

**All cause mortality and body mass index in a young Asian occupational cohort without baseline metabolic syndrome components**

Ki-Chul Sung<sup>1</sup>, MD, PhD; Seungho Ryu<sup>2</sup>, MD, PhD; Jong-Young Lee<sup>1</sup>, MD, PhD; SungHo Lee<sup>1</sup>, MD, PhD; EunSun Cheong<sup>1</sup>, MD; Jang-Young Kim<sup>3</sup>, MD, PhD; Sarah H Wild<sup>4</sup>, MD, PhD; Christopher D Byrne<sup>5</sup>, MB BCh, PhD

<sup>1</sup>Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea

<sup>2</sup>Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Cardiology, Wonju College of Medicine, Yonsei University, Wonju, Republic of Korea

<sup>4</sup>Centre for Population Health Sciences, University of Edinburgh

<sup>5</sup>Nutrition and Metabolism Unit, IDS Building, Southampton General Hospital, (University of Southampton), MP 887, Southampton, UK

**Abbreviated title: underweight, overweight, obesity and mortality rates.**

**Grant support:** The work was supported by the MRC-KHIDI UK-KOREA PARTNERING AWARD to CDB, KS, and SW (Medical Research Council MC\_PC\_16016)  
CDB is supported in part by the Southampton National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre.

**Keywords: underweight, obesity, metabolic syndrome, mortality rates, smoking, all cause mortality**

KS takes full responsibility for the data collection and integrity of the analyses. CDB, SHW, KS have written the manuscript and all authors have read and agree the manuscript as written.

**Contact Information**

Address all correspondence and requests for reprints to: Ki-Chul Sung, M.D., Ph.D., Division of Cardiology, Kangbuk Samsung; Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine #108, Pyung Dong, Jongro-Ku, Seoul 110-746, Republic of Korea

Telephone: 82 2 2001 2001

E-mail: [kcmd.sung@samsung.com](mailto:kcmd.sung@samsung.com).

or Christopher D Byrne MB BCh, PhD, Nutrition and Metabolism Unit, IDS Building, Southampton General Hospital, University of Southampton, MP 887, Tremona Road, Southampton, UK. SO166YD

Telephone: 44 23 80 798818

Email: [c.d.byrne@soton.ac.uk](mailto:c.d.byrne@soton.ac.uk)

**Abbreviations list:** MetS, metabolic syndrome; ALT, alanine aminotransaminases; AST, aspartate transaminase; hsCRP, high sensitivity C Reactive Protein; gGT, gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase; HDLc, high density lipoprotein cholesterol; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; TG, triglyceride; BMI, body mass index; cardiovascular disease (CVD), IR (insulin resistant/resistance)

**Word count: 3372**

1 **Abstract**

2 **Background.** The aim was to investigate associations between underweight, overweight and  
3 obesity and all cause, cancer and cardiovascular disease (CVD) mortality, excluding subjects  
4 with known CVD), diabetes, hypertension and components of the metabolic syndrome (MetS)  
5 at baseline.

6 **Methods.** The study population consisted of examinees participating in a health screening in  
7 Korea from 2002 to 2013. Data were analyzed in 162,194 subjects (in a retrospective cohort  
8 study design-median (interquartile range (IQR) follow up 4.9 (1.8- 8.5 years))). The  
9 outcomes were all cause mortality, cancer and CVD.

10 **Results.** The mean (age range) and median age (IQR) at baseline were 36.9(20.0-85.3) and  
11 35.2 (30.8-40.6) years. There were 436 deaths during follow up. For men and women  
12 together, the fully adjusted HR for underweight and all cause mortality, cancer and CVD was  
13 1.53 (95% CIs 1.06-2.20), 1.21 (95% CIs 0.68-2.14) and 1.34 (95% CIs 0.40-4.49)  
14 respectively. In contrast, the fully adjusted HR for overweight/obesity combined and all cause  
15 mortality was 0.77 (95%CIs 0.63-0.95) and there were non significant trends towards  
16 decreased cancer and CVD mortality. The association between overweight/obesity and all  
17 cause mortality was similar for men and women considered separately and for overweight  
18 and obesity as separate BMI categories. Smoking did not seem to explain the increased HR in  
19 the underweight BMI category.

20 **Conclusions.** In a young metabolically healthy adult cohort, underweight was associated with  
21 increased all cause mortality and overweight/obesity was associated with decreased all cause  
22 mortality if CVD, diabetes, hypertension and components of the metabolic syndrome (MetS)  
23 are excluded.

24

25

26

27 **Introduction**

28 Some population based studies that have investigated relationships between body mass index  
29 (BMI) and all cause mortality have shown lower all-cause mortality in people who are  
30 overweight (BMI) compared with normal weight subjects [1-5], whereas others have shown  
31 increased mortality.[6,7] Associations between BMI and all cause mortality vary by age and  
32 the effects of increasing BMI on mortality are less pronounced in the elderly than in young or  
33 middle-aged adults.[8] Recently, a U-shaped relationship between BMI and mortality has  
34 been shown in the elderly[9] and there may be a curvilinear relationship between BMI and  
35 mortality with the lowest mortality being found at BMIs towards the upper end of the normal  
36 weight category in younger age groups.[10] Thus there may be different associations between  
37 BMI and all cause mortality in younger versus older age groups.

38

39 BMI is a proxy measure for adiposity in population-based studies and it is well established  
40 that increasing body fat is strongly associated with components of the metabolic syndrome  
41 (MetS). Whether the components of the MetS, such as type 2 diabetes, hypertension or  
42 dyslipidemia are responsible for a relationship between body fatness and all cause mortality  
43 is uncertain, but we have recently shown in a large Korean cohort that co-existing CVD,  
44 diabetes or hypertension explained much of the increased risk of CVD mortality in obese  
45 individuals. [11]

46

47 Studies utilizing measurements of BMI are often criticized because BMI also reflects the  
48 amount of muscle mass, and a BMI measurement is not able to assess amounts of harmful  
49 ectopic, or visceral fat.[12] However, interesting recent data from a relatively small (n=1000)  
50 observational study of 6 year follow up in an elderly Korean population has questioned the  
51 harmful effects of visceral fat in the elderly population.[13] In this study, higher amounts of  
52 visceral fat, assessed by abdominal computed tomography, were associated with decreased  
53 all-cause mortality and specifically a 1 standard deviation (SD) increase in visceral fat mass  
54 was associated with a 36% decrease in all cause mortality.

