

# Initial teacher training to promote health and well-being in schools – a systematic review of effectiveness and barriers and facilitators

Jonathan Shepherd<sup>a</sup>, Karen Pickett<sup>a</sup>, Sue Dewhurst<sup>b</sup>, Jenny Byrne<sup>c</sup>, Viv Speller<sup>d</sup>, Marcus Grace<sup>c</sup>, Palo Almond<sup>e</sup>, Paul Roderick<sup>f</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Southampton Health Technology Assessments Centre (SHTAC), University of Southampton, UK

<sup>b</sup> Public Health England Wessex, Southampton, UK

<sup>c</sup> Southampton Education School, University of Southampton, UK

<sup>d</sup> Health Development Consulting Ltd, Waterlooville, UK

<sup>e</sup> School of Health Sciences, University of Brighton, UK

<sup>f</sup> Primary Care and Population Sciences, University of Southampton, UK

## Abstract

### Objectives

To conduct a systematic review of effectiveness, and barriers and facilitators, of initial teacher training to promote health and well-being in schools.

### Design

Systematic review of the literature

### Method

A total of 20 bibliographic databases were searched, including Medline, Embase, and the Social Science Citation Index. Studies were included if they reported research into the processes and/or outcomes of initial (pre-service) teacher training to promote health.

### Results

A total of 20 studies met the inclusion criteria, mainly from the UK and Australia. Twelve studies assessed outcomes, commonly using uncontrolled before and after assessment designs. Sixteen studies evaluated the processes of training. Training was diverse in terms of content, format and health topics. The studies demonstrated short-term increases in trainee teachers' factual knowledge of health issues, a general increase in teachers' confidence to teach about health and to identify and help children with specific health issues. There was an increase in teachers' positive beliefs about their role in promoting children's health. None of the studies assessed changes in pupil outcomes. The training was generally considered acceptable and adequate by trainee teachers. However, some of trainees felt that they still lacked knowledge and confidence to address sensitive health issues on entering teaching practice.

### Conclusion

This systematic review identified some evidence for the effectiveness of teacher training for health, and highlighted factors which facilitate and inhibit effective training. Further evaluation, using controlled trial designs with long-term follow-up of teacher and pupil outcomes, may enable teachers to effectively address the health and education needs of school pupils.

**Keywords:** Health promotion; schools; teacher training; systematic review; well-being

### Corresponding author:

Jonathan Shepherd, Southampton Health Technology Assessments Centre (SHTAC), University of Southampton, First Floor, Epsilon House, Enterprise Road, The University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton, SO16 7NS, UK.

Email: [jps@soton.ac.uk](mailto:jps@soton.ac.uk)

## Introduction

Teachers play an increasingly important role in the wider public health workforce. Over many years English government policy (Department for Education and Skills, 2004) and nationally supported initiatives such as the National Healthy Schools Programme (Warwick et al., 2005) provided leadership to emphasise the important contribution that teachers and others have in promoting health in schools, and opportunities for teachers to develop their skills in this area. Despite the current low policy profile of healthy schools work, current legislative frameworks nonetheless require schools to promote the spiritual, moral, cultural, mental and physical development of pupils, and prepare them for the opportunities, responsibilities and experiences of later life.

Within the English school curriculum issues relating to health and well-being are addressed in a number of ways, including through Personal, Social, Health and Economic (PSHE) education; Spiritual, Moral, Social and Cultural education; science, and physical education (Department for Education, 2013; Hayman, 2014). In Australian schools, health is covered in the Health and Physical Education curriculum. Beyond the curriculum itself, teachers' health promoting role includes safeguarding, pastoral care and participation in whole-school approaches to health.

Whilst it has been recommended that PSHE education becomes a statutory curriculum topic in England (Macdonald, 2009), the nature of its provision remains at the discretion of the individual school, and a recent assessment of the quality of provision by the English inspector and regulator of schools, Ofsted, has found it to be variable and in some cases of poor standard, with a third of teachers inadequately trained to teach the subject (Office for Standards in Education Children's Services and Skills, 2013). Ofsted recommended that all initial teacher training (ITT) courses include subject-specific PSHE education training.

Subsequently evidence-based guidance to support schools in promoting health has been produced, reinforcing the need for teaching through the PSHE curriculum specific aspects of physical and mental health (Public Health England, 2015), and the connections between pupil health and wellbeing and educational attainment (Public Health England, 2014). Current provision in England is therefore mixed, with policies supporting whole school approaches to health and the provision of subject-specific health education, but little in-service support to developing health promotion in schools. Thus the role of ITT in developing knowledge and skills in trainee and early career teachers to meet these expectations is all the greater.

