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ABSTRACT

Serratus anterior is portrayed as a homogenous muscle in textbooks and during functional activities and rehabilitation exercises. It is unclear whether serratus anterior is composed of subdivisions with distinctive morphology and functions. The purpose of this study was to determine if serratus anterior could be subdivided into different structural parts on the basis of its segmental architectural parameters. Eight formalin-embalmed serratus anterior muscles were dissected and the attachments of each fascicle documented. Orientation and size of each fascicle was measured and the physiological cross-sectional area (PCSA) calculated. Three subdivisions of serratus anterior were identified. A new finding was the discovery of two distinctive fascicles attached to the superior and inferior aspects of rib 2. The rib 2 inferior fascicle had the largest PCSA (mean 1.6 cm$^2$) and attached, with the rib 3 fascicle, along the medial border of scapula to form the middle division. The rib 2 superior and rib 1 fascicles attached to the superior angle of scapula (upper division). Fascicles from ribs 4 to 8/9 attached to the inferior angle of scapula (lower division).

Mean fascicle angle relative to a vertical midline reference and PCSA for each division were 29° and 1.3 cm$^2$ (upper), 90° and 2.2 cm$^2$ (middle), and 59° and 3.0 cm$^2$ (lower). This novel study demonstrated the presence of morphologically distinct serratus anterior subdivisions. The results of this study will inform the development of optimal techniques for the assessment, treatment and rehabilitation of this architecturally complex muscle in shoulder and neck pain.
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MINI-ABSTRACT

Serratus anterior consisted of three distinctive subdivisions (upper, middle, lower) based on quantification of its segmental architectural parameters. Two discrete fascicles attached to the superior and inferior aspects of rib 2.
INTRODUCTION

The accurate assessment of serratus anterior muscle activity is critical to establishing its role in disorders of the shoulder and neck. However, it may be inappropriate to extrapolate the activation of one part of serratus anterior to the muscle as a whole if it consists of morphologically and functionally distinct subdivisions. Previous electromyographic (EMG) studies have mostly examined the activity of serratus anterior as a relatively homogenous muscle during a variety of functional activities and rehabilitation exercises (Alizadekhkhaiyat et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2015; Maenhout et al., 2016; San Juan et al., 2016). Typically these studies use electrodes only in one location on the muscle to evaluate the effect of functional activities and exercises on serratus anterior as one whole muscle. There are no current guidelines or literature to recommend EMG sensor locations to distinguish muscle activity in individual subdivisions of serratus anterior (SENIAM, Surface Electromyography for the Non-Invasive Assessment of Muscles, www.seniam.org). Distinct subdivisions have been demonstrated within numerous skeletal muscles in cadaveric dissection studies (Gottschalk et al., 1989; Johnson et al., 1994). These anatomical subdivisions are used to inform electrode placement on different parts of the muscle for the accurate determination of muscle activity in each subdivision. No previous studies have used cadaveric dissection to quantify the segmental architectural parameters of serratus anterior to establish morphologically based subdivisions to inform EMG electrode placement.

The primary role of serratus anterior is to stabilise the scapula against the thorax and control scapular motion during movements of the shoulder (Lear and Gross, 1998;
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Smith et al., 2003; Castelein et al., 2015). Clinically, dysfunction of serratus anterior has been implicated in musculoskeletal disorders including shoulder and neck pain (Cools et al., 2014; Castelein et al., 2015). Thus in clinical practice the focus is to use exercise-based therapeutic interventions targeting serratus anterior in the rehabilitation of patients with shoulder or neck pain (Ebaugh et al., 2005; Witt et al., 2011; Holmgren et al., 2012; Worsley et al., 2013; Cools et al., 2014). However, there is no current literature to recommend effective exercises that target individual subdivisions of serratus anterior. The delineation of serratus anterior subdivisions will enable the development of guidelines for the accurate placement of surface EMG electrodes and the creation of efficacious serratus anterior strength training and neuromuscular coordination exercises in patients with neck and shoulder pain (Ludewig and Cook, 2000; Helgadottir et al., 2011; Sheard et al., 2012; Worsley et al., 2013).