55

56 Recently, the concept of metabolically healthy obesity (MHO) has been used to define a state  
57 where obesity is associated with better health (i.e. the 'obesity paradox'[14]). Additionally,  
58 whether any association between underweight and increased all cause mortality is due to the  
59 presence of pre-existing cardiovascular and metabolic disease states (or risk factors) is not  
60 fully understood. Therefore, in a large, relatively young and healthy occupational cohort

61 (with low levels of pre-existing disease), our aim was to investigate associations between  
62 BMI and all cause mortality, cancer and CVD mortality, having excluded subjects from the  
63 analyses who had pre-existing diabetes, hypertension, CVD and components of the MetS at  
64 baseline. Specifically, we tested whether BMI was associated with mortality outcomes after  
65 subjects with established risk factors and diseases such as diabetes, CVD, hypertension and  
66 features of the MetS had been excluded.

67

## 68 **Materials and Methods**

69 The study population consisted of examinees who participated in a comprehensive health  
70 screening program at Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Seoul, Korea from 2002 to 2012  
71 (N=396,951). The purpose of the screening program is to promote health through early  
72 detection of chronic diseases and their risk factors. Additionally, in Korea, the Industrial  
73 Safety and Health Law requires employees to participate in annual or biennial health  
74 examinations. About 80% of the participants were employees of various companies and local  
75 governmental organizations and their spouses with the remaining participants registering  
76 individually for the program.

77

78 This analysis was performed in 2015. For this analysis, 234,757 people were excluded for  
79 one or more of the following reasons: 25 subjects with missing data on body mass index at  
80 baseline; two subjects were missing pulse and blood pressure; 86,649 subjects with a history  
81 of malignancy, CVD, hypertension or diabetes (**Figure 1**). Hypertension was defined as a  
82 systolic blood pressure  $\geq 140$  mm Hg, a diastolic blood pressure  $\geq 90$  mm Hg, self-report  
83 history of hypertension, or current use of antihypertensive medication. Diabetes mellitus was  
84 defined as a fasting serum glucose level  $\geq 126$  mg/dl, a self-reported history of diabetes, or  
85 current use of diabetic medication. We then excluded participants who had any of the  
86 following metabolic abnormalities: 1) fasting blood glucose  $\geq 100$  mg/dl or current use of  
87 blood glucose-lowering agents (n=94451); 2) blood pressure  $\geq 130/85$  mm Hg or current use  
88 of blood pressure-lowering agents (n=92526); 3) triglyceride levels  $\geq 150$  mg/dl or current use  
89 of lipid-lowering agents (n=100658); 4) high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C)  $< 40$   
90 mg/dl in men or  $< 50$  mg/dl in women (n=61114) [15]. After exclusion of these subjects, the  
91 total number of metabolically-healthy individuals included in the study was 162,194 [median  
92 (IQR) follow up=4.94 (1.77-8.49) years]. This study was approved by the Institutional  
93 Review Board of Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, which exempted the requirement for informed  
94 consent as de-identified data were used for the analysis.

95

96 Data on medical history, medication use, and health-related behaviors were collected through  
97 a self-administered questionnaire while the physical measurements and serum biochemical  
98 parameters were measured by trained staff, all collected during the health examinations.  
99 Details regarding alcohol use included the frequency of intake per week and the average  
100 intake on each occasion. Current smokers were identified and the weekly frequency of

101 moderate- or vigorous-intensity physical activity assessed. Trained nurses measured sitting  
102 blood pressure with standard mercury sphygmomanometers. Blood specimens were sampled  
103 from the antecubital vein after more than 12 hours of fasting. Serum levels of glucose, total  
104 cholesterol, triglyceride, low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein  
105 (HDL) cholesterol were measured using Bayer Reagent Packs (Bayer Diagnostics,  
106 Leverkusen, Germany) on an automated chemistry analyzer (Advia 1650™ Autoanalyzer;  
107 Bayer Diagnostics, Leverkusen, Germany). Regular calibration and quality control  
108 measurements were performed throughout the study period using a validated calibrator and  
109 quality control materials. The clinical laboratory has been accredited and participates  
110 annually in inspections and surveys by the Korean Association of Quality Assurance for  
111 Clinical Laboratories. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight in kilograms divided  
112 by height in meters squared. BMI was classified according to Asian-specific criteria  
113 (underweight, BMI <18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>; normal weight, BMI of 18.5 to 23 kg/m<sup>2</sup>; overweight, BMI  
114 of 23 to 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>; and obese, BMI ≥25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>).

115

116 Mortality follow-up between January 1, 2002 and December 31, 2012 was based on the  
117 nationwide death certificate data of the Korea National Statistical Office. Deaths among  
118 subjects were confirmed by matching the information to death records. Death certificates  
119 from the National Statistical Office were identified with the use of identification numbers  
120 assigned to subjects at birth. Abstractors coded the causes of death according to the  
121 International Classification of Diseases, 10<sup>th</sup> revision. Since all deaths in Korea are required  
122 by law to be reported to this office, the data on death from any cause used in this study can be  
123 regarded as accurate and others have used a similar approach to describe associations with all  
124 cause mortality in Korean subjects. [16]

125

## 126 **Statistical analyses.**

127 The  $\chi^2$ -test and student t-tests were used to compare the characteristics of the study  
128 participants at baseline according to whether subjects were alive or dead at follow up. The  
129 distribution of continuous variables was evaluated, and right-skewed variables (triglycerides,  
130 ALT, AST, GGT, hsCRP and HOMA-IR) were log-transformed for one-way analysis of  
131 variance (ANOVA) testing of between group differences. Descriptive statistics were used to  
132 summarize the characteristics of participants by BMI categories. Cox proportional hazards  
133 models were used to estimate adjusted hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs),

134 for all-cause mortality, comparing the BMI categories with the normal weight BMI category  
135 as the reference group. The Fine and Gray proportional sub-distribution hazards regression  
136 analysis was also used to model CVD- and cancer- mortality while treating any other cause of  
137 death as a competing risk. [17] We initially adjusted for age and sex, and further adjusted for  
138 alcohol intake and exercise, educational attainment (college graduation or higher), center,  
139 year of screening exam). The proportional hazards assumption was checked by examining  
140 graphs of estimated log (-log) survival. We also performed stratified analysis in pre-  
141 specified subgroups defined by age (<50 vs. ≥50), sex (women vs. men), smoking (non-  
142 smoker vs. current smoker), alcohol intake (<20 vs. ≥20g of alcohol per day), vigorous  
143 exercise (<3 vs. ≥ 3 times a week), education level (<college graduation vs. ≥ college  
144 graduation), CRP (<1.0 vs. ≥1.0 mg/l) and fatty liver (no vs. yes); interactions between  
145 subgroups were tested using likelihood ratio tests comparing models with and without  
146 multiplicative interaction terms. The statistical analysis was performed using STATA version  
147 14.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA). All reported p values are two tailed, and  
148 <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

