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Most paleo-episodes of ocean acidification (OA) were either too slow or too small to be instructive in predicting near-future impacts. The end-Cretaceous event (66 Mya) is intriguing in this regard, both because of its rapid onset and also because many pelagic calcifying species (including 100% of ammonites and more than 90% of calcareous nannoplankton and foraminifera) went extinct at this time.

Here we evaluate whether extinction-level OA could feasibly have been produced by the asteroid impact. Carbon cycle box models were used to estimate OA consequences of: (A) vaporisation of up to $6 \times 10^{15}$ moles of sulphur from gypsum rocks at the point of impact; (B) generation of up to $5 \times 10^{15}$ moles of NOx by the impact pressure wave and other sources; (C) release of up to 6500 Pg C from vaporisation of carbonate rocks, wildfires and soil carbon decay; and (D) ocean overturn bringing high CO2 water to the surface. We find that the acidification produced by most processes is too weak to explain calcifier extinctions. Sulphuric acid additions could have made the surface ocean extremely undersaturated ($\Omega_{\text{calcite}}$ less than 0.5), but only if they reached the ocean very rapidly (over a few days) and if the quantity added was at top end of literature estimates. We therefore conclude that severe ocean acidification might have been, but most likely was not responsible for the great extinctions of planktonic calcifiers and ammonites at the end of the Cretaceous.
Motivation

Significance

Ammonites went extinct at the time of the end-Cretaceous asteroid impact, as did more than 90% of species of calcium carbonate-shelled plankton (coccolithophores and foraminifera). Comparable groups not possessing calcium carbonate shells were less severely impacted, raising the possibility that ocean acidification, as a side-effect of the collision, might have been responsible for the apparent selectivity of the extinctions. We investigated whether ocean acidification could have caused the disappearance of the calcifying organisms. In a first detailed modelling study we simulated several possible mechanisms from impact to seawater acidification. Our results suggest that acidification was most probably not the cause of the extinctions.

Using Earth history to understand OA impacts.

From pre-industrial times up to the year 2008, ca. 530 Pg of carbon were added to the atmosphere through burning of fossil fuels and deforestation (1). This has led to an increase in atmospheric CO$_2$ of 40% (from 280 ppm in pre-industrial times to 400 ppm in the year 2015). Simultaneously, about 160 Pg C has been taken up by the ocean (2), where it causes ocean acidification (hereafter ‘OA’) (3).

OA is of particular concern for calcifying organisms (3), because it leads to lower CO$_3^{2-}$ concentrations and hence lower seawater saturation states with respect to CaCO$_3$ ($\Omega$). In theory, lower $\Omega$ should make it energetically more costly for organisms to synthesise CaCO$_3$ shells and skeletons and, subsequently, if $\Omega$ falls below 1.0, to maintain them against dissolution. A large variety of short-term experiments have been carried out to test for such consequences (4). It is widely recognised, however, that one aspect which these experiments generally do not address (although see refs. 5 and 6) is the degree to which organisms can evolve in response to the changing carbonate chemistry and thereby become more tolerant of
the new conditions. As a result, there is a need for approaches that reveal the long-term response to OA with evolutionary adaptation factored in.

Events in the past could potentially shed more light on the evolutionary response to OA. However, a recent review (7) highlighted a major difficulty: during most suspected OA events, CO₂ levels rose so slowly that the carbonate compensation process, i.e. the automatic stabilising mechanism that opposes changes in ocean CaCO₃ saturation (8), must have interposed to alter the nature of the impacts (7,9,10), making them less useful for understanding the future.

In contrast, at the end of the Cretaceous the asteroid impact induced very sudden changes. Here we investigate the possibility that there was a sharp and sudden acidification event concentrated in surface waters (deep waters experience delayed and less severe acidification in response to an atmospheric source of acidity (11)). Because there are no paleo records with which to constrain seawater chemistry changes during the critical few years following the impact (the slow speed at which most ocean sediments accumulate limits the resolution of sediment records to thousands of years), we employ models to calculate how dramatic the surface OA may have been at the end Cretaceous.

**Extinctions of calcifiers at the end of the Cretaceous.**

Another reason for being particularly interested in the Cretaceous/Paleogene (K/Pg) boundary in the context of OA, is that many surface-dwelling calcifiers went extinct at this time (12). Ammonites had existed on Earth for some 300 million years, and had survived previous extinction events, including the one at the end of the Permian
when more than 95% of all marine species were lost, but they succumbed at the K/Pg
(13). Within other groups of marine organisms there appears also to have been a
strong extinction bias towards calcifiers. Among autotrophs, for example, more than
90% of all calcareous nannoplankton (coccolithophore) species went extinct at this
time (14, 15). By contrast, there were much lower extinction rates for comparable
non-calcareous groups, such as siliceous diatoms of which at most 50% of species
went extinct (16, 17), organic-walled dinoflagellates which experienced no significant
extinction (18), and non-calcifying haptophyte phytoplankton of which many clades
survived the K/Pg (19). Similarly among heterotrophs, more than 95% of carbonate-
shelled planktic foraminifera were lost (14) while only a few planktic silica-shelled
radiolaria went extinct (16, 17). The particular severity of extinctions for calcifiers has
led to suggestions (e.g. 20, 21) that they were caused by OA. However, as described
later, upon a more detailed inspection of the paleontological evidence the selectivity
of extinctions seems less clear-cut.

