G Model BURN 91-10

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Burnout Research xxx (2014) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Burnout Research



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/burn

$\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{v}}$ Methodological considerations when translating "burnout" $\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle \star}{\sim}$

² Q2 Allison Squires^{a,*}, Catherine Finlayson^a, Lauren Gerchow^a, Jeannie P. Cimiotti^b,

- Anne Matthews^c, Rene Schwendimann^d, Peter Griffiths^e, Reinhard Busse^f,
- ⁴ Maude Heinen^g, Tomasz Brzostek^h, Maria Teresa Moreno-Casbasⁱ,
- ⁵ Linda H. Aiken^{j,k}, Walter Sermeus^{k,1}

6 Q3 ^a College of Nursing, New York University, USA

- 7 ^b NJ Collaborating Center for Nursing, Rutgers University College of Nursing, USA
- 8 ^c School of Nursing & Human Sciences, Dublin City University, Ireland
- 9 ^d University of Basel, Institute of Nursing Science, Switzerland
- 10 ^e Centre for Innovation and Leadership in Health Sciences, University of Southampton, England, United Kingdom
- ¹¹ ^f Lehrstuhl Management im Gesundheitswesen/Department of Health Care Management WHO Collaborating Centre for Health Systems Research and
- 12 Management, Technische Universitaet Berlin, Germany
- 13 § IQ Healthcare, Radboud University Medical Center, Nursing Science & Allied Healthcare Division, Nijmegen, The Netherlands
- ¹⁴ ^h Institute of Nursing and Midwifery, Faculty of Health Sciences, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland
- ¹⁵ ⁱ Nursing and Healthcare Research Unit (Investén-isciii), Spanish Department of Health, Madrid, Spain
- ¹⁶ ^j School of Nursing, University of Pennsylvania, USA
- 17 ^k RN4CAST, Spain

18 ¹ Program Director Master in Healthcare Management & Nursing Science Centre for Health Services & Nursing Research Catholic University Leuven, Belgium

36 ARTICLE INFO

21 _____ 22 Article history:

19

Received 6 February 2014

24 Received in revised form 14 June 2014

- 25 Accepted 8 July 2014
- 26
- Keywords:
 Europe
- 28 Europe 29 Nurses
- 30 Nursing
- 31 Burnout
- 32 Language translation
- 33 Content Validity Indexing
- 34 Human resources for health
- 35 Cross-cultural instrument adaptation

ABSTRACT

No study has systematically examined how researchers address cross-cultural adaptation of burnout. We conducted an integrative review to examine how researchers had adapted the instruments to the different contexts. We reviewed the Content Validity Indexing scores for the Maslach Burnout Inventory-Human Services Survey from the 12-country comparative nursing workforce study, RN4CAST. In the integrative review, multiple issues related to translation were found in existing studies. In the cross-cultural instrument analysis, 7 out of 22 items on the instrument received an extremely low kappa score. Investigators may need to employ more rigorous cross-cultural adaptation methods when attempting to measure burnout.

© 2014 Published by Elsevier GmbH. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license

(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/).

* Contributing authors to this paper from the RN4CAST Consortium: Jane Ball, PhD, RN (United Kingdom), Rebecca Blackwell, MSN, RN (UK), Luk Bruyneel, RN, MS (Belgium), Piotr Bryznski, PhD (Poland), Monica Contreras-Moreira (Spain), Carmen Fuentelsaz-Gallego (Spain), Esther Gonzalez-María (Spain), Teresa Gómez Garcia (Spain), Juha Kinnunen, PhD, RN (Finland), Anne Scott, PhD, RN (Ireland), Lisette Schoonhoven, PhD, RN (The Netherlands), Anne Scott, PhD, RN (Ireland), Maria Schubert, PhD, RN (Switzerland), Carol Tishelman, PhD, RN (Sweden), and Dimitris Zikos, PhD, RN (Greece).

* Corresponding author at: College of Nursing, New York University, 726 Broadway, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10003, USA. Tel.: +1 212 992 7074.

E-mail addresses: aps6@nyu.edu (A. Squires), csf201@nyu.edu (C. Finlayson), lgerchow@gmail.com (L. Gerchow), cimiotti@rutgers.edu (J.P. Cimiotti), anne.matthews@dcu.ie (A. Matthews), rene.schwendimann@unibas.ch (R. Schwendimann), peter.griffiths@soton.ac.uk (P. Griffiths), rbusse@tu-berlin.de (R. Busse), Maud.Heinen@Radboudumc.nl (M. Heinen), tomasz.brzostek@uj.edu.pl (T. Brzostek), mmoreno@isciii.es (M.T. Moreno-Casbas), laiken@nursing.upenn.edu (L.H. Aiken), walter.sermeus@med.kuleuven.ac.be (W. Sermeus).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.burn.2014.07.001

2213-0586/© 2014 Published by Elsevier GmbH. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/).

A. Squires et al. / Burnout Research xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

1. Introduction

Burnout is a global work-related phenomenon that, as multiple studies in mostly English-speaking developed countries have demonstrated, is associated with the quality of working conditions, interpersonal relationships, role conflict, and workload (Maslach, Schaufeli, & Leiter, 2001). Burnout is specifically a work-related syndrome that is commonly found in people who work in human services that require significant human contact (Maslach et al., 2001). For example, high levels of burnout have been reported among nurses in multiple countries (Aiken et al., 2012; Hatcher & Laschinger, 1996; McHugh, Kutney-Lee, Cimiotti, Sloane, & Aiken, 2011; Poghosyan, Clarke, Finlayson, & Aiken, 2010). Worker burnout, as a concept for research in the health professions, remains a cross-culturally relevant subject.

The Maslach Burnout Inventory is composed Likert-type items 51 that assess three distinct components of burnout: emotional 52 exhaustion, depersonalization, and personal accomplishment 53 (Maslach et al., 2001). Three versions of the MBI exist, but the 54 Maslach Burnout Inventory-Human Services Survey (MBI-HSS) has 55 been designed exclusively for professionals whose work involves 56 57 intensive human contact and interaction, such as nurses. Recent work continues to demonstrate that the MBI-HSS (Maslach et al., 58 2001) is the standard for gauging burnout among English-speaking 59 healthcare professionals, especially nurses (Aiken, Clarke, Sloane, 60 Sochalski, & Silber, 2002; Aiken et al., 2010; Cimiotti, Aiken, Sloane, 61 & Wu, 2012; Hatcher & Laschinger, 1996; Laschinger, Grau, Finegan, 62 & Wilk, 2010; Losa Iglesias, Becerro de Bengoa Vallejo, & Salvadores 63 Fuentes, 2010; Patrician, Shang, & Lake, 2010; Poghosyan et al., 64 2010; Santen, Holt, Kemp, & Hemphill, 2010; Stimpfel, Sloane, 65 & Aiken, 2012). Originally developed in English, the MBI-HSS 66 has been used to assess burnout in nurses in several English-67 speaking countries, including the US (Aiken, Clarke, Sloane, & 68 Sochalski, 2001; Aiken et al., 2002, 2010), Canada (Aiken et al., 2001; 69 Estabrooks et al., 2002; Hatcher & Laschinger, 1996; Laschinger 70 71 et al., 2010; Leiter & Spence Laschinger, 2006; Spence Laschinger, & Leiter, 2006; Tourangeau et al., 2007), England (Sheward, Hunt, 72 Hagen, Macleod, & Ball, 2005; Rafferty et al., 2007), Scotland (Aiken 73 et al., 2001), and New Zealand (Poghosyan et al., 2010). The MBI-74 HSS has been translated into German (Aiken et al., 2001), Hebrew 75 76 (Chayu & Kreitler, 2011), Japanese (Poghosyan et al., 2010), Turkish (Akkuş, Karacan, Göker, & Aksu, 2010; Günüşen & Ustün, 2010) and 77 Chinese (Yao, Yao, Wang, Li, & Lan, 2013). 78