149

150

151

152



153 **Results**

154 The mean (age range) and median age (IQR) at baseline were 36.9(20.0-85.3) and 35.2 (30.8-  
155 40.6) years. **Table 1** shows the baseline characteristics of the cohort according to whether  
156 subjects were alive or dead at follow up. The median (IQR) follow up was [4.94 (1.77-8.49)]  
157 years. Recognized cardiovascular risk factors were all adversely affected or influenced in  
158 those subjects who died during follow up. These risk factors included higher blood pressure,  
159 higher LDL-C concentration, lower HDL-C concentration, the percentage of people smoking,  
160 and educational attainment level.

161 **Tables 2 and 3** show the baseline characteristics of the cohort by BMI categories  
162 (underweight, normal weight, overweight and obese) in men (**Table 2**) and in women (**Table**  
163 **3**). For men and for women, blood pressure and LDL-C concentration increased, with  
164 increasing BMI categories. In contrast, the percentage of men who were smokers was higher  
165 in the underweight BMI category and the percentage of people who were smokers was lower  
166 in the overweight and obese BMI categories, compared with the normal weight BMI category.  
167 Additionally, the proportion of people taking regular exercise increased with BMI category.

168 **Table 4** shows the hazard ratios for associations between each BMI category and all cause  
169 mortality adjusted for age, and adjusted for multiple potential confounders with the normal  
170 weight BMI category as the reference group. Overweight and obesity were combined to  
171 increase the number of deaths in a single overweight/obesity group. The association between  
172 overweight/obesity and all cause mortality was similar for men and women considered  
173 separately. We modelled the shape of the association between BMI and all-cause mortality in  
174 men and women combined and these data are shown in **Figure 2**.

175 **Table 5** shows the hazard ratios for associations between each BMI category and cancer  
176 mortality adjusted for age, and adjusted for multiple potential confounders with the normal  
177 weight BMI category as the reference group.

178 Additionally, despite the increase in CVD risk factors in the overweight/obese BMI category  
179 compared with other BMI categories, there was no increase in CVD deaths in the  
180 overweight/obese category. Although the number of CVD deaths was small in this young  
181 cohort, we investigated HRs for CVD mortality in each BMI category, adjusting for the same  
182 potential confounders that are shown in **Table 4**. In this analysis, although the 95%CIs were

183 wide, there was a similar pattern of the point estimates of HRs across BMI categories, to the  
184 pattern we had observed across BMI categories for all cause mortality, (**Table 6**).

185 The major causes of death by BMI category are shown in (**Table 7**) and these data show that  
186 was no increase in deaths from lung cancer or other key cancers in the underweight BMI  
187 category.

188 We investigated whether there were differences in the associations between BMI and all  
189 cause mortality in clinically relevant subgroups for each BMI category (**Table 8**). In order to  
190 determine whether there were any differences between the overweight and obese groups,  
191 these two BMI groups were kept separate for this analysis. These data showed that smoking  
192 status was not associated with any increase in HR for all cause mortality in each BMI  
193 category, compared with the comparable HR for all cause mortality in non smokers in each  
194 BMI category. Interestingly, in the underweight group there was an increased risk of all cause  
195 mortality in the non smokers, whereas there was not any increase in mortality in current  
196 smokers.

197

198

199 **Discussion**

200 The novel results of our study show that in a young metabolically healthy adult cohort  
201 without diabetes, hypertension, CVD, or components of MetS, underweight was associated  
202 with increased all cause mortality and in the overweight and obesity groups there was a  
203 strong (non significant) trend towards decreased all cause mortality. Combining overweight  
204 and obesity, to increase the number of deaths into a single overweight/obesity group, the fully  
205 adjusted HR for overweight/obesity and all cause mortality showed a significant decrease in  
206 mortality . Despite there being a worse profile for established CVD risk factors such as  
207 increased blood pressure and LDL-C concentration, in the overweight and obese group, there  
208 was a significant decrease in the HR for all cause mortality in this BMI group.

209 Previously it has been shown that smoking accounted for the increase in HR for all cause  
210 mortality in the underweight BMI group. [16] In the underweight BMI group in our relatively  
211 young cohort, the percentage of both men and women who were smokers in this BMI  
212 category was higher than for any other BMI category. However, there was no increase in lung  
213 cancers detected in this BMI group and furthermore the sub group analyses showed that the  
214 HR for all cause mortality was not increased for smokers versus non smokers in any of the  
215 BMI groups. Thus our data does not seem to support the notion that smoking accounts for the  
216 increased HR for all cause mortality in underweight young metabolically healthy subjects.

217 A recent systematic review and meta-analysis of 97 studies provided a sample size of more  
218 than 2.88 million individuals and more than 270,000 deaths in which to study relationships  
219 between BMI and all cause mortality but there were few studies in Asian cohorts and in this  
220 ethnic group the influence of underweight and overweight/obesity is unclear. [1] Although  
221 we have studied ~162,000 young adults over an 11 year period of follow up, there were only  
222 436 deaths, reflecting both the young age of the cohort and the exclusion of subjects with  
223 preexisting metabolic and CVD disease at baseline. However, our aim was to study  
224 associations between BMI and all cause mortality in these young adults and the size of our  
225 cohort and the 11 years of follow up at the beginning of the 21<sup>st</sup> century will help inform the  
226 size and necessary duration of follow up of other studies of this type in the future. Most  
227 studies to date that have investigated associations between BMI and all cause mortality have  
228 included older people who are often metabolically unhealthy, and who may have sarcopenia  
229 and frailty. Additional factors such as diabetes, hypertension and MetS in older subjects may

230 confound associations between BMI and all cause mortality in overweight and obese subjects,  
231 and sarcopenia and frailty may confound associations between BMI and all cause mortality,  
232 particularly in underweight individuals.

