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Abstract
The synthesis of two tetrafluorinated 4-aminosugars, 4-amino-2,3,4-trIDEOxy-2,2,3,3-tetrafluoro-D-erythro-hexopyranose hydrochloride (7•HCl) and 4-amino-2,3,4-trIDEOxy-2,2,3,3-tetrafluoro-D-threo-hexopyranose hydrochloride (8•HCl), is described. The amino group in α-position of a CF₂(CF₂) group is proposed as a mimic for the hydrogen bond accepting capacity of an alcohol group in an unfluorinated sugar. The synthesis of the two sugars was achieved in 4 steps each from the sulfinylimine diastereoisomers of D-glyceraldehyde.
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1. Introduction
Fluorination of carbohydrates is a popular strategy to investigate carbohydrate binding epitopes[1]; and enzyme mechanism,[2] or to stabilize glycosidic bonds,[3,4] and indeed a vast number of fluorinated carbohydrates and their glycosides have been synthesized for these purposes.[4] While the replacement of CHOH with CHF (or CF₂) has as main consequence that the hydrogen bond donating capacity at that position is lost, the electronic properties of the remaining hydroxyl groups can also undergo substantial changes. With respect to protein binding, the change in hydrogen bond donating and accepting properties of these alcohol groups could have significant additional effects. While these properties are influenced by the fluorine electronegativity, there are other factors that play a role, such as intramolecular hydrogen bonding of the OH group with the fluorine atom and hyperconjugation effects, both of which depend on relative stereochemistry.[5]

With regard to alcohol hydrogen bond acceptor capacity, it is instructive to compare a relevant parameter, pK_{bHX}, which refers to the equilibrium of the acceptor with a standard hydrogen bond
Clearly, the hydrogen bond acceptor capacity of the alcohol group in trifluoroethanol 2 is reduced compared to that of ethanol 1 to such an extent that it cannot be considered a hydrogen bond acceptor any more (Table 1). A similar decrease is seen by comparing ethylamine 3 and 2,2,2-trifluoroethylamine 4. Nevertheless, the pK_BHX value for 4 is relatively close to that of 1, so it can be proposed that a β-trifluorinated (or difluorinated) amine is a reasonable mimic for a regular alcohol, if hydrogen bond acceptor properties are concerned.

Table 1. Influence of trifluoromethylation on alcohol and amine hydrogen bond acceptor capacity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>pK_{BHX}</th>
<th></th>
<th>pK_{BHX}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH₂CH₂OH (1)</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>CH₂CH₂NH₂ (3)</td>
<td>2.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF₃CH₂OH (2)</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>CF₃CH₂NH₂ (4)</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The design of carbohydrate-based analogues with greater affinity to carbohydrate-processing proteins is of interest for use as probes or therapeutics. We have an interest in investigating polyfluorination of carbohydrates as a strategy for increasing the typically low protein-carbohydrate binding affinities. Polyfluorination introduces a hydrophobic moiety, thus causing beneficial hydrophobic desolvation upon binding, yet the individual polar C–F bonds retain the capacity for attractive interactions with electropositive protein residues. The combination of these effects has been coined “polar hydrophobicity.” In order to retain chiral alcohol groups in the carbohydrate ring, which were deemed important for binding selectivity, we have focused on the synthesis of sugars containing a medium-size hydrophobic moiety such as 2,3-dideoxy-2,2,3,3-tetrafluorinated carbohydrates, including “tetrafluorinated glucose” (2,3-dideoxy-2,2,3,3-tetrafluoro-β-erythro-hexopyranose) 5 (Figure 1) and –galactose (2,3-dideoxy-2,2,3,3-tetrafluoro-β-threo-hexopyranose) 6. It was shown that these structures retain the conventional carbohydrate shape, and 6 was found to be a weak substrate of the enzyme galactose oxidase. A successful inhibitor of the mycobacterial enzyme UDP-Gal mutase, based on a tetrafluorinated galactofuranose sugar, has been recently reported.

Figure 1. Tetrafluorinated sugars with the proposed aminosugar analogues.
With the above discussion in mind, the hydrogen bond acceptor capacity of the 4-OH groups in 5 and 6 will be very low, and hence the corresponding tetrafluorinated aminosugars 7, a glucose analogue, and 8, a galactose analogue, became a focus for their synthesis and investigations. In addition, a further interest in their synthesis stems from the known interesting biological activities of aminosugars and their derivatives,\(^{15}\) with only a small number of fluorinated aminosugar derivatives reported.\(^{16}\) Herein we report the synthesis of 7 and 8.

2. Results and discussion

The synthesis of the 4-aminosugars was envisaged by reaction of the lithiated fluorinated building block B with a chiral glyceraldehyde derived sulfnylimine A. The absolute configuration of the auxiliary was expected to control the configuration of the newly formed chiral centre.\(^{17,18}\) The corresponding reactants 9/10 and 11 are known\(^{18}\) or commercially available. After the addition reaction, diol deprotection and alkene ozonolysis would give the fluorinated aminosugar.

![Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic analysis](attachment:image.png)

The plan benefited from important literature precedence, in that Konno had not only demonstrated that reagent B could be formed and cleanly reacted with electrophiles, but that it also reacted with the sulfnylimine derived from benzaldehyde (a 9:1 diastereomeric ratio was reported).\(^{19}\) The ozonolysis/pyranose ring formation had also been demonstrated in an efficient synthesis of 2,3-dideoxy-2,2,3,3-tetrafluorinated glucose 5 and galactose 6 by the same group.\(^{20}\)

The synthesis of 7 is shown in Scheme 2. Following Konno’s conditions, using the (S)-configured sulfnylimine auxiliary, a 78% yield was achieved for the coupling reaction as a 92:8 mixture of diastereoisomers. However, under these conditions, the limiting reagent is
bromotetrafluorobutene 11, with no less than 2.4 equiv of sulfinylimine used. Given 3 steps are used to obtain the sulfinylimines, we chose to reduce the relative amount of this substrate, in order to increase the isolated quantity of adducts 12/13. Hence, reducing the number of equivalents of 9 to 1.2, a reduced 61% (isolated) yield was obtained for 12/13, in a 4:96 ratio of diastereoisomers, but in a larger absolute quantity than would have been obtained under Konno’s conditions. Interestingly, under these modified conditions the formation of a minor byproduct was observed which, despite isolation in pure form was not possible, could be assigned as 14 (~3%, Scheme 3). The presence of the terminal methyl group and the alkene C–H were clearly observed in the $^1$H NMR spectrum, and the $^3$J$_{HF}$ value of 36.5 Hz indicated a Z-substituted fluoroalkene. The $^{19}$F NMR spectrum showed three resonances, including one geminal CF$_2$ group as obvious from a large coupling constant. This type of byproduct, not reported by Konno, presumably arose from nucleophilic attack of MeLi to the alkene moiety in 12/13 via SN2' fashion as shown.\[21\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Scheme 2. Synthesis of the glucose analogue 7.} \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Scheme 3. Proposed identity and formation of the byproduct 14.} \\
\end{align*}
\]
High-yielding acetonide hydrolysis allowed separation of the diastereoisomers, leading to the desired product as a single diastereoisomer 16 in 88% isolated yield. Ozonolysis and amine auxiliary removal gave the 4-deoxy-4-amino glucose derivative 7 in high yield, as the hydrochloric acid salt. Interestingly, the precipitated salt was obtained as pure α anomer.

The similar synthesis of the corresponding galactose isomer is shown in Scheme 3. Now the (R)-configured sulfonylimine auxiliary is used for the reaction with lithiated 1,1,2,2-tetrafluorobutene, leading to a separable mixture of adducts 18 and 19. The MeLi S-N' adduct was again observed as minor isomer (not shown). Diol deprotection and ozonolysis led to the pyranose 21 in excellent yield. The removal of the auxiliary proved cumbersome, in that for this compound, precipitation as the hydrochloride salt was not possible. This resulted in an incomplete separation from the sulfinate ester byproduct. Any attempt of purification by chromatography proved unsuccessful, leading to a complex mixture. Protection of the 4-amino and 6-hydroxy groups as Cbz allowed the purification but subsequent hydrogenolysis resulted in obtaining a similar complex mixture. As methyl tert-butanesulfinate is somewhat volatile (52 °C/16 torr), purification was attempted by co-evaporating with MeOH carefully keeping the temperature below 40 °C to avoid apparition of impurities. This proved only partially successful, but after dissolving the salt in water, the impurity could largely be removed by extraction with Et₂O. Hence, 8·HCl was obtained in excellent yield.


The relative stereochemistry of the obtained products could be deduced from X-ray crystallographic analysis of 17 (Figure 2). With the S₅-configuration of the auxiliary and the C5 configuration from the starting material retained in the product, the gluco configuration at C4 is
evident, as is the $^4C_1$ conformation. This was also confirmed in solution by $^{13}C$ NMR analysis, in that the $^2J_{C4,F}$ values were 19 Hz for both fluorine atoms (for both anomers), indicating that the electronegative substituent at the 4-position is equatorial.\textsuperscript{22} While we have not been able to crystallise 21, a similar NMR analysis showed $^2J_{C4,F}$ values of around 30 and 19 Hz (for both anomers), indicating an axial electronegative substituent at C4. The $^{13}C$ NMR of the fully deprotected aminosugars 7 and 8 showed similar values.

**Figure 2.** X-ray crystallographic analysis of $\beta$-17.

The $^2J_{C4,F}$ values mentioned above for both the gluco and galacto configured structures also indicated that they existed in the pyranose form in solution. This was unambiguously shown by HMBC analysis of the aminosugars 7 and 8 (see supporting information). Irradiation of the anomeric proton led to a cross peak to C5 (and not to C4, which would represent the possible iminosugar isomer).

