identical uniform resolu-224 tion of h/16 was enforced in all D4 and D64 cases. These computations show that 225 C_d is fairly insensitive to both domain size and resolution but the estimation of C_h is 226 indeed significantly affected by the mesh resolution. Therefore, the variation of C_h 227 with Ri_{τ} shown here should be considered as a qualitative indicator only. 228 Figures 3a and b also show that the two types of thermal boundary conditions, i.e 229 constant heat flux (D4) and constant temperature (D4T) on bottom and top surfaces 230

of the computational domain, yield very similar values of C_d and C_h . Even though a constant heat flux (temperature) boundary condition at the bottom of a rough wall yields a non-uniform distribution of temperature (heat flux) around the obstacles, this study confirms that the integral quantities are not significantly affected by the different physics at the ground surface.

To establish a steady state for energy, all D4 unstable (stable) computations had constant heat flux entering (leaving) through the ground surface and leaving (entering) through the top surface of the computational domain. But this can also be achieved by specifying constant sink (source) in all cells of the computational domain for unstable (stable) cases and these simulations are classified as D4S. The differences



Fig. 4 Comparison of temporally and spatially averaged profiles of (a & d) normalized streamwise velocity, (b & e) temperature difference and (c & f) normalized vertical turbulent heat flux for D4 and D4S. Top row: unstable, bottom row: stable. For the legend details, see Table 1.

in the vertical distribution of turbulent heat flux for D4 and D4S are shown in Fig. 4c 241 for both stable and unstable cases. Figure 3a shows that the drag coefficient is not 242 affected by the way in which steady state for energy is achieved, but the values of C_h 243 from D4S in Fig. 3b are found to be 25% larger than in D4 for the stable case, while 244 only 5% larger in the unstable case. The reason for such differences can be explained 245 from the temporal and spatial mean of the temperature difference, shown in Fig. 4b. It 246 can be observed that the temperature variation with height is very much dependent on 247 the way in which steady state for energy is achieved. This in turn affects the flow field 248 and can be seen in the spatial and temporal mean profiles of streamwise velocity in 249 Fig. 4a. This brief numerical test suggests that heat transfer coefficients are sensitive 250 to the way in which steady state for energy in the computational domain is realised. 251 It would be quite challenging if not impossible to set up heat sinks or sources away 252 from boundaries in a wind-tunnel experiment, and in any case such sources or sinks 253 are not possible physically without the action of additional flow variables, like mois-254 ture content. Further analysis in this current study is therefore restricted to cases with 255 constant heat flux boundary conditions on the top and bottom surfaces. 256

257 3.1.2 A note on domain size and its influence on dispersive stresses

²⁵⁸ Dispersive stresses, denoted by $\langle \widetilde{u}\widetilde{w} \rangle$ in the case of shear stress, arise due to spatial ²⁵⁹ inhomogenities in the flow. Therefore, their presence is expected below the canopy ²⁶⁰ but not far above. In the case of D4, the dispersive stresses above the canopy were ²⁶¹ very small. But in the case of D64, it was observed that the dispersive stresses above ²⁶² the canopy persisted even after a time average duration of $1000e_t$. This is because ²⁶³ D64 is conducive to the development of streamwise rolls that are larger in scale than



Fig. 5 (a) Location of maximum dispersive stress and (b) percentage variation of maximum dispersive stress with time mean duration. The initial duration for $Ri_{\tau} = 0$ and -1.94 are $200e_t$ and $400e_t$ respectively.

are allowed by domain D4. Such slow evolving mean longitudinal rolls are clearly 264 shown in the DNS study of Coceal et al. (2006) for the neutral case. But it was 265 also shown that for a sufficiently long averaging time i.e. $400e_t$, these dispersive 266 stresses above the canopy disappear. It was observed in the current study that the 267 dispersive stresses above z/h = 2 exhibit non-monotonic behaviour with increasing 268 averaging time. This can be seen in Fig. 5b, where the percentage variation with 269 averaging time of maximum dispersive stress for z > 2h is shown. It can be observed 270 that the maximum dispersive stress above the canopy appears to be converging to 271 approximately 2.5% of the wall stress (or approximately 5% of the shear stress at 272 that height) and the location at which it occurs is around z/h = 5.5 and 4 for neutral 273 and unstable cases respectively. In a systematic set of investigations conducted by 274 Fishpool et al. (2009) in a turbulent channel flow at $Re_{\tau} = 410$, it was observed that 275 (i) the spanwise inhomogenities persisted even when the domain length was increased 276 from $2\pi\delta$ to 62δ , where 2δ is the channel depth and (ii) these features remained, with 277 a large magnitude, for time averaging in excess of $10\delta/u_{\tau}$ (Fishpool et al., 2009, 278 called δ/u_{τ} the 'friction time scale'). Detailed investigations are being carried out on 279 D64 to determine the averaging time required for the dispersive stresses to completely 280 disappear (if they do) and the reason for their existence over long durations. 281

3.2 Determination of pressure distribution, d and z_0 282

It was observed in Sect. 3.1 that the increase in the drag coefficient with decreas-283

ing Ri_{τ} is correlated with an increase in the turbulent kinetic energy. More directly, 284 however, it is the pressure difference between the windward and leeward sides of the

285

cubes which determine the (form) drag. The vertical profiles of time- and laterally-286 averaged pressure coefficients (C_p) were obtained for various Ri_{τ} and are shown in 287

Fig. 6a. The pressure coefficient is defined as 288

$$C_p = \frac{(p_w - p_l)}{\frac{1}{2}\rho u_{z=h}^2},$$
(13)



Fig. 6 Variation of (a) temporally and laterally averaged normalised pressure coefficient, and (b) mean displacement height and roughness length with Ri_{τ} .