Despite these translated uses of the MBI-HSS, reports of sys-79 tematic translations of this instrument are few. The cross-cultural 80 adaptation of any instrument designed in one country for use in 81 another requires a rigorous and systematic process (Squires et al., 82 2013). For researchers in countries seeking to study burnout for the 83 first time, both language and translatability may present problems 84 to utilizing the MBI-HSS instrument itself. While studies in the lit-85 erature have translated the MBI-HSS, authors have done so using 86 a variety of techniques and analytic approaches. The variation in 87 validation methods for translated versions of the MBI-HSS presents 88 several methodological issues for researchers. One methodological 89 challenge for studying burnout arises with the concept of "burnout" 90 including the language used to express or define burnout and 91 its dimensions, such as emotional exhaustion. These descriptions 92 might not exist culturally or linguistically, or the general concept of 93 burnout may be culturally taboo. For example, if the cultural norm 94 for dealing with or expressing symptoms related to burnout is to 95 endure them silently, the concept of burnout might not exist in 96 the language of the culture or may remain unidentified or have a 97 different descriptor. Yet we do know that it is a psychological syndrome that is commonly identified in people and thus, an initial 100 study may be needed to identify the presence of the phenomenon 101 in a new context or culture through a standardized measure.

Comparatively, in cultures where emotional expressions of burnout are expected, burnout, or an equivalent concept may already exist in the language. 102

103

104

105

106

107

108

100

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

A good example of the cross-cultural and language translation challenges of burnout can present is how "burnout" as a concept has translated into Mexican Spanish. Balseiro Almario translated burnout as "fatiga emocional" and "desgaste emocional" when introducing this concept into the Mexican health services research literature (Balseiro-Almario, 2004, 2005). When translated back into English, the phrases respectively translate as "emotional fatigue" and "emotional exhaustion, which only describes one dimension of burnout. Despite coining these translated phrases, Balseiro Almario used the English word "burnout" in her articles, either for its ease of pronunciation in Spanish or because an adequate phrase in Spanish could not be found to encompass all the dimensions of burnout. The following questions then arise: (1) Why only focus the translation on one dimension of burnout? (2) What aspect of that phrasing makes the concept culturally presentable? These kinds of considerations and challenges are common when translating and applying complex concepts, like burnout, in new cultural and language contexts.

In the case of the RN4CAST (www.rn4cast.eu) study (Sermeus et al., 2011), in order to evaluate the effects of work environments on nurses' perceptions about their job related burdens, the study integrated the Maslach Burnout Inventory into a 12-country comparative study of nursing professionals in Europe. The initial review of the instrument by the RN4CAST research team raised concerns about the language used in the MBI-HSS and its translatability to other languages, cultures, countries, and contexts. It inspired the team to investigate the issue further.

The purpose this study was first to evaluate translated versions of the MBI-HSS that exist in the current literature to explore how other studies have conducted the cross-cultural adaptation of the MBI-HSS. The team then evaluated the MBI-HSS specific results of the translation process developed for the RN4CAST study instrument, which was grounded in Flaherty et al.'s (1988) guidelines for cross-cultural evaluation of survey instruments. The systematic approach to translating the survey instrument included traditional forward and back translation techniques (Brislin, 1970), expert panel reviews, and a quantifiable technique to evaluate the relevance of questions and the quality of the translation, as described in detail by Squires et al. (2013). Overall, we seek to illustrate the challenges that can arise when translating complex concepts and to identify threats to the reliability and validity of study results when the translation of survey instruments is not conducted systematically and without consideration for the cross-cultural relevance of the topic.

1.1. Background

The World Health Report 2006 - Working Together for Health (World Health Organization, 2006) is an assessment of the global healthcare workforce that estimates a shortage of 4.3 healthcare personnel per 100,000 people worldwide. The report further cites job-related burnout and its associated outcomes as factors that contribute to healthcare workers intentions to leave their jobs. Healthcare worker burnout appears to be an economic burden to national health systems and its care organizations that are struggling to maintain adequate staff numbers to provide health services to those in need (Alameddine, Baumann, Laporte, & Deber, 2012; El-Jardali et al., 2011; Pomaki, Franche, Murray, Khushrushahi, & Lampinen, 2012; Stansfeld, Shipley, Head, & Fuhrer, 2012). It is beneficial for healthcare systems to evaluate levels of burnout among their staff to determine its effects on retention rates, attrition rates from the profession, or its effects on international migration (Alameddine et al., 2012).

2

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

A. Squires et al. / Burnout Research xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

The MBI-HSS has been the chosen instrument of many 166 researchers looking to gain better insight into the problem of 167 burnout among healthcare professionals. While many studies have 168 used the MBI-HSS to measure burnout across different cultures and 169 national contexts, our review of the literature for this study showed 170 that translation methods and study designs appear inconsistent. 171 Researchers with extensive cross-cultural research experience 172 generally agree that a systematic approach is necessary when 173 translating a concept like burnout. A solid translation process will 174 manage the emic and etic aspects of translation, include forward 175 and back translation completed through the use of qualified trans-176 lators, and an expert panel review (Cha, Kim, & Erlen, 2007; Hilton 177 & Skrutkowski, 2002; Hyrkäs, Appelqvist-Schmidlechner, & Oksa, 178 2003; Im, Page, Lin, Tsai, & Cheng, 2004; Jones, Lee, Phillips, Zhang, 179 & Jaceldo, 2001; Sidani, Guruge, Miranda, Ford-Gilboe, & Varcoe, 180 2010; Temple, 2005; Wang, Lee, & Fetzer, 2006; Weeks, Swerissen, 181 & Belfrage, 2007). In addition, a pilot study of the translated version 182 of the instrument is also recommended. 183

More concerning, however, is that health researchers tend to 184 only use forward and back translation techniques. Maneesriwongul 185 and Dixon (2004) showed, through a rigorous integrative review of 186 187 studies that required translating survey instruments, that simple forward and backward translation is insufficient to produce a valid 188 translation. Therefore, the results from studies that only employ 189 forward and backward translation of survey instruments for their 190 translation approach might not be very reliable or valid because 191 of the quality of the translation or produce artificially high or low 192 results 193

In light of the concerns about translation guality, when exam-10/ ining the MBI-HSS prior to study implementation, the RN4CAST 195 research team found that the survey items include questions that 196 use slang words or phrases in American English. Unless researchers 197 conducting burnout studies were familiar with the appropriate 198 meaning and interpretation of the slang words, or they used an 199 American English speaker to conduct the translation, the validity 200 of the translation and the respective survey findings could be called 201 into question. Who conducted the translation can be an important 202 factor in evaluating the quality of the translation and its threat to 203 reliability and validity (Squires, 2008, 2009; Temple & Young, 2004; 204 Temple, 2002). 205

An evaluation of the cross-cultural relevance of an established 206 instrument, which includes translation quality, is an important step 207 prior to its use outside of its original context of development. While 208 it may not be possible to alter the instrument when consistent 209 results across countries are part of a study's aims, a pre-data col-210 lection evaluation step may help researchers to identify potentially 211 problematic items in the instrument that are specific to the setting, 212 language, or culture (Squires et al., 2013). At the same time, if a 213 valid translation exists, duplicating work wastes time. 214

215 **2. Methods and results**

We explored the issue of cross-cultural adaptation of the MBI-216 HSS in two ways. First, we conducted an integrative literature 217 review focused on translation methods used in research studies 218 that had translated the MBI-HSS, and second, a Content Valid-219 ity Indexing (CVI) process to evaluate the cross-cultural relevance 220 of the translations conducted by the study's country teams. We 221 present the approaches and results for both parts of the study in 222 two sections and then synthesize the findings in the discussion. 223

224 2.1. Approach to the integrative review of the literature

For the integrative review, the team used MEDLINE, CINAHL and Google Scholar, and searched for articles about the MBI

Table 1

Definitions of Flaherty's criteria for evaluating cross-cultural equivalence of survey instrument items.