Interestingly, 7•HCl solidified as the pure $\alpha$-anomer, though no crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography could be obtained. The anomeric equilibrium in CD$_3$OD consisted of a 75:25 $\alpha/\beta$ mixture of anomers. The anomeric equilibrium for the galacto configured 8•HCl in CD$_3$OD was 54:46 $\alpha/\beta$.

The observed stereochemical outcome of the addition reactions to give 12/13 and 18/19 clearly demonstrated that the absolute configuration of the sulfinylimine auxiliary determined the stereochemical course of the reaction. The formation of the major isomers is consistent with an open transition state as shown in Figure 3 (left).\textsuperscript{23} The difference in stereoselectivity of the addition of 11 to 9 or 10 can be explained by the additional influence of the glyceraldehyde stereogenic centre.
According to the Cornforth-Evans model of stereoselection\cite{24}, (or the polar Felkin Anh model,\cite{25} not shown), the S-glyceraldehyde configuration induces Si-face attack (Figure 3, right). This is also the imine face that the S-configured sulfinylimine auxiliary makes available for reaction, according to the open transition state shown. Hence, in the (S,S) combination (9), both stereoelements lead to a matched stereoinduction, resulting in a 96:4 ratio of products. In contrast, the stereoinduction in the (S,R) combination (10) shows a mismatch, leading to a reduced 88:12 ratio of products.\cite{26}

3. Conclusion

The β,β-difluorinated amino moiety is proposed as a mimic for alcohol groups with regard to hydrogen bond accepting capacity. This led to tetrafluorinated aminosugars 7 and 8 as analogues of interest in the context of our investigations involving polyfluorinated carbohydrates. A short synthesis of these aminosugars is described with the addition of a lithiated tetrafluorobutene building block to a glyceraldehyde sulfinylimine as key step.

4. Experimental

4.1. (2S,3S,S)-1,2-Isopropylidenedioxy-3-(tert-butylsulfinylamino)-4,4,5,5-tetrafluorohept-6-ene (12) and (2S,3R,S)-1,2-isopropylidenedioxy-3-(tert-butylsulfinylamino)-4,4,5,5-tetrafluorohept-6-ene (13)
To a solution of sulfinylimine 9 (2.5 g, 10.7 mmol, 1.2 equiv) in THF (40 mL) at −78 °C was added bromotetrafluorobutene 11 (1.14 mL, 8.93 mmol, 1.0 equiv). After 10 min, MeLi (1.6 M in Et₂O, 13.4 mL, 21.4 mmol, 2.4 equiv) was added dropwise over 30 min and the reaction mixture was stirred for another 1.5 h. The reaction was quenched with saturated NH₄Cl aq. (25 mL), diluted with H₂O (15 mL) and extracted with Et₂O (3 × 75 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo to give a crude mixture of diastereoisomers (dr 97:3). Purification via column chromatography (petroleum ether/EtOAc 60:40 to 50:50) afforded 1.96 g (5.43 mmol, 61%) of a mixture of diastereoisomers 12/13 along with 0.098 g (0.27 mmol, 3%) of 14 as an off-white solid. Rf 0.23 (petroleum ether 40–60 °C/EtOAc 60:40). IR (neat) 3219 (w, br), 2985 (m), 1371 (m), 1112 (s), 1056 (s) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.14–5.80 (m, 4H, H-trans+H-6, major and minor), 5.71 (d, ²JH₂=10.6 Hz, 1H, H-7-cis, major), 5.70 (d, ²JH₂=10.9 Hz, 1H, H-7-cis, minor), 4.61–4.54 (m, 2H, H-2, major and minor), 4.20–4.08 (m, 1H, H-3, major), 4.08–3.99 (m, 3H, H-1, major and H-1a, minor), 3.95 (d, ³JHF=10.2 Hz, 1H, NH, minor), 3.78 (dd, ²JH₂=8.2, ³JHF=6.1 Hz, 1H, H-1b, minor), 3.82–3.70 (m, 1H, H-3, minor), 3.68 (d, ³JHF=5.4 Hz, 1H, NH, major), 1.55 (s, 3H, CH₂tBu, major), 1.45 (s, 3H, CH₃iPr, minor), 1.32 (s, 6H, CH₃iPr, major and minor), 1.24 (s, 9H, CH₃Bu, minor), 1.22 (s, 9H, CH₃Bu, major) ppm. ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 126.4 (t, ²JC₇=24.2 Hz, C-6, minor), 126.0 (t, ²JC₇=24.2 Hz, C-6, major), 124.5 (t, ²JC₇=9.5 Hz, C-7, major), 124.3 (t, ²JC₇=9.5 Hz, C-7, minor), 115.9 (tt, ³JC₇=256.1, ²JC₇=36.6 Hz, CF₂, major), 115.5 (tt, ³JC₇=248.8, ²JC₇=35.1 Hz, CF₂, major), 110.1 (Cq,iPr, minor), 109.7 (Cq,iPr, major), 72.7 (C-2, minor), 72.6 (C-2, major), 66.5 (C-1, minor), 64.6 (d, ³JC₇=4.4 Hz, C-1, major), 58.4 (t, ²JC₇=23.4 Hz, C-3, minor), 57.8 (t, ²JC₇=21.3 Hz, C-3, major), 57.6 (Cq,Bu, minor), 56.7 (Cq,Bu, major), 26.2 (CH₃iPr, minor), 25.8 (CH₃iPr, major), 24.34 (CH₂CH₂CH₂, major), 24.25 (CH₂CH₂CH₂, minor), 22.5 (CH₃Bu, minor), 22.3 (CH₃Bu, major) ppm (2 × CF₂, minor not visible). ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃) δ −109.8 (dd, ²JJF=279.2, J=8.6 Hz, 1F, minor), −118.7 = −112.8 (m, 1F, major), −112.4 −113.5 (m, 1F, major), −113.1 −114.2 (m, 1F, major), −118.7 (ddd, ²JJF=279.4, J=17.2, ³JJF=4.4 Hz, 1F, minor), −120.1 (app. ddt, ²JJF=281.5, J=16.1, 7.5 Hz, 1F, major) ppm (2 × F, minor overlap with major). MS (ESI+) (m/z) 425 (M+Na+MeCN)⁺. HRMS (MS+) for C₂₃H₂₃F₃NaO₃S (M + Na)⁺ calcd 384.1227, found 384.1233.