Fig. 7 Spatial- and temporal-averaged mean streamwise velocity profiles in log-linear form for various Ri_{τ} (a) neutral and unstable flows (b) neutral and stable flows.

where p_w and p_l are the pressures on the windward and leeward faces of the cube respectively. It can be observed that there is a notable increase in the values of C_p with ground heating and a slow decrease with ground cooling. The form drag, C_{pd} , can be obtained by integrating Eq. 13 with respect to z, and in all cases is approximately 85% of C_d . (The remaining drag component arises from frictional forces on the ground and the top and sides of the cubes, see Leonardi and Castro (2010) for a discussion on this point.)

The most sensible definition of the zero plane displacement height, *d*, is that it is the height at which the surface drag acts (Jackson, 1981). Assuming that frictional forces are negligible, this can be written (Coceal et al., 2006) as,

$$d = \frac{\int_{z} z(p_w - p_l) \mathrm{d}z}{\int_{z} (p_w - p_l) \mathrm{d}z}.$$
(14)

With the data shown in Fig. 6a this suggests that d decreases with increase in heating, as confirmed in Fig. 6b. Although the change only amounts to some 25% over the ³⁰¹ range of Ri_{τ} covered, one would expect corresponding, but larger, changes in the ³⁰² roughness length z_0 , which was indeed found to be the case and can be seen in Fig. 6b. ³⁰³ The procedure of obtaining z_0 at various Ri_{τ} is briefly described below.

The wind speed profile for non-neutral condition is given by (Stull, 2009):

$$\frac{u}{u_*} = \frac{1}{\kappa} \left[\ln \left(\frac{z-d}{z_0} \right) + \Psi \left(\frac{z-d}{L} \right) \right], \tag{15}$$

where κ is von Kármán's constant and L is the Obukhov length defined as

$$L = -\frac{\left[\overline{u'w'}_{z=0}^{2} + \overline{v'w'}_{z=0}^{2}\right]^{3/4}}{\kappa \left(g/\overline{T}_{v}\right) \left(\overline{w'T'}_{v}\right)_{z=0}} \equiv -\frac{u_{*}^{3}}{\kappa \left(g/\overline{T}_{z=0}\right) \left(q_{z=0}/\rho c_{p}\right)}.$$
 (16)

Here primed quantities denote deviation from their respective mean values, \overline{T}_{ν} is mean virtual potential temperature and $\overline{w'T'_{\nu}}$ is the mean kinematic virtual potential temperature flux in the vertical direction. The stability function $\Psi((z-d)/L)$ is typically given as (Stull, 2009)

$$\Psi\left(\frac{z-d}{L}\right) = \begin{cases} 4.7(z-d)/L & \text{for } Ri_{\tau} > 0\\ -2\ln\left[\frac{1+\gamma}{2}\right] - \ln\left[\frac{1+\gamma^2}{2}\right] + 2\tan^{-1}(\gamma) - \frac{\pi}{2} \text{ for } Ri_{\tau} < 0, \end{cases}$$
(17)

310 where

Ì

$$\gamma = \left[1 - \zeta \frac{z - d}{L}\right]^{1/4} \text{ where } \zeta = 15.$$
(18)

In Eq. 15, $\Psi = 0$ yields the standard logarithmic law for neutral (rough-wall) flow, 311 with u_* the surface friction velocity. (Note that the addition of the non-neutral term 312 (Ψ) in Eq. 15 breaks the usual monotonic correspondence between z_0 and u_* , so that 313 for $Ri_{\tau} \neq 0 z_0$ may rise when u_* falls or *vice versa*.) For a pressure-driven channel flow 314 Coceal et al. (2006) derived $u_* = u_\tau \sqrt{(1 - d/L_z)}$ to account for the linear variation 315 in shear stress from z/h = d to L_z which otherwise is constant in the surface layer of 316 the atmospheric boundary layer. ζ in Eq. 18 is changed to 16 such that the resulting 317 Ψ agreed with that given in Table 1.1 of Kaimal and Finnigan (1994). 318

Using *d* from Eq. 14, the values of κ and z_0 are obtained as fitting parameters of Eq. 15 for neutral flow. The necessary value of κ was found to be 0.27, which is 34% lower than the classical value of 0.41. A similar discrepancy from the classical value was also reported by Cheng and Castro (2002), Coceal et al. (2007), Leonardi and Castro (2010) to name a few.