Criteria	Definition
Content equivalence	The content of each item of the instrument is relevant to the phenomena of each culture being studied.
Semantic equivalence	The meaning of each item is the same in each culture after translation into the language and idiom (written or oral) of each culture.
Technical equivalence	The method of assessment is comparable in each culture with respect to the data that it yields.
Criterion equivalence	The interpretation of the measurement of the variable remains the same when compared with the norm for each culture studied.
Conceptual equivalence	The instrument is measuring the same theoretical construct in each culture.

Adapted from Flaherty et al. (1988), p. 258.

that involved language translation. Search terms included "Maslach", "burnout", "international," "language," "interpretation," and "translation". Over 400 articles were identified in the search process. Selection criteria for articles included their availability in English, Spanish, or Portuguese, publication date after 2000.

Once the team finalized article selection, directed content analysis techniques, defined as "a research method for the subjective interpretation of the content of text data through the systematic classification process of coding and identifying themes or patterns" (Hsieh & Shannon, 2005), provided structure to the review process. To code the articles, the team focused on identifying the presence of cross-cultural research characteristics, as defined by Flaherty et al. (1988) and illustrated in Table 1, the translation method used, and when applicable, the type of statistical analysis used to determine the reliability and validity of the translated instrument. Detailed notes about specific methodological issues related to translation or how researchers interpreted the results were also made during the coding process.

2.2. Results of the integrative review

In the review, 30 articles met the criteria for the analysis, representing 26 countries, 20 languages, and 8 of the RN4CAST countries. There were 7 languages with regional or geographical dialects represented, including Spanish (Spain, Argentina, Colombia), German (Germany and Switzerland), Italian (Italy and Switzerland), French (France and Switzerland), Dutch (Belgium and the Netherlands), Chinese (Cantonese, Mandarin, Macau dialect, and Taiwanese), and Arabic (Yemeni dialect).

Table 2 summarizes the findings, including the cross-cultural analysis against Flaherty et al.'s (1988) criteria. Within the 30 articles analyzed, there were vast differences in each approach to translate the MBI-HSS. Literature analyzed for this study offered no consistent findings about the cross-cultural relevance of burnout and showed that researchers used no consistent approach to translating the instrument, even when a translated version already existed in their own language.

Among the 30 reviewed articles, 7 (22%) articles did not mention any method of translation and 9 (28%) articles cited other validated versions of MBI-HSS in their respective language. The majority of the rest used only forward and backward translation. A few used a more thorough cross-cultural validation process, usually involving an expert panel review or a pilot study.

The 30 articles were further analyzed against five criteria suggested by Flaherty, et al. (Flaherty et al., 1988): content equivalence, semantic equivalence, technical equivalence, criterion equivalence, and conceptual equivalence. Every article met the criteria for

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

258

259

271

A. Squires et al. / Burnout Research xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

4

Table 2

Integrative review of studies that translated the MBI-HSS, MBI-GS, & MBI-ES.^a

Authors	Year	Country	Language	PT	Translation Method	SAM	CE1	SE	TE	CE2	CE3
Ahola, et al.	2006	Finland	Finnish	3+4	MBI-GS – no mention	Gender/age adjusted logistical	Y	N	Y	N	?
Al-Dubai and Rumpal	2010	Yemen	Arabic	2	 MBI-HSS "translated into Arabic by a professional translator. The translated version was compared with the English version by the principal author to ensure it reflected original method" Exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis to confirm accuracy 	regression Descriptive statistics, multiple logistic regression	Υ	Y	Y	Ν	?
Asai et al.	2007	Japan	Japanese	2	No mention, however, "psychometric properties of the Japanese version of the MBI are controversial"	Logical regression analysis	Y	N	Y	Ν	?
Berg et al.	2006	Norway	Norwegian	4	No mention of translation	Logical regression analysis	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	?
Bressi et al.	2009	Italy	Italian	2	States use of "Italian Version"	Linear regression analysis	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	?
Chen et al.	2013	Malaysia	Malay	3	MBI-HSS – forward/backward translation. Multi-disciplinary team to reconcile the instruments.	Cronbach's alpha	Y	N	Y	Ν	?
Córdoba et al.	2011	Columbia	Spanish	1, 2 and 3	MBI-HSS – forward/backward translation. Judges evaluated the level of pertinence for each item	Descriptive statistics and Cronbach's alpha	Y	Ν	Y	N	?
Embriaco et al.	2006	France	French	2	No mention of translation	Ordinal logistical regression	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	?
mbriaco et al.	2007	France	French	3	No mention of translation	No mention	Y	N	Y	N	?
Glasberg et al. Goehring et al.	2007 2005	Sweden Switzerland	Swedish French, German, Italian	3+4 2	Validated Swedish translation MBI-HSS – validated German and French versions. They created their own version of the Italian.	Regression analysis Logistic regression	Y Y	? Y	Y Y	N N	? ?
Hu and Schaufeli	2009	China	Chinese	4	MBI-ES – "translated from English into Chinese by three native Chinese speaking master's studentssemantic differences were agreed	Confirmatory factor analysis	Y	?	Y	N	?
glesias et al.	2009	Spain	Spanish	1	upon" "Both questionnaires have been validated internationally and have been adapted for the Spanish population"	Descriptive statistics	Y	?	Y	N	?
uthberg et al.	2010	Sweden	Swedish	1	A Swedish translation, but not stated if it is validated.	Partial least squares regression	Y	?	Y	N	?
Kanste et al.	2006	Finland	Finnish	1	MBI-HS – "translation-back translation procedure"	Exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis	Y	?	Y	Ν	?
Klersy et al.	2007	Italy	Italian	1+2	"Burnout was assessed with the validated Italian-language version of the Maslach Burnout Inventory"	Population- averaged regression models	Y	?	Y	N	?
ee et al.	2012	Taiwan	Chinese	1	MBI-HSS-forward/backward translation. Pilot study of the Chinese version.	Exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis	Y	Y	Y	N	?
Liakopoulou et al.	2007	Greece	Greek	3	No mention of translation	Descriptive statistics	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	?
Luk et al.	2009	Macau	Chinese	4	C-MBI – stated used a Chinese version, but no mention of validity	ANOVA	Y	?	Y	N	?
Mészáros et al.	2013	Hungary	Hungarian	1, 2, and 3	MBI-HSS – forward/backward translation. Pilot test	Confirmatory factor analysis	Y	Y	Y	N	?
Ndetei et al.	2008	Kenya	Swahili	3	MBI HS and GS – no mention of translation	SPSS	Y	?	Y	Ν	?
Pisanti et al.	2012	Italy	Italian	1	MBI-HSS – forward/backward translation. States it is "substantially equivalent" to another Italian version	Confirmatory factor analysis	Y	Ν	Y	N	?

