Feeding ecology of the crab *Munida subrugosa* (Decapoda: Anomura: Galatheidae) in the Beagle Channel, Argentina
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* Munida subrugosa is the most abundant galatheid crab species in the Beagle Channel (55°S 68°W) off Tierra del Fuego, Argentina. Samples of crabs and the epibenthic community were taken on a monthly basis at two different depth strata (<40 m and >70 m), by means of epibenthic trawling from 1998 to 1999. Stomach contents from 1582 crabs were analysed, out of which only 2% had empty stomachs. The quantity of food in the stomach contents was clearly seasonal and similar at both depths. The organic matter varied throughout the year and between both depths, being significantly higher in summer/spring than in autumn/winter. *Munida subrugosa* shows two different and simultaneous feeding habits: (1) as a predator *M. subrugosa* feeds on crustaceans, algae, and polychaetes; and (2) as a deposit feeder *M. subrugosa* consumes particulate organic matter and organisms associated with the superficial layer of the sediment.

The composition of the diet of *Munida subrugosa* was similar for both years, and independent of depth, sex or season. *Munida subrugosa* selected crustaceans only in autumn and winter, whereas most food items were found according to their availability in the habitat.

**INTRODUCTION**

*Munida subrugosa* (White, 1847), is an anomuran crab of 5–7 cm in total length and ~15 g in wet weight. This crab occurs in high concentrations in southern South America (Rayner, 1935): from 35°S on the Atlantic and 41°S on the Pacific, to 55°S. Near the Beagle Channel and in terms of biomass, *M. subrugosa* constitutes up to 50% of the benthic community (Arntz & Gorny, 1996). In terms of abundance, *M. subrugosa* represents >85% to the anomuran and brachyuran crab assemblages (Arntz & Gorny, 1996).

Galatheid crabs are considered key species because of their abundance and trophic position (Romero, 2003, and references therein). For example, maximum densities are: *Pleuroncodes monodon* (H. Milne-Edwards, 1837) from south-eastern Pacific, 10,000 individuals/100 m². *P. planipes* Stimpson, 1860 off the Mexican Pacific, 4000 individuals/100 m², and *Munida sarsi* Huus, 1935 in the North Atlantic, >2000 individuals/100 m². Reported densities of *M. subrugosa* for the coastal southern South America varied from 50 to 2700 individuals/100 m² (Romero, 2003). In the Beagle Channel, densities of *M. subrugosa* are maximum at <40 m depth and reportedly 100 individuals/100 m², and an average biomass of 3.4 t km⁻² (F. Tapella, unpublished data).

Galatheid crabs show a wide spectrum of feeding habits, from ingesting particulate organic matter to cannibalism, with several intermediate situations. On the Mediterranean slope, *Munida tenuimanus* Sars, 1872 is detritivorous as an adaptation to the scarcity of resources typical of the deep environment >1000 m depth (Cartés, 1993). In the south-eastern Pacific, *Pleuroncodes monodon* has been described as omnivorous, with vegetarian or carnivorous tendencies, depending on the availability of food (Madrid, Departamento de Oceanografía, Universidad de Concepción, Chile, personal communication). Off the Mexican Pacific coast and during the benthic phase, *P. planipes* feeds on particulate organic matter and diatoms, filtering particles as small as 30–80 µm (Aurioles Gamboa & Pérez Flores, 1997). Off New Zealand *Munida gregaria* (Fabricius, 1793) is cannibalistic (Zeldis, 1985). Larval stages, juveniles and adults of galathieids are prey of several top predators, e.g. whales, sea-lions, dolphins, otters, fish, crustaceans, and sea-birds (Romero, 2003), most of which are commercial species.

The aim of this study is to describe the natural diet of *Munida subrugosa* from the subantarctic Beagle Channel. The feeding habits and preferences, seasonal, sexual and depth variation in the diet were documented. This information will be helpful to determine its trophic role in the subantarctic coastal ecosystem.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Field sampling

Sampling was carried out in the Beagle Channel, which is situated in the southern tip of South America (55°S 68°W). The water temperature and salinity present a well-defined seasonality, ranging between 5.4 and 9.8°C and 28 and 30 psu, respectively (Lovrich, 1999).