By fixing κ as 0.27 and using the computed value of d for each Ri_{τ} , z_0 was deduced by fitting the measured u profile to Eq. 15 over a height range of z/h = 1.5to 2.5 - approximately chosen such that the variations of individual estimates of z_0 from the velocity at a specific height in this range was less than 10%. However, for $Ri_{\tau} < -6$ and > 4.1, the variation of z_0 in the above mentioned range of z/h exceeded 10% and hence these data are not included in Fig. 6b.

Figure 7 shows the vertical variation of spatial- and temporal-averaged velocity profiles for neutral, stable and unstable cases. It can be observed that the LES data is not incompatible with the log-linear form and that for increasing $|Ri_{\tau}|$ the data appear to shift gradually to the right of the neutral case; this movement is found to be slightly stronger in stable flows.

12



Fig. 8 Temporal and spatial mean of (a) turbulent kinetic energy normalized with bulk velocity, (b) ratio of vertical to streamwise Reynolds stresses and (c) normalized temperature fluctuations for $Ri_{\tau} \approx -2$, 0 and 2.5.

335 3.3 Turbulence level and Reynolds stresses anisotropy

Some effects of thermal stratification on the turbulence field are shown in Fig. 8 for 336 D4. Increase in the normalized turbulent kinetic energy with decrease in Ri_{τ} is evi-337 dent in Fig. 8a. The ratio of vertical to streamwise fluctuations in Fig. 8b is found to 338 be nearly the same for neutral and unstable cases thus suggesting that this structural 339 parameter is not affected by ground heating, at least within the range $0 > Ri_{\tau} \ge -2$. 340 However, for the stable case at $Ri_{\tau} = 2.5$ the ratio is found to be slightly larger than in 341 the neutral and unstable cases. This indicates that the turbulence structural character-342 istics of the stable case are different to those of neutral and unstable cases. Therefore, 343 further exploration of turbulence structure have been carried out and are discussed 344 in the following paragraphs. Figure 8(c) shows that the normalized temperature fluc-345 tuations are almost constant throughout the domain height, except near the bottom 34F and top surfaces where the temperature gradients are inevitably strongest because of 347 proximity to the imposed boundary conditions. 348 The anisotropy of the time mean Reynolds stresses is often used as an indicator of 349 turbulence structure and this is shown using Lumley's anisotropy invariant map, AIM 350

(Pope, 2011). Figure 9 shows AIM for various Ri_{τ} and for four typical locations, as identified by Castro et al. (2006) and indicated as P₀₋₃ in Fig. 2. The AIM is obtained

from the second and third principle invariants of the stress tensor b_{ij} , $6\eta^2 = -2II_b = b_{ij}b_{ji}$ and $6\xi^3 = 3III_b = b_{ij}b_{jk}b_{ki}$, where

$$b_{ij} = \frac{\langle \overline{u_i u_j} \rangle}{2k} - \frac{\delta_{ij}}{3}.$$
 (19)

The vertical axis η of the AIM gives the magnitude of the anisotropy and the horizontal axis ξ represents the shape of anisotropy (i.e. distinguishing qualitatively between 'rod-like' and 'disc' shaped turbulent eddies). The linear sides of the triangle originating from $(\xi, \eta) = (0, 0)$ represent axisymmetric turbulence and the origin indicates isotropy. $\xi > 0$ implies 'rod-like' shaped turbulence where two eigenvalues of the Reynolds stress tensor are smaller than the third one and $\xi < 0$ refers to 'disc'



Fig. 9 AIM at typical locations for $Ri_{\tau} \approx -2$ (circles), 0 (diamonds) and 2.5 (squares). For clarity, only the immediate regions occupied by the data are shown, with solid black lines indicating boundaries of the Lumley triangle where appropriate; the inset figures show these regions in a grey shade, in relation to the entire Lumley triangle. Filled symbols are at z/h = 0.5, open symbols with an internal '+' are at z/h = 1 and clear open symbols are at z/h = 3. The dash-dot line near the right outline of the Lumley triangle is the logarithmic and core region data ($30 \le z + \le 180$) from smooth wall turbulent channel flow with $Re_{\tau} = 180$ (Busse and Sandham, 2012); here the data approach (ξ, η) = (0,0) with increasing distance from the wall.



Fig. 10 The anisotropy function for (a) $Ri_{\tau} = -2$ (unstable), (b) 0 (neutral) and (c) 2.5 (stable) cases at typical locations.

shaped turbulence where two eigenvalues are greater than the third eigenvalue of the

Reynolds stress tensor. The upper curve of the triangle represents two-component turbulence where one of the eigenvalues is zero.

For clarity, data within the AIM are shown only for $0.5 \le z/h \le 3$ in Fig. 9. The data shown at each typical location P_i, where i = 0-3, are temporal and spatial means at the four identical locations in the computational domain. Comparison of AIM data for P₁ and P₂ for $Ri_{\tau} = 0$ with the experimental values of Castro et al. (2006) show

³⁶⁸ qualitative agreement (not shown here).