We used biogeochemical box models of the global carbon cycle (which simulate the
organic and inorganic carbon pumps, ocean mixing, exchange of CO₂ between the
ocean and atmosphere, and other processes) to assess whether severe OA might have
occurred. Because of the absence of accompanying paleo data at this timescale, our
aim is not to pin down the exact pattern of carbon chemistry changes that took place
at the K/Pg. Instead we focus our attention on delineating the upper bound of OA
severity. Our aim is to calculate the maximum degree of OA that might plausibly have
occurred, not the most likely.

Comparison to previous studies of carbon chemistry at the K/Pg
A few other studies (21-25) have previously addressed carbon cycle and OA changes at the K/Pg. Beerling et al. (23) used data (the stomatal index of land plant leaves) and a box model; their data suggested that atmospheric pCO$_2$ increased from 350-500 ppmv to 2300 ppmv across the K/Pg boundary (although such a rise is not seen in other proxy data (24)), from which they inferred an instantaneous transfer of ca. 4,600 Pg C from rocks to the atmosphere.

D'Hondt et al. (25) used calculations rather than models to investigate the severity of surface OA at the K/Pg boundary. They calculated the consequences of acid creation (between 1x10$^{16}$ and 1.3x10$^{17}$ mol H$_2$SO$_4$) from vaporisation of gypsum rocks at the site of impact, and, secondarily, from nitric acid. The lower amounts do not significantly affect surface ocean pH, according to their calculations, but the highest amounts would be large enough (>1.2 x10$^{17}$) to destroy entirely the carbonate buffer capacity of the upper 100 m of the modern global ocean and drive pH transiently to values as low as 3. However, such extreme pH changes are by no means necessary in order to make seawater strongly corrosive to CaCO$_3$ (Ω<1), which can be achieved by a pH drop of less than 1 unit from its modern value of just over 8.

We revisit the OA estimates of D’Hondt et al. (25), carrying out the first evaluation using an established dynamic ocean carbon cycle model (see Methods section and detailed methods in supplementary information). While D’Hondt et al. (25) focussed on how much acid is needed to overwhelm the entire buffering capacity of seawater, we focus instead on the consequences for Ω$_{\text{calcite}}$ (the saturation state of seawater with respect to the calcite form of CaCO$_3$). We explicitly calculate and compare the
potential of different hypothesized sources of acidity to lower surface (0-100m) ocean pH and \( \Omega_{\text{calcite}} \) at the end Cretaceous.

**Results**

(1) Sulphate aerosols due to impact on gypsum-rich rocks.

An asteroid estimated at \( \sim 10 \) km in diameter (26,27) hit the Earth at a point on the Yucatan peninsula in Mexico, producing the Chicxulub crater (diameter \( \sim 200 \) km). The target rocks (carbonate- and gypsum- or anhydrite-rich sediments underlain by granite crust) were partly ejected and partly volatilised by the impact. In addition to sulphur from the impact rocks, between 1 and \( 5 \times 10^{15} \) Mol S could have come from the asteroid itself (28). Thermal decomposition of gypsum or anhydrite (we refer only to gypsum henceforth) is presumed (on the basis of laboratory volatilisation experiments; e.g. ref. 29) to have led to the near-instantaneous release of SO\(_{3}\) (21) to the atmosphere according to the reaction:

\[
\text{CaSO}_4 \rightarrow \text{CaO} + \text{SO}_3
\]

According to this equation there is also a simultaneous equimolar production of base (in the form of lime, CaO), some of which could have counteracted the effects of the acid (30). However, consistent with our goal of estimating maximum possible impacts, lime effects are not included in any of the simulations in this study. Some of the SO\(_3\) and CaO may have recombined within the plume back to solid CaSO\(_4\) (30,31).

After being injected into the atmosphere, SO\(_3\) would have been transformed to sulphuric acid (H\(_2\)SO\(_4\)). There is some debate about how rapidly the H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) arrived at
the ocean. Some understanding has been obtained from studies (32-34) of sulphur
dynamics following volcanic eruptions such as Mount Pinatubo in 1991. Where S is
injected into the stratosphere, its return to the Earth’s surface is delayed, due in part to
the lack of water vapour and rainfall there, typically taking a few years (34). Earlier
modelling studies (35,31) suggested residence times of S in the atmosphere of several
months to a few years. An alternative and very different scenario has recently been
proposed for the K/Pg (21), in which sulphuric acid was transported much more
rapidly to the ocean. It is suggested that, immediately after the impact, most of the
sulphuric acid aerosols were scavenged by large silicate particles falling rapidly back
to Earth, thereby delivering the H2SO4 to the ocean within only one or a few days.

D’Hondt et al. (25) estimated total sulphuric acid production to have been in the range
10 to 130 x 10^{15} mol H2SO4 (320 to 4160 Pg S), based on several earlier studies (36-38).
Later studies suggested ~10-fold smaller total production of only 0.9 to 9 x 10^{15}
mol H2SO4 (30 to 300 Pg S, ref. 31) and 2.4 to 11 x 10^{15} mol H2SO4 (78 to 364 Pg S,
ref. 39). A recent review put the total sulphuric acid input at between 3 and 16 x 10^{15}
mol or possibly higher (27).

We implemented this hypothesis in the model through a family of runs of different
total sulphur addition (15, 30 and 60 x 10^{15} mol, corresponding to 480, 960 and 1920
Pg). We carried out a main set of runs using longer e-folding times of H2SO4 addition
(0.5, 1, 5 and 10 years) and also additional runs with a shorter e-folding time of 10
hours, following ref. 21. H2SO4 addition reduces surface water total alkalinity (TA) in
the molar ratio H2SO4:TA = 1:-2 (ref. 40).
Results of the main sulphuric acid addition runs are shown in the first (left-most) column in figure 1 (with sensitivity to rate of addition shown in Fig S1). The results of the additional runs with \( \tau = 10 \) hours are shown in figure 2. There are very large impacts on pH and \( \Omega_{\text{calcite}} \) for large sulphur inputs, which are exacerbated by rapid addition. The greatest effects are in the immediate aftermath and are of short duration (a few years).