Sampling for crabs was done on a monthly basis in a sector of 45 km of the Beagle Channel, from Bahía Lapataia (54°52'S 68°32'W) to Punta Segunda (54°52'S 68°02'W), from March 1998 to December 1999. Samples were obtained with an epibenthic trawl of 10-mm mesh size and 1.7 m mouth width. Three randomly selected positions in each of two depth strata (<40 m and >70 m) were sampled on each occasion. There is a steep depth change from 40 to 70 m, and hence intermediate
sampling depths were not considered. All but 30 crabs were immediately fixed in 4% buffered formalin seawater. The other 30 crabs were frozen at −18°C. The standard measure of body size, carapace length (CL), was determined to the nearest 0.01 mm on all crabs by means of a dial calliper. Only crabs >10 mm CL were used because they are gonadal mature and can be considered as adult individuals (Tapella et al., 2002).

Analysis of the natural diet

To identify food items and quantify their relative abundance and frequency of occurrence in the stomach contents, animals of the formalin sub-samples were used. Crabs were dissected and the stomach removed. Each stomach was weighed before and after extracting their contents. The difference in weight was considered as the weight of the stomach content (TW). Stomachs were characterized according to their repletion using an arbitrary scale, as follows: 0: empty; 1: 1–25%; 2: 25–50%; 3: 50–75%; 4: >75%. Stomach contents were transferred to Eppendorf tubes with 1 ml of 4% formalin seawater, until their microscopic inspection.

Galatheids can be deposit feeders (Nicol, 1932) and therefore ingest a great quantity of sediment. Consequently, the repletion index (RI) may be an overestimation for food uptake. Hence, the amount of organic matter (OM) in the stomach contents was used as a measure of food ingestion. To quantify the OM the frozen sub-samples from February to December of 1999 were used. Since the ash weight from one individual stomach content was low to the precision of our balance, five stomach contents were pooled. The stomach contents were dried to constant weight at 56°C, weighed, burned for 24 h at 450°C, and ashes weighed to the 0.1 mg. The OM was calculated as the difference between the dry and ash weights.

The quantity of ingested food depends on the animal size (Elner & Lavoie, 1983), and therefore, TW and OM were standardized by CL. In the case of pooled stomach contents, the standardization was done on the basis of the average CL. A two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) (Sokal & Rohlf, 1995) was used to test both null hypotheses, of equal quantity of food and equal quantity of OM among seasons and depths. Assumptions of normality and homoscedasticity were previously tested with Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Bartlett’s tests, respectively.
Identification and occurrence of prey

Relatively large food items were identified to the lowest taxonomic level under a binocular microscope at $\times$20 magnification. The importance of the different food components in the diet was estimated in two different ways. The relative abundance (RA) of each food item was calculated by re-adapting the technique reported by Kennish et al. (1996). Two 0.4 ml sub-samples of the stomach content were mounted on slides and examined under a binocular microscope at $\times$100 magnification. The occurrence of each item was recorded with an ocular grid marked with 25 intersection points. From each slide, three randomly selected fields were examined giving a total number of 150 intersection points for each animal. The RA was $i_a \sum i - 1$-100, where $i_a$ is the number of intersection points for item a and $\sum i$ is the number of intersection points for all items. The frequency of occurrence (FO) of each item was $N_i - N - 1$-100, where $N_i$ is the number of stomachs with item i, and $N$ is the total number of stomachs.

Log-linear models (Sokal & Rohlf, 1995) were used to analyse the variability in the number of stomachs containing the most common prey. The influence of different factors on the consumption of each prey was analysed by fitting log-linear models by the maximum likelihood method to contingency tables made up of the following variables: prey (presence–absence), depth (summer–autumn–winter–spring), season (<40 m–70 m), sex (female–male), and stratum (40 m–70 m), sex (female–male), season (summer–autumn–winter–spring).