It is observed that the shapes of profiles for neutral and unstable cases are very 369 similar and they differ mostly in the magnitude of anisotropy. The structure of the 370 anisotropy for the stable case is found to be slightly different to that of neutral and 371 unstable cases. At all four typical locations, the magnitude of anisotropy is found 372 to be generally lower in a stable and higher in an unstable case, and this is very 373 evident in the profiles at P₀ and P₂. For z/h > 1.2 (i.e. in the log-linear region of 374 the mean velocity profile), the profiles at all four locations are on or close to the right 375 outline of the Lumley map just as they are in the log and core region of a smooth-wall 376 turbulent channel flow at $Re_{\tau} = 180$ (Busse and Sandham, 2012). This suggests an 377 axisymmetric nature of turbulence with predominantly 'rod-like' shaped eddies. With 378 increasing z/h above the canopy the data tend to move towards the origin, just as they 379 do in the smooth-wall channel flow. However, note that, unlike the data in the neutral 380 and unstable cases that are very close to right outline of the Lumley map, stable case 381 data are a little further away from the right boundary. Overall, we conclude that even 382 with surface heating or cooling the turbulence structure in the log region (i.e. above 383 the urban canopy) is not very different to that in the log region of flow over smooth 384 surfaces. This indicates that for z/h > 1.2, the turbulent structure is similar to that of 385 smooth-wall boundary layer. The fact that in neutral flows urban-type roughness does 386 not have a large effect on turbulence structure at least qualitatively within the log law 38 has previously been noted by Coceal et al. (2006). Based on the field measurements, 388 same observation was made by Roth et al. (2013) and this is conceptually shown in 389 the Fig. 6 of their article. It is interesting that the same seems to be true for cases of 390 moderate ground heating or cooling. The data suggest that changes become apparent 391 soonest for stable cases but, in any case, one would not expect the same conclusion 392 to hold if Ri_{τ} were to increase to very large magnitudes. 393

As expected, the shapes of profiles at the lower heights (between z/h = 0.5 and 394 1.2) differ significantly at the various locations. At P₁ and with z/h increasing from 395 0.5, the turbulence structure becomes more 'disc' shaped, which could be due to the 396 recirculation region, and again changes back to 'rod-like' shape as the profile reaches 397 the canopy height. With increasing z/h at P₂, the turbulence structure appears to drift 398 gradually away from the 'rod-like' shape and revert back to this shape for z/h > 1. 399 At P_3 , where the mean flow field experiences 'channeling' effects, the presence of 400 side-walls appears to encourage the turbulence structure to be more 'disc' shaped, 401 which is counter-intuitive. 402

⁴⁰³ A direct measure of the degree of isotropy in the turbulence is provided by the ⁴⁰⁴ parameter $F = 1 + II_b + 27III_b$; F = 0 and 1 represents two-component and isotropic ⁴⁰⁵ turbulence respectively. The values of this parameter at the four typical locations and ⁴⁰⁶ for various Ri_{τ} are shown in Fig. 10. As expected, the values of F vary considerably

below the canopy, but not above where the flow is essentially homogeneous in x and 407 y. Owing to the strong three-dimensional effects, the turbulence below the canopy 408 becomes increasingly isotropic as z approaches zero, especially at P_1 and P_3 , until 409 very close to the wall when of course eddies are strongly constrained vertically. Such 410 high values of F were also observed in the wind-tunnel experiments of Castro et al. 411 (2006) for the neutral case. Perhaps surprisingly, the values of F below the canopy 412 are found to be almost same for stable, neutral and unstable cases. This must be due 413 to the very high turbulence intensities caused by shear and the wake of the cubes, 414 which are not strongly reduced by surface heating or cooling. But above the canopy, 415 the stable case shows slightly larger values of F compared to neutral and unstable 416 cases. 417

⁴¹⁸ The above analysis was also carried out for case D64 with $Ri_{\tau} = 0$ and -2; the ⁴¹⁹ corresponding figures (not shown here) show qualitatively similar behaviour to that ⁴²⁰ for D4. Differences were most evident above the canopy, no doubt because of the ⁴²¹ non-zero dispersive stresses there.

422 3.4 Spatial correlations

423 In order to determine the influence of thermal stratification on the integral length

scales of the turbulent structures, two-point velocity correlations were computed. The

- spatial correlation for streamwise velocity in the streamwise direction is given by (e.g.
- ⁴²⁶ Castro et al., 2006)

$$R_{uu}(\Delta x) = \frac{\overline{u'(x)u'(x + \Delta x)}}{\sigma'_u(x)\sigma'_u(x + \Delta x)}.$$
(20)

The two-point correlation of vertical velocity in the vertical direction is obtained 427 by replacing u and x in Eq. (20) with w and z respectively. Figure 11 shows these 428 computed correlations for D4; the streamwise spatial correlations are shown at z/h =429 1.28 and the vertical spatial correlations are obtained by specifying z/h = 1.53 as a 430 fixed reference. It is observed in this figure that $R_{uu}(\Delta x)$ does not tend to zero at Δx 431 = 2, which is half of the streamwise domain length. This suggests that the domain 432 length is not sufficient to capture the longest eddy structures. Nonetheless, we can 433 make some deductions from the data. 434