Selected data for the MeLi Sₙ2⁻ byproduct (2S,3R,S,S,Z)-1,2-Isopropylidenedioxy-3-(tert-butylsulfinylamino)-4,4,5-trifluoroacet-5-ene (14): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.47 (dt, ³JJF,trans=36.5, ³JJF,tet=7.6 Hz, 1H, H-6), 2.28–2.15 (m, 2H, H-7), 1.03 (t, ³JJF=7.5 Hz, 3H, H-8) ppm. ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 16.8 (d, ¹JC₇=4.4 Hz, C-7), 13.1 (s, C-8) ppm. ¹⁹F NMR (376
A sample was purified by HPLC to obtain trans-5,5-tetrafluorohept-6-ene-1,2-diol (15) and trans-5,5-tetrafluorohept-6-ene-1,2-diol (16).

The 4.96 mixture of 12/13 (2.06 g, 5.70 mmol, 1 equiv) was dissolved in MeOH (60 mL). PTSA (196 mg, 1.14 mmol, 0.2 equiv) was added, and the solution stirred for 23 h, and then quenched with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (30 mL). H₂O (30 mL) was added and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 120 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with H₂O (10 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered and concentrated. Purification via column chromatography (petroleum ether/acetone 70:30 to 50:50) afforded 1.607 g (5.00 mmol, 88%) of the pure major diastereoisomer 16 as a yellow syrup. Rf 0.51 (petroleum ether 40-60 °C/acetone 60:40). [α]D₂ +68.3 (c 0.204, CHCl₃, 25 °C). IR (neat) 3362 (m, br), 3243 (m, br), 2962 (m, br). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.12–5.83 (m, 2H, H-66+H-7trans), 5.73 (d, 3JFF=10.6 Hz, 1H, H-7cis), 5.57 (d, 1JHH=9.5 Hz, 1H, NH), 4.83 (d, 1JHH=10.3 Hz, 1H, OH-2), 4.21–4.07 (m, 1H, H-3), 3.48–3.35 (m, 1H, OH-1), 1.27 (s, 9H, CH₃-ibu) ppm. ₁³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 126.3 (t, 2JCF=24.4 Hz, C-6), 124.3 (t, 2JCF=9.5 Hz, C-7), 66.1 (C-2), 65.0 (C-1), 62.8 (t, 2JCF=22.6 Hz, C-3), 56.7 (C-3, ibu), 22.6 (CH₃-ibu) ppm (2 × CF₂ not visible). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ −112.7 (dd, 2JFF=264.0, JHF=11.3 Hz, 1F), −113.9 (dd, 2JFF=264.0, JHF=11.3 Hz, 1F), −118.9 (dd, 2JFF=277.4, JHF=13.0 Hz, 1F), −119.7 (dd, 2JFF=277.4, JHF=15.6 Hz, 1F) ppm. MS (ESI+) (m/z) 385 (M+Na+MeCN). HRMS (MS+) for C₁₁H₁₀F₄NNaO₂S (M + Na)⁺ calcd 344.0914, found 344.0915.

