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Context: Insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I) is thought to mediate many of the anabolic actions of growth hormone (GH) and there are anecdotal reports that IGF-I is misused by elite athletes. There is no published evidence regarding the effects of IGF-I administration on athletic performance.

Objective: To investigate the effects of IGF-I administration on body composition and physical fitness in recreational athletes.

Design and Setting: Randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled rhIGF-I/rhIGFBP-3 administration study at Southampton General Hospital, UK.

Participants: 56 recreational athletes (30 men, 26 women)

Intervention: Participants were randomly assigned to receive placebo, low dose rhIGF-I/rhIGFBP-3 (30 mg/day) or high dose rhIGF-I/rhIGFBP-3 (60 mg/day) for 28 days. Body composition (assessed by Dual Energy X-ray Absorptiometry) and cardiorespiratory fitness (assessed by incremental treadmill test), were measured before and immediately after treatment. Within-individual changes after treatment were analysed using paired t-tests.

Results: There were no significant changes in body fat mass or lean body mass in women or men after administration of rhIGF-I/rhIGFBP-3 complex. There was a significant increase in maximal oxygen consumption (VO₂ max) after treatment. When women and men and low and high dose treatment groups were combined, mean VO₂ max increased by approximately 7% (P = 0.001). No significant change in VO₂ max was observed in the placebo group.

Conclusions: rhIGF-I/rhIGFBP-3 administration for 28 days improves aerobic performance in recreational athletes but there are no effects on body composition.

Growth hormone is misused by athletes for its anabolic and lipolytic properties despite it appearing on the World Anti-Doping Agency list of prohibited substances (1). For many years there was no clear evidence that growth hormone (GH) improves athletic performance (2), but recent studies have demonstrated performance-enhancing effects of GH in athletes, particularly when combined with other anabolic agents (3, 4). Insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I) mediates many of the anabolic actions of GH (5) and there are reports that this peptide is also misused by athletes (6). It is possible that athletes will be tempted to exploit IGF-I as an alternative or additional doping agent as currently there is no internationally recognized test to detect recombinant human (rh) IGF-I mis-
use. Previous studies have shown that administration of IGF-I, in combination with GH, has beneficial effects on body composition in obese postmenopausal women (7) and that rhIGF-I administration has significant effects on body composition when administered to adults with GH deficiency (8). There is no evidence, however, regarding the effects of IGF-I administration on body composition or athletic performance in healthy athletes.

We performed a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study designed to detect changes in serum biomarkers in response to the administration of rhIGF-I complexed with rhIGF binding protein-3 (rhIGFBP-3) (9). A further aim of this study reported here was to determine the effects of rhIGF-I/rhIGFBP-3 administration on body composition and physical fitness in recreational athletes.

**Participants and Methods**

**Setting and Participants**

The study was performed at the Wellcome Trust Clinical Research Facility (WTCRF), Southampton General Hospital, Southampton, UK. The study received ethics approval from the Southampton and South West Hampshire Research Ethics Committee and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice guidelines. The study was regulated by the Research and Development Office of University Hospital Southampton NHS Trust. The study was not defined as a Clinical Trial because the Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) did not classify the rhIGF-I/rhIGFBP-3 complex as an Investigational Medicinal Product.

Fifty-six healthy recreational athletes (30 men, 26 women) aged between 18 and 30 years, who engaged in regular physical activity (two or more sessions per week), were recruited. Athletes were recruited by poster advertisement at the University of Southampton School of Medicine and University of Southampton sports centers. Participants were ineligible if they were competing at elite level, had a history of using performance-enhancing drugs or if they were found to be anemic at the time of screening. Anyone with previous history of endocrinopathy, diabetes mellitus or neoplastic disease was excluded. Pregnant women were not allowed to participate; pregnancy tests were performed on all female volunteers prior to enrolment and they were advised to use safe contraception for the duration of the study if sexually active. All participants provided written informed consent.