In the UK and elsewhere ITT can be provided by higher education institutions at undergraduate and post-graduate level, with courses comprising a mixture of college-based training and school-based practice teaching experience. In England it has become common for teachers to train in schools as part of school-based teacher training programmes (e.g. School Direct). Evidence of effective training approaches may help ITT providers to plan and deliver training that equips trainee teachers with the knowledge, skills and motivation to promote health.

There is a large international evidence base on the effectiveness of school-based health promotion (Bonell et al., 2013; Langford et al., 2014; Stewart-Brown, 2006). However, there has been no published comprehensive systematic review specifically of teacher training to promote health and well-being in schools, to characterise the types of approaches used, and their effectiveness, and factors facilitating or limiting their implementation. Such a review would enable recommendations to be made to ITT providers and other stakeholders on effective approaches to teacher training.

The aim of this study, therefore, was to conduct a systematic review of ITT to promote health, to answer three questions:

1. In what ways does ITT prepare teachers to promote health and well-being in schools?
2. How effective are interventions to train and support teachers to promote health and well-being?
3. What are the barriers to, and facilitators of, effective training?

Effectiveness is assessed in terms of changes in factors such as teachers' knowledge, confidence, skills and ability to address health and well-being in schools, and changes in pupils' health-related knowledge, attitudes, intentions, skills, behaviour and health.

Health and well-being are two distinct but overlapping concepts. This research adopts a broad definition of the promotion of health, drawing on established models which incorporate educational, organisational, economic and environmental support conducive to health. Specific health issues include any of those that are relevant to children and young people (e.g. sex education, mental and emotional health, drugs, alcohol) as well as broader health-related aspects (e.g. life skills training, youth development, safeguarding and child protection). Well-being can be defined in various ways, but broadly relates to the quality of people's lives, incorporating physical, emotional, social, psychological and material constructs. Taken together, health and well-being provide a comprehensive framework within which to assess how teachers and schools address the needs of the 'whole child'.

## **Methods**

The review comprised two stages (i) a systematic search and descriptive map of the characteristics of teacher training studies (both initial training and continuing professional development), and (ii) systematic detailed synthesis of the results of a sub-set of studies prioritised from the descriptive map, focusing specifically on ITT (Shepherd et al., 2013). This paper reports on the systematic detailed synthesis.

A highly sensitive search strategy was devised and tested on Medline by an experienced information specialist. A total of 20 bibliographic databases were searched, including Medline and Medline In-Process, Embase, the Cochrane Library, the Campbell Library, CINAHL, Psychinfo, Social Science Citation Index and ERIC. Databases were searched from their inception to April/May 2011. No language restrictions were applied.

To be included in the review, references had to report all of the following:

1. A focus on health and well-being within schools (any aspect of pupils' or teachers' health and well-being, but excluding pupils' existing illnesses)
2. The initial training of teachers to promote health and well-being in schools (i.e. pre-qualification).
3. Research into the processes and / or outcomes of (2)
  - Intervention evaluations (any design);
  - Non-intervention research (e.g. interviews; focus groups).
4. Publication after 1990

Titles and abstracts were screened according to these criteria by one researcher, and a random 10% sample independently screened by a second. All full papers retrieved for further inspection were screened by one researcher and checked by a second.

Studies meeting the inclusion criteria for the synthesis underwent data extraction using a template designed and piloted for this study. The methodological quality and risk of bias of the studies was assessed using published criteria (Cochrane Effective Practice Organisation of Care Group, 2013; Harden and Gough, 2012). Data were extracted and quality assessment criteria applied by one reviewer and checked by a second.

Study details were tabulated and described narratively. Meta-analysis to assess the effects of training was not possible due to the heterogeneity in the design of the studies and types of training evaluated. To assess barriers and facilitators a thematic analysis of the process evaluation data was conducted, similar to methodology described by Thomas and Harden (2008). We classified the process evaluation findings according to six pre-defined categories that encapsulate the range of processes that could be assessed (e.g. how acceptable the training was to the recipients). These were then analysed to identify overall themes within the findings.

## **Results**

### ***Characteristics of the studies***

The literature search generated a total of 16,620 unique references. After an initial screening of titles and abstracts, full publications of a total of 1050 (6%) references were retrieved for further screening. Of these, a total of 20 studies reported in a total of 21 publications were included.

The highest percentage of studies were conducted in the UK (n=9, 45%), followed by Australia (n=7; 35%). The studies tended to focus on one or two health topics, though a few studies had a broader focus on health in general. Mental and emotional health was a commonly studied area (n=7 studies; 35%), as was child protection (n=5 studies; 25%) (Table 1).

Participants in the majority of studies were pre-service teachers mainly enrolled in postgraduate primary and secondary teaching qualification courses at colleges and universities. In some studies the teachers had qualified and entered service, and were being assessed in relation the health training they had previously received (Bostock et al., 2010; Cleave and Charlton, 1997; Evans and Evans, 2007; Rossato and Brackenridge, 2009; Weatherby-Fell and Vincent, 2005).