233 Having excluded metabolically unhealthy individuals at baseline from our analyses, why was  
234 underweight associated with an increased HR for all cause mortality in our young adult  
235 cohort? Recent work has shown that grip strength, as a proxy for sarcopenia, is a stronger  
236 predictor of all cause and cardiovascular mortality than systolic blood pressure. [17] Since  
237 grip strength is a measure of muscle strength, and because muscle strength declines with  
238 older age, and is also lower in underweight subjects, [18] we can speculate that the increased  
239 risk of all cause mortality that we have observed in the underweight group may reflect muscle  
240 dysfunction or decreased muscle mass in this BMI group. The factors associated with low  
241 skeletal muscle mass (SMM), sarcopenia, and sarcopenic obesity has recently been  
242 investigated using nationally representative samples of 18, 363 people aged  $\geq 65$  years from  
243 diverse geographical regions of the world [19]. High percentage body fat was associated with  
244 low skeletal muscle mass and low levels of physical activity were associated with sarcopenia  
245 and sarcopenic obesity. Thus, it is plausible to speculate that low muscle mass and decreased  
246 oxidative capacity with decreased numbers of muscle mitochondria could be an important  
247 factor mediating a link between underweight and increased mortality Whether the effect of  
248 sarcopenia on mortality outcomes is the same in men and women is uncertain and this  
249 question has recently been addressed in a population-based cohort study among 4425 older  
250 adults from the Third National Health and Nutrition Survey (1988-1994) [20]. Sarcopenia  
251 was associated with increased all cause mortality in both men and women, but sarcopenia  
252 was only associated with increased CVD mortality in women and not in men. Sarcopenia was  
253 not associated with cancer-specific mortality in men or women. Interestingly, in this study  
254 obesity, defined using body mass index or waist circumference, did not modify the  
255 relationship between sarcopenia and all-cause mortality suggesting that a metabolical  
256 phenotype could be linked to decreased mortality (as we showed previously in this cohort  
257 [11] because such individuals have greater muscle mass.

258

259 Although in the overweight and obese BMI category there was a decreased HR for all cause  
260 mortality, for men, mean age was very similar across BMI groups and for women, mean age  
261 increased across increasing BMI categories. Additionally and surprisingly, given the  
262 decreased HR for all cause mortality in the overweight/obesity group for both men and

263 women, blood pressure and LDL-C concentration increased, liver enzyme concentrations  
264 (alanine and aspartate transaminases and gamma glutamyl transferase) increased, and glucose  
265 concentrations and HOMA-IR increased across increasing BMI categories. It is plausible  
266 given the relatively young age of our cohort that there is still low lifetime exposure to these  
267 recognized risk factors and as the overweight and obese group grows older these risk factors  
268 have a deleterious effect to increase the numbers of subjects developing diabetes,  
269 hypertension and CVD. The percentage of people taking regular exercise was increased in  
270 men and women in the overweight and obesity groups and it is possible that there was a  
271 benefit of this physical activity that contributed to the decreased HR for all cause mortality in  
272 the overweight/obese group.

273 There are strengths and limitations to our study that need to be considered. We studied  
274 ~162,000 metabolically healthy, relatively young adult men and women at baseline.  
275 Although the period of follow up was 11 years for many subjects, the median period of  
276 follow up was approximately five years. As we have stated previously for this cohort [11]  
277 with the relatively small numbers of deaths, there was insufficient power to exclude subjects  
278 who died during the first few years of the study in order to exclude the possible effects of  
279 reverse causality. However, it is likely that in this cohort, the effect of reverse causality is  
280 small, since the study included relatively young subjects, a large proportion of whom were in  
281 employment, and who are therefore predominantly healthy. Additionally, it is possible that  
282 the use of medication to decrease risk of CVD (e.g. antihypertensives or statins) taken during  
283 the study period could have influenced the data for CVD and all cause mortality. However, it  
284 is important to note that the numbers of individuals taking such medications is likely to be  
285 small. Waist circumference was not used to exclude subjects at baseline as this measurement  
286 was only available in approximately 50% of the cohort at baseline, although it should be  
287 noted that virtually all the metabolically unhealthy subjects in our cohort will have been  
288 excluded by virtue of having excluded subjects with other features of the MetS, diabetes,  
289 hypertension and existing hypertension. Additionally, as we have described previously for  
290 this cohort [11], a measure of socio-economic status was not obtained, and the estimates of  
291 alcohol intake and exercise are likely to be imprecise. People identified as abstinent of any  
292 alcohol consumption at the time of the questionnaire (at the time of the occupational health  
293 check) may previously have consumed alcohol. Also, the lifetime exposure to smoking may  
294 still be too small in this young cohort to see the deleterious impact of smoking. Furthermore,

295 although our cohort is relatively young it is not possible to determine whether having lower  
296 BMI affected mortality in patients with cancer. It is also not possible to determine whether  
297 underweight as part of an anorexia/cachexia syndrome contributes to increased mortality in  
298 this patient group (with underweight being part of the natural course of progression of the  
299 disease state).

300 In conclusion, in a large, predominantly single ethnicity, young adult cohort in whom we  
301 excluded all subjects with CVD, diabetes, hypertension and components of the MetS at  
302 baseline, we show that underweight was associated with increased all cause mortality and in a  
303 combined overweight/obesity group there was decreased all cause mortality (compared with  
304 the normal weight BMI reference group). We suggest that further research is needed to  
305 understand the mechanisms by which underweight young adults who are metabolically  
306 healthy are at increased risk of all cause mortality. Underweight middle aged adults are often  
307 ignored in clinical practice as they are perceived to be at low risk. We suggest that there  
308 should be a focus on providing lifestyle advice in this patient group, stressing the importance  
309 of physical activity, good nutrition, smoking cessation and limited alcohol consumption for  
310 good health. Such an approach in this 'at risk' group of patients should limit any further  
311 adverse impact on health of low levels of physical activity, poor diet, smoking and high  
312 alcohol consumption on mortality. Additionally, a focus on treating conditions associated  
313 with overweight and obesity as they develop, such as type 2 diabetes and hypertension,  
314 (rather than focussing on tackling obesity per se), is likely to have a greater impact on all  
315 cause mortality in middle aged obese populations.

316

317 **Figure 1 legend.**

318 **Flow chart showing criteria for inclusion of subjects in the study.**

319

320 **Figure 2 legend.**

321 **Model of the shape of the association (hazard ratios) between BMI and all cause**  
322 **mortality for men and women combined. The proportion of the cohort by each 2kg/m<sup>2</sup>**  
323 **increment is also shown**

324

325 **Acknowledgments**

326 We acknowledge the efforts of the health screening group at Kangbuk Samsung Hospital,  
327 Korea. The work was support by the MRC-KHIDI UK-KOREA PARTNERING AWARD to  
328 CDB, KS, and SW (Medical Research Council MC\_PC\_16016). CDB is supported in part by  
329 the Southampton National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre.

