

**Stormy Geomorphology: an introduction to the Special  
Issue**

Journal:	<i>Earth Surface Processes and Landforms</i>
Manuscript ID	Draft
Wiley - Manuscript type:	ESEX commentary
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Spencer, Tom; University of Cambridge, Cambridge Coastal Research Unit, Geography Naylor, Larissa; University of Glasgow, School of Earth and Environmental Science; Lane, Stuart; Université de Lausanne, Institute of Earth Surface Dynamics Darby, Stephen; University of Southampton, School of Geography Macklin, Mark; Aberystwyth University, Institute of Geography and Earth Sciences Magilligan, Francis; Dartmouth College, Geography Moeller, Iris; University of Cambridge, Cambridge Coastal Research Unit, Geography
Keywords:	climate change, disturbance regime, climate extremes, landscape recovery, Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change

**Stormy Geomorphology ESEX Commentary Paper****Title: Stormy Geomorphology: an introduction to the Special Issue****Authors and affiliations:**Spencer T<sup>1</sup>, Naylor LA<sup>2</sup>, Lane SN<sup>3</sup>, Darby SE<sup>4</sup>, Macklin MG<sup>5,6</sup>, Magilligan FJ<sup>7</sup>, Möller, I<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Cambridge Coastal Research Unit, Department of Geography, University of Cambridge, Downing Place, Cambridge CB2 3EN, UK. Email: ts111@cam.ac.uk; im10003@cam.ac.uk

<sup>2</sup>School of Geographical and Earth Sciences, University of Glasgow, East Quadrangle, Glasgow, G12 8QQ, UK. Email: larissa.naylor@glasgow.ac.uk

<sup>3</sup>Institute of Earth Surface Dynamics, Géopolis, Université de Lausanne, CH1015 Lausanne, Switzerland. Email: Stuart.Lane@unil.ch

<sup>4</sup>Geography and Environment, University of Southampton, Highfield, Southampton, SO17 1BJ, UK.  
Email: [S.E.Darby@soton.ac.uk](mailto:S.E.Darby@soton.ac.uk)

<sup>5</sup>Department of Geography and Earth Sciences, Llandinum Bldg, Aberystwyth, Dyfed SY23 3DB, UK. Email: [mvm@aber.ac.uk](mailto:mvm@aber.ac.uk)

<sup>6</sup>Innovative River Solutions, Institute of Agriculture and Environment, Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand

<sup>7</sup>Department of Geography, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH, 03766. Email: magilligan@dartmouth.edu

1  
2  
3 **Abstract:** The degree to which the climate change signal can be seen in the increasing  
4  
5 frequency and/or magnitude of extreme events forms a key part of the global  
6  
7 environmental change agenda. Geomorphology engages with this debate through extending  
8  
9 the instrumental record with palaeogeomorphological research; studying resilience and  
10  
11 recovery of geomorphic systems under extreme disturbance; documenting the mediation by  
12  
13 catchment organisation of transport processes during extreme events; applying new  
14  
15 monitoring methods to better understand process-response systems; and illustrating how  
16  
17 process, experimental and modelling insights can be used to define the buffering of  
18  
19 geomorphic systems and human assets from the effects of extremes, providing practical  
20  
21 outcomes for practitioners.  
22  
23  
24  
25

26  
27 **Keywords:** climate change; disturbance regime; climate extremes; landscape recovery;  
28  
29 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36

## 37 **Introduction**

38  
39  
40 In a previous ESEX Commentary, Lane (2013) reviewed recently published work relating to  
41  
42 the relationship between climate change and geomorphology. Lane argued that, despite the  
43  
44 poor representation of geomorphological research in the 4<sup>th</sup> Assessment Report (AR4, 2007)  
45  
46 of the Intergovernmental Panel for Climate Change (IPCC), geomorphology was making  
47  
48 important contributions in disentangling the complex linkages between climatically-driven  
49  
50 and human-driven impacts of environmental variability (e.g. land-use change); in thinking  
51  
52 about the challenges of modelling geomorphic futures; and in the appreciation of the role  
53  
54 that geomorphic processes play in the flux of carbon and the carbon cycle. In this  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Commentary, which follows the publication of IPCC AR5 (2013-2014), we introduce an ESPL  
4  
5 Special issue concerned with the relations between geomorphology and another key  
6  
7 concern in the climate change debate, the potential changes in the frequency and  
8  
9 magnitude of extreme weather events<sup>1</sup>.  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15