In terms of assessing the ways in which ITT prepares teachers to promote health and well-being in schools (research question 1), the review identified a variable picture. Across the studies training was diverse in terms of content and was delivered through a variety of formats (e.g. lectures, practical activities). A key aspect of the training courses was the provision of factual information about health, in 15 (75%) of the studies, though the comprehensiveness of the information, as reported by the study authors, varied. Fourteen (70%) of the studies were classified as teaching skills that would enable teachers to promote health (e.g. skills for teaching about health and how to develop pupils' understanding of health and well-being). Training was generally multi-format, comprising lectures / seminars with group work involving discussion or specific tasks. There were five (25%) studies in which teachers had the opportunity to teach health in schools as part of their training (Baginsky and Macpherson, 2005; Chifunyise et al., 2002; Mead, 2004; Taylor et al., 2008; Thomas and Jones, 2005). The duration of training varied from up to three hours (Hodgkinson and Baginsky, 2000) to over two school terms with follow-up teaching practice over two to three years (Chifunyise et al., 2002). In general the studies lasted less than an academic year.

Twelve studies (60%) measured outcomes (effectiveness) of training (Table 1). Teacher outcomes were measured in all of these studies, and no studies reported pupil outcomes. Sixteen (80%) of the studies evaluated the processes of teacher training. Eight (40%) studies measured both outcomes and processes.

The sample size of the studies varied considerably from less than 10 to around 1500 participants, with majority including up to 100 participants (Table 1).

The studies varied in terms of their evaluation design (Table 1). In terms of the 12 studies that reported outcomes, the most commonly classified design was an uncontrolled before and after assessment of training in a cohort of teachers (Bostock et al., 2010; Chifunyise et al., 2002; Cleave and Charlton, 1997; Myers-Clack and Christopher, 2001; Speller et al., 2010; Thomas and Jones, 2005; Vincent et al., 2005). The risk of bias of the outcome evaluations was generally high due to lack of control/comparison groups.

The 16 studies reporting processes were judged in terms of their 'weight of evidence'. The majority (n=10) were judged medium or high in reliability and / or usefulness of findings (Table 2). Three studies were judged high on either reliability and / or usefulness (Athanasas and Larrabee, 2003; Paakkari et al., 2010b; Taylor et al., 2008).

[Table 1 about here]

### ***Outcomes of teacher training***

In terms of assessing the effectiveness of teacher training (research question 2) the studies measured outcomes in a variety of ways, and results were not always confirmed by statistical tests. The studies demonstrated some increases in trainee teachers' factual knowledge of health issues following training, in areas as varied as HIV/AIDS (Chifunyise et al., 2002), healthy eating and physical activity (Speller et al., 2010), mental health (Bostock et al., 2010; Vincent et al., 2005; Weatherby-Fell and Kean, 2004), health aspects of PSHE education (Thomas and Jones, 2005), and diversity (Athanasos and Larrabee, 2003).

[Table 2 about here]

There was a reported increase in teachers' confidence in relation to teaching PSHE education (Paakkari et al., 2010b), and in identifying and helping children with mental health problems (Bostock et al., 2010; Vincent et al., 2005; Weatherby-Fell and Vincent, 2005) or child protection (Baginsky and Macpherson, 2005). Likewise there was an increase in teachers' positive beliefs about their role and that of schools in promoting child health (Athanasos and Larrabee, 2003; Bostock et al., 2010; Chifunyise et al., 2002; Myers-Clack and Christopher, 2001; Speller et al., 2010; Vincent et al., 2005; Weatherby-Fell and Kean, 2004), including tentative evidence for beliefs about the relationship between good health and effective learning (Weatherby-Fell and Vincent, 2005).

### ***Processes of teacher training***

Figure 1 shows the six pre-defined process evaluation categories, on the left-hand side, to which the results of the studies were classified. Analysis identified eight themes that encapsulated the findings, shown on the right hand side. Selected key themes and the barriers and facilitators to health training in ITT (research question 3) that can be inferred from these findings are presented next.

### ***Acceptability of teacher training in relation to health***

Eight studies reported findings on trainee teachers' and training providers' perceptions of the acceptability of the training in health they had received or delivered (Athanasos and Larrabee, 2003; Chifunyise et al., 2002; Cleave and Charlton, 1997; Evans and Evans, 2007; Fenton, 2008; Vincent et al., 2005; Weatherby-Fell and Vincent, 2005; Yager, 2009). Acceptability was considered in terms of how the content, format and delivery of the training was considered appealing, enjoyable, meaningful and matched the values and beliefs of the recipients.