<FIGURE 1 TO GO HERE>

(2) Carbon dioxide from carbonate rocks and organic carbon.

We consider all CO\(_2\) sources together. In the same way that the impact released sulphur compounds from gypsum rocks as they were vaporised, vaporisation of carbonate rocks yielded CO\(_2\). It has been estimated that an asteroid of diameter \( \sim 10 \) km hitting the 3 or 4 km thick layer of sedimentary carbonates of the Yucatan peninsula (27) would have released between about 5000 and 9000 Pg CO\(_2\) (41), or in other words 1300 to 2500 Pg carbon. This may however be an overestimate, because the total amount released was most likely greatly reduced by rapid back-reactions (42,43) in which 40-80% of volatilised CaO and CO\(_2\) immediately recombined within the plume to re-form CaCO\(_3\) (30). As for sulphur, we do not include in the model calculations any consequences of lime produced alongside the CO\(_2\).

A global heat-shock (44) following the impact may have ignited woody biomass, leading to wildfires (45), and thence CO\(_2\) release to the atmosphere. In the Late Cretaceous the total biomass of living vegetation was possibly larger than it is today (~600 Pg C), because forests extended closer to the poles at that time (46). Although there are much larger estimates of the amount of vegetation burnt (up to 2700 Pg C,
ref. 47, based on the amount of soot produced and assuming it all came from wildfires), it seems to us unlikely that burning of terrestrial vegetation carbon could have contributed much more than 1500 Pg C, both because of mid-continent aridity in warmer climates (48) and because of finite habitat space.

An additional potential carbon source comes from soils. The throwing up of large amounts of soot, aerosols and dust to the atmosphere probably led to an extended period of darkness on Earth, during which photosynthesis was strongly inhibited by low light levels (49). During this period, decay of soil organic carbon (turnover time today of about 50 years, ref. 50), would not have been balanced by replenishment from new production of leaf litter and other sources associated with living plants. We assumed a maximum total for the Late Cretaceous of 2500 Pg C (compared to 1600 Pg C today), taking into account that soil carbon stocks on Earth today are much higher towards the poles, particularly in permafrost regions. Although the planet was warmer on the whole in the Late Cretaceous, the lack of ice-sheets on Antarctica at that time could have allowed large soil carbon stocks to accumulate there (51).

We modelled the effect on ocean carbonate chemistry from all of these sources combined, through a family of model runs with carbon additions (to the atmosphere rather than the surface ocean) of 2000, 4000 and 6500 Pg C. The sources most likely released carbon both rapidly (volatilisation of carbonate rocks at the point of impact, wildfires) and slowly (decay of soil carbon). We therefore carried out runs in which CO₂ was added to the atmosphere both more slowly (e-folding times of between 0.5 and 10 years) and more rapidly (e-folding time of 10 hours). Results of these
carbonate rock runs are shown in figures 1, 2 and S1. Large impacts are produced, although not as severe as from the largest sulphuric acid additions. In contrast to the response to SO$_4$, there is little difference between the responses to CO$_2$ added with e-folding times of 6 months and 10 hours, because of slow air-sea gas exchange of CO$_2$.

<FIGURE 2 TO GO HERE>

(3) Nitrogen oxides due to atmospheric shock wave.

As the asteroid (and subsequent ejecta) travelled at high speed through the atmosphere, the associated intense pressure wave would have led to conversion of N$_2$ and O$_2$ in the atmosphere to NO$_x$. Upon conversion to nitric acid (HNO$_3$) and incorporation into rain, this would have induced acidification of the ocean as it rained out over the following months or years (or possibly days if also scavenged by large silicate particles). Although the total amount of HNO$_3$ produced directly by the initial pressure wave (~1 x 10$^{15}$ mol, ref. 52) is relatively small, it could have been doubled by HNO$_3$ from ejecta pressure waves (53), and supplemented by ~3 x 10$^{15}$ mol HNO$_3$ from wildfires (47), giving rise to maximum total additions of up to 5 x 10$^{15}$ mol HNO$_3$. Nitric acid addition reduces surface water total alkalinity (TA) in the molar ratio HNO$_3$:TA = 1:1 (40). We implemented this hypothesis with a set of runs of different NOx additions (1, 3 and 5 x 10$^{15}$ mol) over a range of e-folding times (0.5, 1, 5 and 10 years). The results are shown in figures 1 and 2 (and figure S1). The impact on ocean carbonate chemistry is similar in nature to that from sulphuric acid, but considerably smaller (5 x 10$^{15}$ mol HNO$_3$ has the same impact on TA as 2.5 x 10$^{15}$ mol H$_2$SO$_4$, or in other words less impact than the minimum sulphur run).
(4) Breakdown of ocean stratification.

Large tsunamis occurred within 1000 km of Chicxulub (27) and other effects (the shock wave, and secondary tsunamis following impact-induced earthquakes and the return of ejecta) would have led to more widespread consequences for ocean stratification. If the overall disturbance was sufficient to bring about a global mixing between surface and intermediate depth (lower pH) waters, then surface ocean pH would have dropped. This scenario was implemented in model runs by increasing the amount of mixing (initially by a factor ($\alpha$) of 2, 5, 10 or 100-fold above normal), with the mixing rate ($K$) over time ($t$) subsequently decaying back to the baseline value ($K'$) over e-folding timescales ($\tau$) of between 6 months and 10 years, according to the equation:

$$K(t) = K' \left[ 1 + (\alpha - 1) e^{\left(\frac{-t}{\tau}\right)} \right]$$

(3)

Results are shown in figures 1 and S1.

