

1 **Increased Cardiovascular Mortality in Subjects with Metabolic Syndrome Is Largely**  
2 **Attributable to Diabetes and Hypertension in 159,971 Korean Adults**

3

4 Ki-Chul Sung, MD, PhD,<sup>1,\*</sup> Eun-Jung Rhee, MD, PhD,<sup>2,\*</sup> Seungho Ryu, MD, PhD,<sup>3</sup> Byung-  
5 Jin Kim, MD, PhD,<sup>1</sup> Bum-Soo Kim, MD, PhD,<sup>1</sup> Won-Young Lee, MD, PhD,<sup>2</sup> Ki-Won Oh,  
6 MD, PhD,<sup>2</sup> Yong Bum Kim, MD, PhD,<sup>4</sup> Pil-Wook Chung, MD, PhD,<sup>4</sup> Hyang Kim,<sup>5</sup> Byrne  
7 CD,<sup>6</sup> Kyu-Beck Lee,<sup>5</sup> Sung-Woo Park<sup>2</sup>; Diabetes-Cardiovascular Disease Team of Kangbuk  
8 Samsung Hospital

9

10 <sup>1</sup>Department of Cardiology, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School  
11 of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

12 <sup>2</sup>Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Sungkyunkwan  
13 University School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

14 <sup>3</sup>Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital,  
15 Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

16 <sup>4</sup>Department of Neurology, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School  
17 of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

18 <sup>5</sup>Department of Nephrology, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School  
19 of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

20 <sup>6</sup>Human Development and Health Academic Unit, Faculty of Medicine, University of  
21 Southampton, Southampton, UK

22

1 **Page headings:** MetS and Mortality

2 **Keywords:** Metabolic Syndrome, Mortality, Diabetes Mellitus, Hypertension, Cardiovascular  
3 Diseases

4 **Word count:** 2758

5

6 \*Ki-Chul Sung and Eun-Jung Rhee have contributed equally to this work reported. Therefore,  
7 both should be considered as the co-corresponding authors.

8

9 **DISCLOSURE STATEMENT:** The authors have nothing to disclose.

10

11 \*Corresponding authors:

12 **Ki-Chul Sung, MD, PhD.**

13 Department of Cardiology, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School of  
14 Medicine, Seoul, Korea

15 108, Chongro-ku, Pyung-dong

16 Tel: +82 2 2001 2001

17 Fax: +82 2 2001 2400

18 E-mail: kcmd.sung@samsung.com

19 **Eun-Jung Rhee, MD, PhD.**

- 1 Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Sungkyunkwan
- 2 University School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea
- 3 108, Chongro-ku, Pyung-dong
- 4 Tel: +82 2 2001 2485
- 5 Fax: +82 2 2001 1588
- 6 E-mail: [hongsiri@hanmail.net](mailto:hongsiri@hanmail.net)
- 7

1 **Abstract**

2 **Context:** Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is a risk factor for cardiovascular disease (CVD)  
3 mortality.

4 **Objective:** To evaluate the association of metabolic syndrome (MetS) with all-cause and  
5 cardiovascular mortality in apparently healthy young Korean subjects.

6 **Design:** A retrospective study of 155,971 participants (mean age 41.8 years) in a health  
7 screening program, followed up for 3.7 years (597628.2 person-years). The risk for all-cause  
8 mortality and cardiovascular disease (CVD) mortality were analyzed according to the  
9 presence or absence of MetS.

10 **Main Outcomes:** A total of 542 subjects died during follow-up. Women with MetS showed a  
11 significantly increased age-adjusted hazard ratio (HR) for all-cause mortality compared with  
12 women without MetS, even after adjustment for confounding factors (HR 1.82; 95% CI 1.15-  
13 2.88). Subjects with MetS showed a significantly increased risk for CVD mortality compared  
14 with those without MetS, even after adjustment for confounding factors (HR 1.60; 95% CI  
15 1.02-2.20), of which significance disappeared when subjects with diabetes or hypertension at  
16 baseline were excluded from the analysis (HR 0.95; 95% CI 0.29-3.12).

17 **Conclusions:** The presence of MetS increased the risk for all-cause mortality in women and  
18 the risk for CVD mortality in total population. These increased HR attributed to the pre-  
19 existing diabetes or hypertension in this population.

20

## 1 **Introduction**

2

3 Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is a clustering of cardiometabolic risk factors (hyperglycemia,  
4 hypertension, dyslipidemia, and systemic inflammation) linked to insulin resistance and  
5 visceral obesity (1). The prevalence of metabolic syndrome varies from 5% to 41%,  
6 according to ethnic group. Since 1988, when Gerald Reaven first described MetS as  
7 “Syndrome X,” an abundance of research has been undertaken on its pathophysiology,  
8 prognosis, implications, therapeutic strategies, and clinical relationships with other metabolic  
9 diseases (2).

10 Experts have focused on metabolic syndrome during the last few decades not only because  
11 of the increasing importance of obesity in the development of metabolic diseases but also  
12 because of the impact of MetS on mortality and the development of cardiovascular diseases  
13 (3,4). The presence of metabolic syndrome is associated with increased risk of diabetes the  
14 risk of diabetes by two-fold, coronary artery disease by two- to three-fold, and ischemic  
15 stroke by two-fold. MetS increases the risk for other metabolic diseases as well (1,4). There  
16 are conflicting data on the association between metabolic syndrome and mortality, with some  
17 studies showing an increase in mortality (3-7) and others showing no increase in mortality  
18 (8,9).

