

An optimum design of a double pendulum in autoparametric resonance for energy harvesting applications

Taizoon Chunawala¹, Maryam Ghandchi-Tehrani², Jize Yan²

¹Birla Institute of Technology and Science-Pilani, Pilani, India

²University of Southampton, Southampton, UK

E-mail: ¹*taizoon.chunawala@gmail.com*, ²*m.gbandchi-tehrani@soton.ac.uk*,
²*j.yan@soton.ac.uk*

Abstract. In this paper energy harvesting from vibrating surfaces through electromagnetic induction is addressed. A double pendulum subject to base excitations generates electrical energy through energy harvesting coils mounted on the pendulum masses. Optimum double pendulum generates energy at a rate of 9mW for excitation parameters characteristic of bridge vibration, frequency of 2 Hz and amplitude of 1mm.

Keywords: energy harvesting, double pendulum, autoparametric resonance, in-phase, out-of-phase

1. Introduction

Energy harvesting is a process of transforming ambient vibrational kinetic energy into useful electrical energy to power devices and sensors with modest energy requirements. If implemented successfully, it can save time and costs required to maintain the batteries currently being used to power sensors.

Systems in which the force of excitation depends the upon a system parameter are called parametrically excited systems. Rand in [1], discusses the most basic parametrically excited system, Mathieu equation. It is shown that the amplitude of oscillation of pendulum grows exponentially when the forcing frequency is twice the natural frequency of the pendulum. Jial and Seshia in [4] show unlike the linear resonance, oscillatory amplitude growth in parametric resonance is not limited by linear damping. Zaghari, et al in [2] showed that a small excitation can produce a large response when the system is parametrically excited with a frequency near to twice of its fundamental frequency. Krzysztof Kecik [3] proposed conception of simultaneous elimination of vibration and energy induction by a pendulum motion. Michal Marszal et al in [6] derived the double pendulum natural frequency for the out-of-phase and in-phase modes. A.C. Skeldon explored parametrically excited double pendulum in [5] found a range of complicated dynamical phenomena in a region where the excitation frequency was close to twice the natural frequency. The work presented aims at harvesting energy by harnessing the advantages offered by autoparametric resonance.

2. Double pendulum governing equation

The double pendulum is the simplest mechanical apparatus that exhibits a range of dynamic responses from periodic oscillations to chaotic rotations. This utility can be harnessed to maximize harvest energy from a double pendulum subject to base excitation.




Figure 1 Schematic of an energy harvesting double pendulum subject to base excitation facing a magnet wall.

Consider the double pendulum shown in Figure 1. Let the two pendulum lengths be L_1 and L_2 . The pendulum masses are cylindrical coils of radius r and masses M_1 and M_2 and pendulum link masses m_1 and m_2 . The generalized co-ordinates for the double pendulum system are the angles made by the two pendulum links with the vertical, θ_1 and θ_2 . The double pendulum is excited by surface vibrations with amplitude A and frequency Ω . The governing equation of motion for a dissipative system can be derived using the Euler-Lagrangian equation given by,

$$EOM_i = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{dL}{dq_i} - \frac{dL}{dq_i} + \frac{dR}{dq_i} = 0 \quad (1)$$

where, Lagrangian function, L is the difference between the system kinetic energy and potential energy, $L=T-U$, R is the Rayleigh dissipation function and q_i is the system generalized coordinate. The system kinetic energy, T , is obtained by differentiating mass co-ordinates with time,

$$T = \frac{1}{2} [M_1 L_1^2 \dot{\theta}_1(t)^2 + M_2 L_2^2 \dot{\theta}_1(t)^2 + 2M_2 L_1 \dot{\theta}_1(t) L_2 \dot{\theta}_2(t) \cos(\theta_1(t) - \theta_2(t)) + M_2 L_2^2 \dot{\theta}_2(t)^2] + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{3} m_1 L_1^2 \dot{\theta}_1(t)^2 + \frac{m_2}{L_2} \left(L_1^2 L_2 \dot{\theta}_1(t)^2 + \frac{L_2^3}{3} \dot{\theta}_2(t)^2 + L_1 L_2^2 \dot{\theta}_1(t) \dot{\theta}_2(t) \cos(\theta_1(t) - \theta_2(t)) \right) \right] \quad (2)$$