(5) Combined scenarios.

Additional runs ($\tau = 6$ months or $\tau = 10$ hours) were carried out in which all acidifying factors were set to their maximum amounts, except for the input of SO$_4$ from sulphate aerosols which was the only factor varied between runs. The input of nitric acid was thus set to $5 \times 10^{15}$ mol and the input of CO$_2$ to 6500 Gt C (exceeding an estimate of 4600 Pg C for the total CO$_2$ input, ref. 23). Changes to ocean stratification were not simultaneously modelled because they weaken the combined impact. The results from these runs are shown in Table 1 and figure 3.
Discussion

Sulphate aerosols were most likely the dominant acidifying factor.

It is clear that some processes have much greater potential than others to drive extinction-level OA. Considering firstly inputs of \( \text{CO}_2 \) (from wildfires, decay, and release from rocks), it appears that the potential for mass extinction-scale OA impacts in this case is limited. Even for the largest total amount (6500 Pg C) over the shortest timescale (10 hours), the model produces a minimum \( \Omega_{\text{calcite}} \) of 2.2. Such an impact would not have been sufficiently severe to produce complete global extinction of most calcifying species via shell dissolution. This maximum amount of 6500 Pg C at the K/Pg compares to \(~600\) Pg C of anthropogenic carbon released to date (2015) in the Anthropocene (1) and estimated total available fossil fuel reserves of about 4000 Pg C. It can be seen (table 1), however, that the addition of the same amount of carbon to the modern system would reduce \( \Omega_{\text{calcite}} \) to a much lower average value (0.7).

Breakdown of ocean stratification is seen to have only a relatively small potential to lower seawater pH and \( \Omega_{\text{calcite}} \). This is not surprising, because the pH of intermediate waters in most oceans is about 7.7 and this sets a limit to the decrease in pH that can be achieved by a sudden stirring of the oceans. Although very deep waters are undersaturated with respect to calcium carbonate, this is primarily due to the effect of pressure. For instance, if water from 3.5 km deep in the North Atlantic (dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) = 2180 \( \mu \)mol kg\(^{-1}\), total alkalinity (TA) = 2340 \( \mu \)mol kg\(^{-1}\)) were to be raised to the surface and the pressure effect removed, its \( \Omega_{\text{calcite}} \) would be ~2.8. In the North Pacific, where deep water has accumulated more products of decomposition, \( \Omega_{\text{calcite}} \) after removal of the pressure effect would be ~1.8. In neither case would the water be at all undersaturated (\( \Omega_{\text{calcite}} \) would not be < 1). Although
long-term sustained changes in mixing can have a great impact over hundreds of thousands of years on the depth of the CCD and the $\delta^{13}$C of CaCO$_3$ (54), short term effects on surface ocean $\Omega_{\text{calcite}}$ are modest and this mechanism can also be ruled out as a cause of severe OA at the K/Pg.

Of the two processes in which acid is directly added to the surface ocean (sulphuric and nitric acid additions), the former far outweighs the latter in terms of maximum possible OA impacts. The nitric acid additions do not cause severe OA (table 1) even for the highest estimate of the amount added ($5 \times 10^{15}$ mol N). Therefore, this too can be discounted as a sole cause of severe OA.

The only process capable by itself of producing severe undersaturation (here defined as $\Omega_{\text{calcite}} < 0.5$; the choice of this threshold is discussed below) is the deposition of sulphuric acid. In fact, even when all other acidifying factors are set to their maximum values and combined, in the absence of sulphate aerosols then the total effect is not sufficient to induce undersaturation (row 7 “All except SO$_4$” in table 1). In the rest of this paper we thus focus on impacts relating to sulphuric acid additions to the ocean.

**How much sulphur was released?**

A key uncertainty in the assessment of OA at the K/Pg is therefore the magnitude of sulphur release, which depends on several factors. An important uncertainty is the size of the impactor, with early calculations made for bodies of diameter 10, 15 or 20 km, whereas later calculations were based on smaller sizes such as 10 km, in line with downwards revision of the size of the impactor (27). There is disagreement about the pressure required to vaporise gypsum and release sulphur oxides. Earlier papers such
as that by Sigurdsson et al. (36) assumed that 20 to 40 GPa are required, leading to higher estimates of the amounts vaporised. Later studies (e.g. 31) assumed a requirement of 100 GPa. There is also uncertainty about the angle at which the impactor hit the Earth. It may have been a vertical, full-on impact (angle of incidence \(= 90^\circ\), ref. 56) or alternatively may have hit at a shallower angle (20 to 30\(^\circ\), ref. 27). Additional uncertainty comes from lack of complete knowledge about the nature of the impact site geology and more specifically the amount of gypsum in the impacted rocks. Another source of uncertainty is that most estimates assume that 100% of the volatilised sulphur ends up as sulphuric acid, whereas it has been suggested (30,31) that about 50% was reincorporated almost immediately into solid CaSO\(_4\) as it reformed due to back-reactions within the plume.