19 The definition of MetS has evolved during the three decades since the first description of  
20 “Syndrome X” by Reaven (2). MetS has been defined by taking into account insulin  
21 resistance, central obesity, and atherosclerosis (10). In 2009, members from the International  
22 Diabetes Federation; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; the American Heart  
23 Association; the World Heart Federation; the International Atherosclerosis Society and the  
24 International Association for the Study of Obesity produced a harmonized definition of MetS  
25 (11). In this definition, three abnormal findings out of five previously used components of

1 MetS qualify a person for MetS, with population- and country-specific definitions for waist  
2 circumference.

3 Most of the previously published studies that have investigated the relationships between  
4 MetS and mortality have been undertaken with subjects with a history of diabetes or  
5 hypertension included in the study population, since diabetes and hypertension qualify as  
6 individual components of the MetS definition. However, diabetes and hypertension may also  
7 be considered outcomes or endpoints of MetS (11). In addition, few studies have been  
8 performed on the association of MetS and mortality in Asian people. Therefore, we analyzed  
9 the all-cause and CVD mortality rates attributable to MetS before and after excluding  
10 subjects with diabetes or hypertension in a large number of Korean adults without previous  
11 history of CVD followed up with for a median of 3.7 years.

12

## 1 **Subjects and Methods**

### 2 **Study population**

3 The study population consisted of examinees who participated in a comprehensive health  
4 screening program at Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Seoul, Korea (Kangbuk Samsung Health  
5 Study), from 2002 to 2009 (N=278,528). The purpose of the screening program was to  
6 promote health through early detection of chronic diseases and their risk factors. Additionally,  
7 in Korea, the Industrial Safety and Health Law requires employees to participate in annual or  
8 biennial health examinations. About 60% of the participants were employees of various  
9 companies and local governmental organizations and their spouses, with the remaining  
10 participants registering individually for the program.

11 For this analysis, 119,347 were excluded for one or more of the following reasons: 136  
12 subjects with missing data on metabolic syndrome components; 2,627 subjects with histories  
13 of malignancy; 97 subjects with history of coronary heart disease or ischemic stroke; 11  
14 subjects with unknown vital status; and 116,605 subjects without waist circumference at  
15 baseline. As some individuals met more than one criterion for exclusion, the total number of  
16 eligible subjects for the study was 159,971. Further analyses were undertaken after excluding  
17 subjects with diabetes (n=7,292) and subjects with diabetes or hypertension (n=34,152).

18 This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Kangbuk Samsung Hospital,  
19 which exempted the requirement for informed consent, as we only accessed data  
20 retrospectively that was de-identified.

21 Mortality follow-up between January 1, 2002, and December 31, 2009, was based on the  
22 nationwide death certificate data of the Korea National Statistical Office. Deaths among  
23 subjects were confirmed by matching the information to death records. Death certificates

1 from the National Statistical Office were identified with the use of identification numbers  
2 assigned to subjects at birth. Abstractors coded the causes of death according to the  
3 International Classification of Diseases, 10<sup>th</sup> revision.

4

#### 5 **Anthropometric and laboratory measurements**

6 Data on medical history, medication use, and health-related behaviors were collected  
7 through a self-administered questionnaire, while the physical measurements and serum  
8 biochemical parameters were measured by trained staff, all collected during the health  
9 examinations. Details regarding alcohol use included the frequency of intake per week and  
10 the average amount of intake per episode. Current smokers were identified and the weekly  
11 frequency of moderate- or vigorous-intensity physical activity assessed. Body weight was  
12 measured in light clothing and no shoes to the nearest 0.1 kilogram using a digital scale.  
13 Height was measured to the nearest 0.1 centimeter. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as  
14 weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared. Trained nurses measured sitting  
15 blood pressure with standard mercury sphygmomanometers. The waist circumference (WC)  
16 was measured in the standing position, at the middle point between anterior iliac crest and  
17 lower border of rib by a single examiner.

18 Blood specimens were sampled from the antecubital vein after more than 12 hours of fasting.  
19 Serum levels of glucose, uric acid, total cholesterol, triglycerides, low-density lipoprotein  
20 (LDL) cholesterol, and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) were measured using  
21 Bayer Reagent Packs (Bayer Diagnostics, Leverkusen, Germany) on an automated chemistry  
22 analyzer (Advia 1650 Autoanalyzer; Bayer Diagnostics, Leverkusen, Germany).

23



## 1 **Definitions of metabolic parameters**

2 Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure  $\geq 140$  mm Hg, diastolic blood pressure  
3  $\geq 90$  mm Hg, self-report history of hypertension, or current use of antihypertensive  
4 medication (12). Diabetes mellitus was defined as a fasting serum glucose level  $\geq 126$  mg/dL,  
5 a self-reported history of diabetes, or current use of diabetic medication (13).

6 MetS was defined based on the ‘harmonized criteria’ by the related federations (11). The  
7 cutoffs for the presence of abdominal obesity is defined as a WC  $\geq 90$  cm for men and  $\geq 85$  cm  
8 for women in Korea by ethnicity-specific cutoff (14)

9

## 10 **Statistical analysis**

11 The  $\chi^2$ -test and Student’s t-test were used to compare the characteristics of the study  
12 participants at baseline between alive and dead. The distribution of continuous variables was  
13 evaluated and the appropriate transformations were undertaken during analysis, as needed.

14 We used Cox proportional hazards models to estimate adjusted hazard ratios (HRs) and 95%  
15 confidence intervals for mortality, comparing subjects with or without MetS, according to  
16 number of MetS components. The models were initially adjusted for age and sex, then for  
17 smoking status, alcohol intake, and regular exercise. Additional step-wise regression analyses  
18 with individual components of metabolic syndrome included in the model were performed as  
19 crude, and after adjustment for confounding variables. For testing linear risk trends, we used  
20 the number of categories as a continuous variable in the regression models. We checked the  
21 proportional hazards assumption by examining graphs of estimated log (-log) survival.

22 The statistical analysis was performed using STATA version 11.2 (StataCorp LP, College

1 Station, TX, USA). All reported P values are two tailed, and *P* value <0.05 was considered  
2 statistically significant.