A sample was purified by HPLC to obtain the minor isomer 15 in pure form (hexane/acetone 70:30). Rf 0.31 (petroleum ether 40-60 °C/acetone 60:40). [α]D₂ −0.866 (c 0.289, CHCl₃, 25 °C). IR (neat) 3368 (m), 3280 (m), 1107 (s), 1053 (s), 1036 (s) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.13–5.98 (m, 1H, H-6), 5.94–5.87 (m, 1H, H-7trans), 5.74 (d, 3JFF=10.9 Hz, 1H, H-7cis), 4.36 (d, 3JFF=9.0 Hz, 1H, NH), 4.28 (qd, d=5.8, 2.9 Hz, 1H, H-2), 4.08–3.96 (m, 1H, H-3), 3.71 (dd,
Ozone was bubbled through a solution of 16 (1.60 g, 4.98 mmol) in MeOH (50 mL) until TLC showed complete consumption of the starting material (15 min). O₂ was bubbled through to remove excess ozone (10 min) and then, Me₂S (1.83 mL, 24.9 mmol, 5 equiv) was added and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt and concentrated to afford 1.56 g (4.83 mmol, 97%) of the pure aminosugar derivative 17, which solidified as the pure β-anomer. At equilibrium in CD₂OD, a 60:40 α/β mixture of anomers is obtained. Rᵣ 0.23 (petroleum ether 40-60 °C/acetone 60:40). [α]D +97.6 (c 0.469, CH₃OH, 26 °C, at anomic equilibrium). IR (neat) 3245 (m), 2985 (w), 1303 (m), 1151 (m), 1037 (s) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₂OD) δ 5.23 (dd, ³JCF=7.8, 5.5 Hz, 1H, H-1α), 4.90 (dd, ³JCF=15.5, J₆F=2.9 Hz, 1H, H-1β), 4.29-4.18 (m, 1H, H-5α), 3.98-3.76 (m, 6H, H-4α, H-4β, 2 × H-6α, 2 × H-6β), 3.76-3.69 (m, 1H, H-5β), 1.26 (s, 18H, CH₃) ppm. ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CD₂OD) δ 92.9 (ddd, ³JCF=26.4, ²JCF=19.4, ³JCF=2.6 Hz, C-1β), 92.8 (dd, ²JCF=36.6, ²JCF=26.3 Hz, C-1α), 75.2 (d, J₆F=2.9 Hz, C-5β), 70.6 (d, J₆F=4.4 Hz, C-5α), 61.4 (C-6β), 61.3 (C-6α), 59.2 (t, ²JCF=18.7 Hz, C-4β), 59.0 (t, ²JCF=17.6 Hz, C-4α), 58.6 (2×C₃Sbu), 23.2 (CH₃C₅Sbu), 23.2 (CH₃C₅Sbu) ppm (2 × CF₂, α + β not visible). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CD₂OD) δ −121.3 −122.3 (m, 1F, Fα), −125.2 (ddd, ²J°F=258.4, J=21.7, 15.6, 6.9 Hz, 1F, Fα), −125.9 −126.8 (m, 1F, Fα), −128.2 (dt, ²J°F=259.2, J=16.5 Hz, 1F, Fβ), −129.1 (dq, ²J°F=259.2, J=10.4 Hz, 1F, Fβ), −135.8 (ddd, ²J°F=266.3, J=15.2, 11.7 Hz, 1F, Fα), −138.5 (dt, ²J°F=275.5, 12.6 Hz, 1F, Fβ), −140.8 −141.7 (m, 1F, Fβ) ppm. MS (ESI+) (m/z) 387 (M+Na+MeCN)⁺. HRMS (MS⁺) for C₁₀H₁₇F₃NaO₄S (M + Na)⁺ calc 346.0707, found 346.0706.
A solution of 17 (700 mg, 2.17 mmol, 1 equiv) in MeOH (1.65 mL) and 4M HCl in dioxane (1.1 mL, 4.33 mmol, 2 equiv) was stirred at rt for 1 h then evaporated in vacuo to near dryness. Et₂O (10 mL) was added in order to precipitate the hydrochloride salt and the supernatant was removed. The solid was washed once more with Et₂O (10 mL) then dried under vacuum to yield 525 mg (2.05 mmol, 95%) of the 7•HCl as a white solid consisting only of α-anomer. At equilibrium in CD₃OD, a 75:25 α/β mixture of anomers is obtained. [α]D +52.7 (c 0.430, CH₃OH, 26 °C, at anomeric equilibrium).

