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**Abstract**

Jane Austen was a trained musician who regularly played and sang during much of her adult life, yet song has not figured prominently in understandings of her relation to literary tradition. Music was not only a polite entertainment, however, but provided Austen with a significant source of textual transmission and unique opportunities for critical engagement through performance. This study identifies three songs that Austen performed at Chawton after 1809, during the years she was drafting her late novels. They appear in music albums owned by the Austen family in the early 19th century, currently held in private collections that have until recently been unavailable to scholars. The songs set texts by Robert Burns, ‘Monk’ Lewis, and Claris de Florian, and all three treat the topic of fidelity within different generic and stylistic frameworks. I trace the literary sources of the poems and outline the musical networks of transmission through which Austen obtained them. I then examine the musical settings, investigating the affective aspects of performance and exploring how attention to this aspect of song illuminates Austen’s treatment of Burns in the "Sanditon" draft and her handling of themes of romance in *Persuasion*. I argue that resituating song within Austen’s intellectual and emotional landscape can not only generate new understandings of her relation to literary antecedents but also contribute new perspectives to long-debated questions of Austen’s relation to feeling.

**Article**

In summer 1816, Jane Austen rewrote the conclusion of her final completed novel, posthumously published as *Persuasion*. In a pivotal new scene, Anne Elliot and Captain Harville debate whether men or women love most faithfully in adversity. Scholars have read Austen’s involvement in her niece Fanny Knight’s situation in late 1814, when Fanny was agonising over a marriage proposal, as one stimulus for the reworking. [[1]](#endnote-1) In letters to her young relation, Austen tested Fanny’s feelings and offered opinions on the difficulty of maintaining a long and uncertain engagement. But Austen’s fiction is not a simple exercise of life into writing: her reflections on constancy in *Persuasion* are framed in the context of literary tradition and presented as an act of literary criticism. Harville claims: ‘But let me observe that all histories are against you, all stories, prose and verse . . . Songs and proverbs, all talk of woman’s fickleness. But perhaps you will say, these were all written by men’. Anne concurs: ‘Yes, yes, if you please, no reference to examples in books. Men have had every advantage of us in telling their own story. Education has been theirs in so much higher a degree; the pen has been in their hands. I will not allow books to prove any thing’.[[2]](#endnote-2)

 In this celebrated passage, Austen opens striking perspectives on her position as a female author and a woman reading books by men. But while Anne’s response refers particularly to books, the conversation as a whole relates to a wider range of expressive culture, including song. At the turn of the nineteenth century, music involved even more strongly gendered patterns of creative and affective agency than books. Although women composers enjoyed some success, particularly with songs, harp and keyboard music intended for domestic consumption, male composers overwhelmingly dominated musical production. At the same time, the market for domestic repertories relied heavily on the activities of amateur female performers such as Jane Austen herself. Music had long been central to the suite of accomplishments acquired by aristocratic and gentry women, and England’s burgeoning wealth in the eighteenth century helped to ensure that by 1800, greater numbers of girls than ever before were trained to provide musical entertainment in the home. Thus while men were the main beneficiaries of compositional training and acclaim, in many different contexts women exercised decisive roles in creating the emotional appeal and persuasive power of music in sound.

 Accounts of Austen’s relation to literary tradition rarely consider song.[[3]](#endnote-3) Despite Austen’s lifelong engagement with musical culture and the prominence of music in her novels, as Gillen D’Arcy Wood has commented, her musical life ‘remains at the margins of Austen biography and academic criticism of her fiction’.[[4]](#endnote-4) D’Arcy Wood posits that scholarly deafness to Austen’s music derives from her ambivalence about musicality in the novels, in which Austen’s musical characters often embody negative traits, and from a tendency to collapse Austen’s relation to music into one side of contemporary debates about feminine education. Thus Austen’s own musical activities become ‘empty’ accomplishments, examples of the obligatory but ultimately inconsequential acquisition of polite social trappings, and can be written out of accounts of the development of her authorial self or the psychological lives of her characters.[[5]](#endnote-5)

 But if domestic music making has often been considered a form of disempowerment or constraint – a mode of reproducing patriarchal ideologies and promoting decorative confinement in the home – much recent scholarship has instead emphasised how women’s musical activity could offer creative, critical and emotional agency in both professional and amateur contexts.[[6]](#endnote-6) Considering Austen’s music as the practice of an artistic discipline rather than a trivial pastime can open new perspectives on the intellectual landscape she inhabited, which was shaped by musical as well as literary currents: it is significant that intelligent conversation between Austen’s characters - as in the example of Anne Eliot and Captain Harville - very frequently involves music as well as books. Domestic music served as an important textual crossroads, drawing on an extensive range of literary sources and creating new modes of dissemination through specifically musical networks of distribution. Equally importantly, songs provided opportunities for critical reading through performance: Austen was not a silent reader of the texts that entered her life through music, but a performer who engaged with the affective claims of materials produced by male poets and composers. Here I explore three newly identified pieces that Austen owned and sang in the final decade of her life, showing how music mediated different literary traditions and textual practices and brought them into Austen’s daily existence through performance. All three songs treat questions of fidelity, offering a productive counterpoint to Austen’s fictional working of this terrain in her late novels, including both *Persuasion* and the unfinished ‘Sanditon’ draft. At the same time, I argue, attention to Austen’s ‘missing songs’ allows new insights into Austen’s relation to feeling and her complex engagement with the culture of sensibility.

***Jane Austen’s ‘missing songs’***

 Although Austen’s writing often refers to music making, most of what we know about her own performance comes from much later family memoirs. The most frequently cited account is by her niece Caroline, produced during the preparation of James Edward Austen-Leigh’s *Memoir of Jane Austen* (1870):

Aunt Jane began her day with music—for which I conclude she had a natural taste; as she thus kept it up—tho’ she had no one to teach; was never induced (as I have heard) to play in company; and none of her family cared much for it. I suppose that she might not trouble *them*, she chose her practising time before breakfast—when she could have the room to herself—She practised regularly every morning—She played very pretty tunes, *I* thought—and I liked to stand by her and listen to them; but the music, (for I knew the books well in after years) would now be thought disgracefully easy—Much that she played from was manuscript, copied out by herself—and so neatly and correctly, that it was as easy to read as print—[[7]](#endnote-7)

Caroline was born only in 1805, so these memories must relate to years after her aunt settled at Chawton in 1809, during the period of intense literary activity when Austen revised and published *Sense and Sensibility* (1811) and *Pride and Prejudice* (1813) and composed her later works.

 Caroline supplied further details when Austen-Leigh was preparing the expanded *Memoir* of 1871. On receipt of the first edition, the Reverend Fulwar William Fowle wrote to reminisce about Jane Austen’s performance of a popular Scots song, ‘The yellow-hair’d laddie’. This prompted Caroline to flesh out her own memories:

My Aunt Miss Jane Austen had nearly left off singing, by the time I can recollect much about her performances—but *some* songs of hers I do remember—One was—

Her groves of green myrtle, let foreign lands reckon,

Where bright beaming summer exalts their [. . . ]

Far dearer to me are the Braes of [. . .]