[Figure 1 about here]

These studies reported on the perceived acceptability of the training overall (Athanasos and Larrabee, 2003; Chifunyise et al., 2002; Cleave and Charlton, 1997; Evans and Evans, 2007; Weatherby-Fell and Vincent, 2005; Yager, 2009) and the resources used to deliver the training (Fenton, 2008; Vincent et al., 2005; Yager, 2009). In the main, the training was considered acceptable and was well received by trainee teachers.

However, one study, Athanasos and Larrabee (2003), reported that a minority of trainee teachers had difficulty reconciling the topic under discussion (sexuality and diversity) with their religious beliefs. The findings of this study, along with those of Chifunyise et al. (2002) who evaluated a HIV prevention training programme in Zimbabwe, however, also highlighted that the creation of a non-threatening atmosphere was helpful for trainee teachers to discuss these sensitive topics.

### ***Adequacy of teacher training in relation to health***

There was variability in trainee teachers' views of the adequacy of the training they had received. Two studies (Cleave and Charlton, 1997; Evans and Evans, 2007), suggested that postgraduate trainee teachers (along with newly qualified teachers in one of the studies (Cleave and Charlton,

1997)) were generally positive that the training they had received in communication skills for working with children experiencing cancer in their lives (Cleave and Charlton, 1997) and in incorporating PSHE education into their English teaching (Evans and Evans, 2007) had met their needs. However, after training some of the trainee teachers felt that they still lacked knowledge and that further knowledge-based input would have been useful (Evans and Evans, 2007). Other authors reported that, after receiving training in PSHE education (Evans and Evans, 2007), child protection (Baginsky and Macpherson, 2005; Fenton, 2008; Hodgkinson and Baginsky, 2000; Rossato and Brackenridge, 2009), sexual diversity (Athanases and Larrabee, 2003) and mental and emotional health (Taylor et al., 2008), that some trainee teachers had on-going concerns about their ability to teach health and to deal with sensitive issues in practice.

Six studies reported that trainee teachers felt that more practical experience of teaching PSHE education (Evans and Evans, 2007) or training in practical strategies for dealing with issues, such as pupil well-being (Taylor et al., 2008; Weatherby-Fell and Vincent, 2005) and child protection (Baginsky and Macpherson, 2005; Fenton, 2008; Rossato and Brackenridge, 2009), would have been useful in the training they received or in their future training. However, in two studies some trainees believed that whilst training was helpful, they would learn better from their own experience once they were working within schools (Evans and Evans, 2007; Taylor et al., 2008).

Findings from two studies (Athanases and Larrabee, 2003; Fenton, 2008) suggest that it is important for the content and delivery of training to engage trainee teachers on a personal level. Three studies also suggested that in some cases training did not fully meet teachers' needs as they felt the delivery methods needed to be more personally relevant, in terms of addressing different levels of teaching experience within the group (Fenton, 2008), previous training experience (Baginsky and Macpherson, 2005) and age (for mature students) (Yager, 2009).

#### *Roles and values*

There were mixed findings across the studies about the extent to which trainees assimilated responsibility for health into their roles as teachers, and on their understanding about their role as health promoters. Three studies reported limits to the degree with which trainees accepted a health promoting role (Hodgkinson and Baginsky, 2000; Rossato and Brackenridge, 2009; Speller et al., 2010). For example, Rossato and Brackenridge (2009) have examined how child protection came to be covered in sports degrees and physical education ITT degrees following the introduction of the UK Government initiative Every Child Matters. They found that trainee teachers generally did not feel prepared for their role in child protection following ITT, and they saw their role as one of identifying potential problems and referring the issue to others who were more knowledgeable.

Four studies (Fenton, 2008; Taylor et al., 2008; Weatherby-Fell and Vincent, 2005; Yager, 2009) reported that health training had a positive impact on trainee teachers' attitudes towards, and their understanding of, their health promotion role. For example, in one study (Taylor et al., 2008) after receiving training in pupil well-being that was 'infused' into their ITT course (including two school placement experiences), trainee teachers generally felt that they had developed a greater awareness of the importance of their and schools' roles in health promotion and an increased understanding of the complexities of student well-being.

#### *Variability in provision and quality of training*

Four studies surveyed teacher training providers about how health topics were covered in their courses (Arnold and Maio, 2007; Hodgkinson and Baginsky, 2000; Rossato and Brackenridge, 2009; Speller et al., 2010) and one surveyed trainee teachers about their experiences of teaching or observing PSHE education and citizenship on school placement in the UK (Mead, 2004). The findings show variation in the provision and quality of training across different providers (Arnold and Maio, 2007; Hodgkinson and Baginsky, 2000; Speller et al., 2010), course types (Arnold and Maio, 2007; Speller et al., 2010), and school placements (Mead, 2004; Speller et al., 2010).

Mead (2004) found variability in primary trainees' opportunities to teach and discuss PSHE and citizenship on school placement. For example, only up to 23% of the trainees reported having the opportunity to teach sex and relationships education. Opportunities depended on the nature of the placement schools.

