**Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x**

Received 00th January 2012,

Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

**www.rsc.org/**

Tris-ureas as transmembrane anion transporters

Martina Olivari,a Riccardo Montis,a Stuart N. Berry,b Louise E. Karagiannidis,b Simon J. Coles,b Peter N. Horton,b Lucy K. Mapp,b Philip A. Galeb\* and Claudia Caltagironea\*

Nine tris-ureas receptors (**L1**-**L9**) have been synthesised and shown to coordinate to a range of anionic guests both by 1H NMR titration techniques and single crystal X-ray structural analysis. The compounds have been shown to be capable of mediating the exchange of chloride and nitrate and also chloride and bicarbonate across POPC or POPC:cholesterol 7:3 vesicle bilayer membranes at low transporter loadings. An interesting dependency of anion transport on the nature of the cation is evidence to suggest that a M+/Cl- cotransport process may also contribute to the release of chloride from the vesicles.

Introduction

Transmembrane transport of anions across lipid bilayers is an important biological process that is normally regulated by complex membrane spanning proteins. A range of diseases, known as “channelopathies”, including cystic fibrosis, are caused by malfunctioning ion channels.[1](#_ENREF_1) There is currently interest in the design of synthetic membrane transporters for anions that can act as potential future therapeutic substitutes for these malfunctioning proteins and have other biological applications.[2-4](#_ENREF_2)

Gale and co-workers have recently described the anion binding properties of a series of *ortho*-phenylenediamine-based bis-ureas.[5](#_ENREF_5), [6](#_ENREF_6) These compounds are highly effective anion transporters that function by an anion antiport, and in some cases a HCl symport carrier mechanism. Addition of electron-withdrawing groups to either the central core or the peripheral phenyl groups improved the anion transport ability: the transporter activity increased with the electron withdrawing strength of the substituent with the trend H < F ≈ Cl< CF3 < CN < NO2. Indeed, the *p*-nitro functionalised compound was shown to possess a very high transport activity, facilitating chloride efflux at concentrations as low as 1:1000000 transporter to lipid molar ratio.

Gale *et al.* have also reported the transmembrane anion transport of phosphoric triamide and thiophosphoric triamide-based receptors,[7](#_ENREF_7) and tris-urea tripodal receptors.[8-10](#_ENREF_8)

Tris-urea receptors can be divided in two main families: tripodal receptors based on flexible linkers such as TREN (tris(2-aminoethyl)amine) that are able to preferentially bind oxo-anions[5](#_ENREF_5), [11-19](#_ENREF_11) and to work as organogelators,[20](#_ENREF_20) or rigid spacers such as cyanuric acid[21](#_ENREF_21), benzene[22](#_ENREF_22), or trindane.[23](#_ENREF_23)

Recently Wu and co-workers designed and synthesised a new family of tris-ureas[24](#_ENREF_24), [25](#_ENREF_25) and tris-thioureas[26](#_ENREF_26" \o "Zhang, 2013 #28) developed mimicking the scaffold of terpyridine as efficient receptors for phosphate and sulfate. Starting from the interesting results obtained by Gale with the *ortho*-phenylenediamine-based bis-ureas transporters we decided to expand the family of tris-ureas reported by Wu and therefore we synthesised nine receptors (**L1 - L9** in Scheme 1). We investigated the anion binding properties both in solution and in the solid state of the nine receptors and their ability to transport anions across lipid bilayers.