With the wind stealing over the long yellow broom

My memory fails at the last word of the 3d. line—and one or two of the 4th. are a guess. The Song, as she sang it, was in M.S. I never saw it in print—

Another, already mentioned, was entitled Oh! no my Love no! or The Wife’s [Farewell] I believe from the Farce of Age to-morrow[.] I had a printed copy of this once, myself ages ago— But the song I heard her sing oftenest, was a little French ditty in her M.S. book[.] The 2 first lines were

[‘]Que j’aime à voir les Hirondelles

Volent ma fenêtre tous les jours’—

As a child, this was my favourite—& was what I asked for the oftenest.[[8]](#endnote-8)

 In 1999, Deirdre Le Faye identified Robert Burns as the author of ‘Their groves o’ sweet myrtle,’ but could not attribute the poems of the other two songs, or find musical sources for any of the three.[[9]](#endnote-9) As Le Faye pointed out, none of these ‘missing songs’ appear in the music albums compiled by Austen and her family that are owned by Jane Austen’s House Museum. These volumes include two complete manuscripts in Austen’s hand as well as printed sheet music compilations bearing her signature. Formerly part of the Knight family library at Chawton House, these albums became more widely known in the mid-1930s, and since their donation to the Jane Austen Memorial Trust in 1952 they have been regularly drawn upon by both musicologists and Austen scholars.[[10]](#endnote-10) One of the books contains a version of ‘The yellow-haired laddie’ that helps to substantiate Fowles’s recollection of Austen’s performances. But they shed no further light on the music Caroline remembered.

 Le Faye did not have access to other extant Austen music books from the Knight library that were not donated to the museum. None contain Jane Austen’s signature, and at the time of the donation they were apparently considered less significant and remained in family possession. Two stayed in the Knight library at Chawton House, where they remained unknown to modern scholarship until discovered by Samantha Carrasco in 2009. Seven others passed to another branch of the family, and until recently were rarely seen by scholars.[[11]](#endnote-11) These largely unstudied books prove to be just as interesting as the better-known albums owned by the museum, and they contain all three songs that Caroline Austen remembered.

***Performing criticism - the ‘Song from Burns’***

‘Their groves o’ sweet myrtle’ - like ‘The yellow-haired laddie’ - arises from the Scots and other traditional song collection and composition that so distinctively marked the period’s musical culture. Austen family members acquired many of these pieces, and Jane not only copied several into her firmly attributed manuscript albums but also owned at least two published sets, containing over fifty Scots songs between them.[[12]](#endnote-12) Music of this type features in Austen’s novels: in *Pride and Prejudice*, Caroline Bingley plays a ‘lively Scotch air’ and Mary Bennett performs Scots and Irish traditional songs; in *Emma*,Jane Fairfax’s piano arrives with a set of Irish melodies, and the only song mentioned in that novel, ‘Robin Adair,’ belongs to this corpus.

 The Austen family’s example of ‘Their groves o’ sweet myrtle’ is a manuscript probably made by Jane and included in Jenkyns 03, a binder’s volume of songs, glees and keyboard works from the 1790s and early nineteenth century. The album includes items copied in several hands using different papers and inks. There is no evident logic to the binding order, and the contents do not always align with paper type (that is, the copying of a musical item often extends over a change to a new batch or leaf of paper). The book was rebound in the twentieth century and the original binding is lost, but there is a contemporary manuscript index signed ‘C.E. Austen,’ which led Patrick Piggott to speculate that the volume represented loose material owned by Jane Austen and bound up after her death by her sister Cassandra.[[13]](#endnote-13) Whether or not this is correct, the blend of hands - which includes contributions from at least three generations of Austen women - is a graphic illustration of musical exchanges similar to those described in Caroline’s memoir, in which female relations of different generations shared their music through copying and performance.[[14]](#endnote-14)

 The position of the piece in the album helps to narrow the range of possible copying dates. ‘Their groves o’ sweet myrtle’ appears between a duet by Michael Kelly, ‘Twilight glimmers o’er the steep,’ and Joseph Haydn’s famous ‘Mermaid’s Song’. The piece is labelled ‘Song from Burns’ but no composer is identified. The Kelly and Haydn songs are copied in two different hands, and the Burns song is written in a third. The hand of the Burns setting strongly resembles Jane Austen’s mature text hand, and there are only minor differences between the music script and earlier, more securely attributed examples of Austen’s music copying.[[15]](#endnote-15) The text hand used for ‘The Mermaid’s Song’ is a good match for Elizabeth Bridges (Jane’s sister-in-law, Mrs Edward Austen), though there are again some differences between the music hand and that of earlier copies such as Jenkyns 02, Elizabeth’s securely attributed personal manuscript album of c.1799.[[16]](#endnote-16) Although the binding of Jenkyns 03 is too tight to determine the construction with confidence, it appears to be made up of folded single sheets, following the same procedure Elizabeth Bridges used for her own manuscript book. The Burns song begins on the verso of the bifolio used for the Kelly song and continues onto the new bifolio used for the Haydn.[[17]](#endnote-17) The copying date of this section of the album can thus be posited as later than 1798, when the Kelly piece was first performed and published, and earlier than Elizabeth’s death in 1808.[[18]](#endnote-18)

 A copying date in the first decade of the nineteenth century fits with the years in which musical settings of ‘Their groves o’ sweet myrtle’ were most popular. Burns wrote the poem in 1795 for the Edinburgh publisher George Thomson’s collections of Scottish airs. In musical terms it is a *contrafactum* - in which new words are fitted to existing music - to the tune of the Irish traditional song ‘The Humours of Glen’.[[19]](#endnote-19) It was first published in several London newspapers after Burns’s death in 1796, in one case with the (erroneous) claim that it was the poet’s last work.[[20]](#endnote-20) Thomson sent the melody to the Bohemian composer Leopold Kozeluch in Vienna for arrangement, and published the result in 1799 in his fourth set of Scottish airs.[[21]](#endnote-21) Kozeluch’s arrangement was followed a few years later by another using the same text and melody, composed by Joseph Haydn for William Whyte in 1804-05 and published in Whyte’s second volume of Scottish songs (1807).[[22]](#endnote-22) Other composers set Burns’s poem to newly composed music rather than using the traditional Irish tune: examples include pieces by John Ambrose (c. 1800) and Samuel Porter the younger (c. 1810).[[23]](#endnote-23) Both these settings not only provided new melodies, but also featured minor variants on the text as it had appeared in Thomson’s collection. In Ambrose’s case Burns’s poem is almost completely anglicised (‘o’’ replaced by ‘of,’ ‘Wi’’ by ‘with’ and so on).

 The song preserved in the Austen manuscript is none of these, however. It too preserves a variant text, and one change appears particularly telling: the name of the sweetheart is ‘Jane’ rather than ‘Jean’. No other settings feature this reading, even when other parts of the text have been anglicised. Since no print source for the song has yet been found, this change to the poem raises the tantalizing possibility that the song was composed in the Austen circle. This is highly unlikely, however: for the same piece appears in another contemporary manuscript album copied by Mary Elizabeth Egerton (1782-1846), only daughter of the wealthy Cheshire landowner William Egerton. The album is held today amid uncatalogued sheet music at the Egerton family seat at Tatton Park. It contains a musically fuller version of the same song preserved in the Austen volume, and the female protagonist in the Egerton version is also ‘Jane’ rather than ‘Jean’. Mary Egerton’s manuscript can be dated to c.1801, and its more complete musical reading suggests it was copied from an as yet unidentified print source.[[24]](#endnote-24) Caroline Austen remembered that ‘The Song, as [Jane Austen] sang it, was in M.S. I never saw it in print—’, indicating that no one in the Austen family owned a printed version (unlike many other cases, where music owned in print by one family member shows up in another’s manuscript book).