Food selectivity

In order to evaluate the composition of potential preys of Munida subrugosa, during 1999 three samples of the epibenthic community were taken every three months in both depth strata. Samples were obtained with an epibenthic sledge of 0.4 m mouth width and 1-mm of mesh size. In the laboratory, nets were washed on a 0.25-mm sieve, and contents were fixed in 4% buffered formalin seawater, and preserved in ethanol:water 70:30. Samples were sorted and all organisms were classified to the lowest taxonomic level. Individuals of each taxa were weighed and their relative abundance was $RA_i = W_i W_{t-1} - 100$, where $W_i$ is the total weight of the benthic component, and $W_t$ is the total weight of all benthic organisms in each sample. Preferences in the diet of Munida subrugosa were evaluated with the Linear Food Selection Index (L) (Strauss, 1979). L was calculated as $L = \sum p_i \alpha$, where $p_i$ is the RA of the prey item in the stomach, and $\alpha_i$ is the RA of the same prey item in the benthic community. The expected value of the index is zero for random or neutral feeding. The significance of L was tested against a value of zero using a Student’s t-test. The variance of L was $S^2 L = \sum r_i (1-p)$, where $n_i$ and $n$ are the number of stomachs analysed and the number of benthic samples, respectively.

RESULTS

A total of 1582 specimens of Munida subrugosa were dissected. Average CL was 21.31 ($\pm$3.9) mm and 17.00 ($\pm$3.65) mm for animals at <40 m and >70 m depth, respectively. The taxonomic composition of the diet was evaluated in 355 individuals: 239 from <40 m depth and 116 from >70 m depth. The OM was analysed in 858 specimens: 408 and 450 at <40 m and >70 m depth, respectively. Macroscopically, the stomach contents were a greenish mass, with more or less consistency depending on the digestion condition and the stomach repletion, which consisted of very small remains of organisms and sediment.

Repletion index (RI)

The RI was analysed for 921 and 661 specimens at <40 m and >70 m depth, respectively. Out of all specimens dissected, 21 and 11 specimens were found with empty stomachs (RI=0) at <40 m and >70 m, respectively. The RI reflected less feeding in autumn than in all other seasons, being 50% of the animals with RI=1 (Figure 1). Contrastingly, the highest repletion index (RI=3–4) was found in spring and summer. The RI was a good estimate of the quantity of OM in the stomach contents, because RI and OM were positively correlated at both depths ($r_{<40 m}=0.82; P<0.001$; and $r_{>70 m}=0.89; P<0.001$).

Quantity of food

The quantity of food in the stomach contents (TW) of M. subrugosa was clearly seasonal (ANOVA, $F=79.6$,...
P<0.001) and did not show differences between both depths (ANOVA, \( F=1.2, P=0.28 \)). The mean standardized TW was 0.50 ± 0.14 mg mm\(^{-1}\) CL\(^{-1}\), (range 0.11–0.905 mg mm CL\(^{-1}\) in autumn and summer, respectively). Also, TW was not dependent on the interaction among depths and seasons (ANOV A, \( F=1.8, P=0.15 \)). The TWs in autumn and winter were similar (Tukey test \( P=0.99 \)), whereas the highest ingestion rate was registered in summer, and signifi cantly different to that of the other three seasons (Tukey test \( P<0.001 \)).

The quantity of OM in the stomach contents of \textit{M. subrugosa} varied throughout the year (ANOVA, \( F=17.5, P<0.001 \)) and between depths (ANOVA, \( F=23.2, P<0.001 \)). The OM was significantly higher in summer–spring than in autumn–winter (Tukey test \( P<0.001 \)). The maximum OM was registered in spring and summer, and it was 0.65 and 0.63 mg OM mm CL\(^{-1}\) at <40 m and at >70 m, respectively. These values were ten-fold the minima OM that occurred in autumn: 0.06 and 0.05 mg OM mm CL\(^{-1}\), at <40 m and at >70 m depth, respectively (Figure 2). The absence of statistical interaction between months and depths (ANOVA, \( F=0.96, P=0.49 \)) indicates that the feeding pattern was similar at both depths.

During February–December 1999, the percentage of OM in the stomach contents was constant: 49.7 (±13.9)% for specimens at 540 m depth, and 51.9 (±13.7)% for specimens at 470 m depth. The percentage of OM at both depths were similar (Student’s \( t \)-test, \( t=0.86, P=0.20 \)).