### 16 **Climate Means, Weather Extremes and Types of Environmental Change**

17  
18  
19

20 Climate change includes not only changes in mean climate but also in weather extremes.  
21  
22 These extremes can be characterised, either singly or in combination, by changes in the  
23  
24 mean, variance, or shape of probability distributions (IPCC, 2012). For example, significant  
25  
26 trends in heavy-precipitation and high-temperature extremes over the recent decades have  
27  
28 been observed (Rahmstorf and Coumou, 2011; Perkins *et al.*, 2012) and attributed to human  
29  
30 influence, initially in relation to particular extreme events (e.g. Pall *et al.*, 2011; Otto *et al.*,  
31  
32 2012; Schaller *et al.*, 2016) but more recently by application to all globally occurring heavy  
33  
34 precipitation and hot extremes (Fischer and Knutti, 2015; Stott, 2016). In this context, the  
35  
36 IPCC AR5 identifies, in particular, the greater risks of flooding at regional scales and  
37  
38 increases in extreme sea levels post-1970 (IPCC, 2014).  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 This emphasis on precipitation, temperature and sea level is perhaps not surprising.  
47  
48 Environmental change can be seen as consisting of two components, systemic and  
49  
50 cumulative change (Turner *et al.*, 1990). Systemic change refers to occurrences of global  
51  
52 scale, physically interconnected phenomena, whereas cumulative change refers to  
53  
54 unconnected, local to intermediate scale processes which have a significant net effect on  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 the global system. Hydroclimate and sea level change, a prime focus of the IPCC Assessment  
4  
5 Reports, are drivers of systemic change which is highly amenable to large-scale atmosphere  
6  
7 and ocean systems modelling. By contrast, cumulative change refers to unconnected, local  
8  
9 to intermediate scale processes which have a significant net effect on the global system and  
10  
11 where the human footprint is strong, and often dominant. Topographic relief, and land  
12  
13 cover and land use changes, are drivers of cumulative change but their spatial and (in the  
14  
15 case of surface characteristics) temporal variability, and hence the difficulties of both  
16  
17 definition and spatial resolution, make the incorporation of their effects into Global  
18  
19 Circulation Models a continuing challenge (Slaymaker *et al.*, 2009). In addition, whilst  
20  
21 hydrometeorological and sea surface datasets can be described by smooth time series  
22  
23 distributions, their landscape impacts are decidedly non-linear, with clear thresholds to  
24  
25 landscape change in the disturbance regime<sup>2</sup>. Any approach, therefore, that sees the land  
26  
27 surface as a passive vehicle for the transmission of climate change, and adaptive strategies  
28  
29 as a response to at best continental-scale changes in climatic extremes, can only provide a  
30  
31 very simplified view of the implications of climate change for human lives and livelihoods.  
32  
33 Furthermore, it offers few clues as to how to explore i) societally acceptable levels of  
34  
35 landscape change and variability and ii) the extent to which landscapes can recover from  
36  
37 extreme weather events and how locally-specific management strategies can improve the  
38  
39 detailed trajectory of system recovery.  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

### 50 **Stormy Geomorphology**

51  
52  
53  
54  
55 In 2014, the British Society for Geomorphology (BSG) established a Fixed Term Working  
56  
57 Group (FTWG) on 'Stormy Geomorphology' to help raise awareness of the ways in which  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 geomorphological science can critically contribute to understanding, measuring and  
4  
5 managing the impacts of two aspects of extreme weather events – coastal storms and river  
6  
7 floods - on changing landforms and landscape systems and their human inhabitants. The  
8  
9 aim of the FTWG has been to bring together world-leading experts in this field, combining  
10  
11 state of the art syntheses alongside empirical papers documenting the impact of particular  
12  
13 extreme weather events, or cluster of events, on the physical and ecological landscapes;  
14  
15 the approach has been an interlinked International Discussion Meeting, held at the Royal  
16  
17 Geographical Society (with IBG) in London in May 2015, and this Special Issue of Earth  
18  
19 Surface Processes and Landforms.  
20  
21  
22  
23