Potential energy of the system assigning pivot point zero potential energy reference is given by:

$$U = -M_1 g L_1 \cos(\theta_1(t)) - \frac{m_1 g L_1}{2} \cos(\theta_1(t)) - M_2 g (L_1 \cos(\theta_1(t)) + L_2 \cos(\theta_2(t))) - \frac{m_2 g}{2} (2L_1 \cos(\theta_1(t)) + L_2 \cos(\theta_2(t))) \quad (3)$$

Frictional torque at the bearing as given in reference [8] is given by, $T = \mu F d / 2$, where, μ is the coefficient of friction, d is the bearing bore diameter and F is the dynamic radial load on the bearing. The Rayleigh dissipation function for frictional damping,

$$R_{d1} = 1.5e - 5 \left((M_1 (g + \dot{\theta}_1(t)^2 L_1) + M_2 (g + \dot{\theta}_2(t)^2 L_1 a)) \theta_1(t) + (M_2 (g + \dot{\theta}_2(t)^2 L_1 a) (\theta_2(t) - \dot{\theta}_1(t))) \right) \quad (4)$$

Viscous air drag on the coil is approximated as the viscous force acting on a sphere of the same radius. The air drag force acting on a sphere of radius r , moving with a velocity v , is given by, $F = 6\pi\eta r v$, where, η is dynamic coefficient of viscosity, $2e-5$ kg/ms for air. Substituting $c = \pi\eta r = 12.56e - 7$, the Rayleigh dissipation function to incorporate viscous losses,

$$R_{d2} = \frac{1}{2} (6c L_1^2 \dot{\theta}_1(t)^2 + 6c (L_1^2 \dot{\theta}_1(t)^2 + L_2^2 \dot{\theta}_2(t)^2 + 2L_1 L_2 \dot{\theta}_1(t) \dot{\theta}_2(t))) \quad (5)$$

Power harvested by induced electromagnetic voltage is given by the equation, $P_h = - \left(NBA \sin(\theta(t)) \dot{\theta}(t) \right)^2 / R$, where, N is the number of loops in the coil, B is the magnetic field strength, A is the area of enclosed by each coil, θ is the angle between the normal to the

coil area vector and magnetic field lines, R is the coil resistance. Taking $r_h = (NBA)^2/R$, Rayleigh dissipation function for electromagnetic damping,

$$R_h = \frac{1}{2}(r_h \sin(\theta_1(t))^2 \dot{\theta}_1(t)^2 + \frac{r_h M_2}{M_1} \sin(\theta_2(t))^2 \dot{\theta}_2(t)^2) \quad (6)$$

Total Rayleigh function, $R = R_{d1} + R_{d2} + R_h$. Following constants are substituted for the corresponding ratios to non-dimensionalize expressions and simplify notation,

$$a = \frac{L_2}{L_1} \quad b = \frac{M_2}{M_1} \quad \eta_1 = \frac{m_1}{M_1} \quad \eta_2 = \frac{m_2}{M_1}$$

Non-dimensional equations governing the two degrees of freedom $\theta_1(t)$ and $\theta_2(t)$ are given by,

