Inverse scattering designs of dispersion-engineered planar waveguides

Alexander R. May,* Francesco Poletti, and Michalis N. Zervas
Optoelectronics Research Centre, University of Southampton, Southampton, SO17 1BJ, UK
arm103@orc.soton.ac.uk

Abstract: We have introduced a semi-analytical IS technique suitable for multipole, rational function reflection coefficients, and used it for the design of dispersion-engineered planar waveguides. The technique is used to derive extensive dispersion maps, including higher dispersion coefficients, corresponding to three-, five- and seven-pole reflection coefficients. It is shown that common features of dispersion-engineered waveguides such as refractive-index trenches, rings and oscillations come naturally from this approach when the magnitude of leaky poles in increased. Increasing the number of poles is shown to offer a small but measureable change in higher order dispersion with designs dominated by a three pole design with a leaky pole pair of the smallest modulus.
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1. Introduction

Optical waveguides, in addition to controlling the propagation losses through total internal reflections and efficient power confinement in the core, offer the unique ability to control the group velocity of the propagating light. These two main attributes have rendered optical waveguides indispensable parts in any advanced optical system. So far, the largest control and highest performance has been achieved with optical fibers. Tailoring the core shape has been used to control both modality and group velocity dispersion in optical fibers [1].

While the control of dispersion in optical fibers is usually associated with dispersion compensation in optical communications networks [2] there has also been increasing interest in its control for the purposes of harnessing and optimizing nonlinear optical effects. Parametric processes [3] and supercontinuum generation [4] rely upon tailoring the dispersion profile of the fiber to enhance energy transfer in certain spectral regions. Therefore, significant effort has been put over the last decade to develop technologies to fine control waveguide dispersion [5].

Silica-based highly nonlinear fibers (HNLF) feature very low attenuation characteristics and so by using long lengths of these fibers a large nonlinear effect can be realized. Small mode effective areas and thereby large nonlinearity are produced by increasing the refractive index (RI) difference between the core and the cladding which enhances the confinement of the light. This may be achieved by utilizing a highly germanium-doped core and a fluorine-doped cladding. In addition to creating a small mode effective area, nonlinear processes such as four-wave mixing (FWM) require the pump wavelength to coincide with the zero-dispersion wavelength of the fiber. Further control of the dispersion slope is advantageous in controlling dispersion and increasing operating bandwidth. An example of a HNLF with a zero-dispersion wavelength near 1550nm, a mode field diameter (MFD) of 4.3μm and a low dispersion slope of 0.0032ps/nm²/km was realized through the use of a W-shape RI profile [6]. The index and thickness of these RI features determines the rate as a function of wavelength at which the mode transitions from the core to the ring. It is this, as well as, the average RI over which the mode extends that controls the propagation constant and its derivatives and thereby the dispersion properties of the fiber.

Control of dispersion has been achieved by modifying the inner-core shape and adding features, such as rings and trenches into the overall core design [1,7]. While the dispersion-engineering of fibers is typically approached through a trial and error method and parametric study, a powerful method for designing dispersion-engineered devices is inverse scattering (IS). Such methods have been used extensively to design fiber Bragg gratings with prescribed
dispersion characteristics [8–10]. These methods have provided non-intuitive designs with advanced performance [11].

Also authors have in the past studied the design of planar waveguides, as well as, fibers from the IS point of view [12–17]. In these works, the modal properties of the waveguide such as a prescribed mode-profile [15], or the number of propagating modes [14] has been considered and specified at the start and through the inverse design process the waveguide with these properties is obtained. In particular, in the latter work the starting point of a truncated reflectionless potential was used. However, in each case, waveguide dispersion has not been considered from the point of view of the selection of (a variable number of) leaky poles and their approximation to the associated radiation modes. In our work, a transverse reflection coefficient of the structure is defined and the guiding properties of the waveguides are defined by the positions of reflection coefficient poles on the complex plane, representing guided and leaky modes of the waveguide under consideration. Similar IS techniques have also been used for the determination of the ionosphere characteristics from reflection data [18,19].