3

## 1 **Results**

2 Mean age of total study population was 41.8 years with 597628.3 person-years and mean  
3 BMI was 23.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. General characteristics of the participants at baseline are presented in  
4 Table 1. The proportion of subjects with MetS at baseline was 12.6%.

5

### 6 **Risks for all-cause mortality according to presence or absence of MetS**

7 During the median follow-up period of 3.7±2.2 years, 542 subjects died. When HR for all-  
8 cause mortality was analyzed, subjects with MetS did not show significantly increased HR  
9 compared with those without MetS (HR 1.19; 95% CI 0.98-1.46). When the analyses were  
10 performed separately for each sex, men did not show an increased HR for all-cause mortality  
11 in subjects with MetS (Table 2). In contrast, women showed significantly increased age-  
12 adjusted and multivariate HR for all-cause mortality (HR 1.82; 95% CI 1.15-2.88) (Table 2).  
13 When these analyses were performed after exclusion of subjects with diabetes or  
14 hypertension from the study population, there were no significant increases in HRs in either  
15 gender (Table 2).

16

### 17 **Risks for all-cause mortality according to the number of MetS components**

18 When risks for all-cause mortality were analyzed according to the number of MetS  
19 components at baseline, HR did not show any increasing trend from one to five components  
20 of MetS (Table 3). Men did not show a significantly increased HR for all-cause mortality,  
21 while women showed a trend for increased HR for all-cause mortality as the number of MetS  
22 components increased (Table 3). When subjects with diabetes or hypertension at baseline

1 were excluded from the analyses, these results became non-significant.

2

### 3 **Risks for cardiovascular mortality according to presence or absence of MetS**

4 When risks for CVD mortality were analyzed according to the presence of absence of MetS,  
5 subjects with MetS showed significantly increased HR of 1.60 (95% CI 1.02-2.20) compared  
6 with subjects without MetS in the multivariate model (Table 4). Men showed a significantly  
7 increased multivariate HR for CVD mortality, while women did not show a significantly  
8 increased risk for CVD mortality. As observed for all-cause mortality, when subjects with  
9 diabetes or hypertension were excluded from the analyses, these HRs were not significantly  
10 different from subjects without MetS.

11

### 12 **Risks for cardiovascular mortality according to the number of MetS components**

13 When risks for CVD mortality were analyzed according to the number of MetS components  
14 at baseline, the HR linearly increased from 1.99 with one component of MetS to 2.98 in  
15 subjects with equal to or more than four components of MetS in the multivariate model  
16 (Table 5). Men showed a significant linear increase in the HR as the number of MetS  
17 components increased, whilst women did not (Table 5). When subjects with diabetes or  
18 hypertension were excluded from the analyses, the HRs were not significantly different from  
19 subjects without MetS.

20

### 21 **Risks for all-cause mortality according to the individual components of MetS at baseline**

22 When risks for all-cause mortality were analyzed according to the individual components of

1 MetS, the presence of high fasting blood glucose showed the highest HR among the  
2 individual components (HR 1.40; 95% CI 1.16-1.69) (Table 6). In stepwise multivariate  
3 model, the presence of high fasting blood glucose or high blood pressure showed  
4 significantly increased HRs for all-cause mortality (Table 6). However, when subjects with  
5 diabetes were excluded from the population, presence of high blood pressure and low  
6 triglyceride (TG) showed increased HRs for all-cause mortality. When subjects with diabetes  
7 or hypertension were excluded from the population, only presence of low TG level showed  
8 significantly increased HR for all-cause mortality, although this significance disappeared in  
9 multivariate model (Table 6).

10

#### 11 **Risks for CVD mortality according to the individual components of MetS at baseline**

12 When risks for CVD mortality were analyzed according to the individual components of  
13 MetS, the presence of high blood pressure showed the highest HR among the individual  
14 components (HR 2.87; 95% CI 1.83-4.50) (Table 7). In stepwise multivariate model, only the  
15 presence of high blood pressure showed significantly increased HR for CVD mortality (Table  
16 7). When subjects with diabetes were excluded from the population, only the presence of high  
17 blood pressure showed significantly increased HR for CVD mortality, which was consistently  
18 significant in stepwise multivariate model. When subjects with diabetes or hypertension were  
19 excluded from the population, none of the individual components showed significantly  
20 increased HR for CVD mortality (Table 7).

21

## 1 **Discussion**

2 In this study, our results show that, in a large number of Koreans participating in a health  
3 screening program followed for a median of 3.7 years, risks for all-cause mortality increased  
4 in women with MetS compared with those without MetS. However, these significant  
5 increases in HR disappeared when subjects with diabetes or hypertension were excluded from  
6 the study. For CVD mortality, HR was significantly increased in subjects with MetS even  
7 after adjustment for confounding variables, but the increased hazard was markedly attenuated  
8 after excluding subjects with diabetes or hypertension. The presence of individual  
9 components of MetS increased the HR for all-cause and CVD mortality, which disappeared  
10 after exclusion of subjects with diabetes or hypertension from the analyses. These results  
11 suggest that it is pre-existing diabetes or hypertension that largely accounts for the increased  
12 all-cause and CVD mortality attributable to MetS.

13 MetS is a cluster of risk factors for cardiometabolic diseases comprising abdominal obesity,  
14 hyperglycemia, high blood pressure, high TG, and low HDL-C (1). Numerous definitions of  
15 MetS have been proposed and many studies have been published regarding the clinical  
16 implications of MetS for metabolic or cardiovascular diseases. The main pathophysiological  
17 mechanism that has been proposed for how MetS contributes to these diseases involves  
18 insulin resistance, caused by accumulation of visceral fat. Overall, MetS is known to cause an  
19 approximately two-fold increase in risk of CVD over 5 to 10 years, and at least a five-fold  
20 increase in the development of diabetes (1). Importantly in the context of our findings, in the  
21 ‘harmonized definition of MetS recommended in 2009, the presence of diabetes or  
22 hypertension is included as one of the component features of the syndrome (11).