Figure 11 shows that $R_{uu}(\Delta x)$ for the stable case is lower than that of the neutral 435 and heated cases. The streamwise integral length scale has clearly been significantly 436 reduced by ground cooling, but appears not to be influenced by ground heating. The 437 reason for such a strong influence on streamwise length scales by stable stratification 438 is not yet completely understood, although it is well known that stability generally 439 weakens turbulence fields. The profiles of $R_{ww}(\Delta z)$ indicate that the vertical integral 440 length scales are marginally increased and decreased by ground heating and cooling 441 respectively. This is expected because the size of the vertical structures is enhanced 442 by thermal plumes due to buoyancy in an unstable case and reduced in the case of 443 stable stratification. These spatial correlations suggest that the turbulent structures 444 are smaller in stable stratification when compared to neutral and unstable cases. As 445 smaller structures tend to be more isotropic, this observation is consistent with the 446

16



Fig. 11 Spatial correlations of (a) u in x direction and (b) w in z direction for different Ri_{τ} .

⁴⁴⁷ implications of the AIM discussed in Sect. 3.3. The spatial correlations from D64 for
 ⁴⁴⁸ neutral and unstable cases are, incidentally, found to be similar to those of D4.

449 3.5 Quadrant and Octant Analysis

450 The occurrence and contribution of various intermittent events to the transfer of mo-

⁴⁵¹ mentum and heat is often deduced using quadrant analysis. According to this, the ⁴⁵² events are classified as follows

$$Q1: \quad u' > 0, w' > 0; \quad \theta' > 0, w' > 0Q2: \quad u' < 0, w' > 0; \quad \theta' < 0, w' > 0Q3: \quad u' < 0, w' < 0; \quad \theta' < 0, w' < 0Q4: \quad u' > 0, w' < 0; \quad \theta' > 0, w' < 0$$

$$(21)$$

where primed quantities refer to fluctuating values (about their respective time-means). 453 In the case of momentum flux, 'Q2' refers to movement of low-speed fluid in the up-454 ward direction (referred as 'ejections') and 'Q4' refers to movement of high-speed 455 fluid in the downward direction (referred as 'sweeps'). In the case of stable stratifi-456 cation, 'Q2' refers to those events where cold fluid moves in the upward direction 457 (termed as 'updrafts') and 'Q4' refers to those events where hot fluid moves in the 458 downward direction (termed as 'downdrafts'). In the case of unstable stratification, 459 'Q1' refers to 'updrafts' where hot fluid is ejected and 'Q3' refers to 'downdrafts' 460 where cold fluid moves in the downward direction. The difference in the frequency 461 of occurrence of sweeps and ejections, and downdrafts and updrafts, and their pro-462 portional contribution to total momentum and heat fluxes (often referred to as 'flux 463 fraction', but here we use the term 'strength') are shown in Fig. 12. The method used 464 to obtain the frequency and strength of momentum and heat flux for various events 465 is explained in detail in Boppana et al. (2013). The values shown in Figs. 12a, d are 466 obtained using a time average of $330e_t$ and a spatial average of data at all the seven 467 locations shown as dots in Fig. 2 and identified as D_b, D_i and D_g. The values shown 468



Fig. 12 Left column: differences in the contributions to momentum flux by sweeps (Q4) and ejections (Q2) (a), (b) and the difference in their frequency of occurrence (c); right column: differences in the contributions to heat flux by downdrafts (Q4 - stable, Q3 - unstable) and updrafts (Q2 - stable, Q1 - unstable) (d), (e), and the difference in their frequency of occurrence (f). Dash-dot lines: unstable ($Ri_{\tau} = -2$); solid lines: neutral ($Ri_{\tau} = 0$); dash lines: stable ($Ri_{\tau} = 2.5$). The time and spatial average of data from D_b, D_i and D_g locations (shown in Fig. 2) are used in (a) and (d), and the average of data from D_i and D_g are used in (b), (c), (e) and (f).

⁴⁶⁹ in Figs. 12b, c, e and f are from a time and spatial average of the four locations D_i ⁴⁷⁰ and D_g which do not lie in the recirculating regions immediately behind the cubes.