 The song preserved in both the Egerton and Austen manuscripts includes gestures typical of the Scots song, from the melodic emphasis on leaps through the tonic triad and its upper octave, to the abundance of dotted rhythms (**figure 1**). It may have been derived from a traditional tune: a similar melody was published as ‘Open the door to me, oh!’ in Alexander Smith’s *The Musical Miscellany*, published in Perth in 1786.[[25]](#endnote-25) Mary Egerton’s copy includes a violin line, as well as the vocal and keyboard parts, and is copied on four staves, with the violin and vocal parts above a fully realized keyboard accompaniment. The Austen copy has no violin part and omits the right hand of the piano accompaniment, laying the song out on two staves devoted to the vocal line and the piano left hand. Since the left hand of the accompaniment is almost entirely an unharmonized bass line, the Austen copy effectively presents a reduction to melody and unfigured bass. The music collections of both Jane Austen and her sister-in-law Elizabeth Austen include many music prints with figured or unfigured bass lines; the ability to realise a bass was common among women whose keyboard education preceded the general adoption of fully realized keyboard accompaniments in English music prints after 1800.[[26]](#endnote-26) This also meant they did not need to use realised accompaniments even when they did have them - they may have played from the bass and ignored the upper part, or varied it according to the patterns they regularly used for realising bass lines in other music - and they did not need to write out fully realised accompaniments when copying music for later use.

 The Austen copy differs from the more complete Egerton manuscript in a number of other ways beyond the reduced accompaniment and absent violin part. The Austen and Egerton versions of the bass line are slightly different. Dotted rhythms regularly appear in the Austen copy (either dotted quaver-semiquaver, or the reverse order ‘Scotch snap’) in the place of even quaver rhythms in the Egerton manuscript. Vocal trills, absent in the Egerton copy, appear in the Austen version in the cadences ending the song’s two major sections. The Austen copy also contains a simple two-bar piano postlude that is completely missing from Egerton. This postlude erroneously omits a clef change in the left hand (which should move from treble to bass clef for the upbeat to the final bar), though the need to move the left hand down to the bass range may have been so obvious, or well known to the copyist, that she did not feel the need to include it.

 While the Egerton copy was clearly made from a written or print source, these factors suggest that the Austen copyist was instead setting down the basic outlines of a song she had already mastered in performance, incorporating her habitual ways of rendering the piece. She may have consulted a print source while copying and adjusted to reflect her own performance habits as she went along, but it is more likely that she worked entirely by ear and from memory. Another possibility is that the Austen copy was made from an intermediate manuscript in which these changes had already occurred. The copy may not have been made for the scribe’s own use, but may represent the notation from memory of a favourite piece for someone else. That these questions are impossible to resolve demonstrates the relatively loose transmission of songs in English musical culture and the continuation of copying practices that figure in personal music manuscripts stretching back for at least a hundred years. Even as print dissemination became increasingly the norm in the late eighteenth century, women continued to spend enormous amounts of time copying out music for their own and others’ use. Work by Margaret Ezell and Michelle Levy has emphasised the importance of manuscripts to women’s literary culture in this period, and manuscript production occupied a similarly central place in female musical practice.[[27]](#endnote-27) Typical of the relationship between performance and text preserved in such manuscripts is variability in ornamentation; flexibility in rhythmic notation; concern for preserving melody and words above all, with bass and other parts notated only to the extent necessary to realize a performance; and creative engagement with the materials that may extend to the composition and notation of new parts or sections.[[28]](#endnote-28)

 The fluidity of musical representation renders the differences between the words preserved in the Austen and Egerton copies even more interesting. Both manuscripts include ‘Jane’ in the final line, and both present anglicised versions of Burns’s text. But there are many minor differences between the texts and two instances of substantially different readings (**table 1**). These occur in line 2, where Egerton’s ‘bright blooming summer exalts’ appears as ‘bright beaming summers exalt’ in Austen, and lines 9-11, the opening of the second stanza, where the texts diverge completely.

 In both cases, the text as preserved in the Austen manuscript matches the reading of more authoritative publications of Burns’s poetry, such as the Thomson collection for which ‘Their groves o’ sweet myrtle’ was written.[[29]](#endnote-29) In stanza 2, Austen restores the comparison between warm exotic breezes and cold Scottish winds that the Egerton version destroys, and which are the principal means of carrying forward into the second strophe the juxtaposition of images – seductive foreign beauty versus rougher but beloved native land – that structures the first. In contrast, the changes to the poem in the Egerton version seem motivated by musical concerns; the new words in line 11 mean that the words ‘Far dearer’ fall on the same melodic motif as they did in the first strophe, and make the passage easier to remember and to sing. Since Mary Egerton was almost certainly reproducing the text as it appeared in her musical source, the Austen text seems to represent a ‘corrected’ version resulting from comparison to a printed edition of Burns’s poetry. A further indication of such a process is the insertion of the missing ‘are’ in line 5, which Mary Egerton left out - presumably because it did not figure in her printed source - but which Austen has inserted with a carat above the rest of the line, as if its absence was realized only after copying out the passage, on further comparison with a Burns edition. Musically this line works with or without the ‘are’ (a two-note melisma on ‘me’ can fit the text to the vocal melody), but its absence affects the scansion of the poetic text by removing a syllable.

 This editing process was not entirely consistent, as in a few places the Austen version does not correct deviations from Burns (for example line 7, where Burns’s ‘there’ appears as ‘here’ in Austen; see also line 15); but in all but one case these are minor differences rather than substantive changes to meaning or structure. In this single case, line 6, where the words ‘bluebell and gowan’ appear in reverse order in both Austen and Egerton, the vocal line may have discouraged a change back to Burns’s original text (‘gowan and bluebell’ fits the musical phrase far better, with ‘gowan’ on a downbeat and ‘bluebell’ on the Scotch snap in the middle of the bar). In the context of a corrected text, that Austen did *not* change the beloved’s name back to Jean - despite the disruption that ‘Jane’ causes to the rhyme of the first strophe - is significant, suggesting that the enjoyment of name play seen in other Austen family documents might have been at work here as well.

More important still is the evidence for Austen’s direct engagement with Burns’s poetry. Though the Austen manuscript is musically less complete than Egerton, gesturing toward the continued importance of performance and aural transmission in the circulation of songs, at the same time it manifests a concern with stable poetic text more characteristic of developing practices of literary editorship. And the knowledge that Jane Austen could have engaged this closely with Burns’s work at a textual level in the first decade of the nineteenth century, and that she certainly performed this song for her niece during the Chawton years, helps to contextualize a scene from her unfinished final novel, known as ‘Sanditon,’which she began drafting in early 1817.

‘Their groves o’ sweet myrtle,’ like much nationalist poetry, works through conflation of the poet’s beloved with the national landscape: it claims that the enticements of other women or other countries have no effect on the true Scotsman, whose faith to the more modest attractions of his homeland is matched by his loyalty to his beloved. Yet it is precisely the reliability of such poetry as a gauge of fidelity that is questioned in a passage from ‘Sanditon’ in which Austen’s characters engage in an extended comparison between Burns and his compatriot Sir Walter Scott.[[30]](#endnote-30) Scholars have read this passage partly as a form of revenge on Scott, whose assessment of *Emma* as a new kind of realist novel in the *Quarterly Review* had characterised Austen as unfeeling and passionless.[[31]](#endnote-31) In ‘Sanditon’, Austen both casts Scott himself as ‘tame’ and critiques his endorsement of the emotional ultimacy of romance.