23 In this study, the presence of MetS increased the HR for all-cause in women and CVD  
24 mortality by 1.6- to 1.9-fold, but this increase in HR was attenuated, when subjects with

1 diabetes or hypertension were excluded from the analyses. Previously published studies show  
2 inconsistent results regarding the association between MetS and mortality, with some studies  
3 showing significantly increased risks for mortality in subjects with MetS (3-7) and others  
4 showing no increase in mortality in those with MetS (8,9). However, some of these studies  
5 included subjects with diabetes as a MetS criterion (5,6,8), while other studies excluded  
6 subjects with diabetes from the analyses (4,7,9). In a study by Ford et al. (8), which analyzed  
7 the risk for mortality in subjects with MetS, subjects with diabetes at baseline were excluded.  
8 The authors found that the presence of MetS was not associated with increased all-cause or  
9 CVD mortality. However, in a few studies, the presence of MetS was associated with an  
10 increased risk of mortality after exclusion of subjects with diabetes at baseline (4,7), which is  
11 in contrast to the results of our study. These data and our results suggest that the definition of  
12 MetS markedly affects the mortality risk, since diabetes *per se* increases the risk of CVD (15).

13 When the risks for all-cause and CVD mortality were analyzed according to the presence of  
14 individual components of MetS, the presence of high blood pressure showed consistently  
15 increased HRs for all-cause and CVD mortality in multivariate model. These significant  
16 effect of high blood pressure on increased mortality in subjects with MetS was consistent  
17 even after excluding subjects with diabetes. These results suggest relatively more important  
18 contribution of high blood pressure on increased mortality in subjects with MetS compared  
19 with other components of MetS. However, these effects disappeared after excluding subjects  
20 with hypertension from the model, meaning that the increased HR for mortality by the  
21 presence of MetS attributes largely to the effect of pre-existing hypertension.

22 There have been few studies investigating the association between MetS and mortality risk  
23 in Asian subjects. In a study of 25,471 Japanese men, MetS was associated with an increased  
24 risk of all-cause death with an HR of 4.88 (7). In that study, the investigators excluded

1 subjects with diabetes, hypertension, or CVD from the analyses, similar to our study, and they  
2 still showed an increased risk for mortality. Therefore, the discrepancy between our study  
3 data and the results of previous studies are not likely to be due to ethnic differences between  
4 Asians and people of white European ethnicity.

5 Our study has limitations. It was performed in a relatively homogenous population of  
6 working individuals who participated in a health screening program, and is not fully  
7 representative of the whole Korean population. In addition, our study was not an actually  
8 prospective or randomized controlled study, but was a longitudinal, observational study  
9 analyzed from a retrospective data. Therefore, direct cause-and-effect relationship could not  
10 be drawn from our study. However, the number of subjects included in our study is larger  
11 than in any previous studies investigating this question (4). Despite these limitations, our  
12 results give meaningful information to the literature regarding the association of increased  
13 mortality in Asian subjects with MetS.

14 We observed an increased risk for all-cause and CVD mortality in subjects with MetS with a  
15 median follow-up of 3.7 years in this large group of Korean adults without previous history  
16 of CVD. However, these increased HRs were attenuated after exclusion of subjects with  
17 diabetes or hypertension from the study population. These results suggest that it is diabetes or  
18 hypertension that largely accounts for the increased CVD mortality attributed to MetS.

19



1 **Acknowledgments**

2 K.S. researched data/reviewed/edited the manuscript. E.R. wrote the manuscript and  
3 researched data. S.R., B.K., B.K., W.L., K.O., Y.K., P.C., H.K., B.C., K.L. and S.P. researched  
4 data and reviewed manuscript.

5 **Disclosure Summary:** The authors declare that there is no duality of interest associated with  
6 this manuscript.

7

8 **References**

9 1. **Samson SL, Garber AJ.** Metabolic syndrome. *Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am*  
10 2014;43:1-23.

11 2. **Reaven GM.** Banting lecture 1988. Role of insulin resistance in human disease. *Diabetes*  
12 1988;37:1595-1607.

13 3. **Gami AS, Witt BJ, Howard DE, et al.** Metabolic syndrome and risk of incident  
14 cardiovascular events and death: a systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal  
15 studies. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2007;49:403-414.

16 4. **Mottillo S, Filion KB, Genest J, et al.** The metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular risk a  
17 systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010;56:1113-1132.

18 5. **Ravaglia G, Forti P, Maioli F, et al.** Metabolic Syndrome: prevalence and prediction of  
19 mortality in elderly individuals. *Diabetes Care* 2006;29:2471-2476.

20 6. **Hunt KJ, Resendez RG, Williams K, Haffner SM, Stern MP; San Antonio Heart**  
21 **Study.** National Cholesterol Education Program versus World Health Organization metabolic