### **Discussion**

The evidence base for ITT for health is diverse in terms of the content and delivery of training. A staple element of training is the provision of information on health (in varying comprehensiveness), as reported in three-quarters of the studies. Training to enable teachers to develop the health-related behaviour skills of pupils was a feature of just under three-quarters of the studies. The training therefore resonates with health behaviour theoretical models that acknowledge the need to influence skills, in addition to information, to encourage healthy behaviours (Fisher et al., 1996; Tones and Tilford, 2001).

The systematic review found some evidence for the effectiveness of training, in terms of improving teachers' knowledge and confidence to address health and well-being, and influencing their beliefs. However, studies tended to measure the impact of training in the short-term. The longer-term impact of training teachers is therefore unclear and requires further research. This is important as teachers make the transition from trainee to qualified teacher, often a complex process that leaves many feeling anxious and stressed (Howard and Johnson, 2004). The positive impact of any training in health and well-being is likely to be diminished in such circumstances and therefore the importance of a supportive environment that is conducive to promoting health and well-being is essential and needs to include appropriate mentoring (LoCasale-Crouch et al., 2012).

The outcome evaluations used uncontrolled designs, limiting definitive conclusions about the effectiveness of the training. Further, more rigorous, primary evaluation is therefore required. Studies should evaluate some of the elements that appear to be promising from the studies included in this review, such as, the creation of a non-threatening environment to discuss sensitive issues.

There were mixed findings about the extent to which trainee teachers had assimilated responsibility for health and well-being into their roles as teachers and their understanding about their role after training. Over time trainees recognised this role but acknowledged that there were limits to what they could realistically achieve in terms of addressing certain health issues. As Thomas and Beauchamp (2011) note, the transition from trainee to qualified teacher is a crucial time in terms of identity development. For many schools a culture of performativity predominates and this discourse will affect new teachers as they participate in the interactions and conversations that re-inforce a particular identity (Sfard and Prusak, 2005). The powerful discourse of academic achievement, performance and improvement may leave little room for non-academic and non-statutory subjects such as health and well-being education within the development of new teachers' identities. As Mead (2011) argues, pre-service teachers require the opportunities to critically engage with health and well-being issues in a profound and reflective manner so that they are able to make the connections between their own values, their professional knowledge and pupil health and well-being. Doing so will help new teachers to appreciate the importance of health and well-being as an important aspect of their wider role.

Studies also found variation in the provision and quality of training across different providers, course types and school placements. One particular finding was that ITT providers were reliant on trainee teachers receiving health training on their school teaching placement, but that adequate training opportunities on placement were not always provided. The likely increase in time that trainee teachers will spend training in schools as a result of ITT reforms (Department for Education, 2010), together with increased diversity in types of schools, (e.g. free schools and academies), may compound the inconsistency in trainee teachers' experience of health and well-being in ITT. In this changing landscape of teacher education, mentors and pastoral leads will be of crucial importance if new teachers are to be given the experiences and opportunities to increase their confidence and competence in teaching and managing health and well-being education.

Furthermore, positive attitudes towards health and well-being seem to be engendered when confidence and competence are developed thus increasing the likelihood of continuing to be involved in promoting health and wellbeing in the future (McNamara et al., 2012).

### **Conclusion**

This is the first published systematic review to focus on ITT to promote health and well-being in schools. The evidence base in this area is diverse, with studies varying in the content, delivery and duration of training. It is not possible to make definitive conclusions about the effectiveness of training on teachers' knowledge, confidence and skills at this time due to the weaknesses of the study designs used. However, there is tentative evidence to suggest a positive impact for teachers in these areas. None of the studies assessed the impact of training on pupils themselves, highlighting an important knowledge gap. The review identified a range of barriers and facilitators to effective training. Positive factors, such as providing more opportunities for practical experience to address health and well-being in schools, should be taken into account in the planning of future training. Further, more rigorous, research is needed to evaluate the full potential of ITT to enable teachers to address the health and education needs of children and young people.

### **Acknowledgements**

Thanks to the advisory group for their input throughout the course of the project. Thanks also to Debbie Hartwell, Karen Welch and Jo Picot for assistance with the production of the research.

### **Conflict of interests**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### **Funding**

This work was supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Public Health Research programme [09/3005/12]. It is published in full in the NIHR journal Public Health Research 1(2). Further information available at: [http://www.phr.nihr.ac.uk/funded\\_projects/09\\_3005\\_12.asp](http://www.phr.nihr.ac.uk/funded_projects/09_3005_12.asp). This report presents independent research commissioned by the NIHR. The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, MRC, CCF, NETSCC, the Public Health Research programme or the Department of Health.

