# LBP-TBQ: Supplementary digital content 6

# Multi-group analyses for measurement invariance – parameter estimates and model fit (ML)

# Manual therapy data

Multi-group CFA analyses were performed with the 16-item LBP-TBQ to examine measurement invariance (MI) for manual therapy data between:

- o Participants with nerve compression likely or not
- o Participants with sciatica diagnosis reported or not
- $\circ$  Participants with pain duration less than 3 years versus more than 3 years
- o Treatment-experienced versus treatment-naïve participants
- Across time (wave 1 versus wave 2)

Results are presented below and include model fit summaries, nested models comparisons, and graphical representation of the most appropriate models. For these analyses, multivariate outliers were first excluded from the sample to exclude this source of model misspecification; sensitivity analyses were performed selectively with the total samples, with similar results. Models reported here were estimated using maximum likelihood (ML).

А.	Nerve compression likely (N= 144 cases -14 outliers=130) or not (N=170 cases -18 outliers=152)
Mo	del Fit Summary
	CMIN

Model	NPAR	CMIN DF		Р	CMIN/	'DF
Unconstrained	116	354.19	5 188	.000	1.8	884
Measurement weights	100	369.80	1 204	.000	1.8	313
Measurement intercepts	84	399.79	7 220	.000	1.8	817
Structural covariances	78	415.61	5 226	.000	1.8	39
Measurement residuals	58	508.51	7 246	.000	2.0	67
Saturated model	304	.000	0 C			
Independence model	64	4894.07	5 240	.000	20.3	92
Baseline Compari	isons					_
Model	NFI	RFI	IFI	TLI	CEL	
Woder	Delta1	rho1	Delta2	rho2	CH	
Unconstrained	.928	.908	.965	.954	.964	
Measurement weights	.924	.911	.965	.958	.964	
Measurement intercepts	.918	.911	.962	.958	.961	
Structural covariances	.915	.910	.959	.957	.959	
Measurement residuals	.896	.899	.944	.945	.944	
Saturated model	1.000		1.000		1.000	

Madal	NFI	RFI	IFI	Т	rLI	СГІ
would	Delta1	rho1	Delta2	rho	o <b>2</b>	CFI
Independence model	.000	.000	.000	.00	. 00	.000
RMSEA					,	
Model	RMSEA	LO 90	HI 90	) PC	LOSE	
Unconstrained	.056	.047	.065	j	.126	
Measurement weights	.054	.045	.063	1	.227	
Measurement intercepts	.054	.046	.062	-	.211	
Structural covariances	.055	.046	.063	}	.169	
Measurement residuals	.062	.054	.069	)	.006	
Independence model	.263	.257	.270	)	.000	
AIC						
Model	AIC	:	BCC	BIC	CAIC	
Unconstrained	586.195	618	8.531			7
Maaguramantuusighta	FC0 001	F 0-				

•	000.200	010.001	
Measurement weights	569.801	597.677	
Measurement intercepts	567.797	591.213	
Structural covariances	571.615	593.358	
Measurement residuals	624.517	640.685	
Saturated model	608.000	692.745	
Independence model	5022.075	5039.916	

### **Nested Model Comparisons**

Assuming model Unconstrained to be correct:

Madal	DE CMIN		р	NFI	IFI	RFI	TLI
WIDUEI		CIVIIN	, I	Delta-1	Delta-2	rho-1	rho2
Measurement weights	16	15.606	.481	.003	.003	003	004
Measurement intercepts	32	45.602	.056	.009	.010	003	003
Structural covariances	38	61.420	.009	.013	.013	002	002
Measurement residuals	58	154.322	.000	.032	.033	.009	.009

## Assuming model Measurement weights to be correct:

Model	БГ	CMIN	Р	NFI	IFI	RFI	TLI		
would	UF		F	Delta-1	Delta-2	rho-1	rho2		
Measurement intercepts	16	29.996	.018	.006	.006	.000	.000		
Structural covariances	22	45.814	.002	.009	.010	.001	.001		
Measurement residuals	42	138.716	.000	.028	.030	.012	.013		
Assuming model Measurement intercepts to be correct:									