In this paper, we extend IS techniques, used in the ionosphere characterization, for the design of optical planar waveguides and study their dispersion characteristics. To our knowledge this is the first time that the connection between a transverse reflection coefficient and waveguide dispersion has been investigated. New designs are obtained with RI features which are generalizations to the ones considered previously. As a starting point to a more general analysis with fibers, we describe the dispersion characteristics of IS designed planar waveguides. We begin with an overview of IS theory before considering design cases for which exact solutions exist which have previously been discussed in the literature, before extending this to a set of new cases. We then show that typical dispersion-engineered waveguide features such as rings and trenches come naturally from this theory. We finally discuss what benefits the new extended cases bring to the literature.

2. Inverse Scattering Theory

If we consider a planar optical waveguide with a varying RI $n(x)$ surrounded by two cladding layers of constant index $n_2$ as shown in Fig. 1, the supported TE modes are of the form:

$$E_y(x, z, t) = E_{y0}(x, k_0) \exp(i \beta z) \exp(-i \omega t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $z$ is the direction of propagation, $\omega$ is the angular frequency, $\beta$ is the propagation constant along the $z$-axis and $k_0$ is the free-space wave number. In this case, the vector wave equations reduce to:

$$\frac{d^2 E}{dx^2} + \left[k_0^2 n(x)^2 - \beta^2 \right] E_y = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

which can be rewritten as a Schrodinger equations:

$$\frac{d^2 E_y}{dx^2} + \left[k^2 - q(x) \right] E_y = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where

$$k^2 = k_0^2 n_2^2 - \beta^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

is the transverse propagation constant and the potential $q(x)$ is of the form:

$$q(x) = k_0^2 \left[ n_2^2 - n(x)^2 \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)
The waveguide can also be considered as a general scatterer, which under plane-wave normal incidence gives a reflection coefficient $r(k)$ (see Fig. 1). The RI profile $n(x)$ of the optical waveguide can then be determined from its transverse reflection coefficient $r(k)$ through the solution of the Gel'fand-Levitan-Marchenko (GLM) integral equation [20] for the unknown kernel $K(x,t)$ [13]:

$$R(x + t) + K(x,t) + \int_{-t}^{t} K(x,y)R(x + y)dy = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $x \geq |t|$, and the reflected transient $R(t)$ is given as [13]:

$$R(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int r(k) \exp(-ikt)dk - i \sum_{p=1}^{n} r_p \exp(-ik_p t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

The transverse reflection coefficient is obtained by considering a plane wave of propagation constant $k$ impinging on the planar waveguide at normal incidence. In the GLM formulation, the reflection coefficient $r(k)$ is considered to be a rational function, of which poles $k_p$ on the positive imaginary axis correspond to guided modes with corresponding residues $r_p$. Complex poles, on the other hand, correspond to leaky modes. In this case, the integral in Eq. (7) corresponds to the continuous spectrum of radiation modes, while the sum to the discrete set of guided modes [14,21]. The kernel $K(x,t)$ can be obtained by solving Eq. (6), and the potential is then derived from the relation [13]:

$$q(x) = 2 \frac{dK(x,x)}{dx}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Finally, the refractive index profile is obtained from:

$$n(x) = \sqrt{n_0^2 - \frac{q(x)}{k_0^2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

The profile is defined at the wavelength of interest $\lambda_0$ through $k_0 = 2\pi/\lambda_0$.

A general reflection coefficient can be approximated by rational functions of different degree [19]. The three-pole case is amenable to analytic solutions and has been studied extensively in the past in the context of ionospheric simulations [17] and waveguide modality [12,13]. So far, waveguide examples are based upon either the GLM procedure or the application of the Crum-Krein or Darboux transformations [22] to reflectionless potentials. Here we focus on the GLM technique where we note that to date the majority of waveguide examples are based upon a rational three-pole formulation. While numerical GLM techniques exist for non-rational reflection coefficients, these methods bring with it the possibility of roundoff errors and instabilities [23] and there is therefore an advantage in solving the GLM...
equation exactly using a generalization of the seminal work of Kay [20]. In addition, the complexity of the solutions, however, increases quickly with the number of poles and in view of Galois’ proof that 5th and higher-order polynomial equations are insoluble by radicals, the previous analytic solutions cannot be implemented. In this case, the semi-analytical numerical technique, described by Pechenick in the context of ionospheric reflection data inversion [23], provides a powerful alternative. We have used this general technique throughout our study. It is worth noting that this is, to our knowledge, the first time this technique has been applied to waveguiding structures and the lack of significant development of the inversion of potentials with bound states is acknowledged in the work of Ge et al. [24].