A. Squires et al. / Burnout Research xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

Table 2 (Continued)

Authors	Year	Country	Language	PT	Translation Method	SAM	CE1	SE	TE	CE2	CE3
Schaufeli et al.	2009	The Netherlands	Dutch	2	They use the Dutch version of the MBI-HSS	Structural equation modeling	Y	Y	Y	Ν	?
Soler et al.	2006	Many European countries	Many	2	"In those countries where the use of an English-language instrument could potentially pose language barriers, the questionnaire was translated into the native language by a FD"	Descriptive statistics	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	?
Tokuda et al.	2009	Japan	Japanese	2	Reliable and valid Japanese version	Path analysis	Y	Y	Y	Ν	?
Unterbrink et al.	2007	Germany	German	4	Use of the MBI-D which is the German version the MBI scale?	Descriptive statistics	Y	Ν	Y	N	?
Van Bogaert et al.	2009	Belgium	Dutch	1	A previously used translated version of the MBI-HSS, not mention of validity	Structural equation modeling	Y	?	Y	N	?
van der Ploeg et al.	2003	The Netherlands	Dutch	4	They use the Dutch version of MBI	Multiple hierarchical regression analysis	Y	Y	Y	N	?
Waldman et al.	2009	Argentina	Spanish	2	"Employed the Spanish version, which has shown to have adequate reliability and validity in previous studies"	Multivariate logistic regression	Y	?	Y	Ν	?
Wu et al.	2007	China	Mandarin Chinese	1	MBI-GS-translated from English to Chinese – then back from Chinese to English. The Chinese version was reviewed and was deemed to have high validity	Parametric statistics	Y	?	Y	Ν	?

^a Note: PT (provider type): RN = 1; MD = 2; Multiple = 3; Other = 4; SAM (statistical analysis method); CE1 (content equivalence); SE (semantic equivalence); TE (technical equivalence); CE2 (criterion equivalence); CE3 (conceptual equivalence); Y = Present; N = Not present; ? = Unable to determine.

content equivalence, which determined if the MBI-HSS was the
appropriate tool for their study, and aimed to determine if burnout
was present in the study population. Since the MBI-HSS was administered via questionnaire in all 30 articles, they met the technical
equivalence requirement, which determined if the tool was being
administered appropriately in the context.

Semantic equivalence was more difficult to determine. Seman-278 tic equivalence is defined by Flaherty et al. as "the meaning of each 279 item is the same in each culture after translation into the language 280 and idiom (written or oral) of each cultures" (Flaherty et al., 1988). 281 282 A tool could be deemed semantically equivalent if it has been validated through a rigorous process. However, many of the articles 283 284 did not mention how the translation was conducted nor indicated if they used a previously validated version of the MBI. Therefore, the 285 team concluded that many of these articles did not meet the crite-286 ria for semantic equivalence. None of the articles met the definition 287 for criterion equivalence, which evaluates if "the interpretation of 288 the measurement of the variable remains the same when compared 289 with the norm for each culture studied" (Flaherty et al., 1988). None 290 of the articles met this criterion because it was not apparent if any 291 of the studies met the norm for the culture being studied. Finally, 2.92 it was nearly impossible to determine how authors of the stud-293 ies addressed conceptual equivalence, which would measure "the 294 same theoretical construct in each culture" (Flaherty et al., 1988). 295

296 2.3. RN4CAST translation approach and cross-cultural evaluation

For this paper, we examined the study's pre-data collection eval-297 uations of the cross-cultural relevance of the translated versions of 298 the MBI-HSS survey questions produced by the RN4CAST country 299 team members. This included eleven European countries with ten 300 languages among them. They included Belgium (Dutch and French), 301 Germany (German), Finland (Finnish), Greece (Greek), Ireland 302 (Irish-English), the Netherlands (Dutch), Poland (Polish), Spain 303 304 (Spanish), Sweden (Swedish), Switzerland (Swiss French, Swiss German, Swiss Italian), and England (British English). For baseline 305

comparison, data from the United States (American English) were also included. At the time the study began, a valid Spanish translation approved by the company that owns the copyright of the MBI-HSS was available so Spain was the only country that used an official translation, and they did not participate in this pre-data collection evaluation exercise.

Prior to beginning the study, the RN4CAST team concluded that it could not use any of the existing versions of the translated instrument. Therefore, with the permission of the company that manages the MBI-HSS, the team conducted its own approach to translating and evaluating the cross-cultural relevance of the instrument. The translation process involved multiple steps and met the requirements for rigorous cross-cultural translation of established instruments, including an evaluation of content, context, conceptual, semantic, and technical equivalence. It involved two phases of reviews that included nurses with extensive experience in their field, the use of experienced translators who used a translation guide to facilitate translation, and a quantification of relevance of survey items to nursing in the country. By evaluating the "relevance" of survey items, we mean that the "expert" nurses reviewing the survey item determine if the question is appropriate for use with the population to be studied and in the case of this study, in the cultural context(s) of the country where is would be applied. We chose nurses as our study experts rather than occupational psychologists or psychiatrists to ensure that the translated instrument was validated by those intimately involved with the population who would be surveyed with the MBI-HSS. Their content and contextual knowledge were essential components of the expertise we sought in "expert" raters. Complete details of the approach to translation are found in Squires et al. (2013).

In order to produce the quantifiable measure of cross-cultural relevance and translation quality, the research team opted to use an approach normally advocated for initial instrument development: Content Validity Indexing (CVI) with corrections for chance agreement. During the CVI process, expert raters provide scored feedback to determine if the question or statement, e.g., in a 306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

A. Squires et al. / Burnout Research xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

survey instrument, is relevant to the population being studied and 342 if the format of the question is appropriate (Polit, Beck, & Owen, 343 2007). This assessment of a question's "relevance" allows an expert 344 to determine the content, context, and conceptual equivalence of 345 a translated survey question through a single rating. The experts 346 used the following standard CVI rating scale to evaluate a ques-347 tion's relevance: 1 = Not relevant; 2 = Somewhat relevant; 3 = Very 3/18 relevant; 4 = Highly relevant. A calculation of chance correction, via 3/10 a modified kappa score, adjusts the CVI score to indicate agreement 350 over and above chance, thereby increasing the rigor of the CVI pro-351 cess (Polit et al., 2007). Only scores of 3 or 4 are included in the 352 calculation process. 353

The CVI cannot evaluate the semantic and technical equivalence 354 of a translated question in its rating process. Therefore, the team 355 added to the CVI process a simple "yes" or "no" rating to evaluate the 356 semantic and technical equivalence of the translations. It was the 357 team's intention to keep this aspect of the rating process as simple 358 as possible to bolster confidence in the cross-cultural translation 359 process. Comments sections for raters allow for recommended cor-360 rections to translations, as needed. 361

Both scoring systems use the same formula for calculating 362 363 item-level and scale-level scores with modified kappa statistics for comparison. For the CVI process, I-CVI represents the item level 364 score where the minimum acceptable result is 0.78 or higher (with-365 out correction for chance agreement among raters) to include an 366 item in a survey. The modified kappa score equivalent to the 0.78 367 CVI score is 0.74, but offers a comparison of scores that would be 368 considered "good" (0.60-0.73) vs. "excellent" (0.74 or higher) (Polit 360 et al., 2007). A modified kappa score of 0.59 or lower would mean 370 the item was not acceptable for inclusion in the survey or that 371 the content or phrasing of the question might need modification 372 (Squires et al., 2013). The scale level CVI score (S-CVI) is an average 373 of all ratings for all items in the instrument. 374

The team then had to agree on how to manage low scores from 375 raters on individual items. Since the MBI-HSS is an established 376 377 instrument, the team could not discard any items from the survey. Therefore, the team agreed to set minimum levels for scores in 378 order to "approve" the translation for use in the larger study. Sur-379 vey questions that received low scores from the raters, a modified 380 kappa score of 0.59 or lower, were identified as potentially prob-381 382 lematic items. Each team would note the potentially problematic items and then work to aggregate the pre-data collection data to 383 modify the translation when needed. In the larger study, results 384 keyed to potentially problematic items receive closer scrutiny for 385 386 trends that might suggest an issue with cross-cultural equivalence.