330

331 All authors have no conflicts of interest.

332

333 All authors declare that: 1) the paper is not under consideration elsewhere; 2) none  
334 of the paper's contents have been previously published; 3) all authors have read and approved  
335 the manuscript; 4) the full disclosure of any relationship with industry; and 5) All authors  
336 have no relevant conflicts of interest. K.S contributed to the hypothesis, wrote methods and  
337 contributed to discussion, S.R analyzed data, S.W and C.B. wrote introduction, results and  
338 discussion, K.S, J.L, E.C, J.K, S.L reviewed/edited the manuscript and contributed to  
339 discussion. K.S. is the guarantor for the article

340

341

## Reference List

- [1] K.M. Flegal, B.K. Kit, H. Orpana, B.I. Graubard, Association of all-cause mortality with overweight and obesity using standard body mass index categories: a systematic review and meta-analysis, *Jama*. 309 (2013) 71-82.
- [2] M. Hamer, E. Stamatakis, Metabolically healthy obesity and risk of all-cause and cardiovascular disease mortality, *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism*. 97 (2012) 2482-2488.
- [3] B. Hansel, R. Roussel, Y. Elbez, M. Marre, M. Krempf, Y. Ikeda, et al., Cardiovascular risk in relation to body mass index and use of evidence-based preventive medications in patients with or at risk of atherothrombosis, *European heart journal*. 36 (2015) 2716-2728.
- [4] C.J. Lavie, R.V. Milani, H.O. Ventura, Obesity and cardiovascular disease: risk factor, paradox, and impact of weight loss, *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 53 (2009) 1925-1932.
- [5] A. Romero-Corral, V.M. Montori, V.K. Somers, J. Korinek, R.J. Thomas, T.G. Allison, et al., Association of bodyweight with total mortality and with cardiovascular events in coronary artery disease: a systematic review of cohort studies, *Lancet*. 368 (2006) 666-678.
- [6] L.N. Borrell, L. Samuel, Body mass index categories and mortality risk in US adults: the effect of overweight and obesity on advancing death, *American journal of public health*. 104 (2014) 512-519.
- [7] C.K. Kramer, B. Zinman, R. Retnakaran, Are metabolically healthy overweight and obesity benign conditions?: A systematic review and meta-analysis, *Annals of internal medicine*. 159 (2013) 758-769.
- [8] J. Stevens, J. Cai, E.R. Pamuk, D.F. Williamson, M.J. Thun, J.L. Wood, The effect of age on the association between body-mass index and mortality, *The New England journal of medicine*. 338 (1998) 1-7.
- [9] C.Y. Wu, Y.C. Chou, N. Huang, Y.J. Chou, H.Y. Hu, C.P. Li, Association of body mass index with all-cause and cardiovascular disease mortality in the elderly, *PloS one*. 9 (2014) e102589.



- [10] K.M. Flegal, K. Kalantar-Zadeh, Overweight, mortality and survival, *Obesity*. 21 (2013) 1744-1745.
- [11] K.C. Sung, S. Ryu, E.S. Cheong, B.S. Kim, B.J. Kim, Y.B. Kim, et al., All-Cause and Cardiovascular Mortality Among Koreans: Effects of Obesity and Metabolic Health, *American journal of preventive medicine*. 49 (2015) 62-71.
- [12] C.D. Byrne, G. Targher, Ectopic fat, insulin resistance, and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: implications for cardiovascular disease, *Arteriosclerosis, thrombosis, and vascular biology*. 34 (2014) 1155-1161.
- [13] E. Shil Hong, A.R. Khang, E. Roh, E. Jeong Ku, Y. An Kim, K. Min Kim, et al., Counterintuitive relationship between visceral fat and all-cause mortality in an elderly Asian population, *Obesity*. 23 (2015) 220-227.
- [14] C.M. Phillips, Metabolically healthy obesity: definitions, determinants and clinical implications, *Reviews in endocrine & metabolic disorders*. 14 (2013) 219-227.
- [15] K.G. Alberti, R.H. Eckel, S.M. Grundy, P.Z. Zimmet, J.I. Cleeman, K.A. Donato, et al., Harmonizing the metabolic syndrome: a joint interim statement of the International Diabetes Federation Task Force on Epidemiology and Prevention; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; American Heart Association; World Heart Federation; International Atherosclerosis Society; and International Association for the Study of Obesity, *Circulation*. 120 (2009) 1640-1645.
- [16] S.H. Jee, J.W. Sull, J. Park, S.Y. Lee, H. Ohrr, E. Guallar, et al., Body-mass index and mortality in Korean men and women, *The New England journal of medicine*. 355 (2006) 779-787.
- [17] D.P. Leong, K.K. Teo, S. Rangarajan, P. Lopez-Jaramillo, A. Avezum, Jr., A. Orlandini, et al., Prognostic value of grip strength: findings from the Prospective Urban Rural Epidemiology (PURE) study, *Lancet*. 386 (2015) 266-273.
- [18] F. De Stefano, S. Zambon, L. Giacometti, G. Sergi, M.C. Corti, E. Manzato, et al., Obesity, Muscular Strength, Muscle Composition and Physical Performance in an Elderly Population,

The journal of nutrition, health & aging. 19 (2015) 785-791.

- [19] S. Tyrovolas, A. Koyanagi, B. Olaya, J.L. Ayuso-Mateos, M. Miret, S. Chatterji, et al., Factors associated with skeletal muscle mass, sarcopenia, and sarcopenic obesity in older adults: a multi-continent study, *Journal of cachexia, sarcopenia and muscle*. 7 (2016) 312-321.
- [20] J.C. Brown, M.O. Harhay, M.N. Harhay, Sarcopenia and mortality among a population-based sample of community-dwelling older adults, *Journal of cachexia, sarcopenia and muscle*. 7 (2016) 290-298.

**Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the whole cohort according to whether subjects were alive or dead at follow up**

Characteristics	Alive	Dead	P value
Number = 162,194	161,758	436	
Age (years)*	36.9(7.9)	46.3(13.1)	<0.001
Male	43.2	62.6	<0.001
Systolic BP (mmHg)*	106.7(10.0)	109.6(9.2)	<0.001
Diastolic BP (mmHg)*	68.5(7.7)	70.9(8.2)	<0.001
Glucose (mg/dl)*	88.5(6.3)	88.2(6.6)	0.4096
Total cholesterol (mg/dl)*	187.7(30.8)	192.8(34.8)	0.0005
LDL-C (mg/dl)*	108.2(28.2)	110.6(29.4)	0.0739
HDL-C (mg/dl)*	62.0(12.3)	60.6(13.3)	0.0156
Triglycerides (mg/dl)†	76(58-100)	86.5(66.5-111)	<0.001
ALT†	17(13-23)	21(15-30)	<0.001
AST†	20(17-24)	24(20-30)	<0.001
GGT†	15(11-23)	19(12-32)	<0.001
hsCRP†(mg/l)†	0.3 (0.1-0.7)	0.5 (0.1-1.3)	<0.001
HOMA-IR†	1.32(0.88-1.73)	1.46(1.12-1.79)	<0.001
Current smoker (%)	21.9	37.6	<0.001
Alcohol intake, 20g/day (%)	11.3	17.4	<0.001
Regular exercise (%)§	15.1	18.7	0.040
High education level (%)	77.3	51.7	<0.001
Seoul center (%)	32.1	26.2	0.007
BMI (kg/m2)			

Data are \* mean (standard deviation), † median (interquartile range), or percentage.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; GGT, gamma-glutamyl transferase; hs CRP , high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; HOMA-IR, homeostatic model assessment -Insulin resistance. § ≥ 1 time per week

Student's unpaired t tests and Mann Whitney U tests were used to test for differences in normally and non normally distributed data.

**Table 2 Baseline characteristics according to group (men)**

Characteristics	Overall	BMI categories (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )				P
		Underweight ( $< 18.5$ )	Normal weight ( $18.5 - 22.9$ )	Overweight ( $23.0 - 24.9$ )	Obese ( $\geq 25.0$ )	
Number	70,071	2,195	32,765	20,310	14,801	
Age (years)*	37.0(8.0)	36.5(9.4)	36.8(8.2)	37.4(7.9)	37.2(7.6)	<0.001
Systolic BP (mmHg)*	110.7(8.3)	107.5(8.9)	109.8(8.4)	111.2(8.1)	112.4(7.9)	<0.001
Diastolic BP (mmHg)*	71.5(6.9)	69.5(7.1)	70.9(6.9)	71.7(6.8)	72.6(6.7)	<0.001
Glucose (mg/dl)*	89.4(6.2)	87.8(6.7)	89.0(6.3)	89.7(6.1)	90.1(6.1)	<0.001
Total cholesterol (mg/dl)*	190.3(31.0)	174.7(27.9)	185.5(30.0)	193.6(30.8)	198.8(31.2)	<0.001
LDL-C (mg/dl)*	115.1(28.5)	95.8(24.4)	109.6(27.2)	119.0(27.9)	125.1(28.4)	<0.001
HDL-C (mg/dl)*	56.3(10.8)	62.0(12.3)	57.9(11.2)	55.1(10.1)	53.3(9.3)	<0.001
Triglycerides (mg/dl) <sup>†</sup>	90(70-114)	74(58-93)	84(65-107)	94(73-117)	102(81-123)	<0.001
ALT <sup>†</sup>	21(16-29)	17(14-22)	19(15-25)	23(18-30)	27(20-37)	<0.001
AST <sup>†</sup>	22(19-26)	21(18-25)	21(18-25)	22(19-27)	24(20-29)	<0.001
GGT <sup>†</sup>	22(16-32)	18(14-24)	19(15-27)	23(17-34)	28(20-42)	<0.001
hsCRP(mg/l) <sup>†</sup>	0.4(0.1-0.8)	0.1(0.1-0.5)	0.3(0.1-0.7)	0.5(0.3-0.9)	0.6(0.4-1.2)	<0.001
HOMA-IR <sup>†</sup>	1.32(0.90-1.72)	1.06(0.64-1.49)	1.21(0.81-1.60)	1.35(0.95-1.76)	1.51(1.10-1.93)	<0.001
Current smoker (%)	42.9	53.5	44.1	40.7	41.9	<0.001
Alcohol intake, 20g/day (%)	21.4	15.9	19.2	22.3	26.0	<0.001
Regular exercise (%) <sup>§</sup>	16.6	6.8	14.5	18.3	20.2	<0.001
High education level (%)	84.4	77.4	83.2	85.6	86.2	<0.001
Seoul center (%)	32.7	35.0	34.7	31.2	29.8	<0.001

Data are \* mean (standard deviation), <sup>†</sup> median (interquartile range), or percentage.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; GGT, gamma-glutamyl transferase; hs CRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; HOMA-IR, homeostatic model assessment -Insulin resistance. Between group differences for normalized data were tested by ANOVA.

§  $\geq 1$  time per week

**Table 3 Baseline characteristics according to group (women)**

Characteristics	Overall	BMI categories (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )				<i>P</i>
		Underweight	Normal weight	Overweight	Obese	
		(< 18.5)	(18.5 – 22.9)	(23.0 – 24.9)	(≥ 25.0)	
Number	92,123	11,510	62,580	11,889	6,144	
Age (years)*	36.9(7.9)	33.7(6.0)	36.4(7.3)	40.3(8.9)	41.6(9.6)	<0.001
Systolic BP (mmHg)*	103.7(10.0)	101.1(9.9)	103.3(9.9)	106.1(9.8)	108.2(9.7)	<0.001
Diastolic BP (mmHg)*	66.2(7.6)	64.8(7.3)	65.9(7.5)	67.7(7.6)	69.1(7.7)	<0.001
Glucose (mg/dl)*	87.8(6.3)	86.7(6.6)	87.7(6.3)	88.7(6.1)	89.3(6.0)	<0.001
Total cholesterol (mg/dl)*	185.7(30.5)	177.6(27.5)	184.1(29.6)	194.2(32.1)	200.4(32.6)	<0.001
LDL-C (mg/dl)*	102.9(26.8)	93.8(22.9)	101.3(25.8)	112.0(28.5)	118.9(29.5)	<0.001
HDL-C (mg/dl)*	66.4(11.6)	69.1(12.2)	66.7(11.6)	64.3(10.8)	62.8(10.1)	<0.001
Triglycerides (mg/dl) <sup>†</sup>	67(53-87)	62(50-78)	66(52-84)	75(58-96)	82(64-105)	<0.001
ALT <sup>†</sup>	14(11-18)	13(11-17)	14(11-18)	16(12-20)	17(13-23)	<0.001
AST <sup>†</sup>	19(16-22)	19(16-22)	19(16-22)	20(17-23)	20(17-24)	<0.001
GGT <sup>†</sup>	12(9-15)	12(9-15)	11(9-15)	12(9-16)	14(10-19)	<0.001
hsCRP <sup>†</sup> (mg/l) <sup>†</sup>	0.3(0.1-0.6)	0.1(0.1-0.4)	0.3(0.1-0.5)	0.4(0.1-0.8)	0.6(0.3-1.2)	<0.001
HOMA-IR <sup>†</sup>	1.32(0.87-1.74)	1.14(0.70-1.58)	1.30(0.85-1.71)	1.45(1.04-1.87)	1.57(1.16-1.97)	<0.001
Current smoker (%)	5.13	6.05	5.11	4.44	4.91	<0.001
Alcohol intake, 20g/day (%)	3.20	2.69	3.18	3.22	4.30	<0.001
Regular exercise (%) <sup>§</sup>	14.0	7.4	13.9	18.4	18.7	<0.001
High education level (%)	71.6	82.9	74.1	59.2	51.3	<0.001
Seoul center (%)	31.7	30.6	31.8	31.7	32.3	0.044