RFI

TLI

rho2

#### NFI IFI DF Ρ Model CMIN Delta-1 Delta-2 rho-1

Structural covariances	6	15.818	.015	.003	.003	.001	.001			
Measurement residuals	26	108.720	.000	.022	.023	.012	.013			
Assuming model Structural covariances to be correct:										
Model	DE	CNAIN	р	NFI	IFI	RFI	TLI			
Model		CIVILIN	г							
		-	-	Delta-1	Delta-2	rho-1	rho2			

Measurement weights models:





# B. Sciatica diagnosis reported (N=192 cases -17outliers=175) or not (N=237 cases – 28 outliers=209)

### Model Fit Summary

### CMIN

-						
Model	NPAR	CMI	N DF	Р	CMIN/	DF
Unconstrained	116	524.20	0 188	.000	2.7	88
Measurement weights	100	549.11	8 204	.000	2.6	92
Measurement intercepts	84	576.93	3 220	.000	2.6	22
Structural covariances	78	609.11	8 226	.000	2.6	95
Measurement residuals	58	710.55	1 246	.000	2.8	88
Saturated model	304	.00	0 0			
Independence model	64	7037.15	9 240	.000	29.3	21
Baseline Comparisons						-
Model	NFI	RFI	IFI	TLI	CEI	
	Delta1	rho1	Delta2	rho2	CIT	
Unconstrained	.926	.905	.951	.937	.951	
Measurement weights	.922	.908	.949	.940	.949	
Measurement intercepts	.918	.911	.948	.943	.947	
Structural covariances	.913	.908	.944	.940	.944	
Measurement residuals	.899	.901	.932	.933	.932	
Saturated model	1.000		1.000		1.000	
Independence model	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	
RMSEA	n					
Model	RMSEA	LO 90	HI 90	PCLOSE	Ξ	
Unconstrained	.068	.062	.075	.000	)	
Measurement weights	.067	.060	.073	.000	)	
Measurement intercepts	.065	.059	.072	.000	)	
Structural covariances	.067	.060	.073	.000	)	
Measurement residuals	.070	.064	.076	.000	)	
Independence model	.272	.267	.278	.000	)	
AIC						
Model	Al	С	BCC	BIC CAI	С	
Unconstrained	756.20	0 779	9.097			
Measurement weights	749.11	8 768	3.857			
Measurement intercepts	744.93	3 762	1.514			
Structural covariances	765.11	8 780	).515			
Measurement residuals	826.55	1 838	3.000			
Saturated model	608.00	0 668	3.005			
Independence model	7165.15	9 7177	7.791			
Nested Model Comparison	ns					
Assuming model Unconstr	ained to b	be correc	t:			
Model	DF	CMIN	Р	NFI Dalta 1	IFI	
				veita-1	Delta-2	. r

Madal		CNAINI	D	NFI	IFI	RFI	TLI
Model	DF	CIMIN	Р	Delta-1	Delta-2	rho-1	rho2
Measurement weights	16	24.918	.071	.004	.004	003	003
Measurement intercepts	32	52.733	.012	.007	.008	006	006
Structural covariances	38	84.918	.000	.012	.012	003	003
Measurement residuals	58	186.351	.000	.026	.027	.003	.004

Assuming model Measurement weights to be correct:									
Madal		CMIN	р	NFI	IFI	RFI	TLI		
Model	DF	CIVIIN	P	Delta-1	Delta-2	rho-1	rho2		
Measurement intercepts	16	27.816	.033	.004	.004	002	002		
Structural covariances	22	60.001	.000	.009	.009	.000	.000		
Measurement residuals	42	161.434	.000	.023	.024	.007	.007		
Assuming model Measurement intercepts to be correct:									
Model		CNAIN	р	NFI	IFI	RFI	TLI		
Model	DF	CIVIIN	Р	Delta-1	Delta-2	rho-1	rho2		
Structural covariances	6	32.185	.000	.005	.005	.002	.003		
Measurement residuals	26	133.618	.000	.019	.020	.009	.009		
Assuming model Structur	al cov	ariances to	be corre	ect:					
Madal		CNAIN	р	NFI	IFI	RFI	TLI		
Model	DF	CIVIIN	Р	Delta-1	Delta-2	rho-1	rho2		
Measurement residuals	20	101.433	.000	.014	.015	.007	.007		