A total of 120 raters from the twelve countries involved were 387 invited to participate. Expert raters from each country completed 388 the evaluation process through an online survey. Raters were 389 asked to report their educational level and current role: Practi-390 tioner (meaning working in a front line patient care role), educator 391 (involved in health education in a hospital or educational insti-392 tution), or administrator (hospital or other organization). Upon 393 completion of the rating process, the survey company emailed 394 the results to the project manager in a spreadsheet format. Pre-395 programmed formulas in Microsoft Excel calculated the item and 396 survey level data using the CVI with chance correction method 397 described by Polit et al. (2007). 398

399 2.4. Results of the RN4CAST cross-cultural CVI analysis

400A total of 106 raters out of 120 participated in the CVI with the
translation evaluation process and their basic demographics are
found in Table 3. With one exception, Germany (n = 5), each country
had 7 or more raters with a maximum of 11. Germany was only able
to obtain five raters to participate in the process because there was a
significant lack of bilingual German-English speaking nurses in the

Table 3

Expert rater demographics^a (n = 106).

	% of Respondents
Education	
Bachelors	63
Masters	37
Role	
Practitioner	50
Educator	29
Administrator	21

^a Gender identity of raters was not formally collected for this exercise. Almost all of the raters were female, reflective of the gender dominance within the nursing profession globally.

Table 4

Scale level modified kappa scores by country for the MBI-HSS.

Country	k Score
Belgium (Dutch)	0.84
Belgium (French)	0.49
Finland	0.84
Germany	0.91
Greece	0.93
Ireland	0.51
Netherlands	0.77
Poland	0.6
Sweden	0.81
Switzerland (French)	0.55
Switzerland (German)	0.68
Switzerland (Italian)	0.88
United Kingdom	0.57
United States	0.49
Study Average	0.70

country. Complete results of the MBI-HSS CVI rating process with chance correction are found in Table 4, illustrating the S-CVI scores. Generally, the scale-level MBI-HSS scores varied widely and ranged from 0.49 (US and Belgian French) to 0.93 (Germany). Out of the ten languages and their country variants involved in the validation process, half received acceptable scale level scores, while half did not. Fig. 1 illustrates 5 out of 7 items on the MBI-HSS that received extremely low scores by 60% or more of participating countries and these items were identified as likely to produce "problematic" responses in the larger RN4CAST study.

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

Table 5 illustrates the problematic item rating trends across the languages and regions involved in the study using 5 items from Fig. 1. Similar languages are placed side-by-side in the table for comparison. While the sample size limited our ability to test for significant differences between the groups, some trends are evident and are worthy of analysis. To begin, Greece and the Italian region of Switzerland had very high relevance scores with all items on the MBI-HSS, suggesting that the MBI-HSS could be a useful tool in assessing burnout for nurses or that expressing burnout is culturally acceptable in these regions. Some countries that spoke the same language (such as Belgian French and Swiss French) gave very similar individual question scores. Swiss German and German, on the contrary, were exactly opposite in their ratings of all questions. Belgian Dutch and the Netherlands' Dutch were mixed.

3. Discussion

The notable lack of attention paid to translation processes in the studies evaluated for the integrative review and the variability of the results and our own subsequent evaluations suggest that researchers and journal editors should pay more attention to how authors have conducted translation processes for the MBI-HSS. Statistical analyses of survey results to analyze their validity will not capture problems related to translation, which occurs before data collection. Our findings also suggest that outliers in study results

A. Squires et al. / Burnout Research xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

	I feel I treat some patients as if they were impersonal objects.
	Working with people all day is really a strain for me.
	I worry that this job is hardening me emotionally.
	I feel like I'm at the end of my rope.
	I feel patients blame me for some of their problems.
Permiss	sion to reprint questions pending.

Fig. 1. Potentially problematic items, with average modified *k* of 0.60 or lower.

may be the result of an issue with the translation process. Fac-439 tor loading on factor analyses may also deviate and affect subscale 440 composition and thus, the consistency of the scale across contexts 441 and cultures. 442

Some I-CVI scores on the MBI-HSS were lower than anticipated. 443 A possible explanation for the lower MBI-HSS scores, in the case 444 of this paper, concerns whether or not the concept of or language 445 for "burnout" is actually present among the nursing workforce ver-446 nacular in a country. Nurses may very well possess the signs and 447 symptoms of burnout, but they may not yet have a name for what 448 they are experiencing and may only report high levels of emotional 449 450 exhaustion. For some cultures, even the idea of feeling "burnt out" in a job may not align with their cultural norms and values; there-451 fore, nurses and other healthcare workers might ignore or suppress 452 symptoms of burnout. 453

The remainder of the discussion proceeds on a country-by-454 country or language "case" basis. To begin, several explanations 455 might shed light on the results from the English-languages versions 456 rating process. The first concerns the use of American English slang 457 in the MBI-HSS. Comments from the Irish and English raters sug-458 gested that while they understood the intent of the question, the 459 language describing the concept was not expressed in a way that 460 was common in their home country. This underscores the need to 461 cross-culturally validate even English language instruments when 462 used in another country. 463

English speaking countries also scored the MBI-HSS relatively 464 low on the relevance of "burnout" to nursing practice in their home 465 countries. This was a surprising finding for the team. One explana-466 tion for these scores included expert sampling bias, where "experts" 467 worked in organizations with supportive organizational cultures 468 for nursing practice. Therefore, they did not perceive the "burnout" 469 questions as relevant to practice compared to a nurse who might 470 471 work in a less supportive organization. System-wide reforms in both English speaking countries might also contribute to changed 472 perceptions about the relevance of burnout. Language and phrasing 473 474 of the items may also have differed sufficiently for the experts to score the items as less relevant. The number of internationally edu-475 cated nurses in both the UK and Ireland results in a variety of "other 476 Englishes" as well, thereby presenting additional challenges of har-477 monizing English language phrasing between healthcare workers 478 479 from different countries that speak English. Future research may need to better account for issues of rater identity during this pro-480 481 cess.

In the case of Poland, many questions had modified kappa scores 482 below 0.60. Consequently, the RN4CAST team recommended that 483 the Polish team pilot test the entire instrument before using it in 484

the larger study (Brzostek et al., in press). The relative "newness" 04 485 of nursing research in Poland may also explain some of the low CVI scores from that country. The Polish case highlights the importance of a rigorous cross-cultural adaptation process when using the MBI-HSS in a new country.

The breadth of scores in Switzerland is puzzling to the outside researcher. The Swiss team, however, was not surprised by the variation among the scores between the three linguistic regions of the country as they commonly find variations in research results when conducting national workforce studies. The Swiss team, therefore, made note of the potentially problematic items from their rating process (beyond the 7 identified by the entire study). They concluded that if outlier responses in the Swiss burnout results were noted a problem with the contextual applicability of the item might be indicated. The Belgian team adopted a similar tactic with the Belgian French version of the MBI-HSS to address its low score. The findings from both countries suggest that the linguistic expression of burnout has a cultural component that researchers need to anticipate in comparative national studies of healthcare workers and within country comparisons when more than one official language exists. Additional analyses to explore this phenomenon are planned.