## References

- Arnold L and Maio T. (2007) *Professionals protecting children : child protection and teacher education in Australia*. Publisher: University of South Australia; Australian Centre for Child Protection, Magill S Aust, 2007.
- Athanases SZ and Larrabee TG. (2003) Toward a consistent stance in teaching for equity: learning to advocate for Lesbian- and Gay-identified youth. *Teaching and Teacher Education* 19: 237-261.
- Baginsky M and Macpherson P. (2005) Training teachers to safeguard children: developing a consistent approach. *Child Abuse Review* 14: 317-330.
- Bonell C, Jamal F, Harden A, et al. (2013) Systematic review of the effects of schools and school environment interventions on health: evidence mapping and synthesis. *Public Health Research* 1: 1-319.
- Bostock J, Kitt R and Kitt C. (2010) Why wait until qualified: the benefits and experiences of undergoing mental health awareness training for PGCE students. *Pastoral Care in Education* 29: 103-115.
- Chifunyise T, Benoy H and Mukiibi B. (2002) An impact evaluation of student teacher training in HIV/AIDS education in Zimbabwe. *Evaluation and Program Planning* 25: 377-385.
- Cleave H and Charlton A. (1997) Evaluation of a cancer-based coping and caring course used in three different settings. *Child: Care, Health & Development* 23: 399-413.
- Cochrane Effective Practice Organisation of Care Group. (2013) *Suggested risk of bias criteria for EPOC reviews. EPOC Resources for review authors*. Oslo: Norwegian Knowledge Centre for the Health Services.
- Department for Education. (2010) *The Importance of Teaching: Schools White Paper*. London: The Stationary Office.
- Department for Education. (2013) *The national curriculum in England: Framework document: for teaching 1 September 2014 to 31 August 2015*. London: Department for Education.
- Department for Education and Skills. (2004) *Every Child Matters: change for children*. London: HMSO.
- Evans C and Evans B. (2007) More than Just Worksheets?: A Study of the Confidence of Newly Qualified Teachers of English in Teaching Personal, Social and Health Education in Secondary Schools. *Pastoral Care in Education* 25: 42-50.
- Fenton A. (2008) 'Caution children crossing ahead' : child protection education with pre-service teachers using a strengths approach. In: Bottrell D and Meagher G (eds) *Communities and change: selected papers*. Sydney: Sydney University Press, 211-238.
- Fisher J, Fisher W, Misovich S, et al. (1996) Changing AIDS risk behavior: Effects of an intervention emphasizing AIDS risk reduction information, motivation, and behavioral skills in a college student population. *Health Psychology* 15: 114-121.
- Harden A and Gough D. (2012) Quality and relevance appraisal. In: Gough D, Oliver S and Thomas J (eds) *An Introduction to Systematic Reviews*. London: Sage, 153-178.
- Hayman J. (2014) Personal, social, health and economic education: the bridge between public health and education. *International Journal of Health Promotion and Education* 52: 245-249.
- Hodgkinson K and Baginsky M. (2000) Child protection training in school-based initial teacher training: a survey of school-centred initial teacher training courses and their trainees. *Educational Studies* 26: 269-279.
- Howard S and Johnson B. (2004) Resilient teachers: resisting stress and burnout. *Social Psychology of Education* 7: 399-420.
- Langford R, Bonell C, Jones H, et al. (2014) The WHO Health Promoting School framework for improving the health and well-being of students and their academic achievement. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 4:CD008958.