Data are \* mean (standard deviation), <sup>†</sup> median (interquartile range), or percentage.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; GGT, gamma-glutamyl transferase; hs CRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; HOMA-IR, homeostatic model assessment -Insulin resistance.

§ ≥ 1 time per week. Between group differences for normalized data were tested by ANOVA.

**TABLE 4** Number of events, mortality rate and hazard ratios (HRs) for all cause mortality in underweight, normal weight and overweight and obese subjects

	<b>Person-years</b>	<b>Number of events</b>	<b>Mortality rate (10,000 person-year)</b>	<b>Age- adjusted HRs (95% CI)*</b>	<b>Multivariate HR (95% CI)*</b>
Total (N=162,194)					
<b>Under</b>	61,508.2	33	5.4	1.48(1.03-2.13)	1.53(1.06-2.20)
<b>Normal</b>	483,143.6	242	5.0	1.00(reference)	1.00(reference)
<b>Overweight</b>	174,134.7	92	5.2	0.75(0.59-0.96)	0.74(0.58-0.95)
<b>Obese</b>	111,797.3	69	6.2	0.85(0.65-1.11)	0.81(0.61-1.07)
Men (N=70,071)					
<b>Under</b>	12,801.3	16	12.5	1.48(0.88-2.48)	1.50(0.89-2.52)
<b>Normal</b>	181,919.7	138	7.6	1.00(reference)	1.00(reference)
<b>Overweight</b>	111,210.4	67	5.9	0.78(0.58-1.04)	0.81(0.60-1.09)
<b>Obese</b>	79,440.7	52	6.5	0.89(0.65-1.22)	0.91(0.65-1.26)
Women (N=92,123)					
<b>Under</b>	48,706.9	17	0.3	1.38(0.83-2.32)	1.43(0.85-2.40)
<b>Normal</b>	301,223.9	104	3.5	1.00(reference)	1.00(reference)

<b>Overweight</b>	62,924.3	25	4.0	0.77(0.50-1.21)	0.71(0.44-1.13)
<b>Obese</b>	32,356.7	17	5.3	0.85(0.50-1.44)	0.74(0.41-1.31)

**\*Estimated from Cox proportional hazard model.**

Adjustments: Age, sex, center, year of screening exam, smoking status, alcohol intake, regular exercise, and education level

**TABLE 5** Number of events, mortality rate and hazard ratios (HRs) for cancer mortality in underweight, normal weight and overweight and obese subjects

	Person-years	Number of events	Mortality rate (10,000 person-year)	Age- adjusted HRs (95% CI)*	Multivariate HR (95% CI)*
<b>Total (N=162,194)</b>					
<b>Under</b>	<b>61,508.2</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.15(0.65-2.04)</b>	<b>1.21(0.68-2.14)</b>
<b>Normal</b>	<b>483,143.6</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>1.00(reference)</b>	<b>1.00(reference)</b>
<b>Overweight</b>	<b>174,134.7</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>0.64(0.45-0.92)</b>	<b>0.67(0.46-0.95)</b>
<b>Obese</b>	<b>111,797.3</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>0.95(0.67-1.37)</b>	<b>0.94(0.65-1.37)</b>
<b>Men (N=70,071)</b>					
<b>Under</b>	<b>12,801.3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>0.94(0.41-2.15)</b>	<b>1.01(0.44-2.31)</b>
<b>Normal</b>	<b>181,919.7</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>1.00(reference)</b>	<b>1.00(reference)</b>
<b>Overweight</b>	<b>111,210.4</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>0.65(0.42-0.99)</b>	<b>0.69(0.45-1.06)</b>
<b>Obese</b>	<b>79,440.7</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>0.95(0.62-1.46)</b>	<b>0.99(0.63-1.55)</b>



<b>Women (N=92,123)</b>					
<b>Under</b>	<b>48,706.9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.33(0.60-2.92)</b>	<b>1.28(0.58-2.83)</b>
<b>Normal</b>	<b>301,223.9</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>1.00(reference)</b>	<b>1.00(reference)</b>
<b>Overweight</b>	<b>62,924.3</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>0.69(0.36-1.33)</b>	<b>0.71(0.36-1.42)</b>
<b>Obese</b>	<b>32,356.7</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>1.07(0.55-2.09)</b>	<b>1.00(0.47-2.12)</b>

**\*Estimated from competing risk regression model.**

**Adjustments: Age, sex, center, year of screening exam, smoking status, alcohol intake, regular exercise, and education level**

**Table 6. Number of events, mortality rate and hazard ratios (HRs) for CVD mortality in underweight, normal weight and overweight and obese subjects**