These uncertainties lead to a greater than 10-fold range in the predictions of sulphuric acid delivered to the surface ocean, as discussed earlier, which translates into considerable uncertainty about OA impacts. Additional model runs (figures 3 and S4; table S1) show that the critical amount of SO\(_4\) needed to reduce \(\Omega\)-calcite to a value of 0.5 is between 8 and 43 \(\times 10^{15}\) mol, depending on the rapidity of addition and on the intensity of other acidifying processes. Between 30 and 43 \(\times 10^{15}\) mol is required if SO\(_4\) is added relatively slowly (\(\tau = 6\) months), whereas between 8 and 10 \(\times 10^{15}\) mol is required if SO\(_4\) is added very rapidly (\(\tau = 10\) hours). These required amounts of SO\(_4\) need to be compared to estimates of how much sulphur was actually released, up to 60 \(\times 10^{15}\) mol according to the studies used by D’Hondt et al. (25), or between 0.9 and 9 \(\times 10^{15}\) mol according to the most recent analysis (31). Our model runs therefore suggest that \(\Omega\)_{calcite} could have fallen below the threshold of 0.5 for many of the SO\(_4\) emissions used by D’Hondt et al (25), but only for the upper end of the range.
proposed by the most recent study (31). The very high estimate used by D’Hondt et al. (25) came from only one of the three studies they cited, which was 5- to 20-fold higher than the maximum estimate from the other two studies cited and nearly an order of magnitude higher than the top end of the range from the most recent analysis (table 2). The difference has been ascribed (56) primarily to Sigurdsson et al’s assumption that gypsum vaporisation can occur at lower pressures. Could OA at the K/Pg have been severe enough to cause calcifier extinctions?

In order to answer this question, it is necessary to estimate a lower limit value of $\Omega$ below which calcifiers could not have survived. Despite large amounts of ongoing research into the impacts of OA on the marine biota, a precise value for such a $\Omega$ threshold is not yet available, and in any case differs between calcifier species (57). We use a critical threshold value of $\Omega_{\text{calcite}}$ of 0.5 in the surface ocean. This criterion of $\Omega_{\text{calcite}} < 0.5$ corresponds to those waters becoming strongly undersaturated for calcite, the less soluble form of $\text{CaCO}_3$ accreted by coccolithophores (calcareous nannoplankton) and foraminifera to form shells. Because high latitudes and low latitudes are not distinguished in this simple model, there is only one surface box and hence only one value of $\Omega_{\text{calcite}}$. In nature, on the other hand, there is a latitudinal gradient in $\Omega$ in surface waters, with highest values (at low latitudes) on average about 20% higher than the global average (58). A global average value of $\Omega_{\text{calcite}} = 0.5$ therefore corresponds to surface oceans being quite strongly undersaturated for both calcite and aragonite at all latitudes. However, some calcifying species continue to calcify quite well (in the laboratory at least) even at $\Omega_{\text{calcite}}=0.5$ (e.g. refs. 57 and 59).
This threshold should therefore be considered as the minimum degree of corrosivity to 
\( \text{CaCO}_3 \) that is required to account for widespread calcifer extinctions, and it is
possible that even lower average values would actually be required. As discussed
above, the only scenarios making surface seawater so corrosive are those in which
large amounts of sulphur are released rapidly from gypsum rocks.

Overall, our model results do not point to extremely severe OA at the K/Pg, although
they do not completely rule it out. We conclude that it is possible but not likely that
the numerous calcifer extinctions were due to OA. Some reasons for this conclusion
are as follows: (A) out of several factors considered in the simulated scenarios, only
one (sulphuric acid) made the surface ocean strongly corrosive to calcite (\( \Omega_{\text{calcite}} < 
0.5 \)); (B) even for sulphuric acid, the amount required to produce severe OA (previous
section, table S1) is above the upper ends of most (including the most recent)
estimates of ranges of possible emissions (table 2); (C) the amounts of \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \)
reaching the surface ocean were probably at least 2-fold less than the early estimates
of the amounts of S released, because they ignored rapid back-reactions consuming
sulphur in the plume (30); and (D) the release of S to the atmosphere may have been
accompanied by a production of lime (CaO) (30) and/or other basic compounds (39),
which if subsequently falling into the surface ocean may have dissolved there, raising
\( \Omega_{\text{calcite}} \) and pH. Other explanations for selective extinctions should therefore continue
to be explored, such as the suggestion (60) that groups with resting stages (e.g.
dinoflagellates cysts or diatom spores) were able to survive better than those without
(including calcareous nannoplankton). Further progress on this question would be
assisted by a better understanding of both the magnitude and rapidity of sulphuric acid
additions.
Although a preliminary inspection of the K/Pg paleontological record strongly supports an OA-driven calcifier extinction, when considered in greater detail the evidence appears less compelling. For instance, in contrast to calcareous nannoplankton, another group of calcifying plankton, the calcareous dinoflagellates, experienced no major extinction at the K/Pg (18). All calcareous rudist bivalve species were lost (61), but out of bivalves as a whole ~35% of ‘sub-genera’ survived (62). In contrast to planktics, benthic foraminifera with calcareous shells survived the event relatively intact, whether inhabiting shallow or deep waters (63). Inoceramid clams underwent 100% extinction but up to 13% of bryozoans and only rather few marine gastropods went extinct (16).

Zooxanthellate scleractinian corals (those which host photosynthetic algal symbionts, and which are therefore restricted to living in shallow waters where peak OA impacts would have been greatest) suffered much greater species extinction rates than azooxanthellate scleractinian corals inhabiting a much larger depth range (64). In fact deep water corals, far from being preferentially killed off, instead preferentially survived the end-Cretaceous mass extinction (64). This is compatible with much more severe OA in surface than in deeper waters, as is seen in our models (plots not shown). However, among scleractinian corals as a whole (zooxanthellate and azooxanthellate combined) only ~50% of all species were lost, which would seem surprising if OA was the cause for other calcifier extinctions, given the experimental and field evidence showing coral sensitivity to OA (65). According to Kiessling & Simpson (66): “During the major mass extinctions at the end of the Ordovician,
Permian and Cretaceous periods [the calcifying groups] corals and coralline sponges have indistinguishable extinction rates from other taxa.”