- 1 syndrome in relation to all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in the San Antonio Heart Study.  
2 *Circulation* 2004;110:1251-1257.
- 3 7. **Kondo T, Osugi S, Shimokata K, et al.** Metabolic syndrome and all-cause mortality,  
4 cardiac events, and cardiovascular events: a follow-up study in 25,471 young- and middle-  
5 aged Japanese men. *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil* 2011;18:574-580.
- 6 8. **Ford ES.** The metabolic syndrome and mortality from cardiovascular disease and all-  
7 causes: findings from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey II Mortality  
8 Study. *Atherosclerosis* 2004;173:309-314.
- 9 9. **Lakka HM, Laaksonen DE, Lakka TA, et al.** The metabolic syndrome and total and  
10 cardiovascular disease mortality in middle-aged men. *JAMA* 2002;288:2709-2716.
- 11 10. **Aguilar-Salinas CA, Rojas R, Gómez-Pérez FJ, et al.** The metabolic syndrome: a  
12 concept hard to define. *Arch Med Res* 2005;36:223-231.
- 13 11. **Alberti KG, Eckel RH, Grundy SM, et al.; International Diabetes Federation Task**  
14 **Force on Epidemiology and Prevention; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute;**  
15 **American Heart Association; World Heart Federation; International Atherosclerosis**  
16 **Society; International Association for the Study of Obesity.** Harmonizing the metabolic  
17 syndrome: a joint interim statement of the International Diabetes Federation Task Force on  
18 Epidemiology and Prevention; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; American Heart  
19 Association; World Heart Federation; International Atherosclerosis Society; and International  
20 Association for the Study of Obesity. *Circulation* 2009;120:1640-1645.
- 21 12. **James PA, Oparil S, Carter BL, et al.** 2014 evidence-based guideline for the  
22 management of high blood pressure in adults: report from the panel members appointed to the  
23 Eighth Joint National Committee (JNC 8). *JAMA* 2014;311:507-520.

1 13. Standards of medical care in diabetes--2015: summary of revisions. *Diabetes Care*  
2 2015;38 Supple:S4.

3 14. **Kim MK, Lee WY, Kang JH, et al.**; Committee of Clinical Practice Guidelines; Korean  
4 Society for the Study of Obesity. 2014 clinical practice guidelines for overweight and obesity  
5 in Korea. *Endocrinol Metab (Seoul)* 2014;29:405-409.

6 15. **Haffner SM, Lehto S, Rönnemaa T, Pyörälä K, Laakso M.** Mortality from coronary  
7 heart disease in subjects with type 2 diabetes and in nondiabetic subjects with and without  
8 prior myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med* 1998;339:229-234.

9

1 **Table 1.** General characteristics of the participants at baseline

Characteristics (n=159,971)	Alive (n=159,429)	Dead (n=542)	<i>P</i> value
Total population (%)	159,429 (99.7)	542 (0.3)	
Male (n=88,809)	88,395(55.4)	414 (76.4)	<0.001
Female (n=71,162)	71,034 (44.6)	128 (23.6)	
Age (years)	41.7 (10.1)	53.4 (13.0)	<0.001
BMI	23.5 (3.1)	23.8 (3.1)	0.0231
Waist circumference (cm)	79.8 (9.5)	83.4 (9.1)	<0.001
Systolic BP (mmHg)	115.0 (15.0)	124.6 (19.1)	<0.001
Diastolic BP (mmHg)	75.0(10.1)	79.1(11.8)	<0.001
Fasting blood glucose (mg/dl)	94.7 (17.7)	105.5 (34.0)	<0.001
Total cholesterol (mg/dl)	194.9 (34.8)	200.5 (40.6)	0.0002
LDL-C (mg/dl)	113.4 (30.0)	117.0 (34.0)	0.0060
HDL-C (mg/dl)	55.7 (12.7)	55.2 (14.4)	0.3604
Triglycerides (mg/dl)	105(73-155)	119(87-169)	<0.001
Smoking status (%)			<0.001
Never smoker, n=147,502	87,589 (55.9)	190 (36.2)	
Former smoker, n=45,921	27,443 (17.5)	133 (25.3)	
Current smoker, n=77,361	41,560 (26.5)	202 (38.5)	
Alcohol drinking status (%)			<0.001
No alcohol intake (0g/day), n=107,337	62,302 (40.0)	203 (38.8)	
Alcohol intake (10g/day), n=123,561	70,497 (45.2)	197 (37.7)	
Alcohol intake (20g/day), n=36,983	23,063 (14.8)	123 (23.5)	
Metabolic syndrome (%)	19,969 (12.5)	134 (24.7)	<0.001
Regular exercise (%) <sup>a</sup>	28,828 (18.3)	96 (18.1)	0.922
Education <sup>b</sup>	60,638 (70.2)	198 (48.2)	<0.001
History of hypertension (%)	14,167 (8.9)	117 (21.6)	<0.001
History of diabetes (%)	4,618 (2.9)	76 (14.0)	<0.001

Diabetes (%) <sup>†</sup>	7,191 (4.5)	101 (18.6)	0.976
Hypertension (%)	30,170 (18.9)	226 (41.7)	<0.001

1 Data are presented with mean (standard deviation), median (interquartile range), or percentage.

2 <sup>a</sup> ≥ 1 time per week, <sup>†</sup>Based on fasting blood glucose, history and medication use

3 BP, blood pressure; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol

4 <sup>b</sup> ≥ college graduate

5

6

1 **Table 2.** Risks for all-cause mortality according to presence or absence of metabolic syndrome at  
 2 baseline

Metabolic syndrome	Number of subjects	Person-years	Number of events	Incidence Density (10000 person-year)	Age-adjusted HR (95% CI)	Multivariate HR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)
<b>In all subjects</b>						
Total	155,971					
MetS –	139,868	523,095.0	408	7.8	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
MetS +	20,103	74,533.3	134	18.0	1.19 (0.98-1.46)	1.15 (0.94-1.42)
Men	88,809					
MetS –	74,237	277,023.8	315	11.1	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
MetS +	14,572	71,095.4	99	14.9	1.08 (0.86-1.36)	1.06 (0.84-1.35)
Women	71,162					
MetS –	65,631	226,504.9	93	4.0	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
MetS +	5,531	23,004.1	35	16.5	1.89 (1.24-2.89)	1.82 (1.15-2.88)
<b>After excluding subjects with DM, HTN</b>						
Total	125,819					
MetS –	118,534	430,562.4	258	5.9	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
MetS +	7,285	35,966.6	22	7.2	0.92 (0.60-1.43)	0.89 (0.57-1.40)
Men	65,422					
MetS –	60,035	236,422.0	194	8.2	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
MetS +	5,387	19,488.0	15	7.7	0.78 (0.46-1.31)	0.77 (0.46-1.31)
Women	60,397					
MetS –	58,499	204,612.1	64	3.1	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
MetS +	1,898	6,006.9	7	11.7	1.88 (0.84-4.25)	1.80 (0.75-4.33)