IR (neat) 3343 (m, br), 2888 (m, br), 1153 (s), 1111 (s), 1059 (s) cm⁻¹. Data for the α anomer: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 5.33 (dd, JHF = 7.3, 4.3 Hz, 1H, H-1), 4.38 (dt, J = 10.3, 3.5 Hz, 1H, H-5), 3.85 (dd, JHH = 12.5, JHH = 4.3 Hz, 1H, H-6a), 3.80 (dd, JHH = 12.5, JHH = 3.7 Hz, 1H, H-6b) ppm. ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 117.9–108.8 (2 × CF₂), 92.6 (dd, JCF = 35.6, 26.0 Hz, C-1), 67.9 (d, JCF = 2.2 Hz, C-5), 61.7 (C-6a) ppm. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CD₃OD) δ –121.4––122.5 (m, 1F), –124.0––125.8 (m, 2F), –137.1 (dt, JCF = 12.1 Hz, 1F) ppm. Unambiguous resonances for the β anomer: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD) δ –127.8 (t, J = 12.1 Hz, 2F), –139.6 (dt, JCF = 260.1, J = 11.3 Hz, 1F), –140.8 (dd, JCF = 260.1, J = 13.9 Hz, 1F) ppm. MS (ESI⁺) (m/z) 261 (M+H+MeCN)⁺. HRMS (MS⁺) for C₆H₁₀F₄N₃ (M + H)⁺ calcd 220.0591, found 220.0590.

To a solution of sulfinylimine 10 (0.52 g, 2.23 mmol, 1.2 equiv) in THF at –78 °C was added bromotetrafluorobutene (0.236 mL, 1.86 mmol, 1.0 equiv). After 10 min, MeLi (1.6 M in Et₂O, 2.8 mL, 4.46 mmol, 2.4 equiv) was added over 45 min and the reaction mixture was stirred for
another 1.5h. The reaction was quenched with saturated NH₄Cl aq. (10 mL) and extracted with Et₂O (3 × 30 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo to give a crude mixture of diastereoisomers 18 and 19 (dr 88:12). Purification via column chromatography (petroleum ether/EtOAc 75:25) afforded 442 mg (1.22 mmol, 66%) of pure 18 as a white solid. Rₜ 0.29 (petroleum Ether 40-60 °C/EtOAc 70:30). [α]D₂₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋ bụng

13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 136.5 (C₈), 134.7 (CHCF₂), 134.4 (CH₃), 134.2 (CH₃), 133.9 (CH₃), 115.7 (C₆), 71.3 (C-5), 66.2 (C-1), 56.7 (C₈), 56.1 (C₈), 26.2 (CH₃), 25.3 (CH₃), 22.6 (CH₃). 15F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -112.3 (dd, J = 265.3 Hz, J = 11.3 Hz, 1F), -117.2 (dd, J = 277.4 Hz, J = 13.9 Hz, 1F), -118.3 (ddt, J = 277.4 Hz, J = 12.1 Hz, 1F) ppm. MS (ESI+) (m/z) 425 (M+Na+MeCN). HRMS (MS+) for C₄H₃F₃N⁺Na⁺O₂S⁺ (M + Na⁺) calcd 384.1227, found 384.1230.

The minor isomer (2S,3R,R,R)-1,2-Isopropylidenedioxy-3-(tert-butylsulfinylamino)-4,4,5,5-tetrafluorohept-6-ene 19 could be isolated along with the MeLi S₈2⁻ byproduct and some unknown impurity (53 mg, 76:9:15 ratio). Selected characterization data: Rₜ 0.17 (petroleum ether 40-60 °C/EtOAc 70:30). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.14-5.98 (m, 1H, H₆), 5.93-5.85 (m, 1H, H₃), 3.98 (app. t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H, NH), 1.40 (s, 3H, 3×CH₃), 1.24 (s, 9H, 3×CH₃). 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 125.9 (CH₃), 124.9 (CH₃), 115.7 (CH₃), 115.6 (CH₃), 109.0 (C₈), 73.3 (C-2), 64.8 (C-1), 57.5 (CH₃), 57.0 (C₈), 26.0 (CH₃), 22.5 (CH₃). 15F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -111.3 (m, J = 264.9 Hz, 1F), -113.3 (ddt, J = 264.9 Hz, J = 12.1 Hz, 1F), -114.6 (ddt, J = 277.5 Hz, J = 12.2, 5.6 Hz, 1F), -117.4 (ddt, J = 277.5, J = 14.5, 6.1 Hz, 1F) ppm.