**Comparison to previous calculations**

Some of these acidifying processes were considered in earlier work by D’Hondt et al. (25). Although D’Hondt et al calculated the effects of adding acids to the ocean without the aid of a dynamical model such as that used here, their earlier predictions are broadly consistent with our model results. We have considered a much wider range of possible acidifying processes, but concur that the process of gypsum vaporisation is likely to be quantitatively the most important. Our estimate of the amount of sulphur required to explain extinctions, in the absence of other processes, is however much less: $43 \times 10^{15}$ mol SO$_4^-$ (or $10 \times 10^{15}$ mol SO$_4^-$ if added very rapidly, but see table S1 for sensitivity of these numbers to assumptions) compared to their estimate of $61 \times 10^{15}$ mol SO$_4^-$. In agreement with Ohno et al. (21), our model results show lower $\Omega_{\text{calcite}}$ values when SO$_4^-$ is added more rapidly than when it is added more slowly. However, contrary to their calculations, in our model we find that $1 \times 10^{14}$ kg H$_2$SO$_4$ ($\approx 1 \times 10^{15}$ mol SO$_4^-$) is not nearly enough to produce strong undersaturation (panel B of figure S4). Our model results therefore support an earlier assessment (53) that nitric acid could only have caused minor acidification and that sulphuric acid was unlikely to have led to significant acidification.

**Conclusions**
Results have been presented from our carbon cycle modelling study of ocean acidification (OA) at the end of the Cretaceous. The effects of several acidifying mechanisms were simulated, including wildfires emitting CO2 to the atmosphere and vaporisation of gypsum rocks leading to deposition of sulphuric acid on the ocean surface. Our assessment of the potential for OA from these mechanisms finds that most produce too small an impact on the CaCO₃ saturation of the surface ocean to be able to explain the simultaneous extinctions of calcifiers. Only sulphuric acid deposition is capable of making the surface oceans strongly corrosive to calcite.

However, in order to produce severe CaCO₃ undersaturation ($\Omega_{\text{calcite}} < 0.5$), very large quantities (greater than between 8 and $43 \times 10^{15}$ moles, depending on assumptions about the rate of addition and the intensities of other acidifying processes) of sulphur must have been volatilised from gypsum and anhydrite in sedimentary rocks. $8 \times 10^{15}$ moles is right at the top of recent estimates ($0.8$ to $8 \times 10^{15}$ moles). Hence we think it rather unlikely, although not completely impossible, that biologically catastrophic OA occurred at the Cretaceous-Paleogene (K/Pg) boundary. The great extinctions of calcifiers at this time (100% of ammonites and rudist bivalves, more than 90% of calcareous nannoplankton and planktic foraminifera species) were most likely due to some other cause.

Methods summary

The global biogeochemical box model.

The main biogeochemical box model (JModel) used here is a variant of one used previously to study a number of different carbon cycle and OA problems (54, 67-69).
The model (for more details see supplementary information) represents the coupled global ocean and atmosphere. It includes phytoplankton, phosphorus, dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) and alkalinity as state variables. The model fully resolves the carbonate system; ocean carbon chemistry is linked, through air-sea gas exchange, with atmospheric CO$_2$. Although long-term feedbacks are not relevant for this study, the model includes a dynamic calcite compensation depth (CCD).

**Simulations.**

We started the model in steady state with geochemical conditions appropriate for the late Cretaceous (66 Myr ago). The atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration was 1,000 ppmv, the calcium ion concentration was 20 mmol kg$^{-1}$ (e.g. ref. 7) and the magnesium ion concentration was 30 mmol kg$^{-1}$ (pre-industrial values are 280 ppmv, 10.3 mmol kg$^{-1}$ and 53 mmol kg$^{-1}$ respectively). The effects of altered [Ca$^{2+}$] and [Mg$^{2+}$] on K$_1$, K$_2$ (the carbonate system equilibrium constants) and K$_{sp}$ (the CaCO$_3$ solubility product) were calculated following ref. 70, with additional runs (see table S1) to investigate sensitivity to alternative values of atmospheric CO$_2$ and K$_{sp}$. The starting state of the model was obtained by holding the atmospheric CO$_2$ fixed at its target value (1000 ppmv) before running the model out to equilibrium. For each hypothesis we carried out a set of model runs with different magnitudes and timescales of perturbation. The rate of addition ($R$) over time ($t$) of a total amount ($A$) of a substance was calculated from the $e$-folding timescale ($\tau$) according to:

$$R(t) = \frac{A}{\tau} e^{\left(-\frac{t}{\tau}\right)}$$

(1)
In order to assess the robustness of the results obtained, we carried out sensitivity analyses (to different surface layer depths, $K_{sp}$ values, and initial atmospheric CO$_2$ value; table S1) and repeated the tests in different model setups: (1) the same model (JModel) as just described, but in its pre-industrial configuration, i.e. with atmospheric CO$_2$ and seawater Ca and Mg concentrations set to Holocene levels rather than adjusted to resemble the Late Cretaceous ocean (results shown in table 1 and figures S2 and S3); and (2) the independent LOSCAR model, which is a box model with more boxes than the JModel and with explicit sediments (71). LOSCAR was configured to resemble the late Paleocene ocean. Results of these alternative model setups are shown in table 1.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Alkalinity removal from surf. ocean (PMol)</th>
<th>Carbon addition to atm. (Pg)</th>
<th>Minimum surface $\Omega_{\text{calcite}}$</th>
<th>Duration of peak impact ‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gypsum vaporisation (SO$_4$)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>&lt;5 y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_x$ generation</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>&lt;5 y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon dioxide (CO$_2$)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6500</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>ky</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stirring</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>&lt;5 y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>6500</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>&lt;5 y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All except SO$_4$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6500</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>&lt;5 y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid addition of SO$_4$</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>&lt;1 y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid addition of NO$_x$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>&lt;1 y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid addition of CO$_2$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6500</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* For each scenario the lowest minimum $\Omega_{\text{calcite}}$ is taken from the run in which the largest amount of substance (for instance $60 \times 10^{15}$ Mol, for SO$_4$) is added over an e-folding time of 6 months, except for rapid additions where the e-folding time was 10 hours. Initial values of surface $\Omega_{\text{calcite}}$ were 7.5 (JModel-Cretaceous), 4.9 (LOSCAR-Paleocene, ref. 71) and 4.9 (JModel-pre-industrial).

† Average across all ocean basins. A run with increased stirring was not implemented for this model.

‡ Time for which $\Omega_{\text{calcite}}$ was at least 80% as far from the initial value as when at its maximum distance.
Table 2: Estimates of sulphur release associated with the impact.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Estimated sulphur input to the atmosphere</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PMol (= $10^{15}$ mol) S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sigurdsson et al., 1992</td>
<td>0.2 to 132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brett, 1992</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pope et al., 1993</td>
<td>8 to 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al., 1994</td>
<td>1 to 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivanov et al., 1996</td>
<td>1 to 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pierazzo et al., 1998</td>
<td>2 to 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maruoka &amp; Koeberl, 2003</td>
<td>2 to 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pierazzo et al., 2003</td>
<td>0.9 to 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Impacts of different scenarios of environmental change on surface ocean chemistry: (top row) pH; (bottom row) saturation state for calcite ($\Omega_{\text{calcite}}$). Each column shows the acidification impacts for a different type of forcing (same vertical axis scale for each) when the forcing is applied with an $e$-folding time of 6 months. Minimum $\Omega_{\text{calcite}}$ values for each model run are shown in the boxes. The colour of each line indicates the magnitude of the forcing for that run.

Figure 2: Impacts of very rapid additions ($e$-folding time of 10 hours, ref. 21) of $H_2SO_4$ (column 1), $CO_2$ (column 2), and $HNO_3$ (column 3) on saturation state for calcite. Minimum $\Omega_{\text{calcite}}$ values for each model run are shown in the boxes. The colour of each line indicates the magnitude of the forcing for that run.

Figure 3: Impacts on $\Omega_{\text{calcite}}$ of different quantities of sulphate aerosols acting in combination with maximum quantities of other processes (5 PMol NOx and 6500 Pg C in the form of CO$_2$). Left column shows results for additions using an $e$-folding time of 6 months; right column shows results for additions using an $e$-folding time of 10 hours (21). Minimum $\Omega_{\text{calcite}}$ values for each model run are shown in square brackets in the boxes. The colour of each line indicates the magnitude of the forcing for that run.
Figure 1: Impacts of different scenarios of environmental change on surface ocean chemistry: (top row) pH; (bottom row) saturation state for calcite ($\Omega_{\text{calcite}}$). Each column shows the acidification impacts for a different type of forcing (same vertical axis scale for each) when the forcing is applied with an e-folding time of 6 months. Minimum $\Omega_{\text{calcite}}$ values for each model run are shown in the boxes. The colour of each line indicates the magnitude of the forcing for that run.
**Figure 2:** Impacts of very rapid additions (e-folding time of 10 hours, ref. 21) of H$_2$SO$_4$ (column 1), CO$_2$ (column 2), and HNO$_3$ (column 3) on saturation state for calcite. Minimum $\Omega_{\text{calcite}}$ values for each model run are shown in the boxes. The colour of each line indicates the magnitude of the forcing for that run.
Figure 3: Impacts on $\Omega_{\text{calcite}}$ of different quantities of sulphate aerosols acting in combination with maximum quantities of other processes (5 PMol NOx and 6500 Pg C in the form of CO$_2$). Panel A shows results for additions using an $\epsilon$-folding time of 6 months; Panel B shows results for additions using an $\epsilon$-folding time of 10 hours (21). Minimum $\Omega_{\text{calcite}}$ values for each model run are shown in square brackets in the boxes. The colour of each line indicates the magnitude of the forcing for that run.
**Figure S1:** Impacts of different scenarios of environmental change on surface ocean chemistry: (top row) pH; (bottom row) saturation state for calcite ($\Omega_{\text{calcite}}$). Each column shows the acidification impacts for a different type of forcing (same vertical axis scale for each) with the magnitude of the forcing shown at the top of the column. The colour of each line indicates the rapidity ($e$-folding time) of the forcing.
**Figure S2:** Impacts of different scenarios of environmental change on surface ocean chemistry in the pre-industrial model setup: (top) pH; and (bottom) $\Omega_{\text{calcite}}$. Each column shows the acidification impacts for a different type of forcing (same vertical axis scale for each) with the magnitude of the forcing shown at the top of the column. Minimum $\Omega_{\text{calcite}}$ values for each run are shown in the box. The colour of each line indicates the rapidity (e-folding time) of the forcing.
**Figure S3**: Impacts of different scenarios of environmental change on surface ocean chemistry in the pre-industrial model setup: (top) pH; and (bottom) $\Omega_{\text{calcite}}$. Each column shows the acidification impacts for a different type of forcing (same vertical axis scale for each) when the forcing is applied using an e-folding timescale of 6 months. The colour of each line indicates the magnitude of the forcing.
Figure S4: Impacts on $\Omega_{\text{calcite}}$ of different quantities of sulphate aerosols acting alone (all other processes omitted) on saturation state for calcite in the surface box. Panel A shows results for additions using an $e$-folding time of 6 months; Panel B shows results for additions using an $e$-folding time of 10 hours (20). This plot shows similar results to those for SO4 in figures 1 and 2, but here the effects of a much narrower range of sulphur emissions are plotted, to show the amount required to cause severe CaCO$_3$ undersaturation ($\Omega_{\text{calcite}} < 0.5$). Minimum $\Omega_{\text{calcite}}$ values for each model run are shown in square brackets in the boxes. The colour of each line indicates the magnitude of the forcing for that run.
Table S1: Results of sensitivity analyses calculating the sensitivity of the critical amount of SO$_4$ to some key model assumptions. Default values are $K_{spc}$(end Cretaceous) / $K_{spc}$(modern) = 0.7, a surface mixed layer depth of 100 m, and an initial (spin-up) atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration of 1000 ppm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensitivity Analysis</th>
<th>Amount of SO$_4$ (PMol S) required to produce $\Omega$-calcite &lt; 0.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e-folding time of 6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>acting alone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>standard run (for comparison)</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ratio of $K_{spc}$(end Cret) to $K_{spc}$(modern)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mixed layer depth (m)</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>initial atm CO$_2$ (ppm)</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No primary production (biological pump) after the impact</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Methods details