Scheme 1 Representation of receptors **L1**-**L9**. Receptor **L3** has already been published.[25](#_ENREF_25)

Results and discussion

The synthesis of receptor **L3** has previously been reported by Wu.[25](#_ENREF_25) **L1-L9** were synthesized *via* different reaction steps. Firstly 1,3-bis(2-aminophenyl)urea was prepared by reaction of *ortho*-phenylenediamine with *ortho*-nitro-phenylisocyanate in a mixed solvent THF/toluene at 0°C and subsequent reduction of the 1-(2-nitrophenyl)-3-(2-aminophenyl)urea obtained by hydrazine and Pd/C 10%. 1,3-Bis(2-aminophenyl)urea was the reacted with the appropriate isocyanate (4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl isocyanate; 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl isocyanate; 4-fluorophenyl isocyanate; p-tolyl isocyanate; 2-methoxyphenyl isocyanate; 4-methoxyphenyl isocyanate) in refluxing dichloromethane (DCM) under a N2 atmosphere to obtain **L1-L9** in 60-90% yield (see ESI for synthetic details).

Anion-binding studies were performed by means of 1H-NMR titrations in DMSO-*d6*. Stability constants from the 1H-NMR titration curves obtained (see ESI Figures S1-S13) were calculated by fitting the data to a 1:1 binding model using EQNMR[27](#_ENREF_27) as shown in Table 1. Although the presence of multiple equilibria in solution cannot be excluded (see Job plot in ESI, Figure S4B in the case of **L4** in the presence of chloride),[28](#_ENREF_28) when the experimental data were fitted using a 1:2 (L:anion) binding mode, the results were found to be inconclusive.

**Table 1** Association constants (*K*a/M-1) for the formation of complexes of **L1**-**L9** with anions added as tetrabutylammonium salts (or tetraethylammonium in the case of hydrogencarbonate) in DMSO-*d6* at 300 K. All errors estimated to be ≤14% (see ESI).

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Receptors** | **Anions** | | |
|  | **Cl-  HCO3- NO3-** | | |
| **L1** | <10 | deprotonation*a* | no interaction |
| **L2** | <10 | deprotonation*a* | no interaction |
| **L3** | <10 | deprotonation*a* | no interaction |
| **L4** | 262 | deprotonation*a* | no interaction |
| **L5** | <10 | 226 | no interaction |
| **L6** | 205 | 203 | no interaction |
| **L7** | 226 | 221 | no interaction |
| **L8** | 28 | 802 | no interaction |
| **L9** | 225 | 240 | no interaction |

*a*The NHs signals disappeared after the addition of one equivalent of anion

Under the conditions of these experiments, the receptors did not interact with nitrate (i.e. no shift of the NH proton resonances occurred upon addition of tetrabutylammonium nitrate). Interestingly, receptors **L1**-**L3** which contain nitro electron-withdrawing groups showed little interaction with chloride, while addition of bicarbonate caused the disappearance of the signals attributed to the urea NH groups, evidence in support of a deprotonation or exchange process. Receptor **L4**, bearing one CF3 substituent on the peripheral phenyl ring showed some affinity for chloride. On the other hand, receptors **L6**, **L7**, and **L9** were able to bind both chloride and bicarbonate with comparable stability constants, while **L5** and **L8** bound bicarbonate preferentially.

A series of crystallization experiments of receptors **L1-L9** in presence of an excess of anions such as acetate, chloride, bicarbonate and nitrate were carried out with the aim of investigating the anion-binding properties of the receptors in the solid state. In order to be consistent with solution studies, all the crystallization experiments were conducted in DMSO. However, with the aim of investigating the possible influence of less polar solvents in the anion-binding process, other solvents (AcOEt, MeOH, EtOH, THF, MeNO2, MeCN) and mixture of solvents (MeOH/MeNO2 and THF/DMF) were also employed. Details of the crystallization experiments are reported in ESI (Table S1).

As shown in Table S1 (see ESI) only a limited number of crystallizations were successful in producing single crystals. In particular, for a total of sixty-six crystallization experiments, only seven gave samples suitable for X-ray investigation. Within these, three gave the crystal structure of the simple tetrabutylammonium salt and the remaining four resulted in crystal structures [**L4**(Cl-)2](TBA+)2**a**, [**L4(**Cl-)2](TBA+)2**b** (isostructures obtained from MeOH/MeNO2 and MeCN respectively; for the structural description the code [**L4**(Cl-)2](TBA+)2 is used to identify both), [**L5**(Cl-)](TBA+) and [**L5**(AcO-)](TBA+) (both obtained from DMSO).

In general, these results seem to be consistent with the low anion-binding affinity observed in solution studies (Table 1). This is particularly evident for receptors **L1**-**L3**, where the unsuccessful crystallization experiments agree with the negligible interactions observed in solution (Table 1). In the case of receptor **L4**, though the compound unexpectedly forms a 1:2 [**L4**(Cl-)2](TBA+)2 complex, the affinity toward Cl- is confirmed. For the remaining receptors **L5**-**L9**, only in the case of receptor **L5** it was possible to isolate single crystals of anion complexes with chloride and acetate (not investigated in solution).

[**L4**(Cl-)2](TBA+)2 crystallised in the triclinic crystal system (space group P-1) with an asymmetric unit consisting of one receptor **L4**,two chloride anions and two tetrabutylammonium counterions, resulting in a 1:2 complex. **L4** adopts a closed conformation, with the urea NHs all oriented toward the centre of a pseudo-cavity. The three urea groups are slightly tilted to interact *via* N-H∙∙∙Clhydrogen bonds (N-H∙∙∙Cl distances are in the range 2.36(2) - 2.51(2) Å, average 2.43 Å) with the two Cl- anions which respectively lie below (Cl1) and above (Cl2) the pseudo-cavity (Fig 1a and b). In particular it is worth noticing that the shortest distances are observed for Cl2 (N1-H1∙∙∙Cl2 2.36(2) Å, N1-H1∙∙∙Cl2 2.34(2) Å).
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Figure 1. Pseudo-cavity and main intermolecular interactions observed in structure **L4Cl-**, viewed along two orthogonal projections. TBA+ counter cations are omitted for clarity. N-H∙∙∙Cl hydrogen bonds are indicated as black dashed lines.

[**L5**(Cl-)](TBA+) also adopts a triclinic crystal system (space group P-1). The asymmetric unit consists of one independent receptor **L5**, one independent chloride and one independent tetrabutylammonium resulting in a 1:1 complex. Similarly to **L4** the receptor **L5** shows a closed conformation with the two peripheral urea NHs (Figure 2 a) pointing at the centre of the pseudo-cavity and interacting with the chloridevia four N-H∙∙∙Clhydrogen bonds (N-H∙∙∙Cl distances are in the range 2.32(2) - 2.75(3) Å (average distance 2.53 Å). The central urea NHs are tilted to interact with an adjacent receptor-chloride unit *via* a second set of N-H∙∙∙Clhydrogen bonds (N-H∙∙∙Cl distances are 2.44(3) Å and 2.74(3) Å respectively) forming a centrosymmetric dimer (Figure 2b).
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Figure 2. Pseudo-cavity and main intermolecular interactions observed in structure **[L5(Cl-)](TBA+)**, (a) N-H∙∙∙Cl hydrogen bonds involving peripheral ureas and Cl-. (b) N-H∙∙∙Cl hydrogen bonds involving central ureas and Cl- and centro-symmetric dimer. The molecules are oriented to best show the intermolecular interactions. TBA+ counter cations and positional disorder in the CF3 groups are omitted for clarity. N-H∙∙∙O hydrogen bonds are indicated as black dashed lines, centre of inversion as black circle.

Similarly to the previous two structures,[**L5**(AcO-)](TBA+) crystallises in the triclinic crystal system (space group P-1). The asymmetric unit consists of one independent receptor **L5**, one independent acetate and one independent tetrabutylammonium. The receptor molecule adopts a closed conformation (Figure 3a) to form a pseudo-cavity similar to those observed for [**L4**(Cl-)2](TBA+)2 and [**L5**(Cl-)](TBA+). The peripheral NHs are oriented toward the centre of the pseudo-cavity interacting with the AcO- via N-H∙∙∙O hydrogen bonds (N-H∙∙∙O distances are in the range 1.89(2) - 2.34 (2) Å, average distance 2.13 Å). This is oriented perpendicularly to the plane of the pseudo-cavity and interacts via two N-H∙∙∙O hydrogen bonds (N-H∙∙∙O distances are 1.97(2) Å and 1.99(2) Å respectively) with the central urea NHs of an adjacent receptor molecule (Figure 3 b) to form a centrosymmetric dimer similar to that observed for [**L5(**Cl-)](TBA+).
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Figure 3. Pseudo-cavity and main intermolecular interactions observed in structure **[L5(AcO-)](TBA+)**; (a) N-H∙∙∙O hydrogen bonds involving peripheral ureas and AcO-. (b) N-H∙∙∙O hydrogen bonds involving central ureas and AcO- and centro-symmetric dimer. The molecules are oriented to best show the intermolecular interactions. TBA+ counter cations are omitted for clarity. N-H∙∙∙O hydrogen bonds are indicated as black dashed lines, centre of inversion as black circle.

The anion transport properties of receptors **L1**–**L9** were studied using vesicle-based methods.[29](#_ENREF_29) A sample of unilamellar POPC vesicles was prepared containing 489 mM NaCl buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. The vesicles were suspended at a lipid concentration of 1 mM in 489 mM NaNO3 buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts.

A small amount of DMSO solution of the receptor was added to the vesicles suspension, and the resulting chloride efflux was monitored using a chloride ion selective electrode (ISE) for 300 s. At the end of the experiment, the vesicles were lysed by the addition of detergent, and the final electrode reading was used to calibrate 100% chloride release. We found that all the compounds except **L1** (i.e. **L2**–**L9**) (at 2 mol% with respect to lipid) were capable of mediating chloride transport.



Figure 4. Chloride efflux promoted by a DMSO solution of compounds **L1–L9** (2 mol% carrier to lipid) from unilamellar POPC vesicles loaded with 489 mM NaCl buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. The vesicles were dispersed in 489 mM NaNO3 buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. At the end of the experiment detergent was added to lyse the vesicles and calibrate the ISE to 100% chloride efflux. Each point represents an average of three trials. DMSO was used as a control.

Under these experimental conditions it is clear that the most active compounds are **L2**, **L3**, **L4** and **L6** (Fig. 4 and S14–S29 in ESI†). Interestingly, the best transporters cover a range of Cl- binding strengths from the NMR titration experiments: **L2** and **L3** have very low association constants with chloride and L4 and **L6** have among the highest. As transport efficiency depends on numerous factors including not only binding strength but also appropriate lipophilicity[30](#_ENREF_30) and balance of lipophilicity about the anion binding unit,[31](#_ENREF_31)it is likely here that these factors are contributing greatly to the transport efficiency observed. It is noteworthy that the worst two transporters are the *ortho*-substituted **L1** and **L8** where steric hindrance of the transporting anions (Cl- or NO3‑ in this case) entering the binding cleft may be limiting transport efficacy.

We next sought to investigate the biologically relevant Cl-/HCO3- exchange process,[32](#_ENREF_32) which also served to provide mechanistic insights into the transporting mechanisms of **L2**-**L9**. In this assay, POPC vesicles loaded with NaCl (451 mM with 20 mM phosphate buffer at pH 7.2) were suspended in a solution of Na2SO4 (150 mM with 20mM phosphate buffer at pH 7.2). DMSO suspensions of compounds **L2**-**L9** were then added to the suspension and Cl- efflux from the vesicles monitored for 120 s using a chloride ion selective electrode (ISE). At this time, a pulse of NaHCO3 was added such that the final HCO3- concentration was 40 mM and Cl- efflux monitored for a further 300 s (Figs. 5 and S31–S46 in ESI†). Usually during the early stage of this experiment the antiport mechanism (2Cl-/SO42- exchange) would not be expected to be observed due to the high hydrophilicity of the SO42− anion[33](#_ENREF_33) which inhibits chloride efflux from the liposomes.[9](#_ENREF_9) However, as shown in Figure 5, during the first 120 seconds of this experiment significant chloride release was observed for compounds **L3**, **L2** and **L6**, along with moderate chloride release mediated by the other compounds except for **L4** and **L5**. Further, after the pulse of NaHCO3 solution was added, and we observed an increase in chloride efflux for all compounds; evidence in support of at least a partial chloride/bicarbonate exchange transporting process.

To rule out chloride/sulfate exchange, a lucigenin assay was run with compounds **L2** and **L3** which showed that these compounds do not mediate sulfate transport (see ESI, Figure S56 for data and brief discussion )[10](#_ENREF_10)

Although it has been recently reported that ureas and thioureas can facilitate proton or hydroxide transport,[34](#_ENREF_34), [35](#_ENREF_35) a HPTS assay to test for H+/Cl- co-transport resulted in inconclusive results for the class of molecules presented herein (see ESI, Figure S57 in the case of **L6** as a representative compound).



Figure 5. Chloride efflux promoted by a DMSO solution of compounds **L2**–**L9** (2 mol% carrier to lipid) from unilamellar POPC vesicles loaded with 451 mM NaCl buffered to pH 7.2 with 20 mM sodium phosphate salts. The vesicles were dispersed in 150 mM Na2SO4 buffered to pH 7.2 with 20 mM sodium phosphate salts. At t = 120 s a solution of sodium bicarbonate was added such that the external concentration of bicarbonate was 40 mM. At the end of the experiment, detergent was added to lyse the vesicles and calibrate the ISE to 100% chloride efflux. Each point represents an average of three trials. DMSO was used as a control.

To further examine the origin of the chloride transport during the first two minutes of the Cl-/HCO3- assay, we examined the possibility of a mechanism involving sodium/chloride co-transport. The Cl-/NO3- transport assays were repeated using vesicles containing caesium chloride (489 mM NaCl buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts) instead of sodium chloride, suspended in an isotonic sodium nitrate solution. In the event of NaCl co-transport we would expect the rate of chloride release to be different in the presence of CsCl. As shown in Figure 6 in the case of **L2**, **L3**, and **L6-L9** the release of chloride is dependent on the nature of metal cation and it is reduced when the internal solution was replaced by CsCl.



Figure 6 Percentage chloride efflux at 270 s mediated by **L2-L9** at various loadings (0.1 mol%for **L2**, **L3** and **L6**, 0.5 mol% for **L7**, 5 mol % for **L8** and 2 mol% for **L9** carrier to lipid)from unilamellar POPC vesicles loaded with either 489mM NaCl (blue) or 489mM CsCl (red) buffered to pH 7.2 with 5mM sodium phosphate salts. The vesicles were dispersed in 489mM NaNO3 buffered to pH 7.2 with 5mM sodium phosphate salts.

The results described above suggest these trisurea receptors function *via* a mixture of transporting mechanisms. From the first assay, these receptors receptors facilitated Cl−/NO3− exchange. The second assay supported the hypothesis of a Cl−/HCO3− antiport mechanism, although with the exception of **L4** and **L5**, a small amount of chloride efflux was observed at the start of this assay mediated receptor addition when suspended in external Na2SO4. A cation exchange assay demonstrated that for **L2**, **L3**, **L6**-**L9** the counter cation affects transport rate, possibly *via* a M+/Cl- co-transport process. Assays with Lucigenin and HPTS effectively ruled out the possibilities of 2Cl-/SO42- transport and H+/Cl- co-transport.

We next tested the Cl−/NO3−antiport activity of **L2**-**L9** in vesicles composed of POPC-cholesterol (7 : 3). This mixture is a closer mimic of biological membranes than pure POPC lipid bilayers. The presence of cholesterol is known to increase the order in the bilayer and its viscosity. All the receptors tested showed a reduced rate of transport to a certain extent in the POPC–cholesterol system with the exception of L5 (see Figure 7 for **L2** and Figures S58–S64 in ESI for **L3**-**L9**). This suggests that for this class of receptor, diffusion of the complex through the interior of the bilayer may be the rate determining step,[36](#_ENREF_36) however the possibility that the rate could be determined by differing partitioning rates of the transporter into the bilayer in this cholesterol system cannot be ruled out.



Figure 7 Chloride efflux promoted by a DMSO solution of compound **L2** (0.2 mol% carrier to lipid) from unilamellar vesicles comprising of either POPC or POPC–cholesterol (7 : 3 molar ratio, POPC:Chol), loaded with 489 mM NaCl buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. The vesicles were dispersed in 489 mM NaNO3 buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. At the end of the experiment detergent was added to lyse the vesicles and calibrate the ISE to 100% chloride efflux. Each point represents an average of three trials. DMSO was used as a control.

Finally, to quantify the transport activity of compounds **L2**-**L9** Hill analyses[37](#_ENREF_37), [38](#_ENREF_38) for the chloride/nitrate and chloride/bicarbonate

antiport assays were performed (see Fig. S14–S29, S31-S46 in ESI†). Hill analysis allows the calculation of the EC50,270s which is a measure of transporter efficiency, defined as the required receptor concentration to mediate 50% of the total chloride efflux 270 s after the addition of the carrier (or after the bicarbonate ‘pulse’). This allows us to compare the transport activity of the compounds. These values are summarised in Table 2, together with the Hill coefficients, which can be correlated to the number of transporter molecules required to transport a single anion.[38](#_ENREF_38)

**Table 2** The EC50 is the concentration (mol% carrier to lipid) needed to obtain 50% efflux after 270s and n is the Hill coefficient that represents an estimate of the number of transporter molecules required to transport a single anion

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Compound | EC50 at 270s (Cl-/NO3-) | n | EC50 at 270s (Cl-/HCO3-) | n |
| L2 | 0.095 | 1.207 | 0.50 | 0.76 |
| L3 | 0.047 | 1.67 | 0.21 | 0.77 |
| L4 | 0.039 | 1.07 | 0.14 | 0.98 |
| L5 | 0.066 | 0.88 | 0.25 | 0.97 |
| L6 | 0.10 | 1.39 | 0.76 | 0.80 |
| L7 | 0.6 | 0.96 | 4.27 | 0.88 |
| L8 | 4.98 | 1.29 | 21.93 | 0.86 |
| L9 | 1.96 | 0.88 | 8.43 | 0.84 |

From the EC50,270s values reported in Table 2 the most active transporter among the series is **L4** (EC50,270s0.039 mol% and 0.14 mol% with respect to lipid for nitrate and bicarbonate antiport, respectively), implying that this is an effective transporter of anions at moderately low loadings.

Conclusions

In conclusion we have synthesised nine tris-urea receptors bearing a range of substituents attached to the pendant arms. We have studied the anion binding properties of the receptors both in solution and in the solid state and we have tested their ability to mediate chloride transport through membranes. Solution studies demonstrate that these receptors bind anions with moderate stability constants (as reported in Table 1).

Solid state studies confirm the results observed in solution and indeed, despite many attempts, only three crystal structures were obtained. In particular, the crystal structure of **L4** in the presence of chloride suggests that the receptor has a good degree of pre-organization and binds chloride via the urea NH groups with a 1:2 stoichiometry. We also demonstrated that these systems are able to mediate transmembrane chloride transport as mobile carriers with different mechanisms, Cl−/NO3− and Cl−/HCO3− antiport, and metal-dependent cation co-transport. Hill-plot analysis demonstrates that the most active compound of the series is **L4**.34
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