Selected data for the MeLi S₈2⁻ byproduct (2S,3S,R,Z)-1,2-Isopropylidenedioxy-3-(tert-butylsulfinylamino)-4,4,5,5-tetrafluorohept-6-ene: 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.42 (dd, J = 35.9, 7.6 Hz, 1H, H₆), 2.28-2.15 (m, 2H, H₇) ppm.
A mixture of sulfinamine 18 (435 mg, 1.20 mmol, 1 equiv) and PTSA (41 mg, 0.24 mmol, 0.2 equiv) in MeOH (10 mL) was stirred for 13.5 h then quenched with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (3 mL). H₂O (12 mL) was added and the mixture was extracted with EtoAc (3 × 30 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with H₂O (10 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered and concentrated to afford 383 mg of the crude product 20. The latter was dissolved in MeOH (15 mL) and ozone was bubbled through the solution until blue colour appeared (15 min). O₂ was bubbled through to remove excess ozone (10 min) and then, Me₂S (0.44 mL, 6.0 mmol, 5 equiv) was added and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt and concentrated. Purification via column chromatography (petroleum ether:acetone 70:30 to 60:40) afforded 348 mg (1.01 mmol, 89%) of the pure aminosugar derivative 21, as a white solid enriched in β-anomer. At equilibrium in both acetone-δ₆ and CD₂OD, a 50:50 α/β mixture of anomers was obtained. Rf 0.19 (petroleum ether 40-60 °C/acetone 60:40). [α]D +20.0 (c 0.627, CH₃OH, 26 °C, at anomeric equilibrium). IR (neat) 3487 (w), 3287 (m), 2975 (w), 1041 (s), 1005 (s) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, acetone-δ₆) δ 5.36 (dd, J=9.3, 6.4 Hz, 1H, H-1α), 5.11 (ddd, J=14.7, 3.9, 0.7 Hz, 1H, H-1β), 4.61–4.53 (m, 1H, H-5α), 4.51–4.41 (m, 2H, NHa, NHβ), 4.35–4.16 (m, 2H, 2×OH-6), 4.16–4.03 (m, 3H, H-4α, H-4β, H-5β), 3.82–3.64 (m, 4H, 2×H-6α, 2×H-6β), 1.26 (s, 9H, tBuβ), 1.25 (s, 9H, tBuα) ppm. ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, acetone-δ₆) δ 93.2 (dd, ²JCF=27.1, 19.8, ³JCF=3.7 Hz, C-1β), 92.7 (dd, ²JCF=36.6, 26.3 Hz, C-1α), 73.6 (d, ²JCF=4.4 Hz, C-5β), 68.4 (d, ²JCF=2.9 Hz, C-5α), 60.5 (C-6α), 60.2 (C-6β), 59.8 (dd, ²JCF=30.7, 19.0 Hz, C-4α), 59.5 (dd, ²JCF=29.3, 17.6 Hz, C-4β), 57.4 (C₅tBuβ), 57.4 (C₅tBuα), 57.4 (CH₃tBuα), 22.8 (6×CH₃tBuα+β) ppm (2×CF₂, α + β not visible). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CD₂OD) δ −116.6 (dddt, ²JFF=260.5, J=15.2, 9.1, 2.2 Hz, Fα), −118.2 (m, ²JFF=261.8 Hz, Fβ), −119.1 (dddt, ²JFF=269.6, J=19.5, 9.5, 9.1 Hz, Fα), −126.3 (m, ²JFF=260.5 Hz, Fα), −128.9 (m, ²JFF=261.8 Hz, Fβ), −134.6 (dddt, ²JFF=269.6, J=16.0, 11.3, 5.2 Hz, Fα), −137.4 (m,
Analytical sample of the pure diol (2S,3S,R,R)-3-(tert-Butylsulfinylamino)-4,4,5,5-tetrafluorohept-6-ene-1,2-diol (20) was obtained by column chromatography (petroleum ether 40-60 °C/acetone 70:30). Rf 0.25 (petroleum ether 40-60 °C/acetone 60:40).

[A solution of sulfinamide (MS+) for C1F), 22 MHz, CD34H, 4.15 (dd, 1H, H-1a), 3.62 (dd, 2JH=10.9, 3JH=8.5 Hz, 1H, H-1b), 3.54 (dd, 2JH=10.9, 3JH=5.9 Hz, 1H, H-1a), 1.28 (s, 9H). 13C NMR (101 MHz, CD3OD) δ 128.5 (t, 2JC=24.2 Hz, C-6), 124.8 (t, 2JC=9.5 Hz, C-7), 118.1 (tt, 2JC=115.8 Hz, C-2), 128.0 (t, 2JC=34.4 Hz, C-2), 68.4 (C-2), 63.2 (C-1), 58.6 (t, 2JC=33.7 Hz, CF2), 117.2 (tt, 1JC=248.8, 2JC=34.4 Hz, CF2), 68.4 (C-2), 63.2 (C-1), 58.6 (t, 2JC=22.7 Hz, C-3), 58.3 (CαBu), 23.1 (CH3αBu) ppm. 19F NMR (282 MHz, CD3OD) δ -122.8 (dd, 2JFF=265.7, 11.8 Hz, 1F), -113.6 (dd, 2JFF=265.7, 10.7 Hz, 1F). -117.2 (dd, 2JFF=274.0, 14.0 Hz, 1F). -119.3 (dd, 2JFF=274.0, 12.9 Hz, 1F) ppm. MS (ESI+) (m/z) 385 (M+Na+MeCN)⁺. HRMS (MS+) for C11H19F4NaO2S (M + Na)⁺ calcd 344.0914, found 344.0909.