Serratus anterior is typically portrayed as a homogenous muscle that consists of 9 to 10 fascicles uniformly arising from ribs 1 to 8/9 and attaching along the medial border of the scapula (Drake et al., 2010; Moore et al., 2010). In the five primary research studies to examine the morphology of serratus anterior using cadaveric dissection (Table 1), the muscle is inconsistently subdivided into one, two or three parts. Each subdivision is postulated to have distinctive actions at the scapula (Cuadros et al., 1995; Smith et al., 2003; Ekstrom et al., 2004; Bertelli and Ghizoni, 2005; Nasu et al., 2012). No studies have quantified the segmental architectural parameters of serratus anterior, including fascicle orientation, length and thickness, tendon length and physiological cross-sectional area (PCSA) to establish morphologically based functional subdivisions. Therefore the
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Purpose of this cadaveric study was to determine if the serratus anterior could be subdivided into different structural parts on the basis of its segmental architectural parameters. The results of this study will be used to inform the placement of SEMG electrodes for the accurate measurement of serratus muscle activity and the development of effective exercises for the management of shoulder and neck pain.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Eight serratus anterior muscles from formalin-embalmed Caucasian cadavers (one female; three males) aged 69 to 96 years (mean 84; SD 12 years) were dissected at the Centre for Learning Anatomical Sciences, University of Southampton, UK. Anatomical examination was performed in accordance with the Human Tissue Act, UK (2004) and Anatomy Act, UK (1984) and the study was approved by the institutional ethics committee.

The skin and fascia of the torso and the pectoralis major and minor muscles were removed. The clavicle was disarticulated at the sternoclavicular and acromioclavicular joints, and the brachial plexus, axillary vessels and their branches removed to expose the serratus anterior in its entirety (Figure 1). The fascicular anatomy of the serratus anterior was described and quantified. A fascicle was defined as a bundle of muscle fibers with distinct and identifiable attachments to a rib. The muscle fiber angle of each fascicle was measured at the superior and inferior borders of each rib attachment using a flexible clear plastic goniometer (Baseline 360 Degree, measured to the nearest 1.0°). Muscle fiber angle was measured with respect to a vertical midline reference that passed through the
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suprasternal notch superiorly and the pubic symphysis inferiorly (Fig. 1). Starting from the caudal end of serratus anterior, each fascicle was systematically detached from its respective rib and followed to its attachment on the scapula from which it was also removed. The sites of attachment were demarcated using colored ink (Cancer Diagnostics Inc.; USA), photographed, measured and recorded. The length of the inferior aspect of each rib attachment site, and its distance from the vertical midline reference, were measured (to the nearest 0.1 cm) using a flexible tape to accommodate the curvature of the thorax (Fig. 2).

After each fascicle was removed, measurements were made of its size (Fig. 3). If present, tendon lengths at the rib and scapular attachments were measured. After removing the tendinous fibers at each end of the fascicle, the length of its muscle fibers was measured. The thickness of each fascicle was measured at its midpoint and within 10 mm of each attachment end. All length and thickness measures were made from the inferior border of the fascicle using calibrated digital calipers (Absolute Digimatic Calipers CD-6”CX, Mitutoyo Corporation, Japan, measured to 0.01 mm). The volume of the fascicle was measured by submerging it in water, with no splash or loss of water and allowing time for any bubbles to rise to the surface, in a calibrated 100 milliliter (ml) measuring cylinder (VOLAC, Poulten and Graf, Barking, UK) and recording the fluid displacement to the nearest ml after the fascicle had sunk. The PCSA of each fascicle was calculated by dividing fascicle volume by length (Johnson et al., 1994; Bogduk et al., 1998; Phillips et al., 2008).
Serratus anterior was photographed using a digital camera (Nikon Coolpix 5400, Nikon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) fixed to a tripod (GX-86, OSAWA, Japan) and images were uploaded onto a computer. Descriptive data were presented using mean and standard deviation values for each serratus anterior fascicle.