3.1. Limitations

With twelve countries and ten languages, limitations for this study relate mostly to the individuals conducting the CVI rating process. Germany, notably, had only five raters, which skewed its results toward higher scores even though comments provided by raters suggested some issues related to the translation of terms found in the seven problematic items. It is possible that with more raters their results may have looked more like the Swiss-German version. Additionally, language abilities and educational levels of the raters varied across the countries and were not always formally verifiable through English language test scores or other measures of language competence. This likely affected scoring processes due to widely varying bilingual capabilities among nurses. The use of only raters with nursing experience may have also biased the results. We recommend that researchers seeking to replicate these methods find a way to account for the linguistic capabilities of their expert raters. For the integrative review, due to our linguistic limitations for the search results and the possibility that a national journal (which might contain a good translation of the MBI-HSS) was not listed in the search databases, we might not have been able to capture all of the available studies.

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

A. Squires et al. / Burnout Research xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

3.2 Conclusions

To the best of our knowledge this is the first time a mixedmethods evaluation of the translatability of the MBI-HSS occurred through a structured research approach. The RN4CAST team plans to conduct additional analyses confirming the validity and reliability of the instrument across the different national contexts. Results of this study have lead us to encourage individual country-level validation processes for research teams seeking to use translated instruments for research projects, even if the country is linguistically similar the instrument's country of origin.

We also note that as health services research spreads across the globe, researchers using survey instruments in their studies should be cautioned to carefully and systematically translate and evaluate the cross cultural relevance of the instrument prior to data collection. Our literature reviews shows that this is often not done. Most survey instruments were developed for use in the country of origin of the researcher who created it. There is no guarantee that the concepts measured by the instrument will apply in the same way in another country and language context, especially when health systems are organized in different ways. Studies that fail to preevaluate the cross-cultural applicability of a survey instrument may not produce reliable and valid results. Using systematic approaches can help to reduce the risk for those kinds of errors.

Funding

This research is funded by the European Union's Seventh Q5 Framework Programme FP7/2007-2013 under grant agreement n° 223468 (W. Sermeus, PI), the National Institute of Nursing Research, National Institutes of Health (P30NR05043 L. Aiken), and by the Spanish Agency Fondo de Investigación Sanitaria under grant agreement PI08/0599 (C. Fuentelsaz-Gallego, PI).

Authors' contribution

AS was the translation project manager for the RN4CAST study who developed and tested the CVI-based cross-cultural adaptation process described in this study. CF and LG conducted the integrative review portion of the study and contributed to formatting and editing this paper. JC was a study coordinator for the US portion of the RN4CAST study and coordinated relationships with the company managing the MBI tools. AM, RS, and MH were study co-leads for their respective countries. PG, RB, MMC, & TB were study PIs for their respective countries. LA & WS lead the RN4Cast Study. Contributing authors actively participated in the CVI data collection process and other aspects of the RN4CAST study.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

References

- Aiken, L. H., Clarke, S. P., Sloane, D. M., & Sochalski, J. (2001). Cause for concern: Nurses' reports of hospital care in five countries. LDI Issue Brief, 6(8), 1-4. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12524707
- Aiken, L. H., Clarke, S. P., Sloane, D. M., Sochalski, J., & Silber, J. H. (2002). Hospital nurse staffing and patient mortality, nurse burnout, and job dissatisfaction. Journal of the American Medical Association, 288(16), 1987–1993. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12387650

Aiken, L. H., Sloane, D. M., Cimiotti, J. P., Clarke, S. P., Flynn, L., Seago, J. A., et al. (2010). Implications of the California nurse staffing mandate for other states. Health Services Research, 45(4), 904-921. Available from: http://www. pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=200&tool=pmcentrez8 rendertype=Abstract 2908

Aiken, L. H., Sermeus, W., Van den Heede, K., Sloane, D. M., Busse, R., McKee, M., et al. (2012). Patient safety, satisfaction, and quality of hospital care: Cross sectional surveys of nurses and patients in 12 countries in Europe

Core meaning for factor tables used with permission of the publisher, Mind Carden, Inc. www.mindgarden.com. MBI-Human Services Survey: Copyright @1981 Christina Maslach & Susan E. Jackson. All rights reserved in all media °N N US (English) Yes å (English) Yes ΝK °N N (English) Ireland Yes ٥N Switzerland (German) Yes å (German) Germany Yes °N N Switzerland (French) Yes °N N (French) Belgium Yes Vetherlands °N N (Dutch) Yes °N N Belgium (Dutch) Yes Potentially problematic items – a comparison between similar languages. ..don't really care ...end of my rope blame me stress impersonal objects.. hardening me ... a strain Country (language Problem item Ouestion

Table 5

8

Please cite this article in press as: Squires, A., et al. Methodological considerations when translating "burnout". Burnout Res (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.burn.2014.07.001

545 546 547

550

551

552

553

520

530

531

532

533

53/

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

558 559

- 560
- 561 562 563 564

565

566

567

568

- 571 572
- 573
- 574 575 576 577 578

584

585

586

587

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652 653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662 663

664

665

666

667 668

669

670

671

672

673

674

ARTICLE IN PRESS

A. Squires et al. / Burnout Research xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

9

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

731

732

733

734

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

749

750

751

752

753

754

755

756

757

758

759

760

and the United States. British Medical Journal, 344, e1717. Available from: http://www.bmj.com/cgi/doi/10.1136/bmj.e1717

Akkuş, Y., Karacan, Y., Göker, H., & Aksu, S. (2010). Determination of burnout levels of nurses working in stem cell transplantation units in Turkey. *Nursing & Health Sciences*, 12(4), 444–449. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21210922

Alameddine, M., Baumann, A., Laporte, A., & Deber, R. (2012). A narrative review on the effect of economic downturns on the nursing labour market: Implications for policy and planning. *Human Resources for Health*, 10(1), 23. Available from: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=285&tool= pmcentrez&rendertype=Abstract 3472