The scene is the first of two in which Charlotte Heywood tests the intentions and character of Sir Edward Denham through a discussion of literature. Austen mocks conventional modes for expressing literary taste by juxtaposing Sir Edward’s hyperbolic clichés and inept quotations with Charlotte’s attempts to bring textual accuracy and reasoned reading into the frame. About his favourite poet, Sir Edward claims that, ‘if ever there was a man who *felt*, it was Burns;’ in comparison, ‘If Scott *has* a fault, it is the want of passion - Tender, elegant, descriptive - but *tame*’. Burns ‘is always on fire.- His soul was the altar in which lovely woman sat enshrined, his spirit truly breathed the immortal incense which is her due’.[[32]](#endnote-32) Charlotte’s response underlines the gap between the fine feelings evinced in Burns’s poetry and the morality of the man:

I have read several of Burns’s poems with great delight [. . .] but I am not poetic enough to separate a man’s poetry entirely from his character; -- and poor Burns’s known irregularities greatly interrupt my enjoyment of his lines.-- I have difficulty in depending on the *truth* of his feelings as a lover. I have not faith in the *sincerity* of the affections of a man of his description. He felt and he wrote and he forgot.[[33]](#endnote-33)

Sir Edward, undeterred, carries on with ecstatic praise of Burns’s ‘ardour and truth,’ conflating them in a way that Charlotte cannot.

 This passage from the ‘Sanditon’ draft and the singing of ‘Their groves o’ sweet myrtle’ figure as two instances of Austen’s performance of Burns criticism in the years after 1809. In musical performance, the song acts to heighten the emotional content of Burns’s verse; it intensifies the sentiment of the lines in a bid to make performers and listeners complicit with Burns’s extravagant affective claims. The Celtic-flavoured melodic line and typical ‘Scottish’ rhythms serve as markers of authenticity, asserting a truth value for the poem’s claims for fidelity to the land and, by extension, to ‘Jane’ - the sweetheart who represents it. Although the extent of the song’s persuasive success is strongly dependent on the quality of the performance, only a parodic rendition could completely undercut the music’s enhancement of the poem; and there is no hint in Caroline’s memoir that her aunt sang it ironically. In ‘Sanditon,’ Austen calls this kind of performance into question precisely as a performance: the temporary adoption of an illusory role, felt but soon forgotten. The constructed relationship of expressive culture to feeling is exposed. By staging Sir Edward’s rapturous endorsement of the emotional appeal of Burns’s verse alongside Charlotte’s more pragmatic assessment of the fictional representation of love, Austen also by extension interrogates the meaning of her own singing of this poetry, proffering criticism as an antidote to its potential persuasive power.

***Performing theatre: ‘No, my love, no’***

In my interpretation of Austen’s relationship to the emotional content of the ‘Song from Burns,’ I have presented her in the familiar guise of an anti-sentimental and anti-romantic figure. Scott’s review of *Emma* was a significant intervention in the early history of Austen criticism, and typical of how Austen was cast as a dispassionate realist by the Romantics. This quality was re-cast as irony in later nineteenth-century views of Austen’s work, and in more recent criticism has most often seen as biting moral commentary.[[34]](#endnote-34) The second of Austen’s ‘missing songs’ fits neatly into such an understanding of Austen’s relation to feeling and its expression in cultural production. ‘No, my love, no’ and its source history underline the unreliability of lovers’ vows and the theatricality of music’s sentimental appeal.

Caroline Austen was correct in thinking that the song came from the farce *Of Age To-morrow*. Its text is by Matthew Lewis, whose scandalous novel *The Monk* (1796) has drawn attention away from his extremely successful career as a lyricist. The play, by Thomas Dibdin, was based on *Der Wildfang* (1798) by August von Kotzebue, and fits into a broader craze for English adaptations of Kotzebue’s works that swept the London stage in the late eighteenth century. Most important of these for Austen scholars is *Lovers’ Vows* (1798), Elizabeth Inchbald’s adaptation of Kotzebue’s *Das Kind der Liebe* (1789/90), which became the central play in Austen’s *Mansfield Park*, butAusten also knew many other adaptations. On her earliest documented trip to the professional theatre she saw *The Birthday*, Dibdin’s adaptation of Kotzebue’s *Die Versöhnung;* she also knew *The Beehive*, a musical farce based on Kotzebue’s *Das Posthaus in Treuenbrietzen*, as well as Sheridan’s *The Stranger,* based on Kotzebue’s *Menschenhass und Reue*, and his wildly successful *Pizzaro*, based on Kotzebue’s *Spanier in Peru*.[[35]](#endnote-35)

 *Of Age To-morrow* was an afterpiece produced for Drury Lane in 1800, written for some of the same performers who had successfully presented *Pizzaro* there the previous year. Most of the music for both plays was by the Irish tenor Michael Kelly (1762-1826), whose singing career had flourished in Italy and then Vienna (where the roles of Don Basilio and Don Curzio in Mozart’s *Le nozze di Figaro* had been written for him) before he settled in London in 1787. Kelly’s memoirs claim that the main impulse behind *Of Age To-morrow* came from the actor John Bannister, who saw the opportunity for a juicy comic turn as the high-spirited suitor who adopts various disguises in order to meet his beloved.[[36]](#endnote-36) Bannister enjoyed great success in what became something of a signature role, as did the well-known singer Maria Theresa De Camp, who played the clever maidservant who aids the young man in his plans.

 Although most of the plays we know Austen attended were comedies with music, and Austen included song texts in her own theatrical parodies, the musical element is usually missing from discussions of her experience of the theatre. The sheet music Austen acquired, or borrowed for copying into her manuscript music books, advertised its connections with venues and performers on elaborate title pages designed for the benefit of singers’ reputations, theatre owners’ receipts and music publishers’ sales. Sheet music provided domestic performers with opportunities not only to represent characters in a drama, but also to imitate the delivery of famous singers whom they may have heard in London theatres or on tours to the provinces; these marketing and distribution practices were crucial to changing attitudes towards singing actresses, helping to foster the complex mix of fascination, emulation, and unease that would mark relationships between gentry women and professional singers in the Victorian age.[[37]](#endnote-37) Austen herself was a keen critic, though she seems to have been less impressed with famous singers than many of her contemporaries, placing more emphasis on acting skill and less on the quality of the voice - as in her somewhat disparaging assessment of the vocal sensation Catherine Stephens, whom Austen heard in March 1814.[[38]](#endnote-38)

 In the case of ‘No, my love, no’ we know that Austen had the opportunity to see how a professional singing actress delivered the piece. While living in Southampton between 1806 and 1809, Austen attended plays at the city’s French Street Theatre, and during a visit from her brother Edward and his family in September 1807, the group sawJohn Bannister there in a benefit performance of *Of Age To-morrow*.[[39]](#endnote-39) The local newspaper announcements do not specify who played the role of Maria, but it was likely De Camp; and Austen certainly experienced how ‘No, my love, no’ fit into the play.[[40]](#endnote-40)

 The song figures in the opening scene as the first solo and the first piece of original music in *Of Age To-morrow*.[[41]](#endnote-41) It is sung by Maria, maidservant to the stingy Lady Brumback and her beautiful niece Sophie. The impetuous suitor, Frederick, who will inherit his title and fortune the next day, tries to get the maid to broker an introduction. Maria asks where he has seen Sophie, and when Frederick’s responds ‘At church, yesterday for the first time,’ she immediately casts doubts upon the durability of his attachment, recounting her own experience of a young man’s infidelity:

Maria. The acquaintance is rather young?