Fourteen randomly selected fascicles were used for the determination of intra-observer reliability (measurements made one week apart by the same observer) and inter-observer reliability (measurements made on the same day by a second observer trained and blinded to the results of the first observer) for each of the measures. Reliability was examined using intra-class correlation coefficients (ICCs). All analyses were performed using SPSS version 17.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA) and Microsoft Office Excel 2003 (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA).

RESULTS

Based on the fascicle attachment sites and fiber angles, serratus anterior was found to consist of three divisions: upper (rib 1 and 2\(^s\) fascicles attached to the medial and superior borders of the scapula that form the superior angle); middle (rib 2\(^l\) and 3 fascicles that passed to the medial border); and lower (rib 4 to 8/9 fascicles attached at the inferior angle).

At first sight, the \textit{in situ} serratus anterior muscle appeared to consist of nine fascicles attaching continuously along the medial border of the scapula. Further dissection revealed up to ten distinct fascicles attached between ribs 1 and 9 that arose from the
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superior angle, inferior angle or medial border of the scapula (Figs. 1, 2 and 5). Two
distinct fascicles were found to attach to rib 2 and are referred to as the rib 2\textsuperscript{s} (rib 2
superior) and rib 2\textsuperscript{i} (rib 2 inferior) fascicles, based on their rib 2 attachment sites (Figs. 2
and 4). In four muscles (three right, one left), the fascicles extended between ribs 1 and 8
with no rib 9 fascicle present. Three small accessory muscle fascicles, with variant
muscle fiber angle and/or rib attachment site, were observed (left and right rib 6 and right
rib 5). The rib 1 fascicle was absent in one right serratus anterior.

The fibers of the lower division attached to ribs 4 to 8/9 and passed posteriorly
and, to varying degrees, superiorly around the thoracic cage to converge via a common
tendon that attached to the inferior angle of the scapula (Fig. 5). Attachment was
predominantly to the anterior surface of the inferior angle with the lower three fascicles
attaching to the inferior aspect of the inferior angle and extending to the posterior surface.
In two muscles, the rib 4 fascicle extended a short distance along the medial border where
it was continuous with the rib 3 fascicle. Muscle fibers of the lower four fascicles
interdigitated with the external oblique muscle fibers and/or attached to the overlying
fascia at their rib attachment. In two muscles, the rib 9 fascicles attached directly to fascia
covering the external oblique, rather than the rib.

The middle division predominantly consisted of the rib 2\textsuperscript{i} fascicle, which attached
along the curved inferior border of rib 2, ending posteriorly at the anterior aspect of the
posterior scalene muscle attachment. Its fibers passed posteriorly to attach along the
length of the medial border of the scapula, where it was continuous with the rib 3 fascicle
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inferiorly and rib 1 fascicle superiorly, with a small gap separating the attachments in approximately half of muscles (Fig. 5).

The rib 2\textsuperscript{s} and rib 1 fascicles of the upper division attached to the superior aspect of rib 2 and rib 1, inferior to the middle scalene muscle attachment, respectively (Fig. 4). In 75\% of muscles, the attachment extended to the fascia of the first intercostal muscle. The fibers of both the rib 1 and rib 2\textsuperscript{s} fascicles passed posteriorly and superiorly to attach to the anterior surface of the superior angle of the scapula (Figs. 4 and 5). The rib 1 fascicle attached to the medial border and the rib 2\textsuperscript{s} fascicle to the superior border of the superior angle in all but one of the muscles. The rib 2\textsuperscript{s} fascicle obscured the attachments of the rib 1 and 2\textsuperscript{I} fascicles and was removed first in order to access and measure the angles of the rib 1 fascicle and the superior border of the 2\textsuperscript{I} fascicle (Fig. 4).