**Study design**

Participants were randomly assigned to receive low dose (30 mg/d) rhIGF-I/rhIGFBP-3 complex, high dose (60 mg/d) rhIGF-I/rhIGFBP-3 complex or placebo. Insmed Incorporated (Virginia, USA) provided the rhIGF-I/rhIGFBP-3 complex (Mecasermin Rinfabate, iPLEX™ 60 mg/ml) and matching placebo. The doses used in the study were selected by Insmed Incorporated based on clinical trial safety data and on clinical practice. Drug vials were stored frozen at −20°C until 30 minutes prior to injection when the required dose was allowed to thaw at room temperature. The injection technique was demonstrated to each volunteer prior to the first dose. Participants self-administered the drug subcutaneously with their evening meal for 28 consecutive days. All participants were reminded by daily text message to inject the drug and compliance was assessed by completion of a treatment diary and by collection of empty drug vials at the end of the treatment period.

**Randomisation**

Insmed Incorporated prepared the rhIGF-I/rhIGFBP-3 and provided placebo in identical packaging, labeled with the allocation number. Insmed Incorporated generated the random allocation sequence in blocks of varying size for men and women and University Hospital Southampton NHS Trust Pharmacy staff were responsible for dispensing the study medication according to the allocation sequence. Participants and investigators were blinded to interventions at all times.

**Body composition assessment**

Anthropometric measurements were performed and body composition assessed before treatment (baseline) and at the end of treatment (Day 28). Height was measured to the nearest half-centimetre using a wall-mounted Seca 220 stadiometer (Seca, Birmingham, UK). Body weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg using Seca 876 electronic scales (Seca, Birmingham, UK) with participants dressed in light clothing. Body composition was assessed using dual energy X-ray Absorptiometry (Hologic QDR-4500W DXA Scanner, Bedford, USA) according to standardized procedures recommended by the manufacturer. Calibration was performed on the day of each scan. Participants were dressed in light clothing and scan duration was approximately 10 minutes with radiation dose approximately 0.01 millisieverts. Lean body mass and body fat mass were analyzed using Hologic Discovery software version 13.0.

**Physical fitness assessment**

Cardiopulmonary exercise (CPX) testing was performed at the time of recruitment (to allow participants to familiarize themselves with the testing equipment and protocol), at baseline and at the end of treatment (Day 28). Participants were asked to maintain their normal exercise
pattern during the treatment period. Maximal aerobic capacity was measured by incremental treadmill test on a Woodway PPS Med treadmill (Woodway, Waukesha, USA) using the Bruce Protocol (10). Participants were verbally encouraged to continue until exhaustion. Oxygen consumption (VO$_2$) was recorded continuously with an on-line gas analyzer (Cortex MetaLyser 3B, Cortex Biophysik GmbH, Leipzig, Germany). Breath-by-breath gas exchange values were averaged over 15 second intervals to estimate maximal oxygen consumption (VO$_2$ max), corrected for total body weight.

Statistical analyses

We based sample size calculations on predicted responses in serum biomarkers to exogenous IGF-I administration. Power calculations were not performed for body composition or physical fitness outcomes as there were no pilot data on which to perform the necessary calculations. Differences in baseline characteristics between treatment groups were assessed using ANOVA. Data from participants in low and high dose treatment groups were analyzed separately and combined. Within-individual changes between baseline and Day 28 were assessed using paired t-tests. Between-group comparisons were performed using unpaired t-tests. The relationship between change in VO$_2$ max and baseline Body Mass Index (BMI) was assessed using linear regression. Because body composition and physical fitness data were skewed and their distribution was normal by log transformation, all analyses were performed on log-transformed data. P < .05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 19 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA) and SAS version 8 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Results