Fred. Well, and she is young, and I am young, and I shall love her for ever.

Maria. Such constancy is unusual in gentlemen of your age - ah! I had a sweetheart once myself, and when another attracted him--

Fred. Another attract him from you! - impossible! - what could he say for himself?

Maria. He said nothing, but I’ll tell you what I said to him:[[42]](#endnote-42)

Maria then performs ‘No, my love, no,’ a portrayal of her faithful response to her lover’s betrayal**.**

 *Of Age To-morrow* is anchored in the *commedia dell’ arte* and comic opera traditions; Maria links to the stocktype of Colombina, the resourceful servant, and to related operatic soubrettes such as Susanna in *Le nozze di Figaro*. As an experienced Italian *opera buffa* singer, Michael Kelly knew this tradition from the inside out. He set ‘No, my love, no’ in a light sentimental idiom in keeping with the *mezzo caractere* - semi-serious rather than broadly comic - nature of Maria’s role. The song is harmonically extremely simple and the words are set in a tuneful declamatory style within a relatively limited vocal range (e1 to g2). That De Camp was able to deliver much more demanding music is clear not only from her prior performance career, but also from Maria’s ‘Medley Song’ in *Of Age To-morrow*, which includes a brief passage of Italian operatic fireworks complete with difficult ornamentation and a virtuosic mini-cadenza. In contrast, the point of ‘No, my love, no,’ was not to demonstrate vocal prowess but to be affecting, as its *Espressivo* marking confirms.

 Cues on how to achieve this goal are in the pauses that measure out the delivery of the words. The song falls into two broad sections, each opening with symmetrical four-bar phrases that disintegrate into shorter fragments for rhetorical effect. The first section is built as an ABAC antecedent-consequent structure, cadencing on the dominant at the end of B and returning to the tonic to close. The third phrase - the repeat of A - ends on a notated pause, as the accompaniment rests on the downbeat while the vocal line falls a further third before its own pause. This suggests stretching the tempo to emphasize the words ‘ac-*cuse you*,’ providing a rhetorical hiatus before the final C material. The four bars of C are then split into two-bar segments, broken up by a further pause to highlight the narrator’s sorrowful question (‘Did I ever upbraid you?’) and its impassioned response (‘Oh no, my love, no’). The pause on ‘up-*braid* you’ allows the question to hang in the air briefly before the speaker’s final phrase (figure 2).

 Kelly intensifies these musical procedures for affective delivery in the second half of the song. The piece moves into a higher register, creating a more fervent impression as the singer remains mainly in the upper third (e2-g2) of the overall range. Two new symmetrical four-bar phrases lead to a pause on a rest; this silence precedes a more asymmetrically structured final section, which begins with a rushing six-bar phrase before disintegrating again into two-bar fragments marked by pauses, rests and repetition. Throughout the song, Kelly’s melodic writing enhances his disruption of the phrase structure and his use of pauses to emphasize expressive punctuation and encourage declamatory delivery. He uses rising thirds and fourths to end each question (mimicking the spoken mode of ending questions on an uplift), following them each time with a forceful cadential descent that emphasises the firmness of the speaker’s ‘Oh no’ replies.

 As Stefano Castelvecchi has shown in his study of sentimental opera, such techniques were important tools for composers who adapted the literary *style haché* (also called the *style entrecoupé* or the *style haletant*) for the theatre.[[43]](#endnote-43) Characterised by interrupted speech, repetition, and references to non-verbal sounds such as sighs, this literary representation of heightened emotion lent itself particularly well to musical realisation. Short or assymetric phrases and the effective placement of pauses and silence could evoke the sounds of broken speech, sobs and sighs, in contrast to the longer, balanced melodies of conventional song. Though Michael Kelly was frequently mocked for his shortcomings as a composer (abundantly clear in the feeble accompaniment to ‘No, my love, no’), he was a skilled singer-actor, adept at setting words, and the song shows his familiarity with the pan-European musical language of affect associated with sentimental drama and the cult of sensibility.

 Kelly’s simple but effective strategies mean that in *Of Age To-morrow* the song produces a mini-scenario in which Maria acts out an impassioned encounter with an unfaithful lover for Frederick’s benefit. While the rhetorical effects could be more or less exaggerated by any individual singer, they are so integral to the musical materials that any performance of the piece, including by amateurs at home, would produce some dramatic effect. ‘No, my love, no’ establishes Maria as the young lovers’ accomplice, depicting her as a constant and forbearing lover despite betrayal by her sweetheart. But it also provides a cynical counterpoint to Frederick’s fervent vows that he will love Sophie forever, juxtaposing those claims with a musical scene in which a once no doubt equally passionate lover has proved unreliable. If Maria sang the piece to Frederick on stage rather than to the footlights, his likely future as a straying husband would have been even more firmly produced in spectators’ minds.

 To add a further complication, Austen probably knew the song before she saw the play in 1807, and she may have learned it first not in its theatrical guise but in the form in which it circulated as sheet music. As Michael Kelly recounted in his memoirs,

[*Of Age To-morrow*] was very productive to the treasury, at little or no expense. In it there was a ballad, written by Mr. M. G. Lewis, and composed by myself, which was sung by Miss Decamp, entitled, ‘No, my love, no’. I believe I may say, it was the most popular song of the day; it was not alone to be found upon every piano-forte, but also to be heard in every street, for it was a great favourite with the ballad-singers: but the primitive cause of its gaining such popularity was, its being sung delightfully by a distinguished amateur, and more completely too, with the expression I intended, than by any other person I ever heard; -- I allude to Mr. Charles Calvert, the present Member for Southwark. Many and many a time have I heard him sing it charmingly, and often have I enjoyed his kind hospitality and social qualities. To Miss Decamp I had also great obligations for the animation and spirit she infused into it.[[44]](#endnote-44)

The popularity of ‘No, my love, no’ is confirmed by evidence that several Austen family members owned copies of it. One appears in Jenkyns 05, a volume of individually purchased sheet music items from c.1795-c.1810, bound for Eleanor Jackson Austen (d. 1864), Jane Austen’s brother Henry’s second wife. Henry and Eleanor did not marry until 1820, three years after Jane Austen’s death; although Eleanor was known to the Austen family many years before the marriage, it seems unlikely that this was Jane’s copy.[[45]](#endnote-45) Caroline Austen claims that she herself once owned a printed copy, implying that Jane performed the song from manuscript. This may be the copy that appears in an album formerly owned by Austen descendant Henry Rice, whose current location is unknown. A catalogue prepared at the time of its sale, however, indicates that it includes a copy of ‘No, my love, no,’ notated as a melody and bass line and with alterations to the verbal text, that is, preserved in the same form as the ‘Song from Burns’.[[46]](#endnote-46) As we have seen, this format is an efficient shorthand often used by Austen family members for notating songs and it is possible that this is the copy Austen prepared for her own use.