The dimensions of each fascicle are presented in Tables 2 to 4. The mean (SD) distance between the vertical midline reference and rib attachment for each division was 11.4 (1.4) cm (upper), 12.4 (1.1) cm (middle) and 14.6 (3.4) cm (lower). The fascicles of the lower division had the longest (medial to lateral) rib attachment ‘footprint’ and the upper division fascicles the shortest (Table 2). The rib 2\textsuperscript{I} fascicle was the largest (mean (SD) PCSA 1.6 (0.2) cm\textsuperscript{2}) (Table 3). If present, the rib 9 fascicle had the smallest mean (SD) PCSA of 0.3 (0.2) cm\textsuperscript{2}. The mean (SD) PCSA of the remaining fascicles ranged from 0.5 (0.1) cm\textsuperscript{2} to 0.8 (0.3) cm\textsuperscript{2} (Table 3). The mean (SD) PCSA for each division of the muscle was 1.3 cm\textsuperscript{2} (upper), 2.1 cm\textsuperscript{2} (middle) and 3.0 cm\textsuperscript{2} (lower). The length of the tendon at each end of the fascicle ranged from no tendinous fibers present to 9.1 mm at...
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the rib attachment and 13.5 mm at the scapular attachment (Table 4). Thickness of the fascicle was greatest at the scapular end compared to the midpoint and rib end (Table 4).

The ICC values for intra-observer (ICC$_{3,1}$) and inter-observer (ICC$_{2,1}$) reliability were good to excellent for all measurements (Table 5) (Cicchetti, 1994). The majority of measurements were excellent (0.85-0.99). The measurement of fascicle angle and thickness, and the size of the rib attachments were the least reliable within raters (0.64-0.68).

DISCUSSION

Distinct subdivisions have been identified within numerous skeletal muscles. This study is the first to confirm the presence of similar subdivisions within the serratus anterior muscle based on characteristic architectural parameters: upper (rib 1 and 2$^5$ fascicles attached to the medial and superior borders of the scapula that form the superior angle), middle (rib 2$^I$ and 3 fascicles that passed to the medial border) and lower (rib 4 to 8/9 fascicles attached at the inferior angle). A novel finding was that the rib 2 fascicle consisted of two parts with the rib 2$^I$ fascicle attaching to the majority of the medial border of the scapula. The presence of these subdivisions requires consideration in the clinical assessment of serratus anterior muscle using EMG, ultrasound and MRI as well as the development of rehabilitation exercises. The identification of serratus anterior subdivisions with distinctive attachment sites and fascicle angle suggests that these subdivisions do not move and stabilise the scapula in the exact same manner.
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The present results support the upper division having a role in controlling and anchoring the superior angle during scapular rotation due to the short thick rib 1 and 2\textsuperscript{s} fascicles attached to both borders of the superior angle of the scapula and orientated closer to the vertical compared to middle and lower division fascicles. Previous authors have proposed that serratus anterior anchors the scapula by pulling the superior angle to the ribs to enable rotation of the scapula by influencing the main axis of scapular rotation (Gregg et al., 1979; Hamada et al., 2008, Martin and Fish, 2008; Nasu et al., 2012). Interestingly, we observed these fibers passing anteriorly from the scapula to the ribs suggesting these fibers do have a role in anchoring the superior angle to the ribs and controlling movements of the scapula by influencing the axis of rotation which changes during elevation. We propose that the upper division, because of its attachment to both the superior and medial borders that form the superior angle and the inferior orientation of the fibers as they pass to the ribs, could play a role in controlling external rotation of the scapula by anchoring the superior angle. Relative upward rotation of the scapula is maintained by keeping the acromion above the superior angle. The authors also suggest that the upper division, by anchoring the superior angle to ribs 1 and 2 (positioned inferior to the superior angle), help to maintain optimum scapular orientation and relative scapula upward rotation, by keeping the superior angle inferior to the acromion. The longer tendon of the rib 2\textsuperscript{s} fascicle at the scapular end could contribute to directing the forces required to anchor the superior angle.

The rib 2\textsuperscript{1} fibers passed virtually horizontally to their rib attachment and despite being the thinnest fascicle (Table 4), we discovered the fascicle had the largest PCSA.
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We suggest this supports the role of the middle division for producing external rotation (and controlling internal rotation) of the scapula at the acromioclavicular joint and then protraction of the clavicle at the sternoclavicular joint, as described by Ludewig and Reynolds (2009). However, before protraction can occur, the line of action of the serratus anterior will first pull the medial border and inferior angle of the scapula towards the chest wall, creating external rotation of the scapula. This external rotation of the scapula will stabilize the scapula as protraction of the clavicle at the sternoclavicular joint occurs. The rib 2\textsuperscript{1} fascicle attachment along the medial border of the scapula suggests this fascicle has a role in controlling scapular winging which is a commonly observed problem in shoulder pain and dysfunction (Ludewig and Reynolds, 2009). Because of the large PCSA of this fascicle, consideration needs to be given to its force capabilities and the influence this could have on controlling scapular winging. Calculation of the force-capacity of the serratus anterior fascicles and subdivisions would help support this proposition.