#### Assuming model Measurement weights to be correct:

Measurement weights models:





C. Pain duration less than 3 years (N = 151cases – 15 outliers=136) vs more than 3 years (N = 278 cases -27outliers=251)

Model	Fit	Summary
-------	-----	---------

CMIN						
Model	NPAR	CMI	N DF	Р	CMIN/	DF
Unconstrained	116	487.314	4 188	.000	2.5	92
Measurement weights	100	507.99	0 204	.000	2.4	90
Measurement intercepts	84	527.55	5 220	.000	2.3	98
Structural covariances	78	545.00	7 226	.000	2.4	12
Measurement residuals	58	621.07	8 246	.000	2.5	25
Saturated model	304	.000 0				
Independence model	64	6954.70	5 240	.000	28.9	78
Baseline Comparisons	-					
Model	NFI	RFI	IFI	TLI	CEI	
Model	Delta1	rho1	Delta2	rho2	CIT	
Unconstrained	.930	.911	.956	.943	.955	
Measurement weights	.927	.914	.955	.947	.955	
Measurement intercepts	.924	.917	.954	.950	.954	
Structural covariances	.922	.917	.953	.950	.952	
Measurement residuals	.911	.913	.944	.946	.944	
Saturated model	1.000		1.000		1.000	

.000

.000

.000

.000

.000

RMSEA

Independence model

Model	RMSEA	LO 90	HI 90	PCLOSE
Unconstrained	.064	.057	.071	.000
Measurement weights	.062	.055	.069	.002
Measurement intercepts	.060	.054	.067	.006
Structural covariances	.061	.054	.067	.004
Measurement residuals	.063	.057	.069	.000
Independence model	.270	.264	.275	.000
AIC				

Model	AIC	BCC	BIC	CAIC
Unconstrained	719.314	744.634		
Measurement weights	707.990	729.817		
Measurement intercepts	695.555	713.890		
Structural covariances	701.007	718.032		
Measurement residuals	737.078	749.738		
Saturated model	608.000	674.355		
Independence model	7082.705	7096.674		

**Nested Model Comparisons** 

Assuming model Unconstrained to be correct:

Madal		DF CMIN P	П	NFI	IFI	RFI	TLI	
Model	DF		Delta-1	Delta-2	rho-1	rho2		
Measurement weights	16	20.676	.191	.003	.003	004	004	
Measurement intercepts	32	40.241	.150	.006	.006	007	007	
Structural covariances	38	57.692	.021	.008	.009	006	006	
Measurement residuals	58	133.764	.000	.019	.020	002	002	
Assuming model Measurement weights to be correct:								
N4 I - I	DE	DF CMIN		NFI	IFI	RFI	TLI	
Model	DF		P	Delta-1	Delta-2	rho-1	rho2	
Measurement intercepts	16	19.565	.240	.003	.003	003	003	
Structural covariances	22	37.017	.024	.005	.005	003	003	
Measurement residuals	42	113.088	.000	.016	.017	.001	.001	
Assuming model Measurement intercepts to be correct:								
Madal		CNAIN	Р	NFI	IFI	RFI	TLI	
Model	DF	CIVIIIN	P	Delta-1	Delta-2	rho-1	rho2	
Structural covariances	6	17.451	.008	.003	.003	.000	.000	
Measurement residuals	26	93.523	.000	.013	.014	.004	.005	
Assuming model Structural covariances to be correct:								
Model	DE	CMIN	Р	NFI	IFI	RFI	TLI	
WOULEI	UF	CIVIIIN	Г	Delta-1	Delta-2	rho-1	rho2	
Measurement residuals	20	76.071	.000	.011	.011	.004	.004	