- Balseiro-Almario, L. (2004). El sindrom de fatiga emocional (burnout): Una vieja patologia de enfermería, que debe ser atendida en forma urgente [Burnout: An old nursing pathology that needs to be immediately addressed]. *Enfermería Universitaria*, 1(1), 21–23.
- Balseiro-Almario, L. (2005). El síndrome de burnout: Un riesgo permanente en el trabajo de enfermería [Burnout syndrome: A permanent risk in nursing]. *Enfermería Universitaria*, 2(3), 28–31.
- Brislin, R. W. (1970). Back-translation for cross-cultural research. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 1(3), 185–216. Available from: http://jcc.sagepub.com/content/1/3/185.short 2014
- Cha, E.-S., Kim, K. H., & Erlen, J. A. (2007). Translation of scales in cross-cultural research: Issues and techniques. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, *58*(4), 386–395. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17442038
- Chayu, T., & Kreitler, S. (2011). Burnout in nephrology nurses in Israel. Nephrology Nursing Journal, 38(1), 65–77, quiz 78. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21469556
- Cimiotti, J. P., Aiken, L. H., Sloane, D. M., & Wu, E. S. (2012). Nurse staffing, burnout, and health care-associated infection. *American Journal of Infection Control*, 40(6), 486–490. Available from: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/ articlerender.fcgi?artid=207&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=Abstract 3509
- El-Jardali, F., Alameddine, M., Dumit, N., Dimassi, H., Jamal, D., & Maalouf, S. (2011). Nurses' work environment and intent to leave in Lebanese hospitals: Implications for policy and practice. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 48(2), 204–214. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20932524
- Estabrooks, C. A., Tourangeau, A. E., Humphrey, C. K., Hesketh, K. L., Giovannetti, P., Thomson, D., et al. (2002). Measuring the hospital practice environment: A Canadian context. *Research in Nursing & Health*, 25(4), 256–268. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12124720
- Flaherty, J. A., Gaviria, F. M., Pathak, D., Mitchell, T., Wintrob, R., Richman, J. A., et al. (1988). Developing instruments for cross-cultural psychiatric research. *Journal* of Nervous and Mental Disease, 176(5), 257–263.
- Günüşen, N. P., & Ustün, B. (2010). An RCT of coping and support groups to reduce burnout among nurses. *International Nursing Review*, 57(4), 485–492. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21050201
- Hatcher, S., & Laschinger, H. K. (1996). Staff nurses' perceptions of job empowerment and level of burnout: A test of Kanter's theory of structural power in organizations. Canadian Journal of Nursing Administration, 9(2), 74–94. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8716472
- Hilton, A., & Skrutkowski, M. (2002). Translating instruments into other languages: Development and testing processes. *Cancer Nursing*, 25(1), 1–7. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11838715
- http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11838715 Hsieh, H.-F., & Shannon, S. E. (2005). Three approaches to qualitative content analysis. *Qualitative Health Research*, 15(9), 1277–1288. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16204405
- Hyrkäs, K., Appelqvist-Schmidlechner, K., & Oksa, L. (2003). Validating an instrument for clinical supervision using an expert panel. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 40(6), 619–625. Available from: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0020748903000361
- Im, E.-O., Page, R., Lin, L.-C., Tsai, H.-M., & Cheng, C.-Y. (2004). Rigor in cross-cultural nursing research. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 41(8), 891–899. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15476762
- Jones, P. S., Lee, J. W., Phillips, L. R., Zhang, X. E., & Jaceldo, K. B. (2001). An adaptation of Brislin's translation model for cross-cultural research. *Nursing Research*, *50*(5), 300–304. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11570715
- Laschinger, H. K. S., Grau, A. L., Finegan, J., & Wilk, P. (2010). New graduate nurses' experiences of bullying and burnout in hospital settings. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 66(12), 2732–2742. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20722806
- Leiter, M. P., & Spence Laschinger, H. K. (2006). Relationships of work and practice environment to professional burnout. Nursing Research, 55(2), 137–146. Available from: http://content.wkhealth.com/linkback/ openurl?sid=WKPTLP:landingpage&an=00006199-200603000-00009
- Losa Iglesias, M. E., Becerro de Bengoa Vallejo, R., & Salvadores Fuentes, P. (2010). The relationship between experiential avoidance and burnout syndrome in critical care nurses: A cross-sectional questionnaire survey. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 47(1), 30–37. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19625023
- Maneesriwongul, W., & Dixon, J. K. (2004). Instrument translation process: A methods review. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 48(2), 175–186. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15369498
- Maslach, C., Schaufeli, W. B., & Leiter, M. P. (2001). Job burnout. Annual Review of Psychology, 52, 397–422. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11148311
- McHugh, M. D., Kutney-Lee, A., Cimiotti, J. P., Sloane, D. M., & Aiken, L. H. (2011). Nurses' widespread job dissatisfaction, burnout, and frustration

with health benefits signal problems for patient care. *Health Affairs (Project Hope)*, 30(2), 202–210. Available from: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3201822&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=Abstract

- Patrician, P. A., Shang, J., & Lake, E. T. (2010). Organizational determinants of work outcomes and quality care ratings among Army Medical Department registered nurses. *Research in Nursing & Health*, 33(2), 99–110. Available from: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=846&tool= pmcentrez&rendertype=Abstract 2969
- Poghosyan, L., Clarke, S. P., Finlayson, M., & Aiken, L. H. (2010). Nurse burnout and quality of care: Cross-national investigation in six countries. *Research in Nursing & Health*, 33(4), 288–298. Available from: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=908&tool= pmcentrez&rendertype=Abstract 2908
- Polit, D. F., Beck, T., & Owen, S. V. (2007). Focus on research methods: Is the CVI an acceptable indicator of content validity? Appraisal and Recommendations. *Research in Nursing & Health*, 30, 459–467.
- Pomaki, G., Franche, R.-L., Murray, E., Khushrushahi, N., & Lampinen, T. M. (2012). Workplace-based work disability prevention interventions for workers with common mental health conditions: A review of the literature. *Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation*, 22(2), 182–195. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22038297
- Rafferty, A. M., Clarke, S. P., Coles, J., Ball, J., James, P., McKee, M., et al. (2007). Outcomes of variation in hospital nurse staffing in English hospitals: Cross-sectional analysis of survey data and discharge records. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 44(2), 175–182. Available from: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=580&tool= pmcentrez&rendertype=Abstract 2894
- Santen, S. A., Holt, D. B., Kemp, J. D., & Hemphill, R. R. (2010). Burnout in medical students: Examining the prevalence and associated factors. Southern Medical Journal, 103(8), 758–763. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20622724
- Sermeus, W., Aiken, L. H., Van den Heede, K., Rafferty, A. M., Griffiths, P., Moreno-Casbas, M. T., et al. (2011). Nurse forecasting in Europe (RN4CAST): Rationale, design and methodology. *BMC Nursing*, 10, 6. Available from: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=324&tool= pmcentrez&rendertype=Abstract 3108
- Sheward, L., Hunt, J., Hagen, S., Macleod, M., & Ball, J. (2005). The relationship between UK hospital nurse staffing and emotional exhaustion and job dissatisfaction. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 13(1), 51–60. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15613094
- Sidani, S., Guruge, S., Miranda, J., Ford-Gilboe, M., & Varcoe, C. (2010). Cultural adaptation and translation of measures: An integrated method. *Research in Nursing & Health*, 33(2), 133–143. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20069612
- http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20069612 Spence Laschinger, H. K., & Leiter, M. P. (2006). The impact of nursing work environments on patient safety outcomes: The mediating role of burnout/engagement. Journal of Nursing Administration, 36(5), 259–267. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16705307
- Squires, A. (2008). Language barriers and qualitative nursing research: Methodological considerations. *International Nursing Review*, 55(3), 265–273. Available from: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=452&tool= pmcentrez&rendertype=Abstract 2697
- Squires, A. (2009). Methodological challenges in cross-language qualitative research: A research review. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 46(2), 277–287. Available from: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender. fcgi?artid=094&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=Abstract 2784
- Squires, A., Aiken, L. H., Van den Heede, K., Sermeus, W., Bruyneel, L., Lindqvist, R., et al. (2013). A systematic survey instrument translation process for multi-country, comparative health workforce studies. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 50(2), 264–273. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22445444
- Stansfeld, S. A., Shipley, M. J., Head, J., & Fuhrer, R. (2012). Repeated job strain and the risk of depression: Longitudinal analyses from the Whitehall II study. American Journal of Public Health, 102(12), 2360–2366. Available from: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=314&tool= pmcentrez&rendertype=Abstract 3519
- Stimpfel, A. W., Sloane, D. M., & Aiken, L. H. (2012). The longer the shifts for hospital nurses, the higher the levels of burnout and patient dissatisfaction. *Health Affairs (Project Hope)*, 31(11), 2501–2509. Available from: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=421&tool= pmcentrez&rendertype=Abstract
- Temple, B. (2002). Crossed wires: Interpreters, translators, and bilingual workers in cross-language research. *Qualitative Health Research*, 12(6), 844–854. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12109728
- Temple, B. (2005). Nice and tidy: Translation and represen-Sociological tation. Research Online, 10(2). Available from: http://www.socresonline.org.uk/10/2/temple.html.2012
- Temple, B., & Young, A. (2004). Qualitative research and translation dilemmas. Qualitative Research, 4(2), 161–178. Available from: http://qrj.sagepub.com/content/4/2/161.short 2014
- Tourangeau, A. E., Doran, D. M., McGillis Hall, L., O'Brien Pallas, L., Pringle, D., Tu, J. V., et al. (2007). Impact of hospital nursing care on 30-day mortality for acute medical patients. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 57(1), 32–44. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17184372
- Wang, W.-L., Lee, H.-L., & Fetzer, S. J. (2006). Challenges and strategies of instrument translation. Western Journal of Nursing Research,