In his memoir, Kelly attributes the success of the song partly to its enthusiastic adoption by a male amateur, already suggesting that the piece acquired different connotations once separated from its dramatic context*.* What Kelly does not mention is that ‘No, my love, no’ circulated as sheet music in a different form than it had appeared in the play. It was published under the title ‘The Wife’s Farewell’: rather than portraying the loss of a flighty suitor, the song now appears as the reaction of a wife who suspects her husband of cheating.[[47]](#endnote-47) This scenario was completed by a companion piece, ‘The Husband’s Return,’ that Kelly soon produced on the back of the first song’s success.[[48]](#endnote-48) The text of the ‘The Husband’s Return’ makes it clear that ‘Harry’ has been dallying with a mistress, but now, ‘disgusted with pleasure the sense alone knows,’ he begs his wife’s forgiveness (**table 2**). In the same key and meter (F major, 6/8) as ‘No, my love, no,’ the companion song features a similar use of declamatory techniques for the final verses of each stanza, emphasizing the obfuscating questions that Harry uses to justify his infidelity:

I could not be true, if I could not - how could I?

I could not unless I could - could I? could you?

The songs make a successful scene when performed in succession, whether by two singers or a single performer acting two roles (and a copy of ‘The Husband’s Return’ appears in Eleanor Jackson’s album with ‘The Wife’s Farewell,’ suggesting such a practice). It is unclear if the wronged wife will accept her husband back - her ‘frowning features’ in stanza 2, like Harry’s own frowns in ‘No, my love, no’ imply that they remain at odds - and the fate of the couple remains unclear. This lack of resolution, as well as the amusing word play at the end of ‘The Husband’s Return,’ suggests that any performance of the songs as a pair might produce comic as well as sentimental effects.

 Both as a lyric component of a stage work and as a separately circulating piece of sheet music, ‘No, my love, no’ highlights the ontological status of musical emotion as a theatrical creation rather than expression of deeper human truth. It provides a model of working with tropes of sensibility within a satirical context, an example of the kind of ‘dissonance’ that Castelvecchi sees as characteristic of late eighteenth-century operas - including most notably *Le nozze di Figaro* - in which sentimental and anti-sentimental currents are intricately entwined.[[49]](#endnote-49) The song invites multiple readings, its slipperiness in relation to ‘genuine’ emotion emphasized by its complex history of dissemination and its potentially ironic deployment both on stage and at home. Kelly’s own flippant treatment in his memoirs (‘very productive to the treasury, at little or no expense’) seems somehow typical of the dispassionate or mocking approach that this mode of cultural expression encouraged towards its own emotional claims. It is easy to imagine Jane Austen taking pleasure in the way that the heart-tugging quality of the song was undercut by its textual and paratextual frames, much in the manner of her own satirical deployment of tropes of sentimentality and romance – openly in her early burlesques, and more subtly in later novels such as *Northanger Abbey*.

***Performing romance: ‘Que j’aime à voir les hirondelles’***

 Austen’s final ‘missing song’ and its literary source have a less ambiguous relation to the cultural arena of sensibility. ‘Que j’aime à voir les hirondelles’ - the ‘little French ditty’ Caroline Austen requested most often - both derives from the literary tradition of prose romance, and deploys the musical conventions of the French *romance* genre of strophic song*.*[[50]](#endnote-50)The text of the song is from *Estelle* (1788), a *pastorale* by Jean-Pierre Claris de Florian (1755-1794), a well-known writer of fables and stage pieces. Building on the tradition of early modern pastoral romance (a genre Florian defended in a preface to some editions of the work), *Estelle* recounts the sufferings of the eponymous heroine and her beloved Némorin. [[51]](#endnote-51) The pair are repeatedly separated through ill fortune or others’ malice, and Florian employs the intensely emotional language of *sensibilité* to treat the alternation of exaltation and despair produced by the twists and turns of their fate. Contemporary accounts suggest that readers were deeply affected by the tears of his characters (so copious that Victor Hugo could later describe one of his own as ‘weeping like a Némorin’), and *Estelle* was among the most successful of the French sentimental novels and plays of the period. Although its aristocratic author did not survive the Revolution, the workremained immensely popular throughout the 1790s and into the early nineteenth century, going through multiple editions in France and rapidly reaching English readers. At least one English translation was already in print by 1791; further translations appeared in 1798 and 1803, and London publishers also produced editions of the work in French.[[52]](#endnote-52)

 *Estelle* is peppered with lyrics representing musical performances by the principal characters, and these song texts swiftly began to circulate in musical setting. In 1788, the year of *Estelle’s* publication, a spin-off melodrama by Jean-Louis Gabiot included music by Rigel for three of the poems.[[53]](#endnote-53) Independent song settings also rapidly appeared: at least five collections of selected songs from *Estelle* were in print by 1795, featuring music by composers ranging from the relatively little known, such as Louis de Persuis and Madame de Musigny, to international figures such as Luigi Cherubini and Anton Reichardt.[[54]](#endnote-54) Most included settings of ‘Que j’aime à voir les hirondelles’in their collections.

 The most enduringly popular setting of the poem, however, was by Florian’s sometime collaborator François Devienne (1759-1803) whose operas, including *Le mariage clandestin* (1790) and *Les visitandines* (1792), were among the most admired French stage works of the day. This was the song Jane Austen seems to have known and performed. The first French print of Devienne’s settings of *romances* from *Estelle* appeared shortly after the novel’s publication in 1788. Reprints and arrangements soon followed, and within less than a decade the London firm of Corri and Dussek had produced a version for the English market.[[55]](#endnote-55) ‘Que j’aime à voir les hirondelles’ became a favourite from Devienne’s set, circulating separately in both manuscript and print. It was eventually absorbed into the repertory of traditional song, and was regularly printed and performed in France well into the twentieth century.[[56]](#endnote-56)

 Florian’s *Estelle* was so successful that Austen must have known the workat least by reputation, and it is likely that she read it - whether in French or in translation - and was aware not only of the literary source of ‘Que j’aime à voir les hirondelles’ but also of its context in the narrative. Estelle performs the song at a crucial turning point at the end of book 1 of the text. She and her fellow shepherd Némorin have been constant companions since childhood, but just as they realise these feelings have transformed into love, her father reveals that she is promised to someone else, and her betrothed will soon arrive for the wedding. Devastated by the news, Némorin leaves the region to allow Estelle’s father to fulfil his promise. The intended spouse arrives and her father orders the distraught Estelle to sing for their entertainment. She responds with this piece, which the text specifies she had learned from Némorin.

 Following conventions established in prose romance from the Renaissance onward, songs in *Estelle* figure as expression of the singer’s affective situation in reaction to plot events. In ‘Que j’aime à voir les hirondelles’, the lovelorn Estelle casts herself as the imprisoned swallow pining for her soulmate. The text (**table 3**) describes how the singer welcomes the arrival of the swallows each spring, who sing to her of faithful love’s return. If a cruel child captures one of the pair during their winter migration, it will soon die of chagrin; and its mate, although uncaged and ostensibly free, will die at the same moment, unable to live on its own and flourishing only in the springtime warmth of love. In F major with a lilting 6/8 signature, Devienne’s setting exhibits all the musical hallmarks of the *romance*, including a relatively simple melody, regular phrase structure, and strophic form, and it operates within the same idealised sentimental aesthetic as the pastoral novel that furnished its text.