6 of the 62 participants screened were not randomly assigned to treatment because of personal reasons. DEXA scans were not available for 5 participants because of scheduling and technical difficulties with the equipment. Post-treatment (Day 28) physical fitness tests were not possible for 3 participants because of technical difficulties with the equipment. The analysis therefore included 51 participants for body composition measurements and 53 participants for physical fitness assessment. Compliance with treatment was greater than 99%. Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of the groups. The 30 male participants comprised 29 white Europeans and 1 Asian. The 26 female participants comprised 20 white Europeans, 2 Asians, 1 African and 3 mixed race. In men, there were significant differences at baseline between treatment groups in mean weight and lean body mass. There were no significant differences at baseline between treatment groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>Men</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>Low dose IGF-I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (so), years</td>
<td>21.9 (2.2)</td>
<td>21.7 (3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean height (so), cm</td>
<td>167.5 (7.7)</td>
<td>165.2 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>61.7 (7.0)</td>
<td>60.2 (4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lean body mass, kg</td>
<td>62.2 (6.3)</td>
<td>60.0 (5.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO$_2$ max, ml/min/kg</td>
<td>0.5 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 19 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA) using the Bruce Protocol (10). Participants were verbally encouraged to continue until exhaustion. Oxygen consumption (VO$_2$) was recorded continuously with an on-line gas analyzer (Cortex MetaLyser 3B, Cortex Biophysik GmbH, Leipzig, Germany). Breath-by-breath gas exchange values were averaged over 15 second intervals to estimate maximal oxygen consumption (VO$_2$ max), corrected for total body weight.

Statistical analyses

We based sample size calculations on predicted responses in serum biomarkers to exogenous IGF-I administration. Power calculations were not performed for body composition or physical fitness outcomes as there were no pilot data on which to perform the necessary calculations. Differences in baseline characteristics between treatment groups were assessed using ANOVA. Data from participants in low and high dose treatment groups were analyzed separately and combined. Within-individual changes between baseline and Day 28 were assessed using paired t-tests. Between-group comparisons were performed using unpaired t-tests. The relationship between change in VO$_2$ max and baseline Body Mass Index (BMI) was assessed using linear regression. Because body composition and physical fitness data were skewed and their distribution was normal by log transformation, all analyses were performed on log-transformed data. P < .05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 19 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA) and SAS version 8 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Results

6 of the 62 participants screened were not randomly assigned to treatment because of personal reasons. DEXA scans were not available for 5 participants because of scheduling and technical difficulties with the equipment. Post-treatment (Day 28) physical fitness tests were not possible for 3 participants because of technical difficulties with the equipment. The analysis therefore included 51 participants for body composition measurements and 53 participants for physical fitness assessment. Compliance with treatment was greater than 99%. Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of the groups. The 30 male participants comprised 29 white Europeans and 1 Asian. The 26 female participants comprised 20 white Europeans, 2 Asians, 1 African and 3 mixed race. In men, there were significant differences at baseline between treatment groups in mean weight and lean body mass. There were no significant differences at baseline between treatment groups.

**Table 1.** Baseline characteristics and changes in body composition and physical fitness after 28 days of rhIGF-I/rhIGFBP-3 treatment in 56 recreational athletes. Data from low and high dose treatment groups were analyzed separately and combined. Data from two women (both placebo group) and three men (all high dose IGF-I group) were excluded because of scheduling difficulties. Data from one woman (placebo group) and two men (both placebo group) were excluded because of technical difficulties with the equipment. * Significant difference at baseline (P < 0.05) compared with placebo group. ** Significant difference (P < 0.05) compared with baseline. BMI = Body Mass Index, IGF-I = rhIGF-I/rhIGFBP-3 administration, VO$_2$ max = maximal oxygen consumption.
groups in men or women in age, height, body mass index (BMI), fat mass or VO2 max.

Adverse events

No participant discontinued the study because of adverse effects related to the study medication. Participants in all treatment groups (9 in the high dose group, 12 in the low dose group and 9 in the placebo group) reported local erythema and pain at the site of subcutaneous injections; it is likely that this was a reaction to the solvent used to dissolve the drug and placebo. These symptoms were mild and resolved completely after stopping treatment. Three participants in the high dose rhIGF-I/rhIGFBP-3 group reported increased appetite during treatment but no participant experienced symptomatic hypoglycemia during the study.

Effects of treatment on body composition

There were no significant changes in fat mass or lean body mass in women or men after administration of either rhIGF-I/rhIGFBP-3 complex or placebo (Table 1).