24  
25  
26 When designing this Special Issue we identified five key ways in which geomorphological  
27  
28 science contributes to a fuller understanding of the impacts of coastal storms and river  
29  
30 floods. For the first theme, the fundamental role palaeogeomorphological studies play, both  
31  
32 in extending the instrumental record and in improving flood risk estimates, is explored. The  
33  
34 short length (generally  $\leq 50$  years) of systematic river flow records worldwide, most of  
35  
36 which start in the mid-twentieth century, make forecasting hydrological extremes that have  
37  
38 an annual exceedance probability of 0.01 or less highly problematic. Non-stationarity in  
39  
40 flooding resulting from climate and catchment land-cover change also introduces further  
41  
42 uncertainty in flood predictions based only on instrumental series. Coastal and fluvial  
43  
44 sedimentary archives of past storms and floods with event-scale resolution are increasingly  
45  
46 being used to extend flood records back over several centuries (Foulds and Macklin, 2016;  
47  
48 Fruergaard and Kroon, 2016) and in some cases millennia (Toonen et al., 2016). These are  
49  
50 providing new insights to the significant effects of short-term climatic variability on the  
51  
52 incidence of extreme events which suggest that future flood estimation will need re-thought  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 in the light of anthropogenic climate change. The second and third themes draw on research  
4  
5 from both landform evolution and process traditions. In the second theme, current process  
6  
7 and palaeogeomorphological research is used to examine how the magnitude and  
8  
9 frequency of extremes influences the resilience and recovery of geomorphic systems to  
10  
11 disturbances triggered by extreme storms and floods. The theme presents the empirical and  
12  
13 theoretical dimensions of geomorphic responses to extreme events by characterizing and  
14  
15 quantifying the shifts in boundary conditions generated by climate change (Yellen *et al.*,  
16  
17 2016), anthropogenic disturbances (Brandon *et al.*, 2016), or the cumulative effects of both  
18  
19 (Slater, 2016). In particular, these papers reveal the reach scale (Croke *et al.*, 2016) and  
20  
21 watershed scale processes (Dethier *et al.*, 2016) that dictate the suite of geomorphic  
22  
23 responses to extreme events and the potential for large scale system changes to  
24  
25 geomorphic perturbations (Phillips and Van Dyke, 2016). The third theme uses a series of  
26  
27 empirical papers to demonstrate the critical role that catchment organisation plays in  
28  
29 mediating water and sediment transport during extreme events (Boardman, 2015;  
30  
31 Boardman and Vandaele, 2016; Rigon *et al.*, 2016; Rickenmann *et al.*, 2016; Rinaldi *et al.*,  
32  
33 2016). The last two themes move into the realm of the process geomorphology tradition,  
34  
35 employing novel technologies to gather empirical data and modelling to improve our  
36  
37 predictive capacity. In the fourth group, a suite of empirical papers illustrate the  
38  
39 fundamental role that near real-time, quantitative field measurements during extreme  
40  
41 events can play in advancing our understanding of process-form responses in coastal  
42  
43 (Brooks *et al.*, 2016; Masselink *et al.*, 2016; Naylor *et al.*, 2016; Terry *et al.*, 2016), hillslope  
44  
45 (Rinaldi *et al.*, 2016) and fluvial (Leyland *et al.*, 2016) settings. Lastly, a series of papers  
46  
47 (Smith *et al.*, 2016; Dixon *et al.*, 2016; Balke and Friess, 2016) demonstrate how  
48  
49 geomorphological process knowledge, and particularly knowledge gained from physical and  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 numerical modelling of water flows within and across estuarine and coastal landforms and  
4  
5 associated ecosystems, can help to inform flood and erosion management approaches.  
6  
7 Applied in this way, such knowledge has a direct impact on society; it points the direction  
8  
9 towards practical solutions for the more sustainable and robust protection of human assets  
10  
11 from the effects of extremes.  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

## 18 **Conclusion**

19  
20  
21 Geomorphology has an obligation to inform society as to what level of disturbance the  
22  
23 Earth's landforms and landscapes can (and cannot) absorb and over what time periods the  
24  
25 landscape will respond to, and recover from, disturbance. We hope that this series of papers  
26  
27 helps take this debate, and this responsibility, forward, in relation to one of the key  
28  
29 emerging environmental challenges for contemporary society: flood hazard.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