 Within this general mood there are moments of increased affective appeal. In sung *romances*, this is often achieved by expansion of the melodic register in the later parts of the song. Here each strophe is set in an A A1 B structure **(figure 3),** and the melody initially occupies a restricted compass of only a fourth (F-B flat) until the end of A1, where it moves to the upper octave f. The initial phrase of the B section exploits almost the whole of the song’s range in preparation for the emotional ‘hook’ at the end - the octave leap on the words ‘amans fideles’ (faithful lovers). While the degree of emphasis and affective urgency might differ from singer to singer depending on performance variables such as tempo and timbre, the vocal effort required to move higher into the range and to produce the octave leap, along with the generally expanded melodic range and greater rhythmic energy of the B section, would produce some effect in any performance - including Jane Austen’s own renditions. In the production of increasingly heightened sentiment through melodic gesture, ‘Que j’aime à voir les hirondelles’ employs musical techniques similar to those we have seen in both the ‘Song from Burns’ and ‘No, my love, no’. But in contrast to the latter, there is no irony in the relation of the song to its literary source: ‘Que j’aime à voir les hirondelles’ not only produces an idealized image of mutual fidelity, but its source text casts song itself as an authentic gauge of emotional truth.

 Where might Austen have learned this piece? The Austen family music books include a substantial number of Parisian music prints featuring fashionable French repertory of the late eighteenth century. ‘Que j’aime à voir les hirondelles’ figures there in a simplified version for harp and voice from a 1789 instalment of the Parisian periodical *Feuilles de Terpsichore*. The arrangement is by the harpist Pierre Philibert Dufeuille, known as Blattman, who was responsible for many of the arrangements published in the *Feuilles.*[[57]](#endnote-57) The Cousineau firm of instrument builders had started this series in 1784, in imitation of the *Journal d’airs choisis* begun by their rivals Leduc in 1782.[[58]](#endnote-58) Both series featured arrangements of the latest *romances*, dances and overtures, largely taken from successful recent stage works. A year’s full volume of about 200 pages was delivered to subscribers in weekly instalments, each containing one or two pieces. The intended target audience is suggested by the original subtitle of the *Feuilles*, which advertised in its first year of production that it was ‘dedicated to the Ladies’ (*dediée aux Dames*).

The Austen music books include two volumes almost entirely made up of selections from the *Feuilles* and the *Journal*, and a third volume includes one further instalment. Jenkyns 04, the album containing ‘Que j’aime à voir les hirondelles,’is mainly composed of instalments from the *Feuilles*, with a separately published set of music by Philippe Joseph Hinner, Marie Antoinette’s harp teacher, bound in at the end. The album does not represent a complete subscription, but rather a collection of 22 scattered instalments from the years 1785-1790, with the largest number (8) from 1789-90. A similar volume, Knight 02, retains a paper label on the front cover marked ‘French Songs,’ and features instalments from the Leduc *Journal d’airs choisis* series for harp, also bound together with an independent publication at the end: here song settings from *Estelle* by Musigny, another harpist with fashionable court connections.[[59]](#endnote-59) This volume also includes scattered instalments rather than a complete run. All date from 1784-1789, with the greatest number from 1788. As well as its paper label, ‘French Songs’ retains most of its original binding of marbled paper over board. Though the heavily damaged binding of Jenkyns 04 has lost most of its marbled paper (as well as any label it may once have had), the fragments that remain match the binding of ‘French Songs’. The two volumes use the same paper in the binding flyleaves and both also include a signature - ‘Mrs Austen’ - in the same hand and ink, suggesting that they were bound up for the same owner at the same time.[[60]](#endnote-60) One further instalment from the *Journal,* from 1783, appears in Jenkyns 07, a compilation of miscellaneous sheet music otherwise devoted to English prints.[[61]](#endnote-61)

 The most likely original owner of all this material - and Jane Austen’s main source for French song in the 1790s - was her cousin Eliza Hancock de Feuillide (1761-1813), whose own tumultuous life story could easily be narrated as romance. As a child, Eliza remained in London with her mother Philadelphia Austen Hancock while her father sought his fortune in India. At his insistence, her education was aspirational, including fine accomplishments commensurate with his financial ambitions. Her early training in London included keyboard lessons from the German composer Georg Berg (d. 1776); her parents apparently purchased an expensive Kirckman harpsichord for her use, and she may also have studied the guitar.[[62]](#endnote-62) After her father’s death, Eliza and her mother moved to the Continent, leaving England in 1777 and settling in Paris sometime before October 1779.[[63]](#endnote-63) By 1780 she had taken up the harp, which she described in a letter as ‘at present the fashionable instrument’ in the French capital.[[64]](#endnote-64) In 1781, she married Jean-François Capot de Feuillide, son of a minor landed family; they lived in Paris at first, attending concerts and the opera, before moving to Feuillide’s estates near Nérac in late 1784.[[65]](#endnote-65)

 Eliza returned to England with her baby son in June 1786, and over the Christmas season of 1786-87 she made an extended visit to the Austen family home at Steventon, where Jane had just returned from school. Eliza’s musical skills were much in evidence: Jane Austen’s mother wrote,

Madame has grown quite lively, when a child we used to think her too grave. We have borrowed a Piano-Forte, and she plays to us every day; on Tuesday we are to have a very snug little dance in our parlour, just our own children, nephew & nieces, (for the two little Coopers come tomorrow) quite a family party.[[66]](#endnote-66)

Eliza brought a French book - *L’ami des enfans* - for Jane’s eleventh birthday present, and the music she played must also have reflected her previous decade of life in France. Although ‘Que j’aime à voir les hirondelles’ did not yet exist in 1786, Eliza may have brought earlier numbers of the *Feuilles de Terpsichore* or the *Journal des airs choisis* with her to England; the interchangeability of the harp and piano repertoire means that she could have performed this music at the piano. She certainly served as a source of Continental airs and *romances*, and the strong impression she seems to have made on her young Austen cousins may have rendered the repertoire even more attractive.

 Over the next decade, events in France would render Eliza’s life increasingly precarious. She visited Steventon again for Christmas 1787 before returning to Paris in September 1788; after spending the winter of 1788-89 in the French capital, she travelled to London in June 1789 for what was intended as a short business trip. Her return was prevented when news came of the storming of the Bastille in July.[[67]](#endnote-67) The comte de Feuillide remained in France to protect his estates. Separated from her husband and with the family’s French funds in jeopardy, Eliza was left to care for her ailing mother and sickly son in England as best she could. Family letters pointed up the difference between the glittering life she had formerly known and the pathos of her current situation.[[68]](#endnote-68) Eliza’s mother died in early 1792; the comte de Feuillide briefly joined his wife in England after her bereavement, but was forced to return to France or risk forfeiting his lands. On his return he became trapped in Paris, where he was guillotined in early 1794.[[69]](#endnote-69) For several years Eliza continued to lead an unsettled existence, moving frequently for her son’s medical care and eventually considering several suitors, including two of her Austen cousins, Jane’s older brothers James and Henry. In 1797, she chose Henry Austen. Until her death in 1813 the couple were mainly based in London, where Eliza was able to lead something like the fashionable life she had previously enjoyed in France.