The time and spatial average of data from all seven locations shows that ejections are stronger above the canopy (Fig. 12a), but below the canopy ejections dominate at $Z/h \approx 0.5$ whilst, for $0.5 \le z/h \le 1$, sweeps contribute more to the momentum flux. Such a non-monotonic behaviour below the canopy is a result of the strong influence

of the recirculating region in the wake of the cubes. This influence is also observed 475 in the strength of events contributing to heat flux (Fig. 12d). As suggested in the 476 DNS study by Coceal et al. (2007), it is instructive to obtain the temporal and spatial 477 mean from all locations in the computational domain. But as the available data here 478 is limited to seven locations, the data from the three locations behind the cubes (D_b) 479 have been excluded in some of the results shown so as to prevent the strong influence 480 from the recirculation region biasing the results of the quadrant analysis. Figure 12b 481 then shows that momentum flux is dominated by sweeps below the canopy, which is 482 consistent with the observations made in the DNS study. (Including the three 'behind 483 cube' profile locations destroys that consistency.) Further analysis will therefore be 484 based on the time and spatial average data from the D_i and D_g locations only, shown 485 in Figs. 12b, c, e and f. 48F

Below the canopy, the strength and frequency of momentum flux events in Figs. 487 12b,c are found to be the same for unstable, neutral and stable cases. This implies 488 that the mechanical turbulence generated by the roughness elements has a much 489 stronger influence than the local thermal stratification. Further above the canopy, 490 thermal stratification, especially for the unstable case, appears to have a notable ef-491 fect as the strength of ejections and the frequency of sweeps is enhanced. In the field 492 study of Christen et al. (2007), point measurements from a tower in an urban street 493 canyon showed qualitatively similar behavior except that the strength of ejections be-494 gins to dominate sweeps at $z/z_h = 1.9$ for an unstable case and at $z/z_h = 2.5$ for a 495 near-neutral case, whereas sweeps dominated throughout the measurement height i.e. 496 $0.5 \le z/z_h \le 2.5$ in the stable case (z_h is an average building height). The reason for 497 these minor differences between field experiments and LES could be partly attributed 498 to the urban morphometry, different Ri_{τ} , prevailing meteorological conditions (e.g. 499 large-scale turbulent motions (Michioka et al., 2011) and wind direction) in the field. 500 Similar to the momentum flux contributions in stable and unstable cases, down-501 drafts contribute more to the heat flux below the canopy and updrafts are stronger 502

⁵⁰³ above the canopy. Figure 12f suggests the reverse behaviour in the frequency of ⁵⁰⁴ events. The field study of Christen et al. (2007) showed similar behaviour in the ⁵⁰⁵ strength of events, but the stratification effects were found to be strong above the ⁵⁰⁶ canopy unlike this study, probably for reasons similar to those mentioned above.

The same analysis was carried out on time series data corresponding to a duration of $2000e_t$ and from eight locations situated in front of the cubes in D64. The strength and frequency of events were found to be qualitatively very similar to those described above for the D4 domain.

From the above analysis, it is understood that for both stable and unstable cases, 511 above the canopy ejections and updrafts contribute more to the momentum flux and 512 heat flux respectively, whereas within the canopy sweeps and downdrafts dominate. 513 Sweeps and downdrafts occur more often above the canopy, whilst ejections and up-514 drafts are more frequent within the canopy. But it is not immediately clear if the 515 updraft (downdraft) and ejection (sweep) events are correlated. Inagaki et al. (2012) 516 showed that the horizontal distribution of ejection and sweep events at the building 517 height is similar to the distribution of updraft and downdraft events suggesting that 518 these events might be correlated. To determine this quantitatively, octant analysis (as 519 used by Dupont and Patton, 2012, on a vegetation canopy) has been conducted. Based 520



Fig. 13 Momentum flux associated with positive (dashed line) and negative (positive line) temperature fluctuations in quadrants 2 and 4 for (a) stable and (b) unstable cases. The dotted line indicates the canopy top.

on the sign of temperature fluctuations, the momentum flux from a quadrant 'Qi' is split further such that

$$\overline{(u'w')}_{Qi} = \overline{(u'w')}_{Qi}^{T_i^+} + \overline{(u'w')}_{Qi}^{T_i^-}, \qquad (22)$$

where T_i^+ and T_i^- correspond to positive and negative temperature fluctuations re-523 spectively in the quadrant Qi. The two right hand terms in the above equation are 524 normalized with their respective quadrant momentum fluxes and are shown in Fig. 13. 525 For both stable and unstable cases the 'updrafts' contribution to the momentum flux 526 is found to be larger in 'Q2' and the 'downdrafts' contribution is found to be larger 527 for 'Q4'. This suggests that updrafts (downdrafts) and ejections (sweeps) are well 528 correlated, which implies at least some degree of similarity in momentum and heat 529 transport for such flows. 530

531 4 Conclusions

The effects of local thermal stratification on the atmospheric flow in and above urban 532 canopies have been investigated by conducting large-eddy simulations on flow past 533 an array of staggered cubes, with the ground surface subjected to uniform cooling or 534 535 heating. The global thermal influences have been quantified by computing drag and heat transfer coefficients. With increase in ground surface heating, characterised by 536 $-23 < Ri_{\tau} < -0.2$, a gradual increase in C_d and C_h was observed. Specification of 537 either constant heat flux or constant temperature boundary condition on the ground 538 surface yielded similar values of C_d and C_h , despite the different physics of flow 539 and heat very close to the ground surface. With increase in ground surface cooling, 540 i.e. $0 < Ri_{\tau} < 9$, a gradual decline in C_d and C_h was observed. The steady increase 541 in C_d and C_h with decrease in Ri_{τ} is linked with an increase in turbulent kinetic 542 energy due to buoyancy. The sensitivity tests included computations with different 543 domain sizes, grid resolution and means of achieving the steady state for energy in 544 the computational domain. These showed that C_d was relatively insensitive to all 545 these, but the estimates of C_h were found to be very sensitive to resolution in the 546 near-wall region, not surprisingly. 547