$$\begin{aligned} & \dot{\theta}_1(t)^2 \sin(\theta_1(t) - \theta_2(t))ba + ba\ddot{\theta}_2(t)\cos(\theta_1(t) - \theta_2(t)) + \ddot{\theta}_1(t)(1 + b) \\ & + \frac{g(1 + b)}{L_1} \sin(\theta_1(t)) + \frac{\mu(P_1 - P_2)p}{M_1 L_1^2} + \frac{r_h \sin(\theta_1(t))^2 \dot{\theta}_1(t)}{M_1 L_1^2} + \frac{12c\dot{\theta}_1(t)}{M_1} \\ & + \frac{6\dot{\theta}_1(t)\cos(\theta_1(t) - \theta_2(t))ca}{M_1} + \ddot{\theta}_1(t)\left(\frac{\eta_1}{3} + \eta_2\right) + \frac{\eta_2 a}{2} \ddot{\theta}_2(t)\cos(\theta_1(t) \\ & - \theta_2(t)) + \frac{\eta_2 a}{2} \dot{\theta}_1(t)^2 \sin(\theta_1(t) - \theta_2(t)) - \left(\frac{\eta_1 g}{2L_1} + \frac{\eta_2 g}{L_1} \sin(\theta_1(t))\right) \\ & - \frac{A\Omega^2 \sin(t)\sin(\theta_1(t))}{L_1} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & -\dot{\theta}_1(t)^2 \sin(\theta_1(t) - \theta_2(t))ba + ab\ddot{\theta}_1(t)\cos(\theta_1(t) - \theta_2(t)) + a^2 b \ddot{\theta}_2(t) + \frac{bag}{L_1} \sin(\theta_2(t)) \\ & + \frac{\mu P_2 p}{M_1 L_1^2} + \frac{r_h b \sin(\theta_2(t))^2}{M_1 L_1^2} \dot{\theta}_1(t) + \frac{6\dot{\theta}_1(t)\cos(\theta_1(t) - \theta_2(t))ca}{M_1} \\ & + \frac{6\dot{\theta}_1(t)ca^2}{M_1} + \frac{\eta_2 a^2}{3} \ddot{\theta}_2(t) + \frac{\eta_2 a}{2} \ddot{\theta}_1(t)\cos(\theta_1(t) - \theta_2(t)) \\ & - \frac{\eta_2 a}{2} \dot{\theta}_1(t)^2 \sin(\theta_1(t) - \theta_2(t)) - \frac{\eta_2 g a}{2L_1} \sin(\theta_2(t)) \\ & - \frac{Aab\Omega^2}{L_1} \sin(t)\sin(\theta_2(t)) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

3. Numerical simulations

A standard copper coil with dimensions and wire properties listed in Table 1 is taken as pendulum one mass M_1 . Working out the electromagnetic damping coefficient and mass from the dimensions gives us, $r_h=0.00056\text{kg/s}$ and $M_1=1.6\text{kg}$. Since the coil is massive, the ratio link mass to coil mass can be approximated to zero, $\eta_1 = \eta_2 = 0$.

Table 1 Standard coil dimensions

Coil ID	Coil OD	Wire diameter(d)	Turns(N)	Resistivity(ρ_1)	Wire density (ρ_2)	Magnetic field(B)
23mm	40mm	1.4mm	1100	1.7e-9 Ω/m^2	8900kg/m ³	0.01T/m ²

Natural frequency of the double pendulum for small oscillation amplitudes is obtained by implementing method of harmonic balance as employed by Roy *et. al* in [7]. Neglecting damping and forcing in equations 7 and 8, the equations reduce to,

$$ab\ddot{\theta}_2(t)^2 \sin(\theta_1(t) - \theta_2(t)) + ba\ddot{\theta}_2(t) \cos(\theta_1(t) - \theta_2(t)) + \dot{\theta}_1(t)(1+b) + \frac{g(1+b)\sin(\theta_1(t))}{L_1} = 0 \quad (9)$$

$$-ab\ddot{\theta}_2(t)^2 \sin(\theta_1(t) - \theta_2(t)) + ba\ddot{\theta}_1(t) \cos(\theta_1(t) - \theta_2(t)) + a^2b\ddot{\theta}_2(t) + \frac{gabsin(\theta_2(t))}{L_1} = 0 \quad (10)$$

Trigonometric ratios sine and cosine are expanded to first two terms. Substituting $\theta_1 = C\cos(\omega_n t)$ and $\theta_2 = D\cos(\omega_n t)$ where ω_n is the natural frequency of double pendulum, higher powers of trigonometric ratios are expanded as linear combinations of higher harmonics. Coefficient of $\cos(\omega_n t)$ in the resulting equations is equated to zero to remove secular terms to obtain,