The Steventon rectory of her Austen relations was a haven during Eliza’s wandering years. She made several stays there between 1789 and 1796, becoming particularly close to Jane Austen.[[70]](#endnote-70) One marker of Jane’s affection was the dedication of her most extensive piece of fiction to date, the epistolary mock-romance *Love and Friendship*, composed c.1790. The work provides a hilariously exaggerated narrative of absurd plot twists, dramatic reversals of fortune, and emotional excess; its central character claims to possess all the stereotypical virtues of the romantic heroine, including excellence in music and dancing. *Love and Friendship* mercilessly pokes fun at the conventions of sentimental literature, but as for any burlesque, creating and enjoying it required extensive knowledge of the genre it parodies. Although it could not have escaped anyone that Eliza’s real predicament was uncomfortably close to romance, it is easy to imagine Jane and Eliza mocking the tropes of a literature with which both were intimately familiar.

Austen’s relationship with Eliza was also built on mutual appreciation of music. Eliza continued to play the piano and harp throughout her life, including after her marriage to Henry Austen.[[71]](#endnote-71) Her stays with the Austen family in the 1790s provided opportunities to share pieces she had acquired in France, as well as further music obtained through the expatriate French circles she frequented in England. She apparently also encouraged Jane’s own performance ambitions, for Jane began keyboard lessons and the Austen family had acquired a piano for her use by around 1795.[[72]](#endnote-72) There were more opportunities to share musical interests during Jane’s visits to her brother’s house in London after Eliza remarried. One such stay in 1811 included a lavish private concert for around 80 guests, featuring professional glee singers, piano music and solos from the fashionable harpist Johann Erhardt Weippert.[[73]](#endnote-73)

 If the French periodicals preserved in the Austen music books belonged to Eliza, her frequent movements in the 1780s and definitive return to England in 1789 could explain the fragmentary nature of the sets. The instalments may have been purchased individually rather than by subscription, or represent only those pieces Eliza particularly liked and wished to keep during her travels. Alternatively, some numbers may have become lost over the years as Eliza moved, since the albums including selections from the *Feuilles* and *Journal* *d’airs choisis* appear to have been bound much later, suggesting that she continued to use the unbound copies to play from during her London years. The books were probably bound up by Henry Austen’s second wife, Eleanor Jackson, who signed the books ‘Mrs Austen’ before eventually passing them to a younger relation, likely Eliza’s harp-playing niece Fanny Knight.[[74]](#endnote-74)

 Jane Austen seems never to have owned these copies in any case: Caroline remembered her aunt singing ‘Que j’aime à voir les hirondelles’ from manuscript rather than print. Eliza’s French music was, however, the most likely source for Jane Austen’s manuscript, as Jane’s extant music books include several exact copies of harp arrangements from Eliza’s numbers of the *Feuilles* and *Journal*. Austen’s keyboard manuscript CHWJA/19/2, begun in the early to mid-1790s, includes copies of Blattman’s arrangements of overtures to Dalayrac’s *Renaud d’Ast* and Le Moine’s *Les Pretendus*,both published in the *Feuilles de Terpsichore* instalments that appear in Jenkyns 04. Austen’s songbook CHWJA/19/3, begun around the same time, includes a duet by Paisiello (‘Duo du roi Théodore,’ from the 1786 French version of his opera *Il re Teodoro*), which reproduces the arrangement published in an instalment of the *Journal* included in Knight 02. Jenkyns 03 includes a manuscript copy of an ‘Air varié par M. Blattman Dufeuille’ in what seems to be Austen’s hand. Eliza was also the likely source for other French material:Austen’s manuscript books CHWJA/19/2 and 19/3 include songs by Grétry, a version of the *Marseillaise*, and various unidentified French dances, and there are *airs* by Dalayrac on a loose leaf in Austen’s hand that has been transmitted with the collection.

 Eliza’s French songs provided a significant connection not only to the sung *romance*, but also to French prose romance and its musical conventions. The genre is characterised not only by the unrealistic plots and settings, wandering structures and themes, and affective urgency mocked in *Love and Friendship*, but also by a characteristic approach to music. This is best described as a romantic musical dynamic in which the lyric moment - the site of song within the narrative - is conceived as a revelation of perfect emotional truth. Such an approach fit with both the older Neoplatonist understandings of music at the root of prose romance’s lyric conventions, and the idealist aesthetics of music developing in the late eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries. As a performance art, song was bound up with the contexts in which it was practiced; so narratives of romance that featured song could deliberately or inadvertently invoke the real sounds and social situations in which their audiences consumed music. Conversely, actual performances could be freighted with the ideological weight of the role that song played in fictional narratives. When sung *romances* were performed as stand-alone musical numbers, or inserted into operas and plays, whether they were rendered by professional singers or amateurs at home: they brought with them the affective ultimacy that characterised romance as a literary mode, in which songs are conceived as unmediated expressions of passion and guarantors of emotional sincerity. Austen’s performances of ‘Que j’aime à voir les hirondelles’ might thus be read as a way of performing romance not only in the formal or generic sense, but also as an enactment of a broader romantic sensibility and its affective claims.

In *Persuasion*, Anne Elliot famously ‘learned romance as she grew older’. Though Anne and Captain Wentworth are not conventional romantic figures, the story of their faithful love over time and distance resonates with the picture of swallows joined for life.[[75]](#endnote-75) The trajectory of Austen’s relationship to romance, leading from her earlier burlesques to a deeper and more complex relationship with the emotional world of sentimental literature in her later novels, finds a counterpoint in her engagement with the sung *romance*. We can imagine her learning ‘Que j’aime à voir les hirondelles’ from her glamourous French cousin in the 1790s, admiring Eliza’s high spirits and lively character and enjoying opportunities to share in mockery of romantic conventions in music and in prose. But perhaps, when performing the song near the end of her life, Austen’s memories of Eliza and her often tragic history were tinged with retrospective pathos. Austen’s relationship with her nieces provided a version of her own connection to Eliza, as she offered advice on Anna’s early writing efforts and shared music with Fanny and Caroline. Though the younger Austen relations must certainly have absorbed something of their satirical aunt’s sceptical approach to the affective claims of expressive culture, they were not only exposed to Austen the steely ironist. The image of Caroline by her aunt’s piano, imbibing the story of faithful swallows, confirms that they also learned romance.

**Conclusion**

Songs have been ‘missing’ from accounts of Austen’s work in more than one sense. She was intimately familiar with a wide range of music, performing sung poetry on a regular basis for much of her life. If we had similar evidence of Austen reading anything else with such close engagement, it would almost certainly have made a greater impression on interpretations of her writing. However, concentration on the negative aspects of feminine accomplishment in both musical and literary scholarship has created substantial obstacles for sophisticated understandings of how song performance may have shaped Austen’s relationship to literary tradition. Song afforded entry into rich textual networks that stretched beyond poems to source works, and further to generic and stylistic conventions; musical performance provided a way for Austen to engage with the emotional claims of contemporary literature and to envoice both romantic and satiric currents of thought. Austen suggests in *Persuasion* that song is a form of expressive culture that cannot ‘prove anything,’ whether to guarantee authenticity of sentiment or predict its durability. Yet singing allowed her to explore varied approaches to many of her central themes: to rehearse, quite literally, the stances and positions taken by male authors and composers, and to interrogate their meaning.
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