The structure of the turbulence for $Ri_{\tau} = -2$, 0 and 2.5 was then quantitatively 548 analysed by exploring the Reynolds stresses, spatial correlations and the results of 549 quadrant and octant analyses. The turbulence intensity was found to be significantly 550 affected by ground heating and cooling. However, the anisotropy invariant maps im-551 plied that the shape of the turbulent structures remained very similar for neutral and 552 unstable cases, but differed slightly in the stable case. From the two-point spatial 553 correlations it was observed that the turbulent integral length scales of the structures 554 are reduced in both streamwise and vertical directions by stable stratification when 555 compared to the neutral case; only the vertical integral length scale was found to 556 be increased by ground heating. The quadrant analysis showed that ground heating 557 (cooling) enhances (reduces) the contribution of ejections to momentum flux above 558 the canopy whereas the contribution of updrafts and downdrafts to heat flux are found 559 to be very similar. Octant analysis showed that the strength of ejections (sweeps) and 560 updrafts (downdrafts) are well correlated, thereby suggesting that the transport mech-561 anisms of momentum and heat flux are similar above the canopy, probably because of 562 the prevailing large-scale structures although no attempt has yet been made to study 563 the correlated spectral content between ejections and updrafts in order to delineate 564 scale effects. 565

This study has shed some light on the effects of local thermal stratification on the aerodynamic coefficients and turbulent structure of flow over an idealised urban canopy. It would be useful to know whether the general conclusions outlined above apply also to different kinds of roughness morphology, and to what extent they are affected by differential surface heating arising for example from radiation. Coupled with the present results, this might then be a further step towards understanding and modelling the pollutant dispersion in significantly non-neutral urban boundary layers.

⁵⁷³ Acknowledgements This project is funded by the Natural Environment Research Council, through its 574 National Centre for Atmospheric Sciences, Grant No. R8/H12/38. All computations have been done using

- 575 CD-adapco's STAR-CD code on Iridis (University's supercomputer) and HECTOR (U.K's supercomputer).
- 576 We thank the CD-adapco's staff Fred Mendonca for his continuous support.

577 **References**

- Allegrini J, Dorer V, Carmeliet J (2013) Wind tunnel measurements of buoyant flows
 in street canyons. Build Environ 59:315–326
- Armenio V, Sarkar S (2002) An investigation of stably stratified turbulent channel flow using large-eddy simulation. J Fluid Mech 459:1–42
- Boppana VBL, Xie ZT, Castro IP (2010) Large-eddy simulation of dispersion from
 surface sources in arrays of obstacles. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 135:433–454
- ⁵⁸⁴ Boppana VBL, Xie ZT, Castro IP (2013) Large-eddy simulation of heat transfer from
- a single cube mounted on a very rough wall. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 147:347–
 368
- Britter RE, Hanna SR (2003) Flow and dispersion in urban areas. Annu Rev Fluid
 Mech 35:469–496
- Busse A, Sandham ND (2012) Parametric forcing approach to rough-wall turbulent
 channel flow. J Fluid Mech 712:169–202
- Cai X (2012) Effects of differential wall heating in street canyons on dispersion and
 ventilation characteristics of a passive scalar. Atmos Environ 51:268–277
- ⁵⁹³ Castro IP, Cheng H, Reynolds R (2006) Turbulence over urban-type roughness: de-
- ductions from wind-tunnel measurements. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 118:109– 131
- ⁵⁹⁶ Cheng H, Castro IP (2002) Near wall flow over urban-like roughness. Boundary ⁵⁹⁷ Layer Meteorol 104:229–259
- 598 Cheng WC, Liu CH (2011) Effect of unstable thermal stratification on the atmo-
- ⁵⁹⁹ spheric boundary layer above urban street canyons by large-eddy simulation.
- The 14th International conference on harmonisation within atmospheric dispersion modelling for regulatory purposes (HARMO14), Kos, Greece, 2–6 October
- ⁶⁰¹ Initiating for regulatory purposes (HARMO14), Kos, Oreece, 2–0 October
- ⁶⁰² Christen A, van Gorsel E, Vogt R (2007) Coherent structures in urban roughness
 ⁶⁰³ sublayer turbulence. Int J Climatol 27:1955–1968
- 604 Coceal O, Thomas TG, Castro IP, Belcher SE (2006) Mean flow and turbulence
- statistics over groups of urban-like cubical obstacles. Boundary-Layer Meteorol
 121:491–519
- ⁶⁰⁷ Coceal O, Dobre A, Thomas TG, Belcher SE (2007) Structure of turbulent flow over
 ⁶⁰⁸ regular arrays of cubical roughness. J Fluid Mech 589:375–409
- Dong YH, Lu XY (2005) Direct numerical simulation of stably and unstably stratified
 turbulent open channel flows. Acta Mech 177:115–136
- ⁶¹¹ Dupont S, Patton EG (2012) Momentum and scalar transport within a vegetation
- canopy following atmospheric stability and seasonal canopy changes: the chats
 experiment. Atmos Chem Phys 12:5913–5935
- Fishpool GM, Lardeau S, Leschziner MA (2009) Persistent non-homogeneous fea tures in periodic channel-flow simulations. Flow Turbulence Combust 83:323–342
- Gao W, Shaw RH, Pawu KT (1989) Observation of organized structure in turbulent
- flow within and above a forest canopy. Boundary Layer Meteorol 47:349–377