	<b>Person-years</b>	<b>Number of events</b>	<b>Mortality rate (10,000 person-year)</b>	<b>Age- adjusted HRs (95% CI)*</b>	<b>Multivariate HR (95% CI)*</b>
<b>Total (N=162,194)</b>					
<b>Under</b>	<b>61,508.2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>1.32(0.40-4.35)</b>	<b>1.34(0.40-4.49)</b>
<b>Normal</b>	<b>483,143.6</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>1.00(reference)</b>	<b>1.00(reference)</b>
<b>Overweight</b>	<b>174,134.7</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>0.74(0.35-1.59)</b>	<b>0.77(0.35-1.71)</b>
<b>Obese</b>	<b>111,797.3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>0.61(0.23-1.60)</b>	<b>0.61(0.23-1.65)</b>
<b>Men (N=70,071)</b>					
<b>Under</b>	<b>12,801.3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.8</b>	<b>0.80(0.11-5.73)</b>	<b>0.84(0.12-6.19)</b>
<b>Normal</b>	<b>181,919.7</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0.1</b>	<b>1.00(reference)</b>	<b>1.00(reference)</b>
<b>Overweight</b>	<b>111,210.4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0.6</b>	<b>0.82(0.33-2.03)</b>	<b>0.94(0.37-2.45)</b>
<b>Obese</b>	<b>79,440.7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>0.68(0.22-2.09)</b>	<b>0.75(0.25-2.28)</b>

<b>Women (N=92,123)</b>					
<b>Under</b>	<b>48,706.9</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>1.64(0.39-6.94)</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>Normal</b>	<b>301,223.9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>1.00(reference)</b>	<b>1.00(reference)</b>
<b>Overweight</b>	<b>62,924.3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>0.66(0.15-2.85)</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>Obese</b>	<b>32,356.7</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>0.55(0.07-4.24)</b>	<b>-</b>

**\*Estimated from competing risk regression.**

**Adjustments: Age, sex, center, year of screening exam, smoking status, alcohol intake, regular exercise, and education level**

**Table 7. Major causes of death by BMI category**

Characteristics	BMI categories (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )			
	Underweight ( $< 18.5$ )	Normal weight ( $18.5 - 22.9$ )	Overweight ( $23 - 24.9$ )	Obese ( $\geq 25.0$ )
Total deaths	33	242	92	69
CVD	3	24	9	5
Cancer other site	13	120	40	41
Oesophagus/gastric	5	25	15	5
Liver/bile duct cancer	-	26	5	9
Intestine/rectum	-	8	1	3
Bronchus/lung cancer	4	20	6	8
Cerebral	-	7	2	4
Breast/ovary	3	11	4	6
Kidney	-	1	2	-
Heart/ mediastinal	-	2	-	-
Bone/connective tissue	-	5	2	1
Peritoneal/retroperitoneal	-	-	-	1
Leukemia/lymphoma	1	14	3	3
Unknown original site	-	1	-	1
Infection	1	3	-	-
GI disease	-	2	2	1
Liver disease	-	2	2	1
Respiratory disease	2	2	3	-
Kidney disease	1	-	-	-
Musculoskeletal disease	1	3	1	-
Alzheimer, Parkinsons disease	-	2	1	-
Anemia	-	-	1	-
Others*	12	84	33	21

\* Injuries, accidents, poisonings, senility, mental disorders and unknown causes

**TABLE 8. Subgroup analyses: all cause mortality according to BMI categories**

	BMI categories (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )				<i>p</i> for interaction	
	Underweight	Normal weight	Overweight	Obese	<i>p</i> for trend	
	(< 18.5)	(18.5 – 22.9)	(23.0 – 24.9)	(≥ 25.0)		
Women ( <i>n</i> =92,123) aHR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)	1.43(0.85-2.40)	1.00(reference)	0.71(0.44-1.13)	0.74(0.41-1.31)	0.040	0.7502
Men ( <i>n</i> =70,071) aHR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)	1.50(0.89-2.52)	1.00(reference)	0.81(0.60-1.09)	0.91(0.65-1.26)	0.128	
Age <50 years ( <i>n</i> =150,075) aHR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)	1.15(0.73-1.82)	1.00(reference)	0.91(0.67-1.22)	0.87(0.61-1.25)	0.274	0.0557
Age ≥50 years ( <i>n</i> =12,119) aHR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)	2.25(1.22-4.15)	1.00(reference)	0.59(0.38-0.93)	0.86(0.54-1.36)	0.020	
No current smoker ( <i>n</i> =120,536) aHR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)	2.00(1.32-3.02)	1.00(reference)	0.76(0.55-1.04)	0.90(0.63-1.28)	0.013	0.1588
Current smoker ( <i>n</i> =33,802) aHR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)	0.72(0.32-1.65)	1.00(reference)	0.77(0.52-1.14)	0.75(0.47-1.19)	0.255	
CRP<1.0mg/dl ( <i>n</i> =77,120) aHR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)	1.61(0.91-2.85)	1.00(reference)	0.64(0.41-1.00)	0.72(0.43-1.20)	0.011	0.7417
CRP≥1.0mg/dl ( <i>n</i> =16,483) aHR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)	2.55(1.20-5.41)	1.00(reference)	0.68(0.38-1.22)	0.73(0.39-1.34)	0.017	
Alcohol>20g/d( <i>n</i> =136,563) aHR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)	1.66(1.12-2.45)	1.00(reference)	0.84(0.64-1.11)	0.88(0.64-1.21)	0.029	0.2319
Alcohol<20g/d ( <i>n</i> =17,401) aHR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)	0.61(0.15-2.53)	1.00(reference)	0.50(0.27-0.93)	0.63(0.33-1.18)	0.107	
No regular exercise( <i>n</i> =135,634) aHR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)	1.63(1.12-2.37)	1.00(reference)	0.68(0.51-0.90)	0.84(0.61-1.14)	0.002	0.2913
Regular exercise( <i>n</i> =24,142) aHR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)	0.50(0.07-3.67)	1.00(reference)	0.98(0.59-1.62)	0.72(0.38-1.36)	0.488	
Low education( <i>n</i> =25,343) aHR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)	1.37(0.71-2.63)	1.00(reference)	0.78(0.53-1.17)	0.78(0.48-1.26)	0.093	0.7880

<b>High education (<i>n</i>=85,732) aHR<sup>a</sup> (95% CI)</b>	1.93(1.15-3.26)	1.00(reference)	0.75(0.50-1.12)	0.89(0.57-1.38)	0.052	
<b>No fatty liver (<i>n</i>=146,251) aHR<sup>a</sup> (95% CI)</b>	1.53(1.05-2.22)	1.00(reference)	0.71(0.54-0.93)	0.84(0.61-1.16)	0.005	0.5534
<b>fatty liver (<i>n</i>=15,880) aHR<sup>a</sup> (95% CI)</b>	2.16(0.26-17.91)	1.00(reference)	0.70(0.35-1.40)	0.54(0.27-1.07)	0.055	

**Cox proportional hazard models**

**Models adjusted for age, sex, center, year of screening exam, smoking status, alcohol intake, regular exercise, and education level**

**Figure 1**