3. Designs using rational reflection coefficients

We begin our study by considering first the simplest case of three-pole reflection coefficients and then proceed by progressively increasing the number of poles to five and seven.

3.1 Three-pole reflection coefficients

First we consider waveguide designs associated with the three-pole reflection coefficients:

$$r(k) = \frac{k_1 k_2 k_3}{(k-k_1)(k-k_2)(k-k_3)}$$

with poles $k_1$, $k_2$ and $k_3$ given by:

$$k_1 = -c_1 - ic_2; \quad k_2 = c_1 - ic_2; \quad k_3 = +ia$$

for which $c_1, c_2, a \in \mathbb{R}^+$. The choice of poles $k_1$, $k_2$ and $k_3$ controls the shape and dispersion of reflection coefficient of the scattering layered medium and is expected to define the dispersion of the resulting waveguide. Pole $k_3$ corresponds to the propagation constant of the fundamental guided mode through $\beta = \sqrt{k_0^2 n_0^2 + a^2}$. Poles $k_1$ and $k_2$, on the other hand, result in leaky modes, which are necessary for the full description of the waveguide. Poles $k_1$ and $k_2$ are hereafter referred to as “leaky poles”.

In order for a solution to exist, the reflection coefficient must obey a set of conditions [25], which are indeed satisfied by the general forms given in Eq. (11). However, it is necessary to restrict the position of the poles in the complex plane in order to satisfy energy conservation, $|r(k)| \leq 1$, for all real $k$. Previous authors [12,13] have satisfied this requirement by considering the discriminant of a conservation-of-energy condition to be positive, thus giving the allowed regions A and B shown in Fig. 2. Region A is bounded above by the line $c_2 = 0.5$ and below by the lemniscate of Bernoulli [25]. Region B is bounded below by $c_2 = 0.5$, but it is unbounded above.
In order to generalize this procedure to higher numbers of poles, we adopt a different approach by using Sturm’s Theorem [26] from which we are able to determine whether the conservation-of-energy condition is satisfied or not (see Appendix A for details). The conjugate symmetric leaky poles $k_1, k_2$ may be placed anywhere in Region A or Region B but must not be placed at the origin as this would result in the trivial reflection coefficient $r(k) = 0$. The study of the dispersive properties of the designed waveguides was restricted in a region defined by $c_1 = 0.1, c_2 = 0.1$ as the inner limit and $c_1 = 4, c_2 = 4$ as the outer limit. In all subsequent calculations we assume cladding RI $n_2 = 1.444$, operating wavelength $\lambda = 1.55 \mu m$ and guided mode pole $|k_3| = 1 \mu m^{-1}$.

Figure 3(a) shows two representative waveguide RI distributions, obtained by inverse scattering the three-pole reflection coefficient with $(c_1 = 0.1, c_2 = 0.1 – \text{design#1})$ and $(c_1 = 0.85, c_2 = 0.4999 – \text{design#2})$, using the semi-analytical technique of Pechenick [23]. Design#2 is also compared with the one derived by Lakshmanasamy and Jordan previously using an analytical technique [12], showing an excellent agreement. Figure 3(b) shows the variation of the effective index ($n_{\text{eff}} = \beta/k_0$) as function of $k_0 = 2\pi/\lambda$ for guided modes TE$_0$ and TE$_1$, for the two designs. It must be noted that for each design the TE$_0$ mode effective index at the design wavelength $\lambda = 1.55 \mu m$ ($k_0 = 4.05 \mu m^{-1}$) is $n_{\text{eff}} = 1.4649$, as predicted by Eq. (4) with guided mode pole $|k_3| = 1 \mu m^{-1}$. It can be seen that designs with small leaky poles (design#1) resemble more closely a simple quasi-parabolic design. On the other hand, designs with larger conjugate symmetric poles (leaky poles), like design#2, result in features loosely resembling a fiber W-type RI profile and is associated with larger dispersion, as evidenced by the larger slopes of the associated $n_{\text{eff}}$-vs-$k_0$ curve in Fig. 3(b). In addition, design#2 shows single-mode operation over a much wider range of wavelengths.
Fig. 3. (a) RI profiles with three-pole rational reflection coefficient designs in region A with $c_1 = 0.1$, $c_2 = 0.1$ (design#1) and $c_1 = 0.85$, $c_2 = 0.499$ (design#2). The exact design#2 obtained by Lakshmanasamy and Jordan [12] (dotted green curve) is also shown for comparison. (b) effective index variation with $k_0$ for design#1 and #2 (the design point is demarcated by the dashed lines).

