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Motivation
Identifying and visualising ‘attention to learning’ supports feedback on learner progress1, the development of collective intelligence2, automation of metadata annotation3, and facilitates personalised learning4. In this study, participation in MOOC comment forums was evaluated using 4 different content analysis (CA) methods: the DiAL-e framework5, Bloom’s Taxonomy6, Structure of Observed Learning Outcomes (SOLO)7 and Community of Inquiry (Col)8. Results indicate that different approaches to measuring cognitive activity are closely correlated and are distinct from typical interaction measures. This suggests that computational approaches to pedagogical analysis and visualisation of online comments may provide useful insights into learning processes.

Data and content analysis
A sample of 600 comments from 12 ‘steps’ collected from a FutureLearn MOOC are analysed using 4 different content analysis methods.

Comment semantic: words per sentence, sentiment and incidence of ‘likes’ are compared with content analysis methods.

Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Content analysis</th>
<th>Words per sentence</th>
<th>Sentiment</th>
<th>Likes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Content analysis" /></td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Words per sentence" /></td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Sentiment" /></td>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Likes" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R = 0.83, p<0.001
Highly correlated, positive linear associations made between all CA methods (correlation between Bloom and Col shown).

R = 0.38, p<0.001
Positive linear associations between CA methods and wps suggest that these methods identify complex language use.

R = 0.32, p<0.001
Negative linear associations were made between all CA methods and positive word occurrence (e.g. love, nice, sweet).

R = 0.0, p = 0.996
No statistically significant relationship between CA methods and ‘likes’ suggests ambiguous use of this feedback method.
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