Effects of treatment on physical fitness

rhIGF-I/rhIGFBP-3 administration significantly increased VO2 max (Figure 1 and Table 1). At baseline, there was no significant difference in mean VO2 max between women and men (47.1 ± 7.1 mL/min/kg vs. 49.9 ± 10.5 mL/min/kg; P = .394). There was also no significant difference at baseline between the low and high dose treatment groups (50.1 ± 10.7 mL/min/kg vs. 47.2 ± 8.4 mL/min/kg; P = .432). The within-individual changes were therefore assessed before and after combining the data from women and men and from low and high dose treatment groups. There was approximately a 7% increase in mean VO2 max in athletes treated with rhIGF-I/rhIGFBP-3 (P = .001). When the treatment groups were assessed separately, there was a 6% increase in mean VO2 max within the low dose group (P = .033) and 9% increase within the high dose group (P = .020) but there was no significant difference in the relative increase between the low and high dose groups (P = .617). When women and men were assessed separately, there was approximately a 9% increase in mean VO2 max within the female rhIGF-I/rhIGFBP-3 group (P = .013) and a 6% increase within the male rhIGF-I/rhIGFBP-3 group (P = .046). There was no significant difference in the relative increase between women and men (P = .599). No significant change in VO2 max was observed within the placebo group (P = .279 in women, P = .524 in men). Men in the placebo group were significantly heavier than in the rhIGF-I/rhIGFBP-3 treatment group (Table 1) but there was no significant relationship between change in VO2 max and baseline BMI (r = 0.195, P = .162).

Discussion

This study demonstrates the effects of rhIGF-I/rhIGFBP-3 administration on body composition and physical fitness in 56 recreational athletes. It is the first study to demonstrate an improvement in aerobic performance in young, healthy participants after administration of rhIGF-I/rhIGFBP-3, though there were no significant effects on body composition.

We have shown that, within the group treated with rhIGF-I/rhIGFBP-3, there was a statistically significant increase in maximal oxygen consumption (VO2 max). It has been suggested previously that small increments in VO2 max can have an important influence on the outcome of aerobic endurance events (11). VO2 max can be improved by physical training and it has been shown that major factors determining the level of improvement in aerobic fitness include the volume, intensity and frequency of training as well as the initial level of fitness (12). Highly trained athletes might seek alternative means of improving

![Figure 1](image-url). The effects of rhIGF-I/rhIGFBP-3 administration on physical fitness in 26 female and 30 male recreational athletes. Individual data points are shown (squares and solid lines) along with mean ± SD (circles and dashed lines). Intra-individual changes were assessed using paired t-tests, after logarithmic transformation of the data. IGF-I = rhIGF-I/rhIGFBP-3 administration (low and high dose groups combined). Data from one woman (placebo group) and two men (both placebo group) were excluded because of technical difficulties with the equipment.
VO₂ max when no further improvements can be attained through training alone, and the effects of IGF-I may therefore be attractive to this population.

The mechanisms of VO₂ max improvement have not been investigated in this study. Oxygen consumption during exercise is dependent on many factors including efficient inspiration by the respiratory system, transport of oxygen in the circulation to skeletal muscles and effective aerobic metabolism by skeletal muscle fibers. It is possible that effects on the cardiovascular system contributed to the improved aerobic performance. It has been shown previously that intravenous (IV) administration of rhIGF-I caused an increase in cardiac output, heart rate and stroke volume in healthy volunteers (13). Furthermore it has been proposed that IGF-I has a role in regulating vascular tone through alterations in nitric oxide synthesis (14), and changes in intramuscular (IM) blood flow could have contributed to the effects on aerobic fitness. These cardiovascular variables were not assessed in this study. Another potential explanation for the improvement is that IGF-I treatment increases respiratory muscle strength as has been shown in a previous rhGH administration study in abstinent anabolic steroid users, in which both maximal oxygen uptake and mean inspiratory pressure were increased after rhGH treatment (4). It has also been shown previously that serum IGF-I concentrations are positively correlated with hemoglobin concentrations (15). An increase in hemoglobin might explain improved aerobic performance after IGF-I treatment through enhanced oxygen delivery to exercising skeletal muscle. Hemoglobin concentrations were not measured in our participants so it was not possible to determine the contribution of this factor to the improvement observed in this study. Future studies into the effects of IGF-I on athletic performance should include evaluation of effects on the cardiovascular, respiratory and hematological systems.