- LoCasale-Crouch J, Davis E, Wiens P, et al. (2012) The Role of the Mentor in Supporting New Teachers: Associations with Self-Efficacy, Reflection, and Quality. *Mentoring and Tutoring* 20: 303-323.
- Macdonald A. (2009) *Independent review of the proposal to make Personal, Social Health and Economic education (PSHE) statutory*. London: HMSO.
- McNamara P, Moynihan S, Jourdan D, et al. (2012) Pre-service teachers' experience of and attitudes to teaching SPHE in Ireland. *Health Education* 12: 199-216.
- Mead N. (2004) The provision for personal, social, health education (PSHE) and citizenship in school-based elements of primary initial teacher education. *Pastoral Care in Education* 22: 19-26.
- Mead N. (2011) The impact of Every Child Matters on trainee secondary teachers' understanding of professional knowledge. *Pastoral Care in Education* 29: 7-24.
- Myers-Clack SA and Christopher SE. (2001) Effectiveness of a health course at influencing preservice teachers' attitudes toward teaching health. *Journal of School Health* 71: 462-466.
- Office for Standards in Education Children's Services and Skills. (2013) *Not Yet Good Enough. Personal, Social, Health and Economic Education in Schools*. Manchester: Ofsted.
- Paakkari L, Tynjala P and Kannas L. (2010a) Student teachers' ways of experiencing the objective of health education as a school subject: A phenomenographic study. *Teaching and Teacher Education* 26: 941-948.
- Paakkari L, Tynjala P and Kannas L. (2010b) Student teachers' ways of experiencing the teaching of health education. *Studies in Higher Education* 35: 905-920.
- Public Health England. (2014) *The link between pupil health and wellbeing and attainment. A briefing for head teachers, governors and staff in education settings*. London: Public Health England.
- Public Health England. (2015) *Improving young people's health and well-being. A framework for public health*. London: Public Health England.
- Rossato C and Brackenridge C. (2009) Child protection training in sport-related degrees and initial teacher training for physical education: An audit. *Child Abuse Review* 18: 81-93.
- Sfard A and Prusak A. (2005) Telling identities: In search of an analytic tool for investigating learning as a culturally shaped activity. *Educational Researcher* 34: 14-22.
- Shepherd J, Dewhirst S, Pickett K, et al. (2013) Factors facilitating and constraining the delivery of effective teacher training to promote health and well-being in schools - a survey of current practice and systematic review. *Public Health Research* 1: 1-187.
- Speller V, Byrne J, Dewhirst S, et al. (2010) Developing trainee school teachers' expertise as health promoters. *Health Education* 110: 490-507.
- Stewart-Brown S. (2006) *What is the evidence on school health promotion in improving health or preventing disease and, specifically, what is the effectiveness of the health promoting schools approach?* Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe (Health Evidence Network report).
- Taylor L, Prain V and Rosengren R. (2008) Pre-service teachers' engagement with student wellbeing. *Curriculum Perspectives* 28: 21-34.
- Thomas J and Harden A. (2008) Methods for the thematic synthesis of qualitative research in systematic reviews. *BMC Medical Research Methodology* 8: 1-10.
- Thomas L and Beauchamp C. (2011) Understanding new teachers' professional identities' through metaphor. *Teaching and Teacher Education* 27: 762-769.
- Thomas M and Jones S. (2005) The Impact of Group Teaching on the Acquisition of Key Skills to Teach Personal, Social and Health Education. *Health Education* 105: 164-185.
- Tones K and Tilford S. (2001) *Health Promotion: effectiveness, efficiency and equity (3rd edition)*, Cheltenham: Nelson Thornes.
- Vincent K, Hazell T, Allen J, et al. (2005) New times, new teachers : valuing social and emotional wellbeing in teacher education. In: Cooper M (ed) *Teacher Education: Local and Global. Proceedings of the 33rd Annual Australian Teacher Education Association Conference held at*

- the Crowne Plaza Surfers Paradise, Australia, 6 - 9 July 2005*. Surfers Paradise: Griffith University, 447-453.
- Warwick I, Aggleton P, Chase E, et al. (2005) Evaluating healthy schools: Perceptions of impact among school-based respondents. *Health Education Research* 20: 697-708.
- Weatherby-Fell N and Kean B. (2004) Mental health and teacher education - implications of the rural perspective for beginning teachers. *International Journal of Practical Experiences in Professional Education* 8: 6-18.
- Weatherby-Fell N and Vincent K. (2005) Social and emotional wellbeing in teacher education : reflections by early career teachers. In: Cooper M (ed) *Teacher education: Local and Global : proceedings of the 33rd Annual Australian Teacher Education Association Conference held at Crown Plaza Surfers Paradise, Australia, 6-9 July 2005*. Surfers Paradise: Griffith University, 470-474.
- Yager Z. (2009) Developing wellbeing in first year pre-service teachers : a trial of a personal approach to professional education. *Journal of Student Wellbeing* 3: 52-72.

**Table 1 – Overview of the key study characteristics**

Study	Country	Study population	Topics covered	Training content	Level of education	Study measures	Study design classification
<b>Outcome evaluations</b>							
Bostock et al. (2010)	UK	73 PGCE students; 35 secondary school teachers; 5 NQTs	Mental health	Information provision	Secondary	Outcomes (teacher)	Single cohort before-after study
Myers-Clack and Christopher (2001)	USA	119 university students	Drugs /alcohol; Health education / promotion in general; Healthy eating; Mental and emotional health; Physical activity; Sexual & reproductive health; Tobacco	Information provision; skills development; awareness and attitudes; Planning and implementation	Primary and Secondary	Outcomes (teacher)	Single cohort before-after study
Thomas and Jones (2005)	UK	57 PGCE students	PSHE education	Information provision; skills development; Planning and implementation	Secondary	Outcomes (teacher)	Survey
Weatherby-Fell and Kean (2004)	Australia	96 university students	Mental and emotional health	Information provision; skills development; awareness and attitudes	Secondary	Outcomes (teacher)	Design unclear
<b>Process evaluations</b>							
Arnold and Maio (2007)	Australia	Teacher training providers and representatives of the Teacher Registration body.	Child protection	Not reported in detail (survey of a number of courses)	Primary and secondary	Processes	Survey
Fenton (2008)	Australia	19 pre-service teachers	Child protection	Information provision; skills development; awareness and attitudes.	Primary	Processes	Survey
Hodgkinson and Baginsky (2000)	UK	Pre-service teachers (number not reported); 14 SCITT course managers	Child protection	Information provision	Primary and secondary	Processes	Survey
Mead (2004)	UK	102 students (B.Ed. / PGCE)	PSHE education, Citizenship, SRE; Diversity	Skills development; awareness and attitudes; planning and implementation	Primary	Processes	Survey