10

761

762

ARTICLE IN PRESS

A. Squires et al. / Burnout Research xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

28(3), 310–321. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 16585807

- Weeks, A., Swerissen, H., & Belfrage, J. (2007). Issues, challenges, and solutions in translating study instruments. *Evaluation Review*, *31*(2), 153–165. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17356181
- ⁷⁶⁵ Irolli: http://www.htop.inhthin.gov/pacinted/.rolline
 ⁷⁶⁶ World Health Organization. (2006). Working together for health:
 ⁷⁶⁷ The world health report 2006. Geneva: WHO. Available from:
 ⁷⁶⁸ http://www.who.int/whr/2006/whr06_en.pdf?ua=1

 Yao, Y., Yao, W., Wang, W., Li, H., & Lan, Y. (2013). Investigation of risk factors of psychological acceptance and burnout syndrome among nurses in China. *International Journal of Nursing Practice*, *19*(5), 530–538. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24093745

773 References included in the integrative review

- Ahola, K., et al. (2006). Contribution of burnout to the association between job strain and depression: The health 2000 study. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 48(10), 1023–1030.
- Al-Dubai, S. A. R., & Rampal, K. G. (2010). Prevalence and associated factors of burnout among doctors in Yemen. *Journal of Occupational Health*, 52(1), 58–65.
- Asai, M., et al. (2007). Burnout and psychiatric morbidity among physicians engaged in end-of-life care for cancer patients: A cross-sectional nationwide survey in Japan. *Psycho-Oncology*, 16(5), 421–428.
- Berg, A. M., Hem, E., Lau, B., & Ekeberg, Ø. (2005). An exploration of job stress and health in Norwegian police service: A cross sectional study. *Journal of Occupational Medicine and Toxicology*, *55*(2), 113–120.
 Bressi, C., et al. (2009). Burnout among psychiatrists in Milan: A multicenter survey.
- Bressi, C., et al. (2009). Burnout among psychiatrists in Milan: A multicenter survey.
 Psychiatric Services, 60(7), 985–989.
 Charles W. (2000). Survey and Science and Sc
- Chen, W. S., Haniff, J., Siau, C. S., Seet, W., Loh, S. F., & Abd Jamil, M. H. (2013). Pilot study of the Malay Maslach burnout inventory and Malay work related quality of life scale in Malaysia. *Studies in Asian Social Science*, 20. http://dx.doi.org/10.5430/sass.v1n1
 Córdoba, L., Tamayo, I. A., Gonzálex, M. A., Martínez, M. I., Rosales, A., & Barbato, S.
- Córdoba, L., Tamayo, J. A., Gonzálex, M. A., Martínez, M. I., Rosales, A., & Barbato, S.
 H. (2011). Adaptation and validation of the Maslach Burnout Inventory-Human Services Survey in Cali, Columbia. *Columbia Médica*, 42, 286–293.
- Embriaco, N., et al. (2006). High level of burnout in intensivists. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, 175(7), 686–692.
 Embriaco, N. Description, L. Karthell, P. Statistical, Care Medicine, 175(7), 686–692.
- Embriaco, N., Papazian, L., Kentish-Barnes, N., Pochard, F., & Azoulay, E. (2007).
 Burnout syndrome among critical care healthcare workers. *Current Opinion in Critical Care*, 13(5), 482–488.
- Glasberg, A. L., Eriksson, S., & Norberg, A. (2007). Burnout and 'stress of conscience' among healthcare personnel. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 57(4), 392–403.
 Gobring, C., Collecthi, M. D., William, J. J. J.
- Goehring, G., Gallacchi, M. B., Künzi, B., & Bovier, P. (2005). Psychosocial and professional characteristics of burnout in Swiss primary care practitioners: A cross-sectional survey. *Swiss Medical Weekly*, *135*, 101–108.
 Hu. O., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2009). The factorial validity of the Maslach burnout inven-
 - Hu, Q., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2009). The factorial validity of the Maslach burnout inventory student survey in china. *Psychological Reports*, *105*(2), 394–408.

Iglesias, M. E. L., Vallejo, R. B. B., & Fuentes, P. S. (2010). The relationship between experiential avoidance and burnout syndrome in critical care nurses: A crosssectional questionnaire survey. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 47(1), 30–37.

806

807

808

809

810

811

812

813

814

815

816

817

818

819

820

821

822

823

824

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

835

836

837

838

839

840

841

842

843

844

845

846

847

848

849

850

851

852

853

- Juthberg, C., Eriksson, S., Norberg, A., & Sundin, K. (2010). Perceptions of conscience, stress of conscience and burnout among nursing staff in residential elder care. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 66(8), 1708–1718.
- Kanste, O., Miettunen, J., & Kyngäs, H. (2006). Factor structure of the Maslach burnout inventory among Finnish nursing staff. *Nursing and Health Sciences*, 8(4), 201–207.
 Klarcy C. et al. (2007). Dura and in the state of the
- Klersy, C., et al. (2007). Burnout in health care providers of dialysis service in northern Italy – A multicentre study. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation*, 22(8), 2283–2290.
- Lee, H. F., Chein, T. W., & Yen, M. (2013). Examining factor structure of Maslach burnout inventory among nurses in Taiwan. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 21, 648–656.
- Liakopoulou, M., et al. (2008). Burnout, staff support, and coping in pediatric oncology. *Supportive Care in Cancer*, 16(2), 143–150.
- Luk, A. L., Chan, B. P. S., Cheong, S. W., & Ko, S. K. K. (2010). An exploration of the burnout situation on teachers in two schools in Macau. *Social Indicators Research*, 95(3), 489–502.
- Mészáros, V., Ádám, Sz., Szabó, M., Szigeti, M., & Urbán, R. (2013). The bifactor model of the Maslach Burnout Inventory-Human Services Survey – An alternative measurement model of burnout. Stress Health, 2481. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/smi
- Ndetei, D. M., et al. (2008). Burnout in staff working at the Mathari psychiatric hospital. *African Journal of Psychiatry*, 11(3), 199–203.
- Pisanti, R., Lombardo, C., Lucidi, F., Violani, C., & Lazzai, D. (2012). Psychometric properties of the Maslach burnout inventory for human services among Italian nurses: A test of alternative models. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 69(3), 697–707.
- Schaufeli, W. B., Bakker, A. B., van der Heijden, F. M. M. A., & Prins, J. T. (2009). Workaholism, burnout and well-being among junior doctors: The mediating role of role conflict. *Work and Stress*, 23(2), 155–172.
- Tokuda, Y., Hayano, K., Ozaki, M., Bito, S., Yanai, H., & Koizumi, S. (2008). The interrelationships between working conditions, job satisfaction, burnout and mental health among hospital physicians in Japan: A path analysis. *Industrial Health*, 47, 166–172.
- Unterbrink, T., et al. (2007). Burnout and effort-reward imbalance in a sample of 949 German teachers. *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health*, 80(5), 433-441.
- Van Bogaert, P., Clarke, S., Roelant, E., Meulemans, H., & Van de Hyning, P. (2010). Impacts of unit level nurse practice environment and burnout on nurse-reported outcomes: A multilevel modeling approach. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 19, 1664–1674.
- Waldman, S. V., Diez, J. C. L., Arazi, H. C., Linetzky, B., Guinjoan, S., & Grancelli, H. (2009). Burnout, perceived stress, and depression among cardiology residents in Argentina. Academic Psychiatry, 33(4), 296–301.
- Wu, S., Zhu, W., Wang, Z., Wang, M., & Lan, Y. (2007). Relationship between burnout and occupational stress among nurses in China. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 59(3), 233–239.