$$C[-2C^2D^2L_1ab\omega_n^2 - 6D^4L_1ab\omega_n^2 - 48D^2L_1ab\omega_n^2 - 12C^2bg - 96L_1b\omega_n^2 - 12C^2g - 96L_1\omega_n^2 + 96g(b+1)] + B[6C^2D^2L_1ab\omega_n^2 + 2D^4L_1ab\omega_n^2 + 36C^2L_1ab\omega_n^2 + 12D^2L_1ab\omega_n^2 - 96L_1ab\omega_n^2] = 0 \quad (11)$$

$$C[2C^4L_1ab\omega_n^2 + 6C^2D^2L_1ab\omega_n^2 + 12C^2L_1ab\omega_n^2 + 36D^2L_1ab\omega_n^2 - 96L_1ab\omega_n^2] + D[-6C^4L_1ab\omega_n^2 - 2C^2D^2L_1ab\omega_n^2 - 48C^2L_1ab\omega_n^2 - 96L_1a^2b\omega_n^2 - 12D^2abg + 96abg] = 0 \quad (12)$$

Equations 11 and 12 are treated as two linear conditions on the amplitude of oscillation C and D , and for consistency demand that,

$$\begin{array}{l} \left[\begin{array}{l} -2C^2D^2L_1ab\omega_n^2 - 6D^4L_1ab\omega_n^2 - \\ 48D^2L_1ab\omega_n^2 - 12C^2bg - 96L_1b\omega_n^2 - \\ 12C^2g - 96L_1\omega_n^2 + 96g(b+1) \\ 2C^4L_1ab\omega_n^2 + 6C^2D^2L_1ab\omega_n^2 + \\ 12C^2L_1ab\omega_n^2 + 36D^2L_1ab\omega_n^2 - 96L_1ab\omega_n^2 \end{array} \right. \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} 6C^2D^2L_1ab\omega_n^2 + 2D^4L_1ab\omega_n^2 + \\ 36C^2L_1ab\omega_n^2 + 12D^2L_1ab\omega_n^2 - 96L_1ab\omega_n^2 \\ -6C^4L_1ab\omega_n^2 - 2C^2D^2L_1ab\omega_n^2 - \\ 48C^2L_1ab\omega_n^2 - 96L_1a^2b\omega_n^2 - 12D^2abg + \\ 96abg \end{array} \right] \\ = 0 \end{array} \quad (13)$$

When solved for frequency, ω_n , two solutions obtained correspond to in-phase oscillation $\theta_1(0) = C$, $\theta_2(0) = D$ and out-of-phase oscillation $\theta_1(0) = C$, $\theta_2(0) = -D$. In-line with the small angles of oscillation assumption, substituting $C=D=0.3$ in Equation 13, natural frequencies of the two modes of oscillation,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{2,1}^2 &= (57g(1.2ab + 1.15a + 1.2b + 1.2) \\ &\pm \sqrt{(1.2a^2b^2 + 2.5ab(a+b) + 1.2(a^2 + b^2 + 1) - 0.11ab - 2.5(a-b))}) \\ &/((5.8a^2b + 11.8ab + 132.7a + 5.83b + 5.83)L_1) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

In Figure 3, energy harvested is plotted against the frequency ratio, Ω/ω_1 for in-phase and Ω/ω_2 for out-of-phase oscillating double pendulum. Pendulum length, L_1 is changed to satisfy the forcing frequency to natural frequency ratio, n , according to,

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= (57g(1.2ab + 1.15a + 1.2b + 1.2) \\ &\pm \sqrt{(1.2a^2b^2 + 2.5ab(a+b) + 1.2(a^2 + b^2 + 1) - 0.11ab - 2.5(a-b))}) \\ &/((5.8a^2b + 11.8ab + 132.7a + 5.83b + 5.83)\Omega^2)n^2 \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$




Figure 2 Energy harvested in 100 seconds, $1 < n < 5$ for two modes of oscillation, $a=1$, $b=1$, $A=1\text{mm}$, $\Omega=10\pi\text{rad/s}$

Distinct energy peak is seen at frequency ratio 2 in both Figures 2a and 2b for the two modes of oscillation. The analysis below, attempts to identify optimum a and b to harvest maximum energy from a source vibrating with fixed amplitude and frequency. The autoparametrically resonant pendulum link length, L_1 , is modified as a and b vary according to Equation 15 for $n=2$. In the Figures 3a and 3b energy harvested in 100 seconds for steady state oscillations is plotted along the Z-axis for in-phase and out-of-phase oscillations respectively. a and b vary over the domain, $0.1 < a, b < 2.5$.