## 38 **Footnotes**

39  
40  
41 <sup>1</sup> An 'extreme weather event' is defined by the IPCC Special Report on Managing the Risks of  
42  
43 Extreme Events and Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation (SREX) (Seneviratne *et*  
44  
45 *al.*, 2012) as one that is rare at a particular place and/or time of year. Definitions of 'rare'  
46  
47 vary, but an extreme weather event would normally be as rare as or rarer than the 10th or  
48  
49 90th percentile of a probability density function estimated from observations.  
50  
51  
52

53  
54 <sup>2</sup> It is debateable whether extreme events should be separated from 'normal' events. As  
55  
56 Trenberth (2012, 289) perceptively comments 'Scientists are frequently asked about an  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 event “Is it caused by climate change?” ... In reality the wrong question is being asked: the  
4  
5 question is poorly posed and has no satisfactory answer. The answer is that all weather  
6  
7 events are affected by climate change because the environment in which they occur is  
8  
9 warmer and moister than it used to be.’  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16

### 17 **Acknowledgements**

18  
19  
20 The authors are grateful to the British Society for Geomorphology, Wiley and the Royal  
21  
22 Geographical Society as lead sponsors in support of the Fixed Term Working Group on  
23  
24 Stormy Geomorphology which led to this collection of papers. We also acknowledge  
25  
26 meeting support from Marine Alliance for Science and Technology for Scotland (MASTS),  
27  
28 NERC Coastal Biodiversity and Ecosystem Service Sustainability (CBESS) and EU FP7  
29  
30 Resilience-Increasing Strategies for Coasts – Toolkit (RISC-KIT). Underpinning research was  
31  
32 funded through grants from UK NERC (NE/M010546/1 (Naylor), NE/J015423/1 (Spencer,  
33  
34 Möller), NE/JO21970/1 (Darby)), USA National Science Foundation (BCS-1160301 and BCS-  
35  
36 1222531, Magilligan) and the European Union (FP7-SPACE-2013 grant 607131 and FP7-  
37  
38 ENV.2013 grant 603458, Möller, Spencer).  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

### 48 **References**

49  
50  
51 Balke T, Friess DA. 2016. Geomorphic knowledge for mangrove restoration: a pan-tropical  
52  
53 categorization. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* **41**: 231-239. DOI: 10.1002/esp.3841  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Boardman J. 2015. Extreme rainfall and its impact on cultivated landscapes with particular  
4 reference to Britain. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* **40**: 2121-2130. DOI:  
5 10.1002/esp.3792  
6  
7  
8  
9

10  
11  
12 Boardman J, Vandaele K. 2016. Effect of the spatial organization of land use on muddy  
13 flooding from cultivated catchments and recommendations for the adoption of control  
14 measures. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* **41**: 336-343. DOI: 10.1002/esp.3793  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

20  
21  
22 Brandon CM, Woodruff JD, Orton PM, Donnelly JP. 2016. Evidence for elevated coastal  
23 vulnerability following large-scale historical oyster bed harvesting. *Earth Surface Processes*  
24 and Landforms DOI: 10.1002/esp.3931  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

30  
31  
32 Brooks SM, Spencer T, McIvor A, Möller I. 2016. Reconstructing and understanding the  
33 impacts of storms and surges, southern North Sea. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*  
34 **41**: 855-864. DOI: 10.1002/esp.3905  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39

40  
41  
42 Croke J, Fyirs K, Thompson C. 2016. Defining the floodplain in hydrologically-variable  
43 settings: Implications for flood risk management. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*  
44  
45  
46  
47

48  
49  
50 Dethier E, Magilligan FJ, Renshaw CE, Nislow KH. 2016. The role of chronic and episodic  
51 disturbances on channel–hillslope coupling: the persistence and legacy of extreme floods.  
52 *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* DOI: 10.1002/esp.3958  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Dixon SJ, Sear DA, Odoni NA, Sykes T, Lane SN. 2016. The effects of river restoration on  
4 catchment scale flood risk and flood hydrology. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* **41**:  
5 997-1008. DOI: 10.1002/esp.3919  
6  
7  
8  
9

10  
11  
12 Fischer EM, Knutti R. 2015. Anthropogenic contribution to global occurrence of heavy  
13 precipitation and high-temperature extremes. *Nature Climate Change* **5** : 560-564. DOI:  
14 10.1038/nclimate2617  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