3 <sup>a</sup> Adjusted for age, sex, smoking status, alcohol intake, regular exercise

4 HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; MetS, metabolic syndrome; DM, diabetes mellitus; HTN, hypertension

5

1 **Table 3.** Risks for all-cause mortality according to the number of metabolic syndrome components at  
 2 baseline

Number of MetS components	Number of subjects	Person-years	Number of events	Incidence Density (10000 person-year)	Age-adjusted HR (95% CI)	Multivariate HR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)
<b>In all subjects</b>						
Total	159,971					
0	66,355	244,697.9	131	5.4	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
1	45,682	172,987.6	142	8.2	0.93 (0.73-1.19)	0.93 (0.73-1.19)
2	27,931	105,409.4	135	12.8	1.04 (0.81-1.34)	1.03 (0.80-1.33)
3	14,258	53,418.2	95	17.8	1.22 (0.92-1.60)	1.18 (0.89-1.57)
4	5,127	18,685.3	34	18.2	1.10 (0.75-1.61)	1.04 (0.70-1.56)
5	718	2,429.8	5	20.6	1.16 (0.47-2.85)	0.93 (0.34-2.52)
<i>P</i> for trend					0.144	0.308
<b>Men</b>						
0	27,503	108,024.6	92	8.5	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
1	27,077	107,572.4	112	10.4	0.91 (0.69-1.20)	0.90 (0.68-1.20)
2	19,657	7,6847.8	111	14.4	1.02 (0.77-1.35)	1.00 (0.75-1.33)
3	10,457	40,412.2	68	16.8	1.03 (0.75-1.42)	1.01 (0.73-1.40)
4	3,712	13,901.8	26	18.7	1.02 (0.66-1.59)	1.01 (0.64-1.58)
5	403	1,360.4	5	36.8	1.75 (0.71-4.32)	1.38 (0.50-3.77)
<i>P</i> for trend					0.458	0.618
<b>Women</b>						
0	38,852	136,673.3	39	2.9	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
1	18,605	65,415.2	30	4.6	1.08 (0.66-1.75)	1.15 (0.69-1.91)
2	8,174	28,561.7	24	8.4	1.30 (0.75-2.25)	1.38 (0.77-2.45)
3	3,801	13,005.9	27	20.8	2.51 (1.44-4.37)	2.60 (1.45-4.69)
4	1,415	4,783.5	8	16.7	1.71 (0.76-3.87)	1.53 (0.61-3.83)
5	315	1,069.5	0	0	-	-
<i>P</i> for trend					0.022	0.038
<b>After excluding subjects with DM, HTN</b>						
Total	125,819					
0	64,821	240,065.4	124	5.2	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
1	36,769	138,172.6	80	5.8	0.79 (0.60-1.05)	0.80 (0.60-1.07)
2	16,944	62,796.1	54	8.6	0.94 (0.68-1.30)	0.92 (0.66-1.29)
3	5,925	20,948.4	18	8.6	0.85 (0.52-1.40)	0.82 (0.49-1.36)
4	1,256	4,237.7	4	9.4	0.84 (0.31-2.28)	0.84 (0.31-2.29)
5	104	308.8	0	0	-	-

						0.416	0.353
	<i>P</i> for trend						
Men	65,422						
0	26,698	105,743.0	86	8.1	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	
1	21,354	84,701.1	63	7.4	0.80 (0.58-1.10)	0.79 (0.57-1.11)	
2	11,983	45,977.9	45	9.8	0.94 (0.66-1.36)	0.91 (0.62-1.31)	
3	4,377	16,027.6	12	7.5	0.70 (0.38-1.28)	0.69 (0.37-1.26)	
4	943	3,268.8	3	9.2	0.78 (0.25-2.48)	0.76 (0.24-2.42)	
5	67	191.6	0	0	-	-	
	<i>P</i> for trend					0.296	0.234
Women	60,397						
0	38,123	134,322.4	38	2.8	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	
1	15,415	53,471.5	17	3.2	0.86 (0.48-1.53)	0.92 (0.50-1.68)	
2	4,961	16,818.2	9	5.4	1.07 (0.50-2.29)	1.24 (0.58-2.67)	
3	1,548	4,920.8	6	12.2	1.97 (0.80-4.88)	1.93 (0.72-5.17)	
4	313	968.9	1	10.3	1.39 (0.18-10.41)	1.70 (0.23-12.84)	
5	37	117.2	0	0	-	-	
	<i>P</i> for trend					0.388	0.296

- 
- 1 <sup>a</sup> Adjusted for age, sex, smoking status, alcohol intake, regular exercise
- 2 MetS, metabolic syndrome; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; MetS, metabolic syndrome; DM, diabetes mellitus;
- 3 HTN, hypertension;
- 4



1 **Table 4.** Risks for cardiovascular mortality according to presence or absence of metabolic syndrome  
 2 at baseline