Figure 3 Energy harvested in 100 seconds by autoparametrically resonant double pendulum over the a - b domain, $A=1\text{mm}$, $\Omega=10\pi\text{rad/s}$

The ideal energy harvester, autoparametric in-phase oscillating double pendulum cannot be realized for practical implementation as seen readily by the dimensions given in Table 3. The resonant out-of-phase oscillating double pendulum is a more pragmatic alternative.

Table 2 Dimension of optimum double pendulum for the two modes of oscillation

	L_1	M_1	L_2	M_2	Power
$\Omega = 2\omega_1$	1.25cm	1.6kg	3.75cm	2.24kg	30mW
$\Omega = 2\omega_2$	31cm	1.6kg	10cm	3.5kg	9mW




Figure 4 Energy harvested in 100 seconds by double pendulum with optimal a and b for the two modes of oscillation over realisable L_1 .

Amplitude of in-phase oscillation of the two angles, θ_1 and θ_2 , for practically realizable link lengths decays harvesting negligible steady state energy as seen by the blue plot in Fig. 4. In case of out-of-phase initial conditions (red plot in Fig. 4) E_h plot rises exactly at autoparametrically resonant $L_1=31\text{cm}$, generating 9mW in steady state validating importance of autoparametric resonance. The E_h peak at $L_1=15\text{cm}$ corresponds to out-of-phase 1:4 resonance. Maximizing energy harvested requires a comprehensive study of such variety of responses, especially so for high frequency base excitation where attaining resonance entails an even shorter pendulum link length.

4. Conclusions

The in-phase oscillating pendulum harvests energy at a rate of 30mW , more than five times that of an equivalent autoparametrically resonant simple pendulum, for modest excitation parameters, $A=1\text{mm}$, $\Omega=10\pi\text{rad/s}$. Pendulum one link length obtained for in-phase resonance is too short for practical implementation. While, the optimum out-of-phase oscillating double pendulum, harvests energy at 9mW , which might just be sufficient to power maintenance sensors, the dimensions obtained for double pendulum are realizable, making out-of-phase oscillation a more desirable mode of oscillation for energy harvesting. Application specific design may help design by adding constrains on more equation parameters, which can help us in optimizing the remaining parameters more comprehensively giving better results.

References

- [1] **Richard Rand**, <http://www.math.cornell.edu/rand/randdocs/nlvibe52.pdf>, May 2016.
- [2] **B. Zaghari, M. Ghandchi Tehrani, E. Rustighi**, Mechanical modeling of a vibration energy harvester with time-varying stiffness, 9th International Conference on Structural Dynamics, EURODDN 2014, 2079-2085.
- [3] **K. Kecik, M. Borowiec**, An autoparametric energy harvester, European Physical Journal Special Topics 222, 2013, 1597-1605.
- [4] **Y. Jia, A.A. Seshia**, A parametrically excited vibration energy harvester, Journal of Intelligent Material Systems and Structures, 2014, Vol 25(3), 278-289.
- [5] **Y. Jia, A.A. Seshia**, Directly and parametrically excited bi-stable vibration energy harvester for bistable operation, The 17th International Conference on Solid-State Sensors, Actuators and Microsystems (Transducers and Eurosensors XXVII), June 2013, 454-457.
- [6] **Michal Marszal, Krzysztof Jankowski, Przemyslaw Perlikowski, Tomasz Kapitaniak**, Bifurcations of oscillatory and rotational solutions of double pendulum with parametric vertical excitation, Mathematical Problems in Engineering Volume 2014 (2014), Article ID 892793, 9 pages
- [7] **Jyotirmoy Roy, Asok K. Mallik, Jayanta K. Bhattacharjee**, Role of initial conditions in the dynamics of a double pendulum at low energies, Nonlinear Dyn (2013) Volume 73, 993-1004.
- [8] www.roymech.co.uk/UsefulTables/Tribology/Bearing.html, May 2016.