20  
21  
22 Foulds SA, Macklin MG. 2016. A hydrogeomorphic assessment of twenty-first century floods  
23 in the UK. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* **41**: 256-270. DOI: 10.1002/esp.3853  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

29  
30  
31 Fruergaard M, Kroon A. 2016. Morphological response of a barrier island system on a  
32 catastrophic event: the AD 1634 North Sea storm. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*  
33 **41**: 420-426. DOI: 10.1002/esp.3863  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38

39  
40  
41 IPCC. 2012. Summary for Policymakers. In *Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and*  
42 *Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation*, Field CB et al. (eds). A Special Report of  
43 Working Groups I and II of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge  
44 University Press: Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA; 1-19.  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

50  
51  
52 IPCC. 2014. *Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report*. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and  
53 III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Core  
54 Writing Team, R.K. Pachauri and L.A. Meyer (eds.)]. IPCC, Geneva: Switzerland; 151 pp.  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Lane SN. 2013. 21st century climate change: where has all the geomorphology gone? Earth  
4  
5 Surface Processes Landforms **38** : 106–110. DOI: 10.1002/esp.3362  
6  
7

8  
9  
10 Leyland J, Hackney CR, Darby SE, Parsons D, Best JL, Nicholas AP, Aalto R, Lague D. 2016.  
11  
12 Extreme flood -driven bank erosion and sediment transport on a mega-river: Direct process  
13  
14 measurements using integrated Mobile Laser Scanning (MLS) and hydro-acoustic  
15  
16 techniques. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms  
17

18  
19  
20  
21  
22 Masselink G, Scott T, Poate T, Russell P, Davidson M, Conley D. 2016. The extreme  
23  
24 2013/2014 winter storms: hydrodynamic forcing and coastal response along the southwest  
25  
26 coast of England. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms **41** : 378-391. DOI:  
27  
28 10.1002/esp.3836  
29

30  
31  
32  
33  
34 Naylor LA, Stephenson WJ, Smith HCM, Way O, Mendelsohn J, Cowley A. 2016.  
35  
36 Geomorphological control on boulder transport and coastal erosion before, during and after  
37  
38 an extreme extra-tropical cyclone. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms **41** : 685-700.  
39  
40 DOI: 10.1002/esp.3900  
41

42  
43  
44  
45 Otto FEL, Massey N, van Oldenborgh GJ, Jones RG, Allen MR. 2012. Reconciling two  
46  
47 approaches to attribution of the 2010 Russian heat wave. Geophysical Research Letters **39** :  
48  
49 L04702. DOI: 10.1029/2011GL050422  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Pall P, Aina T, Stone DA, Stott PA, Nozawa T, Hilberts AGJ, Lohmann D, Allen MR. 2011.  
4  
5 Anthropogenic greenhouse gas contribution to flood risk in England and Wales in autumn  
6  
7 2000. *Nature* **470** : 382-385. DOI: 10.1038/nature09762  
8  
9

10  
11  
12 Perkins, S. E., Alexander, L. V. & Nairn, J. R. Increasing frequency, intensity and duration of  
13  
14 observed global heatwaves and warm spells. *Geophysical Research Letters* **39** : L20714. DOI:  
15  
16 10.1029/2012GL053361  
17  
18

19  
20  
21 Phillips JD, Van Dyke C. 2016. Principles of geomorphic disturbance and recovery in  
22  
23 response to storms. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* **41** : 971-979. DOI:  
24  
25 10.1002/esp.3912  
26  
27

28  
29  
30  
31 Rahmstorf S, Coumou D. 2011. Increase of extreme events in a warming world. *Proceedings*  
32  
33 of the National Academy of Sciences USA **108** : 17905-17909. DOI:  
34  
35 10.1073/pnas.1101766108  
36  
37

38  
39  
40 Rickenmann D, Badoux A, Hunzinger L. 2016. Significance of sediment transport processes  
41  
42 during piedmont floods: the 2005 flood events in Switzerland. *Earth Surface Processes and*  
43  
44 *Landforms* **41** : 224-230. DOI: 10.1002/esp.3835  
45  
46