The significance of the observed improvement in VO₂ max to elite athletic performance is unclear; we do not know if an elite athlete would benefit in the same way as the recreational athletes in this study. To put these results in the context of elite aerobic performance, a 9% improvement in aerobic performance in women translates into approximately 75 seconds gained over the course of an elite women’s 5000 m race (the current world record time for women in this event is 14:11:15 minutes). Race performance, however, relies on several factors in addition to VO₂ max such as glycogen depletion, lactic acid accumulation and biomechanical factors. Furthermore, the effects of IGF-I on skeletal muscle strength in athletes were not examined in this study; future studies should investigate the effects of IGF-I administration on variables such as maximal strength, explosive power and sprint capacity, as have been investigated in previous rhGH administration studies (3). If significant improvements in these aspects of physical performance are demonstrated, it would suggest potential benefits of IGF-I administration to athletes in power sports such as sprinting and weight-lifting.

The effects of rhIGF-I/rhIGFBP-3 administration on physical fitness were not accompanied by significant changes in fat mass or lean body mass in this study. Previous IGF-I administration studies have yielded conflicting results in terms of effects on body composition, depending on the population studied. One study investigated the effects of rhGH and rhIGF-I administration in a group of 33 obese postmenopausal women who were undertaking a diet and exercise program over 12 weeks. The administration of rhGH alone and rhGH combined with rhIGF-I resulted in an increase in fat-free mass in these women, while the administration of rhIGF-I alone had no effect on fat-free mass (7). Furthermore, substantial changes in body composition were observed when rhIGF-I was administered to adults with GH deficiency (8) and to adults with GH Insensitivity Syndrome (GHIS, a condition caused by mutations in the gene for the GH receptor) (16). In both of these studies rhIGF-I administration was associated with increased lean body mass and decreased adiposity, and the findings in the latter study were attributed to the stimulatory effects of rhIGF-I on lipolysis and lipid oxidation in adults with GHIS. When rhIGF-I was administered to a group of 16 healthy postmenopausal women for one year, however, there were no changes in lean body mass or adipose tissue after treatment (17), similar to the results of our current study. It is possible that the positive effects of IGF-I administration on body composition in patients with GHIS reflects the severe nature of their IGF-I deficiency (18) whereas the healthy recreational athletes in the current study with normal endogenous IGF-I production are less likely to respond.

This study has some limitations. First, the study involved recreational rather than elite athletes because it is not possible to administer substances that are prohibited in sports, to elite athletes. The baseline physical fitness levels and body composition of an elite athlete population would be different to the athletes in the current study and we do not know if rhIGF-I/rhIGFBP-3 administration would have the same effect on an elite athlete as on a recreational athlete. Second, athletes may be misusing rhIGF-I alone or rhIGF-I in combination with rhGH, rather than the rhIGF-I/rhIGFBP-3 complex used in this study, and the effects on body composition and physical fitness may differ in those scenarios. The rhIGF-I/rhIGFBP-3 complex (Mecasermin Rinfabate) was administered in this study because of its longer serum half-life and lower risk of side effects such as hypoglycemia, com-
pared with rhIGF-I alone (19). The drug is a recombinant protein complex of rhIGF-I and rhIGFBP-3, combined noncovalently in equimolar proportions. This forms a ternary complex with endogenous acid-labile subunit (ALS) and maintains rhIGF-I in a bound form in the circulation. There are no confirmed cases of elite athletes obtaining and misusing rhIGF-I/rhIGFBP-3, but it would seem an attractive anabolic agent with lower risk of side effects, compared with either rhIGF-I alone or insulin. Third, we do not know the doses of IGF-I that are being misused by elite athletes nor the typical duration of treatment. It is likely that the drug would be taken for a longer period than the 28 days employed in this study and it is possible that prolonged administration could lead to more marked changes in body composition as well as physical fitness.

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that 28 days of rhIGF-I/rhIGFBP-3 administration improves aerobic fitness but has no effect on body fat or lean body mass in recreational athletes. The significance of this improvement in elite athletes requires further investigation, as do the mechanisms underlying the benefits on aerobic performance. The results of this study support the inclusion of IGF-I on the WADA list of prohibited substances and highlight the need to develop methods for detecting IGF-I misuse.
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