As illustrated in figure S5, the model ocean is structured as three vertically stacked boxes: the surface (0-100 m) which represents the euphotic zone, a middle box (100-500 m) which represents the mixed surface layer above the annual thermocline, and a deep box (500-3730 m) representing the deep layer below the annual thermocline. The assumed depth of the surface box is an important parameter for this study because it determines the volume of water into which acidifying substances are initially diluted. We used the same mixed layer depth as D’Hondt et al. (23), i.e. 100 m. The surface mixed layer is shallower than 100 m across most of the ocean and so we also carried out sensitivity analyses (table S1) for alternative choices of 50 m and 30 m, although we note that any process causing global extinctions has to exterminate species across all of their ranges, including where deeper mixed layer depths prevail. The model represents an average water column down to the seabed, has a spatially and temporally averaged input of nutrients, DIC (dissolved inorganic carbon) and alkalinity, and does not take into account any latitudinal or horizontal variations.

The rate of growth of phytoplankton is a function of their intrinsic maximum growth rate and modulation by nutrient (in this case phosphate) scarcity according to a Michaelis-Menten relationship. After being produced, phytoplankton biomass either decays within the surface ocean box (returning nutrient and carbon back to solution) or else, following export, decays within a lower box, or else is lost to the system through permanent burial. There is only one variable for phytoplankton; separate types are not distinguished in the model. Calcification is calculated in a fixed ratio to new organic matter (see below) and the resulting CaCO₃ is then either dissolved in the deep ocean.
following export or else is buried, with the relative proportions calculated according to a complex relationship between the carbonate saturation of the deep ocean (determining the depth of the CCD) and the average hypsometry of the seafloor.

As in other models, carbonate chemistry is modeled by including DIC and alkalinity as state variables. The distribution of DIC within the ocean is governed by physical, chemical and biological processes: the exchange of CO$_2$ between the atmosphere and the ocean, riverine input of DIC, biological uptake of carbon into phytoplankton biomass, remineralisation and burial of that biomass, precipitation and dissolution of CaCO$_3$, and mixing processes between the three layers. The distribution of alkalinity in the ocean is governed by riverine input of bicarbonate, precipitation of CaCO$_3$ by calcifying organisms, dissolution of CaCO$_3$ deeper in the ocean, burial of CaCO$_3$ and mixing processes between the three layers. As and when necessary, other carbonate system parameters are calculated from DIC and alkalinity with the program csys (68) using the constants of Mehrbach et al (69) as refit by Lueker et al. (70). These constants are unlikely to be realistic under the most extreme conditions modelled here; however, this deficiency is likely to be most serious at Ω-calcite below 0.5, and so will not affect our ability to detect whether such a state occurs. Since the model uses phosphorus as the only limiting nutrient, the impact of riverine nitrate input, biological uptake of nitrate, and remineralisation of nitrate on alkalinity is accounted for via the Redfield ratio.
Figure S5: Schematic of the model. A three-box ocean (surface box thickness = 100m, middle box thickness = 400m, deep box thickness = 3230m) and an atmosphere exchange carbon dioxide, with implicit carbon loss to the sediment layer. In the biogeochemistry scheme, the dashed black arrows represent export from the surface ocean. PIC indicates particulate inorganic carbon while POC indicates particulate organic carbon. The arrows in the oceanic boxes and sediments represent the various remineralization and sedimentation fluxes.

The production of CaCO$_3$ in the surface ocean is linked to the production of organic matter through the “rain ratio” (RR), which is the molar ratio of CaCO$_3$-C export from the surface layer to particulate organic carbon (POC) export. The influence of sediments on the cycling of carbon is not considered in our model and is not important in this study because we focus only on short-term impacts (up to a few centuries).