- García-Villalba M, del Álamo J (2011) Turbulence modification by stable stratifica tion in channel flow. Phys Fluids 23:045,104–22
- Huizhi L, Bin L, Fengrong Z, Boyin Z, Jianguo S (2003) A laboratory model for the flow in urban street canyons induced by bottom heating. Adv Atmos Sciences
- 20:554–564
 Inagaki A, Castillo MCL, Yamashita Y, Kanda M, Takimoto H (2012) Large-eddy
- simulation of coherent flow structures within a cubical canopy. Boundary-Layer
 Meteorol 142:207–222
- Jackson PS (1981) On the displacement height in the logarithmic velocity profile. J Fluid Mech 111:15–25
- ⁶²⁸ Jayatilleka CL (1969) The influence of prandtl number and surface rouhness on the ⁶²⁹ resistance of the laminar sub-layer to momentum and heat transfer. Progress in heat
- and mass transfer 1:193–330
- Kaimal JC, Finnigan JJ (1994) Atmospheric Boundary Layer Flows: Their Structure
 and Measurement. Oxford University Press, 304 pp
- Kanda M, Kanega M, Kawai T, Moriwaki R (2007) Roughness lengths for momen tum and heat derived from outdoor urban scale models. J Applied Meteorol and
 Climatol 46:1067–1079
- Kim JJ, Baik JJ (1999) A numerical study of thermal effects on flow and pollutant
 dispersion in urban street canyons. J App Meteorol 38:1249–1261
- Kovar-Panskus A, Moulinneuf L, Savory E, Abdelqari A, Sini JF, Rosant JM, Robins
- A, Toy N (2002) A wind tunnel investigation of the influence of solar-induced wall heating on the flow regime within a simulated urban street canyon. Water, Air, and
- ⁶⁴¹ Soil Pollution: Focus 2:555–571
- Leonardi S, Castro IP (2010) Channel flow over large cube roughness: a direct numerical simulation study. J Fluid Mech 651:519–539
- Li D, Bou-Zeid E (2011) Coherent structures and the dissimilarity of turbulent
 transport of momentum and scalars in the unstable atmospheric surface layer.
 Boundary-Layer Meteorol 140:243–262
- 647 Michioka T, Sato A, Sada K (2011) Wind-tunnel experiments for gas dispersion in
- an atmospheric boundary layer with large-scale turbulent motion. Boundary-Layer
 Meteorol 141:35–51
- Park SB, Baik JJ, Raasch S, Letzel MO (2012) A large-eddy simulation study of thermal effects on turbulent flow and dispersion in and above a street canyon. J
- Appl Meteorol and Climatol 51:829–841
- Pascheke F, Barlow JF, Robins A (2008) Wind-tunnel modelling of dispersion from
- a scalar area source in urban-like roughness. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 126:103–
 124
- ⁶⁵⁶ Pope SB (2011) Turbulent flows. Cambridge University Press, 774 pp
- ⁶⁵⁷ Richards K, Schatzmann M, Leitl B (2006) Wind tunnel experiments modelling the
- thermal effects within the vicinity of a single block building with leeward wall heating. J Wind Eng Ind Aerodyn 94:621–636
- Roth M, Inagaki A, Sugawara H, Kanda M (2013) Small-scale spatial variability of
 turbulent statistics, (co)spectra and turbulent kinetic energy measure over a regular
- array of cube roughness. Environ Fluid Mech DOI 10.1007/s10652-013-9322-3

Shih TH, Povinelli LA, Liu NS, Potapczuk MG, Lumley JL (1999) A generalized 663 wall function. Tech. rep., National Aeronautics and Space Administration 664

STAR-CD (2007) CD-adapco's CCM methodology, STAR-CD Version 4.04, 328 pp 665

Stull RB (2009) An introduction to Boundary-Layer Meteorology. Springer, 674 pp 666

Uehara K, Murakami S, Oikawa S, Wakamatsu S (2000) Wind tunnel experiments on 667

how thermal stratification affects flow in and above urban street canyons. Atmos 668 Environ 34:1553-1562

⁶⁶⁹

Xie ZT, Hayden P, Wood CR (2013) Large-eddy simulation of approaching-flow 670

stratification on dispersion over arrays of buildings. Atmos Environ 71:64-74 671