### Natural diet

The diet of \textit{Munida subrugosa} from the Beagle Channel consisted of at least 30 food items (Table 1). According to their biological characteristics, food items can be sorted into two different categories: sediment and particulate organic matter (POM), and organic remains of...
organisms. Sediment and POM were found virtually in all stomachs, with frequencies of occurrence (FO) > 95% (Table 1). In terms of RA, both items were the most abundant ones and together represented 56% and 63%, at <40 m and >70 m depth, respectively (Table 1). Similarly, organisms associated with the upper layer of sediment, as for example foraminifers, diatoms and radiolarians were among the most frequent food items. However, their RA were low. Some of the POM was identified as remains of vascular plants, which occurred only at <40 m depth.

*Munida subrugosa* fed mainly on crustaceans, small macroalgae and polychaetes. In the stomach contents and in terms of FO, crustaceans, algae and vermes were the most important food items, occurring in at least 35% of all stomachs (Table 1). The FO of crustaceans and polychaetes was consistent with their RA, indicating that these items are the main prey. The RA of algae in the stomach contents was low compared with their FO, probably due to their small size and the low probability of intersection with each of our sampling points. The specific algae composition of the diet showed some differences between depths (Table 1).

The diet composition of *Munida subrugosa* was similar in both years, and independent of depth, sex or season (Figure 3; Table 2). For each main component of the diet, the null hypothesis of equal frequency of occurrence among depths, seasons and sexes could not be statistically rejected (Table 2). Similarly, these main diet items did not show a clear seasonal pattern of occurrence (Figure 3). Nevertheless, at both depths the relative abundance of sediment was maximum in autumn, and the relative abundance of vermes peaked in autumn and winter. Algae were most abundant in the stomach contents during summer at <40 m depth.

**Selectivity**

The selectivity index (L) showed that *Munida subrugosa* selected some food items, especially during autumn and

---

**Figure 3.** Relative abundance of the main food items of *Munida subrugosa* at (A) <40 m and (B) >70 m depth in the Beagle Channel. SUM, summer; AUT, autumn; WIN, winter; SPR, spring. Numbers above bars are sample sizes.
Table 2. Probabilities of the analysis of consumption of main food items of Munida subrugosa using log-linear models fitted to contingency tables. Model design is prey (2) × depth (2) × sex (2) × season (4), contrasted with an α = 0.05. POM, particulate organic matter. Samples from 1998 and 1999 were pooled.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food items</th>
<th>Depth×sex×season</th>
<th>Depth×sex</th>
<th>Sex×season</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Depth</th>
<th>Season</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crustaceans</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algae</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POM</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sediment</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Strauss linear food selective index for Munida subrugosa at <40 m and at >70 m depth in the Beagle Channel, during 1999. Asterisks indicate that the index is significantly different from 0 at P < 0.05.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Autumn</th>
<th>Winter</th>
<th>Spring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;40 m</td>
<td>&gt;70 m</td>
<td>&lt;40 m</td>
<td>&gt;70 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crustacea</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.29*</td>
<td>0.75*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algae</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>−0.01</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.09*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermes</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>−0.61*</td>
<td>0.07*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mollusca</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
<td>−0.35</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>−0.93*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foraminifera</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrozoa</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td>⩽0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porifera</td>
<td>−0.26</td>
<td>⩽0.01</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
<td>⩽0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachyopoda</td>
<td>−0.08</td>
<td>⩽0.01</td>
<td>−0.03</td>
<td>⩽0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echinoder-mata</td>
<td>−0.30</td>
<td>−0.01</td>
<td>−0.03</td>
<td>⩽0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryozoa</td>
<td></td>
<td>⩽0.01</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

winter (Table 3). Crustaceans were selected in autumn and winter at both depths analysed. Algae were selected only in autumn at >70 m depth, and were rejected in winter at <40 m depth. Vermes were selected in autumn at >70 m depth and in winter at <40 m depth, respectively. As a general pattern, other food items (echinoderms, bryozoans, sponges, vascular plants) were consumed according to the availability in the habitat at both depths without a seasonal pattern of preference.