Measurement intercepts models:





D. Treatment-experienced (N = 355– 35 outliers=320) or not (N = 73 cases– 8 outliers = 65)
Sample size for no treatment experience too low - Model presented only for treatment experienced:



E. Measurement invariance across time: wave 1 (N= 429 cases -46 outliers=383) versus wave 2 (N=115 cases -11 outliers=104)

# Model Fit Summary

Civilia						
Model	NPAR	CMI	CMIN DF P		CMIN/DF	
Unconstrained	116	564.82	7 188	.000	3.0	04
Measurement weights	100	579.09	0 204	.000	2.839	
Measurement intercepts	84	596.45	2 220	.000	2.711	
Structural covariances	78	604.98	0 226	.000	2.6	77
Measurement residuals	58	649.76	8 246	.000	2.641	
Saturated model	304	.00	0 0			
Independence model	64	8719.05	6 240	.000	36.329	
Baseline Comparisons						
Model	NFI	RFI	IFI	TLI	CEI	
WIDGEI	Delta1	rho1	Delta2	rho2	СП	
Unconstrained	.935	.917	.956	.943	.956	
Measurement weights	.934	.922	.956	.948	.956	
Measurement intercepts	.932	.925	.956	.952	.956	
Structural covariances	.931	.926	.955	.953	.955	

Model	1	NFI RI	FI 1 Dali	IFI T	LI CF	1	
	Den		Den Den		02	_	
Measurement residuais	.9	25 .92	./ .9	952 .95	.952	2	
Saturated model	1.0	00	1.0		1.000		
	.0	.00	i0 .(	.00	00.000	J	
Nodol	DM			00 00			
Unconstrained	RIVI.			90 PC	000		
Massurament weights		). ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) (			.000		
Measurement intercents					.001		
Structural covariances		J59 .( J50 (	)54 .( )52 (	705 764	.004		
Moosurement residuals			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	)04 )c4	.000		
Independence model				)04 )75	.007		
		270 .2	205 .4	275	.000		
AIC			DCC		CAIC		
Unconstrained	70				CAIC		
Moosurement weights	79	0.027	025.750	)			
Measurement weights	77	9.090	804.024	• •			
Structural covariances	70	4.452	785.390	)			
Structural covariances	70		780.428	) )			
Seturated model	70	5.708 9.000	780.230	)			
Saturated model	60			)			
Nested Medal Comparise	884	7.050 8	5863.014	•			
Assuming model Unconst	rained	to he co	rrect.				
				NF	I IF	E REI	TU
Model	DF	CMIN	Р	Delta-1	. Delta-	2 rho-1	rho2
Measurement weights	16	14.263	.579	.002	.00	2005	005
Measurement intercepts	32	31.625	.485	.004	.00	4008	008
Structural covariances	38	40.153	.375	.005	.00	5009	009
Measurement residuals	58	84.941	.012	.010	.01	0010	010
Assuming model Measure	ement	weights	to be cor	rect:			
		Ch (Ih)		NF	I IF	-I RFI	TLI
Model	DF	CIVIIN	Р	Delta-1	Delta-	2 rho-1	rho2
Measurement intercepts	16	17.362	.363	.002	.00	2004	004
Structural covariances	22	25.890	.256	.003	.00	3004	005
Measurement residuals	42	70.678	.004	.008	.00	8005	006
Assuming model Measure	ement	intercept	s to be c	orrect:			
Model	DE	CNAIN	D	NFI	IFI	RFI	TLI
would	DF	CIVIIN	r	Delta-1	Delta-2	rho-1	rho2
Structural covariances	6	8.528	.202	.001	.001	001	001
Measurement residuals	26	53.316	.001	.006	.006	002	002
Assuming model Structur			o he cor	rect:			
0	al cova	ariances t	0 82 001				
Model	DF	CMIN	P	NFI	IFI	RFI when 1	TLI rh = 2
Model	DF	CMIN	P	NFI Delta-1	IFI Delta-2	RFI rho-1	TLI rho2

Structural covariances models:



