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Abstract

Bone’s remarkable mechanical properties are a result of its hierarchical structure. The mineralized collagen fibrils, made up of collagen fibrils and crystal platelets, are bone’s building blocks at an ultrastructural level. The organization of bone’s ultrastructure with respect to the orientation and arrangement of mineralized collagen fibrils has been the matter of numerous studies based on a variety of imaging techniques in the past decades. These techniques either exploit physical principles such as polarization, diffraction or scattering to examine bone ultrastructure orientation and arrangement, or directly image the fibrils at the sub-micrometer scale. They make use of diverse probes such as visible light, X-rays and electrons at different scales, from centimeters down to nanometers. They allow imaging of bone sections or surfaces in two dimensions (2D) or investigating bone tissue truly in 3D, in vivo or ex vivo, and sometimes in combination with in situ mechanical experiments. The purpose of this review is to summarize and discuss this broad range of imaging techniques and the different modalities of their use, in order to discuss their advantages and limitations for the assessment of bone ultrastructure organization with respect to the orientation and arrangement of mineralized collagen fibrils.
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1 Introduction

Bone is a material of remarkable mechanical properties, which are optimized through evolutionary processes and functional adaptation during lifetime, to meet the basic mechanical needs of supporting the human body, transmitting forces for locomotion and protecting vital organs. In order to achieve these mechanical properties, human bone has developed a complicated, composite structure (Fig. 1). At a macroscopic level, the organ bone is composed of two osseous tissue types: cortical and trabecular bone. These differ at a microstructural level, with cortical bone being composed of osteons or Haversian systems, whereas trabecular rods and plates form the trabecular or cancellous bone compartment. Both, cortical and trabecular bone, are typically made up of lamellae, which are mostly composed of mineralized collagen fibril bundles or fibers (1, 2), a few to several micrometers in diameter. At the ultrastructural level, mineralized collagen fibrils with diameters on the order of ~100 nm are the building blocks of bone (1). It is possible that these do not form bundles or fibers, but have a disordered organization instead (2). The mineralized collagen fibrils are formed by the combination of collagen fibrils (3) and hydroxyapatite (HA) mineral crystals (4). The crystals appear in the form of platelets (5), approximately 3×25×50 nm in size, although significant variations in platelet size have been reported, based on experiments using atomic force microscopy (AFM) (6), transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (7), and X-ray scattering (8) or diffraction (9). Platelets are formed by hexagonal crystal unit cells, with dimensions a = b = 9.4 Å and c = 6.8 Å (10). The crystals are either intra-fibrillar or extra-fibrillar (11), where intra-fibrillar crystals are associated with the gap regions of the collagen fibril (12), while extra-fibrillar crystals are found in the space surrounding the fibrils (13). It is worth noting that the collagen-mineral interaction is a topic of intense interest and study (14, 15). Further, it has been shown that the c-plane of the unit cells coincides with the direction of crystal platelets (16), and with the direction of the fibrils (17). This means that for investigating the orientation of the bone ultrastructure, one can study the orientation of each of the four structural elements of the ultrastructure: 1) the unit crystal, 2) the crystal platelet, 3) the collagen fibril, 4) the fibril bundle or fiber (if the fibrils have been organized in bundles or fibers).

There exist several factors that are being intensely studied concerning bone’s ultrastructure organization (14, 18-22). Among them, the significant contribution of the orientation and arrangement of bone’s ultrastructure to its mechanical properties has long been suggested (23-26) and experimentally investigated (27-34). In addition, several studies conducted at different structural scales have shown that ultrastructure orientation and arrangement is among the best predictors of mechanical properties such as bone strength or elastic modulus (35-38). Many approaches have been proposed in previous years to investigate the three-dimensional (3D) orientation of at least one of the four structural elements of bone ultrastructure mentioned beforehand, including methods based
on visible light, X-rays, electrons, or magnetic fields, with some of them providing very promising results. This review intends to present an overview of these approaches and recent progress in their development, in terms of their suitability for the assessment of bone ultrastructure organization, with a specific emphasis on ultrastructure orientation and arrangement. Namely, for each technique we i) explain its underlying physical principles, ii) present how the method is applied to study ultrastructure organization and iii) critically present the advantages and limitations of those methods in assessing 3D organization of the mineralized collagen fibrils.

It should be mentioned that an overarching limitation of all studies are the artifacts introduced by sample preparation steps, including e.g. sample sectioning, decalcification, dehydration or embedding (39). Depending on the protocol used, these procedures might alter to bigger or smaller extent the tissue structure and therefore limit the quantitative aspect of result interpretation. However, quantitative studies concerning the effects of these factors concerning the ultrastructure orientation and arrangement are missing. Hence, this review does not include the sample preparation effects on the final outcome. Finally, this review does not include techniques such as magnetic resonance imaging (40), electron backscatter diffraction (41), microwave method (42), small-angle light scattering (43), elastic scattering spectroscopy (44) or ultrasonic methods (45), which have been shown to be able to provide information on the orientation and arrangement of ultrastructure in bone or other tissues, but have not contributed extensively to the assessment of bone ultrastructure organization.
2 Techniques to assess the organization of bone ultrastructure

2.1 Technique categorization

The techniques to assess the organization of the mineralized collagen fibrils or the bone ultrastructure can be divided into two categories:

The first category represents methods, which can be used to examine directly and specifically the orientation of the structural elements of the bone ultrastructure (fibril bundles/fibers, collagen fibrils, mineral platelets or unit crystals) without providing an image of them, by using polarization, scattering or diffraction of the probe. In this category, only magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) makes use of another physical phenomenon, the orientation-dependent magnetic relaxation. We denote this category of techniques as “Orientation-specific techniques”.

The second category encompasses methods that provide direct images of bone ultrastructural elements, which we refer to as “Imaging techniques”, where orientation-specific information can be derived from the images (as a by-product). These methods exhibit spatial resolutions that enable imaging the ultrastructure of bone, where the ultrastructural elements (mineralized collagen fibrils or fibril bundles) can be visually identified. Quantification of the orientation and arrangement of the ultrastructure is performed by image post-processing of the acquired images, through either specialized orientation-sensitive algorithms (53-55), or, most commonly, through two-dimensional (2D) or 3D Fourier transform (FT) (56), which allow deriving the orientation and degree of orientation (57-60). It should be noted that the indirect assessment of the organization of mineralized collagen fibrils by Imaging techniques can lead to artifacts, which are discussed in the introduction of the respective subsection.

Moreover, another distinction between the various techniques is adopted in the review, through the different probes used for the techniques. (Visible) light is the more conventional probe used for many decades in the assessment of the orientation of mineralized collagen fibrils. Since its wavelength exceeds the mineral crystal sizes, methods using light as a probe are limited in examining the collagen fibrils or fibril bundles. X-rays and electrons have been used more recently, and can give access to significantly higher spatial resolutions than (visible) light, which allows probing crystal platelets, unit crystals, and also features of the fibrils, such as the typical ~67 nm collagen D-spacing. The only method that uses different probe is atomic force microscopy (AFM), exploiting the mechanical interaction of the sample with a sharp tip.
### 2.2 Orientation-specific techniques

The *Orientation-specific techniques* and their characteristics are presented in Table 1. In the following, these techniques are discussed in detail.

Table 1. Orientation-specific techniques for the assessment of the organization of bone ultrastructure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Spatial resolution</th>
<th>Feature probed</th>
<th>Sample format</th>
<th>FOV dimension</th>
<th>Quantitative 3D orientation</th>
<th>Main limitation(s)</th>
<th>Additional information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLM</td>
<td>250 nm</td>
<td>fibril + fibril bundle</td>
<td>section</td>
<td>cm</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>Sections (destructive) Equipment for 3D studies custom-made</td>
<td>Tissue image (in brightfield mode)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRS</td>
<td>1 µm</td>
<td>collagen + HA mineral</td>
<td>surface</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>Limited resolution Expensive Time-consuming Tissue surface only</td>
<td>Tissue composition Tissue quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pFTIR</td>
<td>2 µm</td>
<td>collagen + HA mineral</td>
<td>section</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>Time-consuming Sections (destructive)</td>
<td>Tissue composition Tissue quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSHG</td>
<td>150 nm</td>
<td>fibril + fibril bundle</td>
<td>surface / section</td>
<td>cm²</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>Expensive Low tissue penetration</td>
<td>SHG image (in SHG mode)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAXS / WAXS</td>
<td>200 nm synchrotron 100 µm lab-based</td>
<td>fibril + HA platelet / unit crystal</td>
<td>section</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Time-consuming Sections (destructive) Limited access to synchrotron facilities</td>
<td>Size and shape of ultrastructure features Tissue composition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-ray scattering tomography</td>
<td>200 nm synchrotron 100 µm lab-based</td>
<td>fibril + HA platelet / unit crystal</td>
<td>volume</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>High dose Limited access to synchrotron facilities Long post-processing time</td>
<td>Size and shape of ultrastructure features Tissue composition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electron diffraction</td>
<td>1 nm</td>
<td>unit crystal</td>
<td>section</td>
<td>µm</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>Expensive Sample preparation Time-consuming Sections (destructive) Limited FOV</td>
<td>Crystal structure Very high-resolution tissue images (in TEM mode)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1: Spatial resolution values reflect the current resolution limits of each technique

2: Except if coherent Raman scattering is used (61), which in exchange leads to significantly higher costs

3: Sections not needed when spatially resolved information is not required

Abbreviations: Field of view (FOV), hydroxyapatite (HA)
2.2.1 Light-based techniques

2.2.1.1 Polarized light microscopy (PLM)

Polarized light microscopy (PLM) has been used since the early 19th century to study collagen structure in different biological tissues, exploiting the positive intrinsic and form birefringence of collagen (31, 50, 62). Especially for bone, use of PLM has been mostly driven by the early observation of alternating bright and dark appearance of the lamellae in the osteons (Fig. 2). The two commonly used forms of PLM are circular PLM and linear PLM (Fig. 2).

In circular PLM, image brightness depends on the out-of-plane orientation of the mineralized collagen fibers in the structure and on their degree of orientation (DO), with fibers perpendicular to the light path and most highly oriented fibers leading to the highest detected intensities (31, 50, 63). In linear PLM, the image brightness also reflects the in-plane orientation of the collagen fibers relative to the polarizer (64), at the plane perpendicular to the light path. In order to retrieve the in-plane orientation, either the sample or the polarizer-analyzer system has to be rotated and the results need to be fitted to a sinusoidal curve (64, 65). However, the in-plane results have an ambiguity of ±90°, which is inherent in polarizer-analyzer systems (see linear PLM images in Fig. 2). This ambiguity can be removed with the introduction of an quarter-wavelength plate in the imaging system (66), which is however not standard in linear LPM microscopes. Other factors that influence the local image intensity are the section thickness and its optical transparency, the uniformity of the illumination and the initial light intensity (50). Those factors should be well controlled when performing PLM experiments (67). In addition, local image brightness is susceptible to changes depending on the collagen content/density (64, 68) (i.e., the mineral-to-matrix ratio), which is not uniform throughout a bone section, and thus complicates quantification of the 3D orientation of the mineralized collagen fibers from PLM images (64, 68). In general, there is a lack of standardization in the analysis of polarized light images, mainly due to the challenging technical demands and the complex theory of polarized light and birefringence, which can lead to incorrect interpretations of PLM outcomes (62, 64).

On the other hand, the applications of PLM in bone research throughout the years have been numerous, provided important insights into the ultrastructural organization of bone (69-72), and allowed investigating structure-function relationships (27, 28, 73-75). Because of the wide use since the early 20th century and its relatively low cost compared to most other methods, PLM has been the method of reference for almost all other developed methods investigating the ultrastructural organization (63, 76-78). While other methods, such as X-ray scattering, are increasingly being used as reference methods to quantify bone ultrastructure orientation, shape and size, newer PLM techniques are being developed for quantitative assessment of the 3D orientation of mineralized
collagen fibers, by combining the sensitivity of linear PLM for the in-plane orientation and the out-of-plane sensitivity of circular PLM (64, 68, 79). The spatial resolution of PLM is in the range of ~250 nm and – as typical for optical microscopy systems – is limited by the diffraction limit of visible light at ~150 nm (super-resolution microscopy techniques have not been employed in such applications).

2.2.1.2 Polarized Raman spectroscopy

Raman spectroscopy is based on the Raman effect, where incoming photons scatter inelastically on the probed molecule and experience an energy shift. When many photons from the incoming laser interact with the probed material, the outcome is a spectrum of different energy shifts, depending on the material molecules. If one uses a polarized laser, the direction of collagen fibrils affects the Raman signal (80) (Fig. 3). More specifically, the polarized laser affects some of the peaks in the energy spectrum, such as the amide I and the v1 phosphate peak (67). This offers a way to investigate the orientation of the collagen fibrils (67, 81). Given that the amide I peak characterizes the organic and the v1 phosphate peak the inorganic part of the ultrastructure, polarized Raman spectroscopy can be used to derive information on the collagen and the minerals independently (82, 83). Once the peak positions of the spectra are identified and the heights of the peaks or the areas under the peak calculated, the data analysis required to extract the 3D orientation of the ultrastructure is similar to that of PLM, since the data have to be fitted to a sinusoidal curve (84). However, as in PLM, a quantitative 3D analysis is not possible to date.

In contrast to linear PLM, Raman spectroscopy offers the advantage that the orientation in the plane perpendicular to the light path can be deduced unambiguously since there is no analyzer in the experimental setup. In addition, Raman spectroscopy is performed in reflection mode, meaning that it can be used to analyze the sample without the need of sectioning it, even in vivo (87) and reaching the bone under the skin (88, 89). On the other hand, Raman experiments are much more time-consuming (acquisition of one spectrum typically needs tens of seconds, except if coherent Raman scattering is employed (61)), and offer a lower spatial resolution of ~1 µm as compared to that of PLM (~250 nm). Despite that, advances in instrumentation have enabled high-resolution, position-resolved analyses of bone ultrastructure orientation (85, 86). This is often combined with composition analysis (90, 91), which is an inherent capability of Raman spectoscopes, to provide properties that determine different bone quality (92) and other clinically relevant (88, 93) properties. Because of the attention Raman spectroscopy has been gaining as an in vivo imaging modality (88, 89, 94, 95), and the advances that have been made in the recent years in the tools to characterize mineralized collagen fibril orientation, Raman spectroscopy/imaging can be expected to become a common tool to characterize bone ultrastructure organization in the near future.
2.2.1.3 Polarized Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR)

Raman and infrared (IR) spectroscopy are two vibrational spectroscopy methods that can detect specific chemical bonds in a sample (96). Their difference lays in the fact that IR spectroscopy detects the absorption of photons by the sample for a range of infrared frequencies, as opposed to the energy shift due to Raman scattering for a single frequency. Fourier transform IR (FTIR) is the most commonly applied type of IR spectroscopy, because of its higher speed, accuracy, and signal-to-noise ratio compared to conventional (dispersive) IR techniques (97). Similar to Raman spectroscopy, the orientation and arrangement of mineralized collagen fibers can be investigated through the use of a polarized laser (77, 98). However, IR spectroscopy detects asymmetric rather than symmetric vibrational modes (stretches) (99). Consequently, the technique cannot be used to image aqueous samples, as opposed to Raman spectroscopy. IR spectroscopy is usually conducted in transmission mode, and thus requires more extensive (and destructive) sample preparation steps. On the other hand, the equipment for IR spectroscopy is significantly less expensive, making its application more common than Raman spectroscopy. Although FTIR has been routinely used to examine the composition of bone (100), relatively few studies have investigated the ultrastructural organization of bone (101, 102), and neighboring tissues such as the ligament-to-bone insertion (103) or cartilage (77, 104). This is due to the relatively recent idea of using polarized light for different sample or polarization rotation angles, which allows examining collagen fibril orientation in FTIR (77, 98). It should be noted that, as for PLM and Raman spectroscopy, FTIR is an inherently 2D technique, and cannot provide quantitative 3D orientation information. Its spatial resolution is somewhat lower than that of Raman spectroscopy (92), in the range of a few to several micrometers.

2.2.1.4 Polarized second harmonic generation (SHG) imaging

Second harmonic generation (SHG) imaging (105, 106) is a relatively new technique, which has gained a lot of attention during the past two decades, partly because it can be realized using existing multi-photon microscopy instrumentation (SGH and multi-photon microscopy are described in more detail in the section about imaging methods). SHG exhibits high specificity and thus, good image contrast for collagen (107, 108), which makes it an ideal imaging method for all collagenous tissues including bone (Fig. 4). Direct investigation of the orientation of the fibrils can take place with the help of a polarizer-analyzer couple (106, 109). Compared to PLM, polarized SHG offers higher image contrast for collagen fibrils. Another advantage of polarized SHG is the capability to penetrate tissue. However, this is limited to less than ~50 µm in the case of dense tissues such as bone (49, 110), since the signal is compromised with increasing tissue depth (111, 112). On this account, there are only few studies published for bone using polarized SHG (113, 114), which are restricted to regions close to the bone surface, where similar results can be achieved using PLM. On the other hand, SHG is a popular technology gaining a lot of attention more recently, and it is regularly being used for other softer tissues such as tendon (108), cartilage (111) or intervertebral disc...
However, similarly to PLM, SHG cannot be employed to quantitatively determine the collagen density and hence, to derive the degree of orientation (DO) of the fibrils, but provides the in-plane (115) and/or out-of-plane (116) orientation in a semi-quantitative way (117).

### 2.2.2 X-ray-based techniques

#### 2.2.2.1 Small-angle / Wide-angle X-ray scattering

Small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) and wide-angle X-ray scattering (WAXS), also referred to as small-angle and wide-angle X-ray diffraction (SAXD and WAXD), are phenomena occurring when incoming X-rays are scattered by a sample, at smaller or larger angles, respectively. SAXS and WAXS exploit differences in electron density distributions of the different materials within the sample; X-ray photons interact with ordered and periodic systems such as collagen and mineral crystals, resulting in scattered X-ray waves that interfere constructively or destructively to create the corresponding intensity patterns on the detector, depending on the size and the spatial distribution of the scatterers (118). SAXS and WAXS can both be used for the analysis of bone ultrastructure (119): WAXS provides information from scatterers with dimensions in the sub-nanometer range, which are typical for crystallites and spacings between crystal lattice planes. In contrast, SAXS can be employed to retrieve information from tissue features in the order of 1-100 nm, both from collagen (120) and mineral crystal platelets (121, 122), thus providing information on both organic and inorganic phases of bone (123). When used in combination, SAXS and WAXS can simultaneously provide information on the unit crystals, crystal platelets and collagen fibrils in bone (123). The area detectors used to record diffraction patterns in SAXS and WAXS provide information on tissue anisotropy based on the anisotropic scattering (124). SAXS especially has been regularly employed in the past few decades to investigate collagen fibril orientation in many collagen-rich tissues (125). For bone, studies have been undertaken to investigate ultrastructure organization in animal (126, 127) and in human bone tissue (63, 128, 129), in cortical (63, 122, 130) and trabecular bone (76, 101), in the bone-cartilage interface (131-133), looking at the influence of age (134), disease (135, 136), drug use (137-139), fracture healing (140, 141) or genetic modifications (142, 143).

The high brilliance of synchrotron radiation (SR) facilities and recent advances in fast-readout and low-noise detectors have enabled fast acquisition of X-ray scattering patterns, which have allowed spatially resolved investigations of bone tissue through scanning small-angle X-ray scattering (sSAXS) (76, 145). In typical sSAXS protocols thin sections are used to get information from discrete probed tissue volumes. Common practice is to match the thickness of the sections with the size of the X-ray beam, so that the probed volume is cubic. This practice also helps to avoid averaging information over extended sample volumes, where ultrastructural orientations may vary significantly. However, the use of thin sections for spatially-resolved investigations is a destructive method. Typical spatial resolutions of sSAXS are in the range of tens of micrometers, but can reach the sub-
micrometer level. However, higher spatial resolutions usually also need thinner tissue sections (to ensure cubic probed volumes), which restricts the field of view.

SAXS diffraction patterns provide 2D orientation information only, which is merely a projection of the 3D orientation information of the ultrastructure (144). Recently, there have been efforts towards deriving the 3D ultrastructure orientation from SAXS data (3D SAXS), by probing the sample under different rotation angles (38, 141, 146). In a new method, called 3D ssSAXS (144), the 3D ultrastructure orientation has been derived quantitatively in a spatially resolved manner for small bone trabecular volumes (67), Fig. 5. These results underline the potential of SAXS and WAXS for studying bone ultrastructure orientation and arrangement, with its main limitation being the difficulty in accessing the special synchrotron facilities required for such investigations. Another limitation is the need for thin sections, when spatially resolved information is needed, making the method destructive. Compared to PLM, SAXS and WAXS offer better capabilities to characterize the organization of mineralized and non-mineralized collagen fibrils in a quantitative fashion, since the DO of the fibrils can be normalized by the transmission information that is being simultaneously recorded (147). Finally, it should be noted that SAXS and WAXS can be combined with in situ mechanical testing (148, 149) to investigate load transfer mechanisms in normal (150), diseased (151) or treated (152) bone, broadening their range of applications and providing insight into bone structure-function relationships.

2.2.2.2 X-ray scattering/diffraction tensor tomography

X-ray scattering/diffraction tomography has been developed and employed in the previous decades, to tomographically reconstruct SAXS or WAXS information for a sample volume (153). Briefly, reconstruction techniques used in X-ray absorption tomography have been applied to tomographically reconstruct information from specific q-ranges in the diffraction patterns. This can be used to distinguish different materials (154), tissues (155), tissue features or composition (156, 157) within a sample. However, such approaches assume isotropic azimuthal scattering, ignoring the anisotropy in the diffraction patterns. Approaches that take into account the structural anisotropy have also been proposed (158-160), where diffraction information is reconstructed for different azimuthal angles. These studies provide tissue anisotropy information in a tomographic way. However, they do not provide 3D orientation information, since they do not account for the fact that the orientation information in the diffraction pattern is merely a projection of the 3D orientation, which changes with sample rotation (144).

Concerning quantitative ultrastructure organization analysis, three techniques were developed very recently to investigate 3D ultrastructure orientation in a tomographic way, based on the phenomenon of X-ray scattering: X-ray tensor tomography (161), six-dimensional SAXS tomography (6D SAXS tomography) (162) and small-angle scattering tensor tomography (SAS tensor tomography) (163). The three techniques can retrieve the ultrastructure organization of a
volume of material, such as bone, without having to section the sample. These techniques have evolved in different ways: X-ray tensor tomography has evolved from X-ray dark-field imaging using a grating interferometer (164), where ultra-small X-ray scattering is exploited (165). The intensity modulations due to the rotation of the 3rd grating (166, 167) or of the sample (168, 169) reveal the 2D orientation of the ultrastructure, and have been used to retrieve 2D ultrastructure organization of bone (170) or dentin (166). By rotating the sample around two axes, and using an iterative reconstruction algorithm, it is possible to retrieve the 3D ultrastructure orientation (161). Applications to bone ultrastructure are expected to follow. SAS tensor tomography and 6D SAXS tomography on the other hand have evolved from small-angle X-ray scattering, combining the concepts of SAXS tomography (171) and 3D sSAXS (144), while adding a sample rotation around a second axis. For the reconstruction of ultrastructure orientation, 6D SAXS tomography employs a finite number of virtual tomographic axes, Fig. 6A: for each axis direction, only the ultrastructure orientations that are parallel to the axis are reconstructed. The use of 6D SAXS tomography has very recently been employed to evaluate the ultrastructure orientation in bone dentin (162). On the other hand, SAS tensor tomography uses an iterative tensor tomography algorithm based on spherical harmonics for the reconstruction of the ultrastructure orientation, Fig. 6B. Known internal sample symmetries – such as the rotational symmetry in mineralized collagen fibrils – can be exploited to reduce post-processing time. SAS tensor tomography was very recently applied to successfully retrieve the ultrastructure organization of a bone trabecula (163), Fig. 6C,D. All three techniques are non-destructive, and open new paths towards ultrastructure organization investigations of whole sample volumes. It should be noted that this comes at the cost of higher X-ray dose, and long post-processing times needed to handle the vast amount of acquired data (172). In addition, X-ray tensor tomography is currently limited to the assessment of only the 3D orientation and not the DO, since it cannot quantify the amount of scatterers in each voxel. The spatial resolution of these techniques is similar to the SAXS techniques, i.e. in the range of tens of micrometers in synchrotron facilities and hundreds of micrometers for lab-based systems.

### 2.2.3 Electron-based techniques

#### 2.2.3.1 Electron transmission diffraction

Electron transmission diffraction pattern detection can be an additional feature in transmission electron microscopy (TEM) setups (173) (described below). It is used to provide information on the orientation of mineral crystals (16, 17, 174), derived from the diffraction pattern of the electrons that interact with the crystal lattice planes (Fig. 7). However, extensive preparation protocols for TEM that typically include fixation, dehydration, drying, enhancing feature contrast, preparing small samples for subsequent cutting with an ultramicrotome, and the following handling of very small specimens have restricted the use of electron diffraction for quantifying bone ultrastructure organization to a handful of studies over the past decades (51, 52, 175-178) (Fig. 7), examining either
the crystal arrangement in single platelets or fibrils, or a limited number of points within a TEM section. TEM gives access to very small features at the nanometer scale (e.g. single platelets), which are inaccessible with other techniques, and enables the analysis of the 3D orientation of mineral platelets (179, 180). Nevertheless, the restricted field of view (in the order of a few micrometers) and elaborate sample preparation procedures are major limiting factors for electron transmission diffraction to become widely used in the study of the organization of bone ultrastructure.
2.3 Imaging techniques

The imaging techniques presented here provide direct images of bone ultrastructural elements, where orientation-specific information can be derived from the images (as a by-product). These ultrastructural elements include mineralized collagen fibril bundles (for light-based techniques), mineralized collagen fibrils (for X-ray-based techniques) or fibril features such as the ~67 nm D-spacing and crystal platelets (for electron-based techniques). By applying image post-processing steps to the gathered image data (e.g. Fourier Transform) and with the goal to derive orientation-specific information, the organization of the ultrastructure can be analyzed (53, 55-59).

The imaging techniques typically have a considerably lower field of view when compared to the orientation-specific techniques employing the same probe (presented earlier). The reason for the field of views being different is that the ultrastructural elements need to be visually identified, which relies on high spatial resolutions, which in turn limit the field of view and consequently the size of the area/volume that can be investigated. One way to overcome this limitation and to extend the effective field of view is through imaging adjacent sample areas (181).

Another consequence of the image-based approaches is the need to discretize the image data in order to derive the ultrastructure orientation via orientation-specific algorithms, which usually include a Fourier Transform of a 2D or 3D dataset (Fig. 8). However, most algorithms are not specific for the fibrils, but they rather average the information from all features in the image. For the case of bone, this can be features of the lacuno-canalicular network, lamellar boundaries, cement lines, bone-canal or bone-marrow interfaces and possibly image artifacts. In spite of this, the imaging techniques presented here offer the advantage of providing visual information of bone tissue at the scale of the structural elements, which also enables observations concerning other aspects of the bone tissue, such as the size of the collagen fibrils or fibers, the lacuno-canalicular network or the lamellar structure. Such information can then also be used to create ultrastructural models that enhance the analytical tools to study bone’s hierarchical structure (51, 182-184).

The most commonly used imaging techniques for assessing the mineralized collagen fibril organization are presented in Table 2.
Table 2. Imaging techniques for the assessment of the organization of bone ultrastructure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Spatial resolution</th>
<th>Feature imaged</th>
<th>Sample format</th>
<th>FOV dimension</th>
<th>Quantitative 3D orientation</th>
<th>Main limitation(s)</th>
<th>Additional information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CLSM</td>
<td>150 nm</td>
<td>fibril bundle</td>
<td>surface / section</td>
<td>cm</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>Cannot resolve single fibrils Low depth penetration</td>
<td>Macroscopic image of 3D tissue volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHG</td>
<td>100 nm</td>
<td>fibril bundle</td>
<td>surface / section</td>
<td>cm</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>Cannot resolve single fibrils Low depth penetration Expensive</td>
<td>Macroscopic image of collagen in 3D tissue volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR CT</td>
<td>20 nm</td>
<td>fibril bundle</td>
<td>volume</td>
<td>cm</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>Cannot resolve single fibrils (up to now) Limited access to synchrotron facilities</td>
<td>Mesoscopic 3D tissue image Tissue mineral density Trabecular architecture LCN network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase-contrast CT</td>
<td>15 nm</td>
<td>fibril</td>
<td>volume</td>
<td>µm</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Currently at the limit of resolving single fibrils Time-consuming Limited access to synchrotron facilities</td>
<td>Microscopic 3D tissue image Tissue mineral density LCN network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEM</td>
<td>0.1 nm</td>
<td>fibril + HA platelet</td>
<td>section</td>
<td>µm</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>Very extensive sample preparation Expensive Limited FOV</td>
<td>Nanoscopic 2D tissue image Platelet shape &amp; size Mineral-collagen interface Fibril diameter Collagen D-period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>1 nm</td>
<td>fibril</td>
<td>surface</td>
<td>µm</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>Extensive sample preparation Only tissue surface Expensive Limited FOV</td>
<td>Nanoscopic 2D tissue image</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIB SEM / SBF SEM</td>
<td>10 nm</td>
<td>fibril</td>
<td>volume</td>
<td>µm</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Extensive sample preparation Only tissue surface Expensive Limited FOV Time-consuming</td>
<td>Microscopic 3D tissue image LCN network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFM</td>
<td>0.1 nm</td>
<td>fibril + HA platelets</td>
<td>surface</td>
<td>µm</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>Limited FOV Irreproducible results due to possible probe tip damage</td>
<td>Nanoscopic 2D tissue image Platelet shape &amp; size Mineral-collagen interface Fibril diameter Collagen D-period Mechanical properties</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1: Spatial resolution values reflect the current resolution limits of each technique.

### 2.3.1 Light-based imaging techniques

#### 2.3.1.1 Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM)

Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) enables imaging “inside” tissues by selectively collecting information from a specific plane (the focal plane) via the use of pinholes in the light path (185).
Since different focal planes can be chosen, CLSM enables taking a so-called $z$-stack of images, which contains information of a volume inside the tissue. It should be noted that this capability is limited in the case of hard tissues such as bone, where CLSM penetration is restricted to few hundreds of micrometers. The contrast in CLSM images is a result of differences in the refractive index of materials, which is typically small within biological tissues. Consequently, CLSM is often combined with fluorescence microscopy, in order to provide additional biochemical information characterizing the sample. Collagen is well fitted for fluorescence imaging without the need of fluorescent dyes because of its autofluorescence (186). CLSM has been regularly used to investigate bone and other tissues (187), including the organization of the bone ultrastructure (188-190). However, bone tissue assessed by CLSM can provide images of the mineralized collagen fibril bundles, which are on the same size scale as its spatial resolution capabilities (~200 nm). Thus, studying the orientation of the bone ultrastructure using CLSM provides rather qualitative than quantitative results. In addition, confocal microscopes have recently lost their charm to multi-photon microscopes to some extent, since the latter offer higher tissue penetration depths and more specific information from the focal plane/point (Fig. 9) as well as other advantages described in the following.

### 2.3.1.2 Second harmonic generation (SHG) in multi-photon microscopy

Multi-photon microscopy uses short-pulsed laser to create a high spatial and temporal photon density at a well-defined focal point in the specimen, where two or more lower-energy photons are combined to reach the energy levels necessary for fluorescence excitation (192) (see Fig. 9). The setup for multi-photon microscopy experiments is very similar to those for CLSM if one removes the pinholes and switches to a very short-pulsed laser (193). Yet, multi-photon microscopy offers several advantages; i) higher tissue penetration depth (photons at higher wavelength penetrate deeper into scattering tissues), ii) significantly greater selectivity of the imaging plane, since photons are combined at, and excite only one desired point in space, iii) higher photon yield, due to the lack of the pinholes present in CLSM, which are not needed as the excited point emits fluorescence photons only, iv) less photodamage (damage to the tissue by harmful radiation), and v) less photobleaching (progressive destruction of the fluorescence properties of the fluorophore by continuous excitation) (194). Multi-photon microscopy is routinely used in vivo and continuously advancing (195). For the investigation of collagen-rich structures, an extended experimental setup of multi-photon microscopes is commonly employed, which is called second harmonic generation (SHG) microscopy.

SHG microscopy (105, 106) is based on the homonymous phenomenon, where a high photon density beam passing through a strongly birefringent material excites electrons to a virtual state, which results in the emission of photons at an energy twofold the excitation energy. SHG microscopy is thus an appropriate imaging technique for strongly birefringent materials such as collagen, which can be well distinguished from other tissue components (107, 196). It can
experimentally be implemented using the same setup as for multi-photon microscopy, with the addition of a detection filter at half the wavelength of the emission laser. Owing to the great popularity of the technique (191), more dedicated and sophisticated setups have been developed that take full advantage of the capabilities of SHG (110). In recent years there have been many studies on collagenous tissues using SHG microscopy, including cartilage (197), tendon (59), muscle (198), skin (199), fetal membranes (200), and vessels (201).

At the same time, bone ultrastructure organization have been investigated using SHG imaging in a handful of studies only [38, 206, 239, 240] (Fig. 10), which is due to the limited penetration depth of SHG in mineralized tissues (less than 100 µm [38]). Nonetheless, the capability of SHG to provide spatial resolutions down to ~30 nm [241], the development of methods to quantify the 3D orientation of collagen fibrils [50], and the advantage to scan deep within the tissue in vivo [121, 242, 243] or to image in conjunction with mechanical testing [237, 238], point towards a wider use of SHG for a quantitative investigation of the bone ultrastructure in the future.

2.3.2 X-ray-based imaging techniques

2.3.2.1 Absorption-based X-ray imaging

Synchrotron radiation-based computed tomography (SR CT) is a CT-based technique (203) that can reach resolutions in the sub-micrometer range (204). Compared to conventional lab-based micro-computed tomography (µCT) imaging systems, SR CT can deliver higher resolution images of bone tissue (205) with increased signal-to-noise ratios, mainly due the high X-ray flux available at (3rd generation) X-ray synchrotron sources (205). At the same time, the use of quasi-monochromatic X-ray light in SR CT imaging prevents beam hardening effects, which are typically present in CT scans from lab-based µCT systems that are equipped with a standard (polychromatic) X-ray tube.

Moreover, the parallel X-ray beam setup for SR CT imaging is free of cone beam artifacts known from classical µCT systems, where X-rays are emitted in a cone beam fashion (207). SR CT allows examining bone features of sizes similar to the mineralized collagen fibrils or fibers (48, 208, 209) in a quantitative way (210, 211). Examples are osteocyte lacunae, which are in the micrometer range and canaliculi with dimensions in the order of 100 nm. These bone microporosities can be identified and segmented straightforwardly due to their high contrast as compared to the surrounding (mineralized) bone matrix. However, identifying single fibrils requires higher spatial resolutions and contrast as it is shown for SR CT at sub-micrometer resolution, where mineralized collagen fibril arrangement is visible (206) (Fig. 11). The technique is not currently at a stage where bone ultrastructure organization can be studied in a quantitative fashion, yet continuous advancements in SR CT (204, 212) at ever increasing spatial resolutions, currently reaching 20 nm (213), will eventually enable resolving single collagen fibrils in 3D, and hence quantitative investigations of 3D orientation of
mineralized collagen fibrils in bone. Nevertheless, improvements in resolution typically come at the cost of a reduced field of view.

2.3.2.2 Phase contrast X-ray imaging

Unlike the traditional X-ray absorption techniques, phase contrast X-ray imaging exploits the phase of the propagation X-ray waves (not their amplitude), which is modulated by interaction with the object when coherent X-rays beams are used, provided explicitly by SR sources.

The various phase contrast techniques offer in many cases higher image contrast at lower X-ray exposure or dose compared to X-ray absorption techniques, which makes them good candidates for in vivo studies (216-218). The applications of phase contrast X-ray imaging techniques are rapidly increasing (217) and specific imaging modalities such as X-ray phase nanotomography (219) and ptychographic (or lensless) CT (214) have been used to image bone with spatial resolutions at the nanometer scale. First studies on bone ultrastructure organization have been performed on volumes at the micrometer level (215) (Fig. 12). Considering the capabilities of ptychographic CT for quantitative analysis of materials and tissues (220) at spatial resolutions below 20 nm (221), where single collagen fibrils could be resolved, phase contrast methods offer the potential for a direct insights into bone ultrastructure organization of single osteons or trabeculae.

2.3.3 Electron-based imaging techniques

2.3.3.1 Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) has very high spatial resolution capability, which is well in the sub-nanometer range (222). TEM examines sub-micrometer thin material sections, which are difficult to prepare (223). The image contrast is imparted through differences in the quantity of transmitted electrons through the sample. The high financial equipment and sample preparation costs, the extensive sample preparation time and expertise required (224), and the restricted field of view (as a consequence of the high spatial resolution) have limited the use of TEM in the study of bone ultrastructure organization.

On the other hand, the available studies using TEM portrayed the bone ultrastructure at a nanoscopic scale, which has led to important arrangement models of the bone ultrastructure, such as the twisted plywood pattern in the osteons proposed by Giraud-Guille (226). TEM has also allowed local qualitative assessment of ultrastructure arrangement of healthy and osteoporotic bone (225, 227), yet in 2D only (Fig. 13). Furthermore, TEM has been applied to make important contributions to what we know about bone structure at very small scales, through investigations of the shape and size of bone crystals (7, 228), their spatial relationship and arrangement in relation to the collagen fibrils (175, 229) or features of the collagen fibrils such as the ~67 nm D-spacing (52) (Fig. 13). Finally, TEM is also playing an important role in studying crystal arrangement during the bone mineralization process (230).
2.3.3.2 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) is the most widely used EM technique. SEM provides nanometer resolution of the sample surface, so there is no need for preparing very thin samples as for TEM. However, equipment is costly and bone sample preparation procedures labor-intensive (47, 231). Secondary electrons (SE), allow analyzing sample texture and topography, and have been used in SEM to determine collagen fibril orientation in different tissues such as ligaments (57), menisci (232), tendons (233, 234) and cartilage (235, 236), as well as bone (237-239). SEM gives access to mineralization levels and elemental analysis using back-scattered electrons and energy-dispersive X-rays respectively, generated by the interaction of the electron beam with the sample (47, 240). However, only the sample surface is imaged. At the present time, SEM is typically employed in the study of 3D ultrastructure orientation in combination with volume electron microscopy techniques, which give access to volumetric information, such as serial focused ion beam SEM (FIB SEM) and serial block-face SEM (SBF SEM) discussed below.

2.3.3.3 Serial focused ion beam SEM & serial block-face SEM

In the past years, different volume electron microscopy techniques have been developed, such as serial focused ion beam SEM (FIB SEM) and serial block-face SEM (SBF SEM) (241). Both methods follow the idea of imaging a volume slice-by-slice, by alternating between SEM imaging and removing a very thin section of the sample block at the surface, either with an ion beam for FIB SEM or with an ultramicrotome for SBF SEM. The result is stacks of 2D images that provide a 3D volume of the sample. Given that the result of such a procedure is a micrometer volume with nanometer resolution, the data can be used to study ultrastructure organization of tissues such as muscle, tendon (242) or bone, either in 2D (243-245) or in 3D (2, 246) (Fig. 14). Although only a limited tissue volume can be assessed when using volume electron techniques (the spatial resolution at nanometer levels restricts the field of view to tens of micrometers), and despite the fact that those techniques are destructive, they provide important insights concerning the organization of the bone ultrastructure, such as in Haversian systems (2) or trabeculae (247). While the spatial resolution of FIB SEM is in the order of a few nanometers, compared to the tens of nanometers for SBF SEM (owing to the finer sectioning or milling capabilities of FIB), both techniques are expected to play an important role in further investigations of bone ultrastructure organization at the nanometer scale. It should be noted that both techniques also allow investigations of bone microporosities, including the lacuno-canalicular network (245). Still, the extended sample preparation protocols and the extended imaging times involved for serial sectioning electron microscopy consume a lot of resources and time (246).
2.3.4 Other imaging techniques

2.3.4.1 Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM)

Atomic force microscopy (AFM) (248) is the only technique among the presented ones where the probe mechanically interacts with the sample: a sharp tip mounted on a cantilever is used to probe the sample surface, piezoelectric elements are used to move the sample (or the tip) in $x$-$y$ direction and a 3rd element is used to move it in the $z$-direction. A force-feedback loop has the task to keep the interaction force between the tip and the sample constant, by acting on the $z$-piezoelectric element: when the force deviates from the set value, the element moves the sample (or the tip) accordingly, so that the force goes back to the pre-assigned levels. AFM spatial resolution capabilities are impressive and are only comparable to TEM among the techniques considered in this review: it can reach spatial resolutions in the sub-nanometer range, in biological samples and even at room temperature (249).

In addition, AFM can act as a nanoindentation tool to measure mechanical properties of micrometer-scale samples (250, 251). Compared to electron microscopy equipment, AFM is inexpensive and less destructive, while requiring much less copious sample preparation. However, its results are less repeatable, since the probe can be gradually or abruptly blunted by the contact with the sample, or it can pick up a small particle on its tip, events that can cause artifacts in the resulting image. Also, in order to reach the resolution levels needed for imaging single fibrils, the field of view has to be restricted to a few micrometers (252). Moreover, AFM probes the surface of materials, and can thus provide only 2D information on the organization of the ultrastructure. This is why AFM's numerous applications in bone research (253, 254) have been mainly focused on the study of its mechanical properties at a tissue (255-257) and single fibril level (258-260), while very few studies have investigated the organization of the mineralized collagen fibrils (261, 262), Fig. 15. However, similarly to TEM, AFM has been extensively used to examine bone features at the nanometer scale, such as the size of mineral platelets (6, 263), collagen fibril characteristics (e.g. its diameter and $D$-spacing) (264-266) and the spatial relationship between collagen fibrils and mineral platelets (267-269).
3 Discussion

The presented techniques that can be used to assess the orientation and arrangement of the mineralized collagen fibrils, the ultrastructural units of bone, were grouped into two categories. For the orientation-specific techniques, the orientation of the collagen fibrils is probed directly based on physical principles such as polarization, diffraction and scattering (see Table 1). On the other hand, for the imaging techniques the images of the ultrastructure must be post-processed using algorithms such as Fourier transformation in order to derive quantitative information about the organization of the ultrastructure (see Table 2).

The presented techniques are numerous, and of different nature, and are used to study the orientation and arrangement of the ultrastructure at different hierarchical levels, in 3D or 2D only and/or in conjunction with mechanical testing. Some of the techniques have the potential to be applied in vivo, whereas some methods are inherently destructive, and others have the potential to reveal the organization of bone ultrastructure with further advances in technology. Here, we provide a critical assessment of the presented techniques with respect to the above mentioned aspects, taking into account their capability and potential to provide insight into the organization of bone ultrastructure.

3.1 Assessment at different hierarchical levels and additional information on bone tissue

The presented techniques can provide insight into bone structure and organization at different length scales, probing distinct features at different hierarchical levels, Fig. 16. In general, orientation-specific techniques provide a larger field of view (FOV), since single fibrils or fibril bundles do not need to be resolved individually to provide quantitative information on the 3D organization of the ultrastructure. In contrast, imaging techniques, where single collagen fibrils or fibril bundles need to be spatially resolved, offer a more limited FOV due to their intrinsic inverse relationship between field of view and spatial resolution. Additionally, the presented techniques provide complementary information, other than orientation and arrangement of mineralized collagen fibrils. Imaging techniques visualize bone structure, probing tissue features apparent at different scales, which allows deriving measures such as ultrastructure feature shapes and sizes and other structural information, for example about local mineralization, the collagen-mineral interface and the lacuno-canalicular network.

More specifically, regarding imaging techniques, AFM and electron microscopy (EM) techniques can directly image mineralized collagen fibrils, with a FOV covering areas at a scale of tens of
nanometers to a few tens of micrometers (2). Imaging bone at this scale provides useful information on other structural features, such as the collagen-mineral interface (14), mineral platelet sizes and shapes (6, 7) or collagen D-period (52, 265) for very high resolution techniques such as TEM and AFM, and offers data revealing structural details of the lacuno-canalicular network (245) and bone remodeling sites (270) for high resolution techniques such as SEM. X-ray phase contrast techniques such as ptychographic CT (214) or X-ray phase nanotomography (215) operate at similar scales as volume SEM, and in addition offer the important advantage of tomographic (i.e. non-destructive) assessment. These techniques can also provide insight into features such as the lacuno-canalicular network and mineralization levels at the nanoscale (214, 271). At higher FOVs, synchrotron radiation CT (SR CT) offers a tomographic approach, with the limitation that SR CT cannot resolve single fibrils and is limited to visualization of fibril bundles only. Moreover, SR CT allows studying structural features such as the intracortical canal network or the lacuno-canalicular network (48, 210), microcracks (272), pathological cysts (273), and trabecular bone micro-architecture (274). Similar to SR CT, optical microscopy techniques, such as CLSM or SHG, are limited to visualization of fibril bundles only. On the other hand, optical microscopy techniques provide insight into the mesoscopic bone organization.

Orientation-specific techniques can offer additional information on bone tissue. Electron diffraction gives access to information about crystal lattice, shape, size (16) and orientation (180) at spatial resolutions at the nanometer scale, but is expensive, requires extensive sample preparation and provides a very restricted FOV only. When the TEM setup is used in imaging mode (and not diffraction mode), it allows for very high-resolution images of bone tissue (174). Using X-ray scattering techniques, such as scanning SAXS and scattering tomographic techniques, one can achieve sub-micrometer resolutions and FOVs at the millimeter level. In addition to crystal or fibril orientation (144, 163), X-ray scattering techniques disclose features such as the collagen 67 nm D-period (8, 9), the shape and size of HA platelets (126), the load partitioning between different bone phases (149, 150) and also information on tissue composition (155, 156, 159, 275). Polarized spectroscopic techniques such as pFTIR and PRS come along with a comparable low resolution (at the micrometer scale), but they can provide a wealth of information on bone chemical composition and tissue quality, such as mineralization/mineral-to-matrix ratio, crystallinity, collagen cross-linking/maturity and carbonate-to-phosphate ratio (92, 100). Optical microscopy techniques such as pSHG and PLM are also limited in their spatial resolution, which is around 200 nm, but their wider FOV allows studies of macroscopic samples.
3.2 Quantitative assessment of 3D orientation and arrangement of mineralized collagen fibrils

The capability to quantitatively assess the 3D organization of the ultrastructure is key to the understanding of structure-function relationships in bone tissue. As can be seen in Table 1 and Table 2, four different techniques can provide quantitative measures for the mineralized collagen fibril orientation and arrangement within a tissue volume. First, for the orientation-specific techniques, 3D sSAXS gathers data that can be used to derive the 3D orientation and arrangement of bone tissue ultrastructure for tissue sections with resolutions at the micrometer scale. By stacking these results from consecutive thin sections, one obtains volumetric information for samples at the millimeter scale, as exemplified for complete trabeculae (67, 144), that can also provide insight into important ultrastructure-microstructure relationships (67). X-ray scattering tomography techniques, including 6D SAXS tomography (162) and SAS tensor tomography (163), provide bone ultrastructure organization information in a tomographic, non-destructive way, at the cost of higher X-ray doses and longer data post-processing times. Nevertheless, X-ray scattering tomography techniques seem to open the way to tomographic investigations that provide ultrastructure orientation information in 3D. Regarding imaging techniques, volume EM techniques, such as FIB SEM (243) or SBF SEM (241), provide information by serially removing thin sections and imaging the underlying surface, to reconstruct volumes of tens of micrometers in size. Finally, through phase contrast techniques, such as ptychographic CT (214) and phase-contrast nanotomography (215), bone tissue samples at overall dimensions of tens of micrometers can be imaged in a tomographic and thus non-destructive way, with spatial resolutions at the nanometer scale. Whereas both volume EM and phase contrast techniques use post-processing algorithms, such as Fourier transform, to provide the 3D orientation and arrangement of mineralized collagen fibrils at the nanoscale (215, 247), phase contrast approaches have the important advantage of being non-destructive, hence allowing further investigations on the tissue post hoc.

3.3 Combination with in situ mechanical testing

The capability to assess the organization of the ultrastructure in combination with in situ mechanical testing is another important aspect to understand structure-function relationships in bone tissue. Not all presented techniques can be combined with mechanical testing, e.g. techniques that need sample sectioning, such as PLM, FTIR, SHG in transmission mode, TEM (and electron transmission diffraction) or volume electron microscopic techniques (e.g. FIB SEM or SBF SEM). Electron-based techniques relying on an SEM setup are not ideal for in situ experiments, mainly because of the imaging process taking place under high vacuum and due to the extended sample preparation that
alters the mechanical properties of the tissue. Although both requirements have been alleviated with
the advent of environmental SEM, which can image samples “wet” and under moderate pressures
and has thus enabled in situ experiments of biological samples, reported in situ SEM experiments
have been very limited up to date for bone tissue, because they currently cannot provide sufficient
spatial resolution that would allow assessment of the bone ultrastructure organization. X-ray based
techniques such as SAXS and WAXS have been used in the past years for in situ experiments (149,
150, 152, 276-279). However these studies have been carried out for a limited number of discrete
points in space within the sample only, and they could not provide quantitative results in terms of
the 3D orientation of the ultrastructure. The orientation reconstruction technique of 3D sSAXS
(144) could be applied to whole sample measurements in order to provide such 3D information.
Also, the X-ray scattering tomography techniques of SAS tensor tomography (163) and 6D SAXS
tomography (162) could be used to provide the ultrastructure organization in situ. However, the
biggest limitations of X-ray-based techniques for use in conjunction with in situ experiments are the
radiation dose and the time needed to acquire the experimental image data, both of which have an
important effect on the mechanical properties of the bone sample (280). On the other hand, light-
based techniques do not induce specimen damage—even though long laser residence times can
detrimentally affect the sample (281). Polarized Raman spectroscopy would involve acquisition times
of tens of seconds for every spot, enough for bone to exhibit its viscoelastic behavior (282).
Reflection mode SHG (either polarized or not) has been used in combination with in situ experiments of bone (283) and other tissues (200, 201). However, as discussed earlier, SHG in
reflection mode can provide qualitative orientation information only, which originates from the
sample surface or from sites very close to the surface.

3.4 In vivo assessment

Electron microscopic techniques cannot be applied in vivo due to the sample preparation procedures
and imaging conditions needed, that are incompatible with living mammalian cells (284). Also, in vivo
measurements require techniques that can reach bone tissue a few millimeters below the skin surface.
X-rays have the inherent ability to penetrate deep into tissues, however X-ray imaging techniques
used to investigate bone ultrastructure organization operate at high resolutions, requiring very small
samples and involving significant X-ray doses, which is detrimental for in vivo applications. Local
tomography (285, 286), where the reconstructed region of interest is smaller than the sample, could
be used to significantly reduce the dose, yet at the expense of reconstruction errors (287) such as
cupping (radial increase of the gray values towards the edge of the reconstruction circle) or other
non-uniform errors over the FOV. Application of SAXS and WAXS in vivo is also very difficult due
to the high X-ray dose required for signal detection, because the primary X-ray beam is blocked and
the signal is only generated from the scattered X-ray photons, which are several orders of magnitude
less than the transmitted ones. However, X-ray phase contrast methods based on grating-based dark
field imaging (164, 288), could be easier adopted to be used in vivo in animals (216, 289) and eventually
in humans (290, 291), whereas they can also be combined with standard X-ray absorption methods
(218, 292). Their use in providing information on ultrastructure organization (161, 169), by exploiting
ultrastructure orientation-dependent signal modulations (168, 293) is expected to rise in the future, since these methods have not been adequately
explored to date (169). In addition, the two recent non-destructive X-ray scattering tomographic methods
(162, 163) are potential candidates for being applied in vivo, although that would require significant
technological advances, mainly in detector technology, in order to reduce the dose deposited in the
sample.

Visible light is less harmful to biological tissues and could be used for in vivo investigations of
ultrastructure organization. The assessment would however be restricted to superficial areas, due to
the (very) low penetration depth of light in hard tissues such as bone. For instance, it has been
shown that Raman spectroscopy can be performed on bone transcutaneously (88, 89, 94, 95),
whereas application of SHG in vivo in tissues underneath the skin is possible through the use of
endomicroscopes (294, 295), which can in addition preserve the laser polarization and thus, also
enable polarized SHG imaging (296, 297). Therefore, the use of polarized Raman spectroscopy and
SHG for the in vivo assessment of the ultrastructural organization of bone can be envisaged in the
future.
4 Conclusions

Bone’s composite nature and hierarchical structure impart its remarkable mechanical properties. At the ultrastructural scale, the mineralized collagen fibrils, with a diameter of ~100 nm, are bone’s building units. Their organization has been shown to be of importance in determining the mechanical properties at different levels. For this reason, multiple techniques that assess the orientation and arrangement of the mineralized collagen fibrils have been developed.

This article reviewed these different imaging techniques suitable for the assessment of bone ultrastructure organization, and evaluated their ability to determine the orientation and arrangement of the mineralized collagen fibrils at different scales, using different probes and exploiting various different physical phenomena. Their advantages, limitations and most important applications in the study of bone ultrastructure arrangement were presented. Finally, we evaluated the techniques’ capabilities to assess the ultrastructure organization quantitatively and in 3D, and in terms of combination with in situ experiments and their suitability for in vivo studies.

It seems that we are currently at a point where both the interest in bone ultrastructure organization is high, and the technology potential to assess it is sufficient. As technology is advancing on many fronts (e.g. probe strength and size, lens quality, detector sensitivity, etc.) these techniques are going to offer an improved ability to assess bone’s ultrastructure organization, and it is very probable that new techniques based on similar physical principles emerge.
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Figure captions

Figure 1. Bone at different hierarchical levels. At a macroscopic level, bone consist of the cortical and the trabecular bone compartment. On a microstructural level, the trabecular network and the Haversian system are observed, which are typically formed by bone lamellae. At a lower hierarchical level, bone ultrastructure comprises mineralized collagen fibrils, which are arranged randomly or in bundles. This review presents the methods that enable investigations of the organization of the ultrastructure. A) Human femur cut in half and imaged using scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Image from (46) with kind permission of Royal society of Chemistry. B) Trabecular network imaged using SEM in backscattered electron mode. Image from (47) with kind permission of Humana Press, Inc. C) Haversian system imaged using synchrotron radiation-based computed tomography (SR CT). Image from (48) with kind permission of SPIE. D) Lamellar structure of trabecular bone imaged using polarized second harmonic generation (SHG) imaging. Image from (49) with kind permission of Materials Research Society. E) Lamellar structure of cortical bone imaged using circularly polarized light microscopy. Image from (50) with kind permission of John Wiley and Sons, Inc. F) Mineralized collagen fibril bundles imaged using transmission EM (TEM). Image from (51) with kind permission of PLOS. G) Single mineralized collagen fibril and diffraction pattern (inset) showing the orientation of unit crystal cells imaged using TEM and electron diffraction, respectively. Image from (52) with kind permission of ACS Publications.

Figure 2. Determining bone ultrastructure organization using polarized light microscopy (PLM). A) Sketch of the orientation of the fibrils in the osteon, for the three different osteon types (transverse, alternating, and longitudinal). B) Linear polarized light microscopy (PLM) (top) and circular PLM (bottom) images of the three types of osteons. The linear PLM images exhibit the “Maltese cross” artifact, because of the polarizer-analyzer setup, leading to a ±90° ambiguity in the orientation of the fibrils in the plane of the section. Images from (50) with kind permission of Wiley-Liss.

Figure 3. Determining bone ultrastructure organization with Raman spectroscopy. A) Two Raman spectra of human vertebral trabecular bone embedded in polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA), where the most important peaks are identified. Spectra were acquired under orthogonal laser polarization directions (red and blue double-headed arrow inset). Analysis of the differences in the heights or areas under peaks, such as the v1 phosphate peak (~960/cm⁻¹) or the amide I peak (~1650/cm⁻¹), can provide the ultrastructure orientation. Image adapted from (85) with kind permission of Springer. B) A stich of 2D images based on polarized Raman spectra analysis, resulting in a 3D representation of two orthogonal planes of an osteonal structure of human
cortical bone. The color intensity corresponds to the $v1$ phosphate to amide I ratio, with a spatial resolution of 1-2 $\mu$m. Image from (86) with kind permission of Elsevier.

**Figure 4.** Determining bone ultrastructure organization using polarized second harmonic generation (SHG). A) Comparison of organized wild type (top) and disorganized osteogenesis imperfecta (oim) (bottom) bone ultrastructure from 5 $\mu$m-thick sections of demineralized femoral mouse bones. The images on the left (“Parallel”) are taken with a polarizer angle difference of 90° with respect to the images on the right side (“Perpendicular”). Images from (114) with kind permission of SPIE. B) Polarized SHG of human vertebral trabecular bone in transmission mode. The white arrows indicate the polarization direction of the incident laser beam. Mineralized collagen fibril bundles/fibers arranged in lamellae are clearly visible when aligned with the laser polarization direction (bottom image). Image from (49) with kind permission of Cambridge Journals.

**Figure 5.** Determining bone ultrastructure organization with 3D scanning small-angle X-ray scattering (3D sSAXS). A) Trabecular bone volume, which includes the trabeculae of interest, imaged with micro-computed tomography ($\mu$CT). B) Thin section cut out of volume in (A). The region of interest (red rectangle) is scanned with sSAXS for different rotation angles. C) Local 3D orientation for every bone sub-volume, based on the analysis of the diffraction patterns of each sub-volume for the different rotation angles. The level of the degree of orientation is denoted by the length of the vector, as well as by the color of the colormap. D) Many consecutive thin sections stacked together. In each section, the region of interest contained in the red rectangle is scanned. E) The trabecular structure under investigation F) Reconstruction of the 3D orientation map for each sub-volume of the trabecula. The level of the DO can be interpreted by the length of the vector and the colormap in (C). Images from (144) with kind permission of Elsevier.

**Figure 6.** Determining bone ultrastructure organization with six-dimensional SAXS tomography (6D SAXS tomography) and small-angle scattering tensor tomography (SAS tensor tomography). A) The virtual-tomography-axis technique in 6D SAXS tomography, where for each virtual sample axis, the corresponding projections (arrows with matching color) are used to reconstruct the ultrastructure orientation. Image from (162) with kind permission of Nature Publishing Group. B) The iterative spherical harmonics technique in SAS tensor tomography. The thousands of SAXS patterns corresponding to the same voxel under different angles (left) are fitted to a spherical harmonics equation (represented by a single sphere in the middle), that represents the local orientation and arrangement of the mineralized collagen fibrils (right). C) Computed tomography reconstruction based on the recorded transmitted intensity. D) Reconstruction of the orientation and arrangement information for a bone trabecula based on the iterative spherical harmonics algorithm. Images from (163) with kind permission of Nature Publishing Group.

**Figure 7.** Determining bone ultrastructure organization with electron transmission diffraction. A) Single mineralized collagen fibril. Data assessed by TEM. B) A diffraction pattern from an
area of the fibril, with the c-axis of the crystals (strong black dots) being aligned with the
direction of the fibril. Images from (52) with kind permission of American Chemical Society
Publications.

Figure 8. Typical ultrastructure orientation analysis for the presented imaging techniques. A) Tendon collagen fibers imaged using second harmonic generation (SHG) microscopy. The image is split into multiple compartments, in each of which the orientation is determined via an orientation-specific image processing algorithm. B) Fourier transform of a single compartment in (A), to retrieve the 2D orientation of the fibers in this compartment. Fourier transform is the most common method to retrieve orientation information from the data in imaging techniques. A-B) The spatial resolution of imaging techniques, where the orientation-specific information is derived as a by-product, has to be in the sub-micrometer range, so that fibers can be identified, which limits the field of view that can be covered. Also, the necessary compartmentalization (white grid in (A)) reduces the ultrastructure organization analysis resolution (here it is reduced to ~25 µm). Moreover, irrelevant structural features (such as the blood vessels that appear black in the Fig. 8A), or possible imaging artifacts, are also taken into account, unless special care is taken to eliminate their influence of the orientation-specific measures. Image from (59) with kind permission of OSA publishing.

Figure 9. Imaging bone with confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM). A) CLSM image of a single lamella from a human femur. The arrow represents a qualitative assessment of the orientation of the collagen fibrils. Image from (63) with kind permission of Elsevier. B-C) Difference in the focusing capabilities of CLSM versus multi-photon microscopy. Images from (191) with kind permission of Nature Publishing Group. B) In the case of CLSM, the laser beam excites molecules outside of the focal plane on its path through the tissue. C) In multi-photon microscopy, (at least) two photons are combined to specifically excite only the molecules at the focal spot.

Figure 10. Imaging bone with second harmonic generation (SHG) microscopy. Collagen fibril bundles from porcine cortical bone (in green). A grid has been superimposed in order to compartmentalize the picture that enables semi-quantitative assessment of the 2D orientation of the collagen fibrils (white arrows). Image from (202) with kind permission of Elsevier.

Figure 11. Imaging bone with synchrotron-based computed tomography (SR CT). Three images of human femoral bone at a voxel size of 280 nm, where bone ultrastructure organization can be identified, but not quantified. Image from (206) with kind permission of the American Association of Physicists in Medicine.

Figure 12. Imaging bone with X-ray phase contrast techniques. A) Bone ultrastructure of mouse femur assessed with ptychographic CT at 65 nm isotropic voxel size, where bone microporosities (osteocyte lacunae and canaliculi) are visible. The continuous advances in synchrotron radiation-based imaging techniques led to spatial resolutions below 20 nm, which allows direct assessment of the bone ultrastructure organization. Image from (214) with kind permission of Nature
Publishing Group. B) Human femoral bone imaged using X-ray phase nanotomography at 60 nm isotropic voxel size. C) Compartmentalization of the images via a 3 µm grid and image post-processing results in the assessment of the ultrastructure orientation. B-C) Images from (215) with kind permission of Elsevier.

**Figure 13.** Imaging bone with transmission electron microscopy (TEM). A-D) Bone ultrastructure arrangement of decalcified trabecular (A-B) and cortical (C-D) rat tibiae. Collagen arrangement in bones of control animals (A,C) in qualitative comparison to bones from ovariectomized animals (B,D). Images from (225) with kind permission of Elsevier. E) Single mineralized collagen fibril, where the ~67 nm D-spacing of collagen is visible and can be measured using Fourier transformation of the image (inset). Image from (52) with kind permission of American Chemical Society Publications.

**Figure 14.** Imaging bone with serial focused ion beam scanning electron microscopy (FIB SEM). A-D) Four images out of a 3D stack from demineralized rat tibiae (cortical bone), imaged using serial focused ion beam scanning electron microscopy (FIB SEM) at a (lateral) pixel size and a slice thickness of about 10 nm. Fast Fourier transform is performed to assess the ultrastructure orientation in 2D (insets). Images from (243) with kind permission of Elsevier.

**Figure 15.** Imaging bone with atomic force microscopy (AFM). A-C) Murine cortical bone. Images from (253) with kind permission of Elsevier. A) Polished cortical bone surface, mineralized. B) Cortical bone surface demineralized using ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA). The collagen fibrils are nicely exposed. C) Collagen fibrils corresponding to the inset area in (B). The characteristic D-spacing, as well as their 2D organization are clearly visible. D-F) D-spacing measurements of collagen fibrils of ovariectomized sheep dermis. Images from (265) with kind permission of Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co.

**Figure 16.** Imaging and orientation-specific techniques for the assessment of bone ultrastructure organization, based on their capabilities in terms of their spatial resolution and field of view (FOV) they cover, and their ability to derive the 3D orientation and arrangement of mineralized collagen fibrils in a quantitative way.
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