Paakkari et al. (2010a); Paakkari et al. (2010b)	Finland	20 university students	Health education / promotion in general	Information provision; skills development	Secondary	Processes	Survey
Rossato and Brackenridge (2009)	UK	4 sports/PE teachers; 126 course leaders at 55 universities	Child protection; physical activity	Information provision; skills development; Planning and implementation	Not explicit	Processes	Survey
Taylor et al. (2008)	Australia	10 university students	Health education / promotion in general; Mental and emotional health	Information provision; skills development; Planning and implementation; Health and well-being	Secondary	Processes	Survey
Yager (2009)	Australia	182 university students	Health education/promotion in general; Mental and emotional health; Sexual health; Drugs / alcohol; Physical activity; Healthy eating; Environmental education	Information provision; skills development; awareness and attitudes.	Primary and secondary	Processes	Process evaluation
<b>Outcomes and process evaluation</b>							
Athanasas and Larrabee (2003)	USA	98 university students	Sexual diversity	Information provision; skills development; planning and implementation.	Not explicit	Outcomes (teacher); Processes	Process evaluation
Baginsky and Macpherson (2005)	UK	1247 students from 13 universities	Child protection / safeguarding	Information provision; skills development.	Not stated	Outcomes (teacher); Processes	Single cohort study (after only)
Chifunyise et al. (2002)	Zimbabwe	1562 students from 20 institutions of higher learning	Sexual and reproductive health	Information provision; skills development; planning and implementation.	Primary and secondary	Outcomes (teacher); Processes	Single cohort before-after study
Cleave and Charlton (1997)	UK	11 PGCE; 19 practising teachers; 13 volunteers	Mental and emotional health	Information provision; skills development; awareness and attitudes.	Not stated	Outcomes (teacher); Processes	Single cohort before-after study

Evans and Evans (2007)	UK	21 NQTs	PSHE education	Skills development; planning and implementation	Secondary	Outcomes (teacher); Processes	Survey
Speller et al. (2010)	UK	384 PGCE students; 35 ITT course providers	Health education / promotion in general	Not stated	Primary and secondary	Outcomes (teacher); Processes	Single cohort before-after study; Survey
Vincent et al. (2005)	Australia	Approximately 250 pre-service teachers based at teaching training providers	Mental and emotional health	Information provision	Secondary	Outcomes (teacher); Processes	Single cohort before-after study
Weatherby-Fell and Vincent (2005)	Australia	13 early career teachers	Mental and emotional health	Not stated	Secondary	Outcomes (teacher); Processes	Single cohort study (after only)

B.Ed. = Bachelor of Education; NQTs= Newly Qualified Teachers; PGCE = Postgraduate Certificate in Education; SRE = Sex and Relationships Education; SCITT = School Centred Initial Teacher Training

**Table 2 - Weight of evidence judgements for reliability and usefulness of findings (process evaluations)**

	Weight of Evidence					
	Reliability of findings <sup>1</sup>			Usefulness of findings <sup>2</sup>		
	Low	Medium	High	Low	Medium	High
Arnold and Maio (2007)		✓			✓	
Athanasas and Larrabee (2003)			✓			✓
Baginsky and Macpherson (2005)	✓			✓		
Chifunyise et al. (2002)	✓				✓	
Cleave and Charlton (1997)	✓			✓		
Evans and Evans (2007)		✓			✓	
Fenton (2008)		✓			✓	
Hodgkinson and Baginsky (2000)		✓			✓	
Mead (2004)		✓			✓	
Paakkari et al. (2010a); Paakkari et al. (2010b)			✓		✓	
Rossato and Brackenridge (2009)		✓			✓	
Speller et al. (2010)		✓		✓		
Taylor et al. (2008)		✓				✓
Vincent et al. (2005)	✓			✓		
Weatherby-Fell and Vincent (2005)	✓			✓		
Yager (2009)		✓			✓	
<b>TOTAL</b>	5	9	2	5	9	2

<sup>1</sup> The extent to which the methods used were rigorous / could minimise bias and error in the findings

<sup>2</sup> How well the teacher training was described and whether or not the process data could illuminate the relationship between the process of delivering the training and outcomes, and barriers and facilitators to successful implementation

Figure 1 – Themes arising from analysis of process evaluations