The lower division fascicles were of approximately equal dimensions and PCSA. At the scapula, the muscle attachment is concentrated at the inferior angle and projects anteriorly and inferiorly to attach to ribs 8/9 to 4 at an angle increasing from 28 to 78°. The fibres will pull the inferior angle laterally around the chest wall (away from the midline) and because the axis of rotation is slightly inferior to the spine of the scapula this supports a primary role of upward rotation of the scapula. The increasing angle of the fibers to rib 4 suggests the fiber orientation changes in relation to rib position to optimise the pull of the inferior angle laterally. The role of upward rotation is confirmed by EMG
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studies of the lower division where exercises that create upward rotation of the scapula produce greater EMG activity compared to straight scapular protraction exercises (Moseley et al., 1992; Ekstrom et al., 2004). In participants with shoulder impingement, Worsley et al. (2013) reported delayed onset and early termination of serratus anterior activity using surface EMG, and less posterior tilt and upward rotation with three-dimensional kinematic analysis. EMG was recorded from the lower serratus anterior division as described by Ludewig and Cook (2000). The results of the present study are in agreement with previous authors that have suggested the lower division produces upward rotation (Ludewig et al., 2004; Roren et al., 2013) and controls downward rotation (Worsely et al., 2013). Activity of serratus anterior has been noted in scapular posterior tilt exercises (Ha et al., 2012) and posterior tilt has been noted in people with long thoracic nerve lesions (Roren et al., 2013) supporting its role in scapular posterior tilt. The authors suggest posterior tilt is produced by the inferior laterally directed fibers of the lower division and the middle division is likely to contribute to controlling anterior tilt too by keeping the medial border close to the thoracic spine. Further research is needed to explore the degree of muscle activity for each serratus anterior subdivision during a variety of rehabilitation exercises. Greater delineation of which exercises optimally recruit each subdivision is of interest to clinicians as this may inform the development of more effective treatment and exercise programs.

The optimal EMG electrode placement location for the serratus anterior is not known. Clearly serratus anterior has distinctive divisions that require consideration when evaluating this muscle and developing effective clinical tests and training strategies. The
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results of the present study provide the anatomical basis for the development of new protocols for surface EMG electrode placement, to ensure that electrodes are positioned parallel to muscle fibers and on muscle rather than tendon (Hermens et al., 2000). Furthermore, the detailed morphometry reported in the present study can be used to establish standardised sites, and valid and reliable methods of applying ultrasound and MR imaging for the measurement of muscle geometric parameters and functions for each serratus anterior subdivision. To measure muscle activity in the upper division it is suggested that electrodes be placed on the more superficial rib 2S fascicle rather than the rib 1 fascicle, given that they have equivalent fibre angle and PCSA. The inferior part of the rib 2I fascicle, where the muscle fibres are oriented at 90° to the midline, is recommended for electrode placement on the middle division. Not only is the rib 2I fascicle the broadest and most distinctive in the middle division, it also represents the majority of the middle division PCSA. The rib 6 fascicle is recommended for electrode placement on the lower division as it is both accessible and characteristic of this division. The placement of surface electrodes on both the rib 2S and 2I fascicles is likely to be challenging due to the overlying scapula and presence of nearby muscles such as the pectoralis major and minor. Future research using ultrasound and diffusion tension magnetic resonance imaging in living subjects is recommended to refine these cadaveric-based recommendations and enhance the accurate acquisition of serratus anterior muscle activity from each subdivision.