4.7 4-Amino-2,3,4-trideoxy-2,2,3,3-tetrafluoro-d-three-hexopyranose hydrochloride (8·HCl)

A solution of sulfinamide 21 (190 mg, 0.588 mmol, 1 equiv) in MeOH (1.2 mL) and 4M HCl in dioxane (0.60 mL, 2.35 mmol, 4 equiv) was stirred at rt for 0.5 h then evaporated in vacuo. The residue was coevaporated with MeOH (10 × 20 mL) then diluted in H2O (15 mL), washed with Et2O (2 × 5 mL) and concentrated to afford 153 mg of the amine hydrochloride 8·HCl along with less than 3% of impurities as a colourless oil. Anomeric ratio at equilibrium in CD3OD: 54:46 α/β. Approximated yield >95%. IR (neat) 3210 (m, br), 2886 (m), 1526 (s), 1029 (s) cm⁻¹. 1H NMR (400MHz, CD3OD) δ 5.39 (dd, J=8.5, 7.1 Hz, 1H, H-1α), 5.12 (dd, J=15.0, 3.1 Hz, 1H, H-1β), 4.66–4.57 (m, 1H, H-5α), 4.34–4.20 (m, 2H, H-4α, H-4β), 4.19–4.10 (m, 1H, H-5β), 3.96–3.71 ppm (m, 4H, 2 × H-6α, 2 × H-6β) ppm. 13C NMR (101 MHz, CD3OD) δ 117.5–108.7 (2 × CF2, α+β), 93.5 (ddd, 2JCF=26.4, 19.0, 3JCF=3.4 Hz, C-1β), 92.9 (dd, 2JCF=36.7, 24.9 Hz, C-
Supporting information General information, copies of \( ^1\)H, \( ^13\)C, and \( ^19\)F NMR spectra of all compounds, and HMBC spectra of 7 and 8.
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1a), 72.0 (d, \( J_{CF}=4.4\) Hz, C-5\( \beta \)), 66.7 (d, \( J_{CF}=3.7\) Hz, C-5\( \alpha \)), 60.9 (C-6\( \alpha \)), 60.7 (C-6\( \beta \)), 54.3 (dd, \( J_{CF}=33.4\), 19.4 Hz, C-4\( \alpha \)), 53.9 (dd, \( J_{CF}=32.6, 19.4\) Hz, C-4\( \beta \)) ppm. \( ^19\)F NMR (376 MHz, CD\(_3\)OD) \( \delta -116.9 \) (app. ddt, \( J_{FF}=274.0, J=15.9, 9.2\) Hz, Fa), \(-118.1 -- -118.9\) (m, F\( \beta \)), \(-119.5\) (ddt, \( J_{FF}=273.8, J_{PF}=17.5, J_{HF}=8.7\) Hz, Fa), \(-125.3 -- -126.3\) (m, Fa), \(-127.8 -- -128.7\) (m, F\( \beta \)), \(-136.0\) (dddd, \( J_{FF}=273.8, J_{PF}=16.2, J_{HF}=10.3, J_{HF}=4.2\) Hz, Fa), \(-137.9\) (app. ddd, \( J_{FF}=267.5, J=15.4, 6.6\) Hz, F\( \beta \)), \(-139.2\) (m, \( J_{FF}=267.5\) Hz, F\( \beta \)) ppm. \( ^1\)H \( ^19\)F NMR (376 MHz, CD\(_3\)OD) \( \delta -116.8 \) (dd, \( J_{FF}=274.0, J_{PF}=16.1, J_{PF}=8.6\) Hz, Fa), \(-118.5\) (dddd, \( J_{FF}=275.0, J_{PF}=13.4, J_{PF}=6.4\) Hz, F\( \beta \)), \(-119.4\) (dddd, \( J_{FF}=273.9, J_{PF}=17.3, J_{PF}=8.5\) Hz, Fa), \(-125.8\) (dddd, \( J_{FF}=274.0, J_{PF}=17.3, J_{PF}=10.3\) Hz, Fa), \(-128.3\) (dddd, \( J_{FF}=275.2, J_{PF}=15.6, J_{PF}=10.5\) Hz, F\( \beta \)), \(-136.0\) (dddd, \( J_{FF}=273.8, J_{PF}=16.1, J_{PF}=10.3\) Hz, Fa), \(-138.3 -- -137.5\) (m, F\( \beta \)), \(-139.2\) (dddd, \( J_{FF}=267.5, J_{PF}=13.4, J_{PF}=10.5\) Hz, F\( \beta \)) ppm. MS (ESI+) (m/z) 220 (M+H\(^+\)). HRMS (MS+) for \( C_{6}H_{6}F_{2}N_{3}O_{3} \) (M + H\(^+\))\(^{+}\) calcd 220.0591, found 220.0596.
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Other recent examples of double diastereodifferentiation, see refs 17 and 18.