47  
48  
49 Rigon R, Bancheri M, Formetta G, de Lavenne A. 2016. The geomorphological unit  
50  
51 hydrograph from a historical-critical perspective. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* **41**:  
52  
53 27-37. DOI: 10.1002/esp.3855  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Rinaldi M, Amponsah W, Benvenuti M, Borga M, Comiti F, Lucía A, Marchi L, Nardi L, Righini  
4  
5 M, Surian N. 2016. An integrated approach for investigating geomorphic response to  
6  
7 extreme events: methodological framework and application to the October 2011 flood in  
8  
9 the Magra River catchment, Italy. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* **41**: 835-846. DOI:  
10  
11 10.1002/esp.3902  
12  
13

14  
15  
16  
17 Schaller N, Kay AL, Lamb R, Massey NR, van Oldenburgh GJ, Otto FEL, Sparrow SN, Vautard  
18  
19 R, Yiou P, Ashpole I, Bowery A, Crooks SM, Haustein K, Huntingford C, Ingram WJ, Jones RG,  
20  
21 Legg T, Miller J, Skeggs J, Wallom D, Weisheimer A, Wilson S, Stott PA, Allen MR. 2016.  
22  
23 Human influence on climate in the 2014 southern England winter floods and their impacts.  
24  
25 *Nature Climate Change* **6**: 627-634. DOI: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2927  
26  
27

28  
29  
30  
31 Seneviratne SI, Nicholls N, Easterling D, Goodess CM, Kanae S, Kossin J, Luo Y, Marengo J,  
32  
33 McInnes K, Rahimi M, Reichstein M, Sorteberg A, Vera C, Zhang X. 2012. Changes in climate  
34  
35 extremes and their impacts on the natural physical environment. In *Managing the Risks of*  
36  
37 *Extreme Events and Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation*, Field CB et al. (eds). A  
38  
39 Special Report of Working Groups I and II of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate  
40  
41 Change. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA; 109-230.  
42  
43  
44

45  
46  
47 Slater LJ. 2016. To what extent have changes in channel capacity contributed to flood hazard  
48  
49 trends in England and Wales? *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* DOI:  
50  
51 10.1002/esp.3927  
52  
53  
54

1  
2  
3 Slaymaker O, Spencer T, Dadson S. 2009. Landscape and landscape-scale processes as the  
4 unfilled niche in the global environmental change debate: an introduction. In  
5 Geomorphology and Global Environmental Change, Slaymaker O, Spencer T, Embleton-  
6 Hamann C (eds). Cambridge University Press: Cambridge; 1-34.  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11

12  
13  
14 Smith JM, Bryant MA, Wamsley TV. 2016. Wetland buffers: numerical modeling of wave  
15 dissipation by vegetation. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* **41** : 847-854. DOI:  
16 10.1002/esp.3904  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

22  
23  
24 Stott P. 2016. How climate change affects extreme weather events. *Science* **352**: 1517-1518.  
25 DOI: 10.1126/science.aaf7271  
26  
27  
28

29  
30  
31 Terry JP, Dunne K, Jankaew K. 2016. Prehistorical frequency of high-energy marine  
32 inundation events driven by typhoons in the Bay of Bangkok (Thailand), interpreted from  
33 coastal carbonate boulders. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* **41** : 553-562. DOI:  
34 10.1002/esp.3873  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39

40  
41  
42  
43 Toonen WHJ, Middelkoop H, Konijnendijk TYM, Macklin MG, Cohen KM. 2016. The influence  
44 of hydroclimatic variability on flood frequency in the Lower Rhine. *Earth Surface Processes*  
45 and Landforms DOI: 10.1002/esp.3953  
46  
47  
48  
49

50  
51  
52  
53 Trenberth KE. 2012. Framing the way to relate climate extremes to climate change. *Climatic*  
54 Change **115** : 283-290. DOI: 10.1007/s10584-012-0441-5  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Turner II BL, Kasperson RE, Meyer WB, Dow KM, Golding D, Kasperson JX, Mitchell RC, Ratick  
4  
5 SJ. 1990. Two types of global environmental change: definitional and spatial scale issues in  
6  
7 their human dimensions. *Global Environmental Change* **1** : 14–22. DOI: 10.1016/0959-  
8  
9 3780(90)90004-S  
10

11  
12  
13 Yellen B, Woodruff JD, Cook TL, Newton RM. 2016. Historically unprecedented erosion from  
14  
15 Tropical Storm Irene due to high antecedent precipitation. *Earth Surface Processes and*  
16  
17 *Landforms* **41** : 677-684. DOI: 10.1002/esp.3896  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60