So far, in the literature inverse-scattering waveguide designs have been limited to region A [13]. In this work, in order to evaluate the effect of the leaky poles thoroughly, we have studied direct scattered designs, located in both Region A and B, to determine the waveguide dispersion and dispersion slope. The results are summarized in Fig. 4.

![Fig. 4. Waveguide dispersion $D_2$ (in ps/nm/km), dispersion slope $D_3$ (in ps/nm$^2$/km), and dispersion curvature $D_4$ (in ps/nm$^3$/km) as a function of leaky pole positions. (designs #1 to #5 are designated by yellow dots).](image)

The second order dispersion coefficient $D_2$ is defined in terms of the mode effective index $n_{\text{eff}} = \beta/k_0$ as:
The higher order dispersion coefficients $D_n$ is given by:

$$D_n = \frac{\lambda^2}{c} \frac{d^2 n_{\text{eff}}}{d\lambda^2}, \quad n > 2$$

(12)

$D_3$ and $D_4$ are also known as dispersion slope and dispersion curvature expressed in ps/nm$^2$/km and ps/nm$^3$/km, respectively. The dispersion map in Fig. 4 can be used to provide the appropriate $c_1$ and $c_2$ values for a target $D_2$, $D_3$ and $D_4$ combination.

It can be seen that as previously surmised leakier poles (i.e. larger $c_1$ and $c_2$) lead to waveguides with higher waveguide dispersion. In particular, moving out of region A (bottom-left corner of allowed region in Fig. 4) into region B ($c_2 > 0.5$) the waveguide dispersion increases from $< 50$ps/nm/km to $> 400$ps/nm/km with more positive dispersion slopes and more negative dispersion curvature. In particular there appears a region close to the edge of the considered region B, on the lower-right hand side, where the largest dispersions are observed.

We also note that designs exist for which the dispersion is constant, and the dispersion slope and dispersion curvature differ. As an example, Fig. 5(a) shows waveguide designs for which $D_2 = -215$ps/nm/km is constant and $D_3$ is 0.1ps/nm$^2$/km (design#3), 0.2ps/nm$^2$/km (design#4), and 0.3ps/nm$^2$/km (design#5). The corresponding parameters ($c_1, c_2$) are (2.0588, 0.6541-design#3), (2.5014, 1.0885-design#4), and (3.5810, 2.1803-design#5). Designs #1 to #5 are designated by yellow dots in Fig. 4.

![Fig. 5. (a) Waveguide designs and (b) corresponding TE$_0$ normalized electric field profiles with $D_2 = -215$ps/nm/km and $D_3$ = 0.1ps/nm$^2$/km (design#3), 0.2ps/nm$^2$/km (design#4) and 0.3ps/nm$^2$/km (design#5).](image)

We observe from Fig. 5(a) that, for a constant dispersion, increasing the magnitude of the dispersion slope causes the RI profile to narrow and steepen. It is particularly interesting to note that the design with the smallest dispersion slope contains significant trench and ring features, as well as oscillations, which result in substantial dispersion flattening. It should be mentioned that the inversed-scattered profiles can be considered as generalizations of commonly used triple-cladding dispersion compensating fibers. It is well known that for a fixed phase velocity changes in the electric-field/RI overlap are associated with changes in group velocity through the integrals of the scalar approximation method [27]. This is demonstrated in Fig. 5(b) where the electric field of design#3 varies noticeably, when compared with design #5, in accordance with the RI distribution which results in substantial dispersion slope reduction.
In order to further explore the significance of the leaky pole positions on the IS waveguide designs, we have considered separately the effect of their modulus \( R = |k_1| = |k_2| \) and their real part magnitude \( c_1 \). Figures 6(a) and 6(b) plot the RI modulation profiles for \( R = 3 \) and 4, respectively. This demonstrates that while an increase in leaky pole modulus does increase the dispersion, through a narrowing and steepening of the design, it is the increase of parameter \( c_1 \) that causes the development of strong RI modulation and larger dispersion.