Metabolic syndrome	Number of subjects	Person-years	Number of events	Incidence Density (10000 person-year)	Age-adjusted HR (95% CI)	Multivariate HR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)
<b>In all subjects</b>						
Total	155,971					
MetS -	139,868	523,095.0	69	1.3	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
MetS +	20,103	74,533.3	31	4.2	1.63 (1.06-2.52)	1.60 (1.02-2.20)
Men	88,809					
MetS -	74,237	292,444.8	52	1.8	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
MetS +	14,572	55,674.3	26	4.7	1.73 (1.07-2.78)	1.67 (1.02-2.72)
Women	71,162					
MetS -	65,631	230,650.2	17	0.7	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
MetS +	5,531	18,858.9	5	2.7	1.32 (0.45-3.84)	1.38 (0.42-4.57)
<b>After excluding subjects with DM, HTN</b>						
Total	125,819					
MetS -	118,534	441,034.1	34	0.8	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
MetS +	7,285	25,494.9	3	1.2	0.99 (0.30-3.25)	0.95 (0.29-3.12)
Men	65,422					
MetS -	60,035	23,6422.0	25	1.1	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
MetS +	5,387	19,488.0	3	1.5	1.22 (0.37-4.04)	1.14 (0.34-3.78)
Women	60,397					
MetS -	58,499	204,612.1	9	0.4	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
MetS +	1,898	6,006.9	0	0	-	-

3 <sup>a</sup> Adjusted for age, sex, smoking status, alcohol intake, regular exercise

4 HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; MetS, metabolic syndrome; DM, diabetes mellitus; HTN, hypertension;

5

1 **Table 5.** Risks for cardiovascular mortality according to the number of metabolic syndrome  
 2 components at baseline

<b>Number of MetS components</b>	<b>Number of subjects</b>	<b>Person-years</b>	<b>Number of events</b>	<b>Incidence Density (10000 person-year)</b>	<b>Age-adjusted HR (95% CI)</b>	<b>Multivariate HR<sup>a</sup> (95% CI)</b>
<b>In all subjects</b>						
Total	159,971					
0	66,355	244,697.9	12	0.5	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
1	45,682	172,987.6	29	1.7	2.14 (1.08-4.22)	1.99 (1.00-3.95)
2	27,931	105,409.4	28	2.7	2.47 (1.23-4.93)	2.28 (1.13-4.60)
3	14,258	53,418.2	22	4.1	3.24 (1.57-6.68)	2.88 (1.37-6.03)
4	5,127	18,685.3	8	4.3	2.98 (1.19-7.46)	2.98 (1.19-7.46)
5	718	2,429.8	1	4.1	2.73 (0.35-21.25)	2.65 (0.34-20.70)
<i>P</i> for trend					0.002	0.004
<b>Men</b>						
Men	88,809					
0	27,503	108,024.6	8	0.7	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
1	27,077	107,572.4	19	1.8	1.82 (0.80-4.18)	1.71 (0.74-3.95)
2	19,657	76,847.8	25	3.3	2.74 (1.22-6.13)	2.59 (1.15-5.82)
3	10,457	40,412.2	18	4.5	3.29 (1.41-7.65)	2.96 (1.26-6.98)
4	3,712	13,901.8	7	5.0	3.31 (1.18-9.25)	3.22 (1.15-9.04)
5	403	1,360.4	1	7.4	4.22 (0.52-34.09)	4.02 (0.50-32.54)
<i>P</i> for trend					0.001	0.003
<b>Women</b>						
Women	71,162					
0	38,852	136,673.3	4	0.3	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
1	18,605	65,415.2	10	1.5	3.34 (1.01-11.01)	3.32 (0.99-11.14)
2	8,174	28,561.7	3	1.1	1.45 (0.30-7.03)	1.11 (0.19-6.59)
3	3,801	13,005.9	4	3.1	3.26 (0.72-14.86)	2.94 (0.57-15.23)
4	1,415	4,783.5	1	2.1	1.86 (0.19-18.44)	2.34 (0.23-23.78)
5	315	1,069.5	0	0	-	-
<i>P</i> for trend					0.550	0.548
<b>After excluding subjects with DM, HTN</b>						
Total	125,819					
0	64,821	240,065.4	12	0.5	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
1	36,769	138,172.6	13	0.9	1.39 (0.63-3.07)	1.37 (0.62-3.03)
2	16,944	62,796.1	9	1.4	1.74 (0.72-4.20)	1.69 (0.70-4.08)
3	5,925	20,948.4	2	1.0	1.06 (0.23-4.80)	1.02 (0.22-4.61)
4	1,256	4,237.7	1	2.4	2.42 (0.31-18.83)	2.24 (0.29-17.52)
5	104	308.8	0	0	-	-

					0.325	0.381
	<i>P</i> for trend					
Men	65,422					
0	26,698	105,743.0	8	0.8	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
1	21,354	84,701.1	9	1.1	1.25 (0.48-3.24)	1.21 (0.47-3.15)
2	11,983	45,977.9	8	1.7	1.88 (0.70-5.02)	1.77 (0.66-4.75)
3	4,377	16,027.6	2	1.2	1.28 (0.27-6.07)	1.19 (0.25-5.63)
4	943	3,268.8	1	3.1	2.94 (0.37-23.62)	2.56 (0.32-20.71)
5	67	191.6	0	0	-	-
	<i>P</i> for trend				0.236	0.311
Women	60,397					
0	38,123	134,322.4	4	0.3	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
1	15,415	53,471.5	4	0.7	2.00 (0.48-8.26)	2.10 (0.51-8.64)
2	4,961	16,818.2	1	0.6	1.23 (0.13-11.91)	1.29 (0.13-12.44)
3	1,548	4,920.8	0	0	-	-
4	313	968.9	0	0	-	-
5	37	117.2	0	0	-	-
	<i>P</i> for trend				0.992	0.942

1 <sup>a</sup> Adjusted for age, sex, smoking status, alcohol intake, regular exercise

2 HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; MetS, metabolic syndrome; DM, diabetes mellitus; HTN, hypertension;

1 **Table 6.** Risks for all-cause mortality according to metabolic syndrome components at baseline