The present study has a number of limitations. Firstly the cohort studied was small but as an exploratory study the results are promising and, in our view, because of the
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clinical relevance of serratus anterior in shoulder and neck pain, a larger study is warranted. A larger sample size would enable investigation of the consistency of serratus anterior morphology and prevalence of the variations documented in this and previous studies (Eisler, 1912; Cuadros et al., 1995; Smith et al., 2003). Secondly, the quantification of muscle volume, using the Archimedes’ principle of fluid displacement, can be affected by the extent of muscle hydration (Ward and Lieber, 2005) and the presence of air bubbles. Attempts were made to minimize these factors by the use of 5% formaldehyde solution for embalming and allowing time for any bubbles to rise to the surface. In addition, whilst great care was taken to define the muscle attachment and remove it directly from its attachment site, it is possible that some periosteum may have been removed in this process creating an artefact. Finally, the challenge of measuring serratus anterior fiber angle is exemplified by the lower intra-observer reliability value compared to other measurements (Table 5). Different measurement methods have been employed to measure muscle fiber angle but no gold standard exists (De Foa et al., 1989; Johnson et al., 1994; Ackland et al., 2008). Serial dissection combined with digitization and three-dimensional modelling in cadavers and diffusion tensor imaging in vivo are promising new methods for the visualization and quantification of muscle architecture throughout the entire muscle volume (Lee et al., 2015). A future study powered for the determination of anatomical variants and with some methodological improvements, such as digital measurement of architectural parameters, is justified.

The findings from this novel study of the fascicular architectural morphometry of the serratus anterior muscle suggest that the muscle consists of three distinctive
Serratus anterior morphometry subdivisions (upper, middle and lower). Although these preliminary results need confirmation with a larger study, they will inform accurate location of electrodes during SEMG assessment of serratus anterior and the functional relevance of the subdivisions. The findings have clinical implications for the development of optimal techniques for the assessment, management and rehabilitation of this architecturally complex muscle.
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Figures

Figure 1. Lateral view of the right side of the thorax, clavicle removed and scapula displaced from the thoracic cage, showing the rib 1 (R1) to rib 8 (R8) fascicles of the intact serratus anterior muscle. Two fascicles attach to the superior (R2S) and inferior (R2I) aspect of the second rib. The R1 fascicle is partially obscured by the R2S fascicle on this view. The measurement of muscle fiber angle, measured in situ using a flexible goniometer with respect to a vertical midline reference that passed through the suprasternal notch superiorly and the pubic symphysis inferiorly, is depicted for the measurement of the fiber angle at the superior aspect of the right rib 3 fascicle.
Figure 2. Lateral view of the right side of the thorax, clavicle removed and scapula displaced from the thoracic cage, showing the rib 1 (R1) to rib 8 (R8) fascicle rib attachments demarcated by colored ink following removal of the serratus anterior muscle. The rib 2 inferior (R2₁) and superior (R2₅) fascicles attached along the inferior border of rib 2 and the superior aspect of rib 2 and first intercostal muscle, respectively. The measurement in situ of the length of each rib attachment and its distance from the vertical midline reference using a flexible tape measure is depicted for the right rib 4 fascicle.
Figure 3. The length (-----) of the muscle fibers and tendons at either end (if present) were measured for each fascicle. The thickness (----) of each fascicle was measured at its midpoint and at 10 mm from each end. All measures were made using calibrated digital calipers along the inferior edge of the fascicle.
Figure 4. Anterolateral view of the thorax, clavicle removed and scapula displaced from the thoracic cage. The rib 3 to 9 serratus anterior fascicles have been removed to show the attachments of the rib 2 inferior (R2₁) fascicle, between the medial border of the scapula and the inferior border of rib 2; and the rib 2 superior (R2₅) fascicle, between the superior angle (superior border) of the scapula and the superior aspect of rib 2 and the first intercostal muscle (1st IC); and the rib 1 (R1) fascicle, between the superior angle (medial border) of the scapula and rib 1.