The dispersion curves of the TE0 and TE1 modes for the designs shown in Fig. 6 are plotted in Fig. 7. In addition to higher dispersion, manifested by the increased slope of the dispersion curves at the design wavelength (indicated by dotted lines), the designs with the largest \( c_1 \) parameter show wider single mode operation bandwidth (marked by the TE1 cut-off point). Compared with the low dispersion design#1 in Fig. 3, these high dispersion designs show about three time wider single-mode operation bandwidths. This is because of the presence of the RI depression adjacent to the main RI lobe.

Figure 8(a) shows the effect of \( c_1 \) on the waveguide RI profile, for constant \( c_2 \). It demonstrates that increasing the \( c_1 \) parameter introduces strong RI oscillations with varying period. This also increases the waveguide dispersion as evidenced from the increased slope of the dispersion curves shown in Fig. 8(b).
In addition to dispersion, RI modulation affects the guided mode field distribution (see Fig. 5(b)), and therefore, the effective mode area. Effective mode area is another important parameter since it defines the strength on waveguide nonlinear effects and the losses between different waveguide structures. The fundamental TE\(_0\) mode effective mode area is calculated by 

\[
A_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\int |E_y|^2 dA}{\int |E_y|^4 dA}
\]

and is plotted over the entire (c\(_1\),c\(_2\)) parameter space in Fig. 9. It is clearly shown that high dispersion is associated with smaller effective mode areas. The low dispersion designs, located close to the origin within region A, show the largest effective mode areas (of order of 2.8\(\mu\)m\(^2\)), while the most dispersive designs, located close to region B lower boundary, show effective mode areas of the order of 1.8\(\mu\)m\(^2\). Such inter-dependence has also been observed in highly dispersive fibers [2,7].

3.2 Five- & Seven-pole reflection coefficients

We have extended the waveguide IS designs to rational reflection coefficients with five poles of the form:

\[
r(k) = \frac{k_1 k_2 k_3 k_4 k_5}{(k-k_1)(k-k_2)(k-k_3)(k-k_4)(k-k_5)}
\]  

(14)

with poles \(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4, k_5\) for which \(c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2, a \in \mathbb{R}^+\), and
\[ k_1 = -c_1 - ic_2, \quad k_2 = c_1 - ic_2; \quad k_3 = -d_1 - id_2, \quad k_4 = d_1 - id_2; \quad k_5 = +ia \] (15)

It is once again possible to use the semi-analytical IS numerical technique [21] to solve for waveguide designs. This is now a multi-dimensional problem and we only consider specific cases to demonstrate the effect of extra leaky poles.

We first fix two of the leaky poles to \( k_{1,2} = \pm 0.85 - i0.4999 \) and the guided mode pole to \( |k_5| = 1 \mu m^{-1} \); as per the leakiest case for three poles in region A considered previously (design#2 in Fig. 3(a) with \( D_2 = -72 ps/nm/km, \ D_3 = 0.0042 ps/nm^2/km \) & \( D_4 = 0.000049 ps/nm^3/km \)). Using Sturm’s Theorem we obtain in Fig. 10 the allowable domain and dispersion contours of \( d_1 \) and \( d_2 \), defining the positions of the other two leaky poles \( k_3 \) and \( k_4 \).

In this case, the allowable domain is totally different to the three-pole case shown in Fig. 4. We notice that in this case the introduction of two extra leaky poles does not change dramatically the waveguide dispersion. Actually, the dispersion for these designs has been limited in magnitude by the size of the initial leaky poles \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) to a value of the order of \( D_2 = -72 ps/nm/km \).

Figure 10(b) shows the allowable region and dispersion map with varying \( d_1, d_2 \) when the fixed leaky pole position is moved into region B to \( (c_1,c_2) = (1.7,1) \). In the three-pole case (see Fig. 4 red cross) this corresponds to \( D_2 = -145 ps/nm/km, \ D_3 = 0.08/nm^2/km \) & \( D_4 = 0.00010/nm^3/km \). The allowable \( (d_1,d_2) \) region in this case resembles the three-pole one. Once again, though, the obtained waveguide dispersion shows a limited variation around the three-pole values. From the two examples shown in Fig. 10, we deduce that the addition of two extra leaky poles provides very similar results for dispersion tuning as that around the values achieved by the corresponding three-pole case. To demonstrate this, consider a design in Fig. 10 (b) with identical waveguide dispersion and dispersion curvature to that of the three-pole case above, which we also denote by a red cross. We then find that the waveguide dispersion, slope and curvature here are all very similar to those in the existing three-pole case.

To explore this even further, we may choose a three-pole case and a five-pole case indicated by the white crosses in Fig. 4 and Fig. 10(b) respectively, both with waveguide dispersion \( D_2 = -261 ps/nm/km \) and dispersion slope \( D_3 = 0.13 ps/nm^2/km \) but ever so slightly differing dispersion curvature \( D_4 \) of \( 4.41 \times 10^{-4} ps/nm^3/km \) & \( 4.29 \times 10^{-4} ps/nm^3/km \), respectively. The designs are obtained with \( (c_1,c_2) = (2.2775,0.5269) \) and \( (c_1,c_2,d_1,d_2) = (1.7,1.3,18,0.22) \), respectively, and the resulting RI distribution is shown in Fig. 11. The two designs show the same qualitative features, and the small difference in \( D_4 \) is achieved by

\[ \lambda = 1.55 \mu m \]
slightly changing the size and periodicity of the RI undulations. These subtle differences are
difficult to be captured by traditional iterative solutions, but result naturally by the IS
technique.

Fig. 11. Three-pole \((c_1,c_2) = (2.2775,0.52692)\) and five-pole \((c_1,c_2,d_1,d_2) = (1.7,1,3.18,0.22)\)
designs with identical \(D_2 = -261\) ps/nm/km, \(D_3 = 0.130\) ps/nm³/km but differing \(D_4\)
\((4.41 \times 10^{-4}\) ps/nm³/km & \(4.29 \times 10^{-4}\) ps/nm³/km). (designs correspond to the ‘white crosses’ in
Fig. 4. and Fig. 10 (b)).

We have also extended even further the waveguide IS designs to rational reflection
coefficients with seven poles, by adding two extra leaky poles \(k_{5,6} = \pm c_1 e^{i e_2}\). In Fig. 12 we
plot the allowable \((e_1,e_2)\) space and resulting dispersion map, using the existing five-pole
design point \((c_1,c_2,d_1,d_2) = (1.7,1,3.18,0.22)\), denoted once again by the red cross in Fig. 10(b)
and guided pole \(k_7 = + i 1 \mu\text{m}^{-1}\).

Fig. 12. Seven-pole \((c_1,e_2)\) allowable region and dispersion map, with
fixed \((c_1,c_2,d_1,d_2) = (1.7,1,3.18,0.22)\).

We see once again that the design with identical waveguide dispersion and slope to the
five-pole design denoted by the red cross has a very similar curvature. It appears that in each
case the addition of larger number of poles brings a small but measureable difference in the
control of higher order dispersions about a three-pole design corresponding to the leaky pole pair with smallest modulus. This small change is represented by the higher complexity of the refractive index profiles.

4. Summary - Conclusions

In summary we have introduced a semi-analytical IS technique suitable for multipole, rational function reflection coefficients, and used it for the design of dispersion-engineered planar waveguides. The method is exact and stable and compared to other numerical methods it is shown not to introduce roundoff errors and instabilities [23]. Previous works [12,13] have considered a three-pole reflection coefficient with a variable location of two conjugate symmetric leaky poles in the lower half of the k plane in order to obtain a waveguide design with a twofold larger core width than typically obtained by direct scattering techniques. However, the effect and relationship between the leaky pole positions and waveguide dispersion has not been considered to date. In addition, previous authors have not considered the inverse scattering of waveguides for rational reflection coefficients with more than three poles. We have shown that the addition of a larger number of poles, results in different ‘pole allowable regions’ and through the use of causality arguments in Appendix A we have developed a method to define these allowable regions. The technique is therefore used to derive extensive dispersion maps, including higher dispersion coefficients, corresponding to three-, five- and seven-pole reflection coefficients. The dispersion maps are obtained by varying systematically the pole positions within derived allowable regions. It is shown that common features of dispersion-controlled waveguides such as RI trenches, rings and oscillations come naturally from the IS theory when the magnitude of leaky poles in increased. In particular, while the leaky pole radius does lead to increased core size, trench size and dispersive properties, it is the magnitude of the $c_1$ parameter near the forbidden region that introduces and controls the period of oscillation in the RI profile. It is also shown that for the three-pole cases, the allowed Region B which has previously not been considered for waveguide designs provides the opportunity for increasingly dispersive designs.

The addition of further poles to the inverse scattering procedure, by which more general and not necessarily rational reflection coefficients can be approximated [13,21], has not been investigated previously and has been shown to offer a small but measurable change in higher order dispersion. It is important to note that the inverse scattering method employed in this work can be applied to an arbitrary number of poles and is therefore not limited. We have also shown that addition of larger number of poles, results in different “pole allowable regions”. Using causality arguments, we have developed a method to define these allowable regions.

Although, a very large number of poles is needed to accurately describe general reflections coefficients [21], our work has shown the dispersion response is dominated primarily by the three pole design corresponding to the leaky pole pair with smallest modulus. We believe that this initial study shows promise for the use of inverse scattering in the design of dispersion-engineered waveguides or fibers and we plan to consider these designs in future work. While we have yet to discover an analytic relationship between pole locations and waveguide dispersion we shall in a future paper present ‘engineering curves’ relating the phase response of the rational reflection coefficient to the waveguide dispersion.

5. Appendix A

Given a 5-pole reflection coefficient $r(k)$ of the form written in Eq. (14) and using the requirement of conservation of energy $r(k) \leq 1$ for all real $k$, it is straightforward to derive from $|r(k)|^2 \leq 1$ the requirement that:

$$\frac{A}{B} \leq 1$$

(16)
Where
\[ A \equiv (c_1^2 + c_2^2) \left( d_1^2 + d_2^2 \right) a^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (17)
and
\[ B \equiv \left( k^2 + 2kc_1 + c_1^2 + c_2^2 \right) \left( k^2 - 2kc_1 + c_1^2 + c_2^2 \right) \left( k^2 + 2kd_1 + d_1^2 + d_2^2 \right) \times \left( k^2 - 2kd_1 + d_1^2 + d_2^2 \right) \left( k^2 + a^2 \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (18)

We observe that Eq. (16) is true whenever:
\[ 0 \leq B - A \]  \hspace{1cm} (19)

If we denote the polynomial in Eq. (19) by \( p(k) \) we observe that energy conservation is equivalent to requiring that for all real \( k \):
\[ 0 \leq p(k) \]  \hspace{1cm} (20)

Sturm’s theorem [26] states that given a polynomial of degree \( n \), \( p(k) \) and its derivative \( p_1(k) \), there is an associated Sturm chain \( S(k) = p(k), p_1(k), \ldots, p_n(k) \), where \( p_2(k) \) is the remainder of \( p(k) \) divided by \( p_1(k) \) with reverse sign, and \( p_3(k) \) is the remainder of \( p_2(k) \) divided by \( p_3(k) \) with reverse sign, and so on until a constant is arrived at. Then the number of real roots in the open interval \((a, b)\) is given by:
\[ \rho = \nu_a - \nu_b \]  \hspace{1cm} (21)

where \( \nu_a \) and \( \nu_b \) are the number of sign variations in the Sturm chain \( S(a) \) and \( S(b) \) respectively. For our case, we require that there are no real roots of \( p(k) \) in the interval \((0, \infty)\) and make the necessary substitutions in the above. If we automate the process of determining whether \( p(k) \) has real roots in the above interval for any combination of \( c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2 \) or as is required through the use of a computer algebra package such as the MAPLE [28] function ‘sturm’, we obtain the domains illustrated in the contour plots of this paper.
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