Components of metabolic syndrome	In all subjects		After excluding subjects with DM		After excluding subjects with DM, HTN	
	full-adjusted HR (95% CI)	Stepwise HR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)	full-adjusted HR (95% CI)	Stepwise HR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)	full-adjusted HR (95% CI)	Stepwise HR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)
Total	N=155,971		N=152,679		N=125,819	
Fasting blood glucose	1.40 (1.16-1.69)	1.41 (1.17-1.70)	1.13 (0.91-1.42)	-	1.07 (0.80-1.45)	-
Blood pressure	1.27 (1.05-1.53)	1.28 (1.06-1.54)	1.29 (1.04-1.59)	1.30 (1.05-1.60)	1.34 (0.97-1.86)	-
Triglyceride	0.65 (0.53-0.79)	0.65 (0.54-0.79)	0.67 (0.53-0.83)	0.67 (0.54-0.83)	0.65 (0.48-0.87)	-
High-density lipoprotein cholesterol	1.06 (0.81-1.37)	-	0.94 (0.69-1.29)	-	1.14 (0.78-1.65)	-
Waist circumference	1.05 (0.85-1.28)	-	1.06 (0.84-1.33)	-	0.91 (0.65-1.26)	-
Men	N=87,261		N=82,342		N=64,358	
Fasting blood glucose	1.32 (1.07-1.63)	1.32 (1.07-1.63)	1.05 (0.82-1.35)	-	0.96 (0.69-1.35)	-
Blood pressure	1.24 (1.01-1.53)	1.24 (1.01-1.53)	1.19 (0.94-1.51)	-	1.29 (0.89-1.85)	-
Triglyceride	0.68 (0.55-0.84)	0.68(0.55-0.84)	0.68 (0.54-0.87)	-	0.67 (0.49-0.92)	-
High-density lipoprotein cholesterol	1.04 (0.74-1.45)	-	0.93 (0.62-1.39)	-	1.28 (0.81-2.03)	-
Waist circumference	1.02 (0.81-1.28)	-	1.05 (0.81-1.36)	-	0.86 (0.60-1.24)	-
Women	N=67,299		N=65,266		N=57,488	
Fasting blood glucose	1.77 (1.17-2.68)	1.85 (1.24-2.77)	1.51 (0.94-2.39)	-	1.62 (0.87-3.01)	-
Blood pressure	1.46 (0.95-2.23)	-	1.83 (1.16-2.88)	1.81 (1.15-2.83)	1.67 (0.80-3.48)	-
Triglyceride	0.59 (0.36-0.97)	-	0.68 (0.39-1.16)	-	0.67 (0.31-1.45)	-
High-density lipoprotein cholesterol	1.13 (0.73-1.74)	-	1.02 (0.63-1.66)	-	1.00 (0.53-1.86)	-
Waist circumference	1.28 (0.80-2.04)	-	1.20 (0.71-2.03)	-	1.27 (0.60-2.71)	-

2 <sup>a</sup> Adjusted for age, sex, smoking status, alcohol intake, regular exercise

3 HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; DM, diabetes mellitus; HTN, hypertension; CVD, cardiovascular disease

4

1 **Table 7.** Risks for cardiovascular mortality according to metabolic syndrome components at baseline

Components of metabolic syndrome	In all subjects		After excluding subjects with DM		After excluding subjects with DM, HTN	
	full-adjusted HR (95% CI)	Stepwise HR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)	full-adjusted HR (95% CI)	Stepwise HR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)	full-adjusted HR (95% CI)	Stepwise HR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)
Total	N=155,971		N=152,679		N=125,819	
Fasting blood glucose	0.94 (0.61-1.47)		0.76 (0.45-1.30)		0.85 (0.37-1.98)	
Blood pressure	2.87 (1.83-4.50)	2.90 (1.86-4.52)	3.17 (1.95-5.15)	3.20 (1.98-5.16)	1.92 (0.85-4.30)	-
Triglyceride	0.90 (0.58-1.38)	-	0.87 (0.54-1.40)	-	0.93 (0.45-1.94)	-
High-density lipoprotein cholesterol	1.16 (0.64-2.10)	-	1.23 (0.65-2.34)	-	2.13 (0.93-4.85)	-
Waist circumference	1.21 (0.77-1.89)	-	1.21 (0.73-1.99)	-	0.79 (0.32-1.93)	-
Men	N=87,261		N=82,342		N=64,358	
Fasting blood glucose	0.92 (0.57-1.50)		0.75 (0.42-1.36)		0.87 (0.34-2.18)	
Blood pressure	2.59 (1.57-4.27)	2.73 (1.67-4.48)	2.76 (1.61-4.74)	2.88 (1.69-4.91)	1.61 (0.64-4.05)	-
Triglyceride	1.06 (0.66-1.70)	-	0.99 (0.59-1.68)	-	1.17 (0.53-2.58)	-
High-density lipoprotein cholesterol	0.98 (0.47-2.08)	-	1.10 (0.49-2.46)	-	2.01 (0.74-5.48)	-
Waist circumference	1.47 (0.91-2.37)	-	1.42 (0.83-2.44)	-	0.93 (0.37-2.34)	-
Women	N=67,299		N=65,266		N=57,488	
Fasting blood glucose	1.08 (0.37-3.18)	1.24 (0.43-3.56)	0.83 (0.23-3.04)		0.81 (0.10-6.78)	
Blood pressure	4.58 (1.62-12.92)	7.19 (2.81-18.42)	5.97 (1.99-17.87)	8.50 (3.17-22.75)	3.78 (0.72-19.78)	5.24 (1.08-25.52)
Triglyceride	0.30 (0.07-1.36)	-	0.39 (0.08-1.81)	-	-	-
High-density lipoprotein cholesterol	1.92 (0.71-5.17)	-	1.82 (0.62-5.30)	-	2.91 (0.71-11.84)	-
Waist circumference	0.39 (0.08-1.79)	-	0.55 (0.12-2.61)	-	-	-

2 <sup>a</sup> Adjusted for age, sex, smoking status, alcohol intake, regular exercise

3 HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; DM, diabetes mellitus; HTN, hypertension; CVD, cardiovascular disease

4