A. Right side with the R1 fascicle not visible.
B. Left side with the scapula lifted to display the R1 fascicle.
C. Left side with the R2₅ fascicle removed (attachment sites demarcated) to show the R1 fascicle and the entirety of the R2₁ fascicle.
Figure 5. Schematic illustration of serratus anterior fascicle attachments to the right anterior scapula. The rib 2 inferior (R2\textsubscript{I}) fascicle attached along the majority of the medial border of the scapula with the rib 3 (R3) fascicle. The rib 1 and rib 2 superior (R2\textsubscript{S}) fascicles attached to the medial and superior borders of the superior angle, respectively. In half of the muscles dissected, a gap was present between the attachments of the R1 and R2\textsubscript{I} fascicles. The rib 4-8/9 fascicles attached to the inferior angle of the scapula.
## Serratus anterior morphometry

### Tables

**Table 1. Summary of previously reported studies of serratus anterior (SA) morphology.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Nasu et al., 2012</th>
<th>Cuadros et al., 1995</th>
<th>Smith et al., 2003</th>
<th>Ekstrom et al., 2004</th>
<th>Bertelli &amp; Ghizoni, 2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. SA (cadavers)</td>
<td>10 (5)</td>
<td>40 (27)</td>
<td>13 (8)</td>
<td>Unspecified (2)</td>
<td>15 (Unspecified)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>4M; 1F (Japanese)</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>3F; 5M</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixation</td>
<td>Embalmed</td>
<td>Fresh</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>Fresh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method(s)</td>
<td>Dissection of whole SA to show structure and innervation of each part</td>
<td>Dissection of lower SA to determine vascular supply</td>
<td>Dissection of upper SA to document attachments</td>
<td>Dissection of whole SA to determine optimal locations for sEMG electrodes</td>
<td>Dissection of whole SA to show structure and innervation of each part</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divisions</td>
<td>Superior part: superior angle and medial border of scapula; Middle part: ribs 2-3 to medial border of scapula; Inferior part: inferior angle of scapula</td>
<td>Upper: ribs 1-2 (46%), rib 2 (31%), rib 1 (15%) or rib 2-3 (8%) to superior angle of scapula</td>
<td>Upper part: ribs 1-4 to medial border of scapula</td>
<td>Lower part: below rib 4 to inferior angle of scapula</td>
<td>Upper portion: ribs 1-2 to the superior medial border of the scapula; Intermediate portion: ribs 2-4 to medial border of scapula; Lower portion: ribs 3-8 to inferior angle of scapula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measures</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Upper SA: length mean 6.9±1.2 (min 4.8; max 9.0) cm</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Functions</td>
<td>Superior part: anchor</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>Upper SA: compressive load to scapulothoracic joint to anchor/stabilise scapula, anterior tilt of scapula</td>
<td>Upper part: abduction or protraction of the scapula</td>
<td>Upper portion: scapular protraction Lower portion: scapular stabilization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Dimensions: mean18.0±1.9 x9.0±0.8 (min 12.0x8.0; max 21.0x15.0) cm
- Area mean: 164±40 (min 96; max 300) cm²
Table 2. Muscle fiber angle measured relative to a vertical midline reference (between the suprasternal notch and pubic symphysis) at the superior and inferior aspect of the rib attachment, distance to the medial end of the rib attachment from the vertical midline reference and medial-lateral dimension of the fascicle attachment at the rib for each fascicle of the serratus anterior muscle. Mean (standard deviation)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fascicle</th>
<th>No. fascicles</th>
<th>Fiber angle (°) at the rib attachment</th>
<th>Rib attachment dimensions (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Superior aspect</td>
<td>Inferior aspect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib 1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>27.3 (17.1)</td>
<td>27.7 (16.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib 2\textsuperscript{s}</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25.8 (18.7)</td>
<td>29.9 (16.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib 2\textsuperscript{i}</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>40.9 (17.8)</td>
<td>92.9 (8.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib 3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>76.1 (9.0)</td>
<td>86.3 (7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib 4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>70.6 (12.4)</td>
<td>78.4 (9.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib 5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>75.4 (8.6)</td>
<td>71.0 (9.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib 6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>64.0 (7.6)</td>
<td>62.8 (10.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib 7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>47.8 (8.8)</td>
<td>56.1 (6.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib 8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>41.6 (10.5)</td>
<td>40.0 (14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib 9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>35.0 (7.7)</td>
<td>27.8 (15.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rib 2\textsuperscript{s} – Rib 2 superior fascicle; Rib 2\textsuperscript{i} – Rib 2 inferior fascicle.
### Table 3. Length, volume and physiological cross-sectional area (PCSA) (volume divided by length) for each serratus anterior fascicle, identified by their respective rib attachment. Mean (standard deviation)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fascicle</th>
<th>No. fascicles</th>