**DISCUSSION**

*Feeding habits of Munida subrugosa*

*Munida subrugosa* of the Beagle Channel shows two different and simultaneous feeding habits: as a predator and as a deposit feeder. Typically, galatheid crabs feed by two methods: large food pieces are sized by the chelae and maxillipeds and passed to the mandibles, or the third maxillipeds and pereiopods are used to collect finely divided material from the substratum (Nicol, 1932; Garm & Hoeg, 2000). On the one hand, the high FO of crustaceans (76%) and macroalgae (86%) in the stomach contents demonstrate that *M. subrugosa* catches prey and forages over algae. On the other hand, the presence of sediment, POM, and biological material associated with the benthic substrate, such as foraminifera, diatoms and nematodes, shows the ability of *M. subrugosa* as a deposit feeder. Deposit feeding is here hypothesized somewhat continuous as an easily accessible source of energy, supported by the fact that stomachs of *M. subrugosa* were rarely empty: only 2% throughout our study.

High densities of *Munida subrugosa* probably influence the feeding habits. Densities as high as 27 individuals m−2 imply that the distance between neighbour individuals may be closer than the extent of the antenna (cf. figure 4a in Gutt et al., 1999). Therefore the decision between chasing–hunting and deposit feeding is probably determined by agonistic behaviour (Zeldis, 1985). Animals held in communal tanks keep equidistant, minimizing their interactions (personal observation). Therefore, at high conspecific densities the best strategy, i.e. with less energy consuming and less individual interaction, is probably the deposit feeding.

*Munida subrugosa* from the Beagle Channel is omnivorous, because they prey on algae, invertebrates and POM. *Munida subrugosa* can also be considered as a generalist, since they consume food items according to their availability. The only exception is that *M. subrugosa* selects crustaceans during autumn and winter. Other items, such as molluscs and bryozoans, are avoided, which is probably due to the incapability of crabs to manage bivalves.

*Munida subrugosa* shortens trophic chains

*Munida subrugosa* is the direct trophic link between the detritus and the top predators of the Beagle Channel ecosystem. The remains of dead organisms—of both animal and vegetal origin—and the decomposer microorganisms associated with them, give the detritus a high nutritional value. The sediment could also act as a substrate to adsorb the dissolved organic matter in the environment. With its ability to feed and digest POM (Romero, 2003), *M. subrugosa* transforms POM into live
tissues and makes it available to predators. Therefore trophic chains that include \textit{M. subrugosa} are short and may be more efficient, in an efficient energetic transfer.

\textit{Seasonal food uptake}

The food uptake in \textit{Munida subrugosa} was clearly seasonal, reflected by the seasonal variation in the RI and TW, and the monthly variation of quantity of ingested OM. Crabs ingest more food between October and March (austral spring and summer) than during the rest of the year. This can be attributed to the fact that main physiological energetic requirements occur during spring and summer. Crabs moult during October (F. Tapella unpublished data), and yolk accumulation in oocytes occurs between February and May (Tapella et al., 2002). Therefore the energetic requirements in both processes probably need enhanced food uptake and explain this seasonal variation. By contrast, we did not find a clear seasonal pattern in the composition of the diet.

In the Beagle Channel, 16 of 18 genera of algae fed by \textit{M. subrugosa} are annual. Their biomasses, and therefore their availability, decrease during winter (Mendoza & Nizovoy, 2000). Furthermore, maximum algal consumption was verified during each summer at $<40$ m depth (Figure 3). Hence, a shift in the feeding mode of \textit{M. subrugosa}, i.e. from algal foraging and/or predation to deposit feeding, could be expected to occur seasonally or associated with depth. The proportion of inorganic matter found in the stomach contents can be used as an indicator of the amount of ingested sediment as a deposit feeder (Pinn et al., 1998). Since the proportion of inorganic matter in the stomachs did not vary throughout the year or between depths, there is not such a change in the feeding habits. By contrast, crustaceans were actively selected during autumn and winter. Hence, we propose that both feeding habits are complementary. Decreasing availability of one prey component is replaced by capturing another live item, rather than switching the feeding habit.

We are grateful to A. Chizzini, M. Gutiérrez, A. Ferlito and G. Boy for field and laboratory assistance, and to M.L. Mendoza and A. Borasso for training in algae identification. We would like to thank J.A. Calcagno for statistical help. This project was financed by grants from CONICET (Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas) and Fundación Antorchas. Carolina Romero and F. Tapella have a research fellowship for graduates from CONICET. This research was partially financed by the AWI, Germany.

\textbf{REFERENCES}


