he word "traditional" is possibly the most overused term in higher education.
In fact, in common with nearly all institutions that have endured for any substantial length of time, the university has been adroit at reinventing itself. The latest reimagining is that "traditional" universities are research-led institutions. This myth has comparatively recent roots.

though "assigned teaching loads...normally as 1979, Logan Wilson asserted that even ics who saw their role as primarily about teaching. Writing about US academics as late of the system, constituted the dominant than research and opposed to the expansion predominantly interested in teaching rather ity. The study concludes that "elitist teachers" regarded research as their primary responsibil in research, while just 4 per cent of them A mere 10 per cent were even "interested" towards teaching rather than research. academics were overwhelmingly oriented Academics, A. H. Halsey and M.A. Trow's recent past is provided by The British have changed among academics during the consider teaching to be more important than allow ample time for research, the majority "academic type". Nor was it just UK academ 1960s. The authors found that British and drawing on data gathered in the midhigher education sector, published in 1971 seminal study of a still-small and elite UK An insight into just how much priorities

As the Society for Research into Higher Education celebrates its 50th anniversary this

28 Times Higher Education 25 June 2015

Look back in wonder: the invention of academic 'tradition'

Early issues of Studies in Higher Education show how greatly notions of scholars' priorities have changed, says Bruce Macfarlane

week, I have been looking back at early issues of Studies in Higher Education, the journal of the SRHE, first published in 1976. Unsurprisingly, many articles focused on undergraduate teaching, picking over very practical issues such as the use of lectures, examinations and various forms of educational innovation. The language of this time was all about "university teachers". The virtual disappearance of this phrase in the modern lexicon tells us a lot about the way in which the subsequent separation of government funding for research and teaching has led to a radical shifting of academic priorities.

Analysis of the academic profession in the 1970s, in the aftermath of the campus radicalism of the previous decade, was sometimes characterised in terms of a division between the forces of conservatism and liberalism or in

The realities of casualisation and the pressures attitudes towards the expansion of higher education. Today, sadly, the very idea that the had much the same effect for academics. students in a highly stratified sector - it has only led to increased inequality between The expansion of higher education has not omitted for national research audit exercises. between those who have been submitted or or part-time, and the career critical division teaching contracts, tenured or untenured, fullof contractual or stratified status: research or profession are more usually expressed in terms The divisions today within the academic partly about the way in which the public role and status of the academic has shrunk. best quaint or at worst, irrelevant. This is sought, let alone listened to, might seem at sociopolitical views of academics should be

of performativity have shaped a more inward looking "academic profession".

This inward turn marks not just the declining role of academics as public intellectuals but also the atomisation of academic practice and identity. Work has been parcelled into discrete and specialised niches. Only around half of academics in the UK or Australia are now on "all round" contracts involving teaching, research and service. The other half are a disparate collection of para-professionals who might research or teach or, perhaps, manage. The line between an "academic" and an "administrator" is also becoming fuzzier as a result of this fragmentary process.

Some of the early articles published in Studies in Higher Education essentially constituted personal reflections, part of a lost world of scholarly dialogue about academic identity. In "Reflections on working in a university", Adam Curle, the first professor of peace studies at the University of Bradford, made no

British academics were even 'interested in research, while just 4 per cent saw it

In the mid-1960s, a mere 10 per cent of

as their primary responsibility

mention of phrases or agendas that might predominate if such a piece were to be penned today, such as "workload" or "research grant". Instead, he provided a critical reflection on his own development from "middle class English academic, subtly conscious of status, class, and colour, believing – albeit criticizing – the values of western civilization" to a later realisation that his "attitude toward students had the same ominiscient superiority that had tainted my attitude towards people in the countries where I had worked on development problems". Such a candid self-analysis is all too rare today as modern para-professionals, including full professors, scurry around meet-

mean to be an academic? tion too rarely considered today: what does it been lost. These authors addressed a key ques tual enquiry as can any specialized discipline tion to "constitute as valid a held of intellec-Higher Education in 1976, for higher educaopening editorial in the first issue of Studies in the hope expressed by Tony Becher, in his and have positively contributed to achieving clothing. Such conventions now predominate other sufficiently respectable social scientific Studies in Higher Education given its lack of a would probably face instant rejection from 1970s and early 1980s reminds us of what has Yet much of the scholarly dialogue from the "methodology" section, empirical evidence or ing the demands of a performative culture. Today Curle's idiosyncratic meanderings

Bruce Macfarlane is professor of higher education at the University of Southampton. The Society for Research into Higher Education is celebrating its 50th anniversary this week with a colloquium and reception at the House of Lords on 26 June.