<th>Length (cm)</th>
<th>Volume (ml)</th>
<th>PCSA (cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rib 1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.5 (1.5)</td>
<td>4.3 (1.4)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib 2I</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.2 (0.9)</td>
<td>6.4 (2.1)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib 2I</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9.5 (2.2)</td>
<td>15.4 (1.4)*</td>
<td>1.6 (0.2)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib 3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12.6 (1.4)</td>
<td>6.1 (2.5)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib 4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14.4 (2.2)</td>
<td>8.5 (2.5)</td>
<td>0.6 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib 5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16.3 (1.8)</td>
<td>8.9 (2.4)</td>
<td>0.6 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib 6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15.9 (2.4)</td>
<td>7.8 (1.4)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib 7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16.7 (1.6)</td>
<td>9.1 (3.6)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib 8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16.1 (3.1)</td>
<td>8.5 (2.4)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib 9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.2 (2.4)</td>
<td>4.3 (2.6)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rib 2S – Rib 2 superior fascicle; Rib 2I – Rib 2 inferior fascicle. *For the calculation of Rib 2I PCSA, the mean length of the fascicle along its superior and inferior borders was used (superior border length 7.7 (1.1) and inferior border length 11.3 (1.3) cm).
Table 4. Thickness of the muscle fibers within each fascicle, measured along the inferior border at the midpoint of each fascicle and 10 mm from both the lateral scapular end and the medial rib end following removal of the tendon. The length of the tendon, when present, was measured along the inferior border at the lateral scapular end and the medial rib end of each fascicle. Mean (standard deviation)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fascicle</th>
<th>No. fascicles</th>
<th>Scapula end</th>
<th>Midpoint</th>
<th>Rib end</th>
<th>No. fascicles</th>
<th>Scapula end</th>
<th>No. fascicles</th>
<th>Rib end</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rib 1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.1 (1.3)</td>
<td>2.5 (2.1)</td>
<td>2.0 (0.8)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib 2S</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.1 (1.2)</td>
<td>3.4 (1.7)</td>
<td>2.6 (1.0)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.0 (14.5)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.2 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib 2I</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.4 (0.6)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.3)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.5)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.8 (0.6)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.9 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib 3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.4 (1.2)</td>
<td>1.9 (0.8)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.8)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.0 (4.1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib 4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.2 (1.6)</td>
<td>2.0 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.3)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.0 (4.4)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.4 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib 5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.2 (1.4)</td>
<td>3.8 (1.5)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.4)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.0 (2.2)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.4 (3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib 6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.0 (2.4)</td>
<td>3.8 (0.8)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.3)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.5 (3.0)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.3 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib 7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.9 (2.1)</td>
<td>4.0 (1.3)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.3)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.9 (2.1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib 8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.5 (1.1)</td>
<td>4.0 (1.0)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.7)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.5 (2.4)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib 9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.7 (1.5)</td>
<td>2.5 (0.9)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.4)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13.5 (2.5)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rib 2S – Rib 2 superior fascicle; Rib 2I – Rib 2 inferior fascicle.
Table 5. Reliability for each measure of serratus anterior fascicle morphometry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fascicle measurement</th>
<th>Intra-observer (ICC(_{3,1}))</th>
<th>Inter-observer (ICC(_{2,1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angle</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib attachment to midline</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib attachment</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ICC - intraclass correlation coefficient
Ratings for clinical significance of ICC values (Cicchetti, 1994): <0.40 poor; 0.40-0.59 fair; 0.60-0.74 good; 0.75-1.00 excellent