The \textit{XMM-Newton} \textsuperscript{1} and \textit{INTEGRAL} \textsuperscript{2} observations of the supergiant fast X–ray transient IGR J16328-4726

M. Fiocchi\textsuperscript{1}, A. Bazzano\textsuperscript{1}, L. Natalucci\textsuperscript{1}, P. Ubertini\textsuperscript{1}, V. Sguera\textsuperscript{2}, A. J. Bird\textsuperscript{3}, C. M. Boon\textsuperscript{3}, P. Persi\textsuperscript{1}, L. Piro\textsuperscript{1}

\begin{center}
Received \______________; accepted \______________
\end{center}

\textsuperscript{1}INAF, Istituto di Astrofisica e Planetologia Spaziali, Via Fosso del Cavaliere 100, I-00133 Roma, Italy

\textsuperscript{2} INAF, Istituto di Astrofisica Spaziale e Fisica Cosmica, Via Gobetti 101, I-40129 Bologna, Italy

\textsuperscript{3} School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, University Road, Southampton, SO17 1BJ, UK
ABSTRACT

The accretion mechanism producing the short flares observed from the Supergiant Fast X-ray Transients (SFXT) is still highly debated and forms a major part in our attempts to place these X-ray binaries in the wider context of the High Mass X-ray Binaries.

We report on a 216 ks INTEGRAL observation of the SFXT IGR J16328-4726 (August 24-27, 2014) simultaneous with two fixed-time observations with XMM-Newton (33ks and 20ks) performed around the putative periastron passage, in order to investigate the accretion regime and the wind properties during this orbital phase.

During these observations, the source has shown luminosity variations, from $\sim 4 \times 10^{34} \text{erg s}^{-1}$ to $\sim 10^{36} \text{erg s}^{-1}$, linked to spectral properties changes. The soft X-ray continuum is well modeled by a power law with a photon index varying from $\sim 1.2$ up to $\sim 1.7$ and with high values of the column density in the range $\sim 2 - 4 \times 10^{23} \text{cm}^{-2}$. We report on the presence of iron lines at $\sim 6.8$-$7.1$ keV suggesting that the X-ray flux is produced by accretion of matter from the companion wind characterized by density and temperature inhomogeneities.

---

1XMM Newton is an ESA science mission with instruments and contributions directly funded by ESA member States and the USA (NASA)

2INTEGRAL is an ESA project with instruments and science data centre funded by ESA member states (especially the PI countries: Denmark, France, Germany, Italy, Switzerland, Spain), Czech Republic and Poland, and with the participation of Russia and the USA.
1. Introduction

IGR J16328-4726 was first reported as an unidentified transient source in the third \textit{INTEGRAL} IBIS/ISGRI survey with a flux of 4 mCrab and 3.2 mCrab in the energy range 20-40 keV and 40-100 keV, respectively (Bird et al. 2007, Bodaghee et al. 2007).

The \textit{Swift} XRT follow-up observations performed during a flare (on 2009 June 10) showed that the X-ray spectrum of the source was, at that time, well described by an absorbed power law model with a $N_H \approx 8 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ in excess of the expected Galactic value and a photon index $\Gamma \approx 0.56$ (Grupe et al. 2009).

On the basis of its transient and recurrent nature, its short and intense flares and a dynamic range of $\sim 10^2$, this source has been classified as a candidate SFXT (Fiocchi et al. 2010). An orbital period corresponding to $\sim 10$ days has been derived by Corbet et al. (2010) making use of \textit{Swift}/BAT data. IGR J16328-4726 was observed by \textit{XMM-Newton} on 2011 February 20 (corresponding to an orbital phase of $\sim 0.1$) for a total exposure time of $\sim 22$ ks (Bozzo et al. 2012). The analysis of these data showed a flux variation of a factor $\sim 10$ without significant variation of the spectral parameters ($N_H$ and $\Gamma$).

The average spectrum was well fitted with an absorbed power law model with a column density of $\sim 17.5 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$, a photon index of $\sim 1.5$ and unabsorbed 2-10 keV flux of $1.7 \times 10^{-11}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. The source was also within the field of view of \textit{BeppoSAX} in 1998: the MECS X-ray data showed a frequent microactivity typical of the intermediate state of SFXT and a weak flare with a duration of $\sim 4.6$ ks (Fiocchi et al. 2013). During these observations the photon index of the power law model remained constant while the absorption column density was highly variable, spanning from $\sim 3$ to $\sim 20 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ across the transition from the low emission level ($F_{2-10\text{keV}} \sim 3 \times 10^{-12}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) to the peak of the flare ($F_{2-10\text{keV}} \sim 10^{-10}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$). Romano et al. 2013 reported on the spectral analysis of a flare that occurred on 2009 June 10, and has observed
with the *Swift*/XRT instrument. During the brightest X-ray emission (unabsorbed flux of $4.2 \times 10^{-10}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) the photon index was $\sim 0.65$ and the column density was $\sim 9 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ in excess of the Galactic one. IR observations allowed confirmation of the nature of the companion as a O8I spectral type star (Coleiro et al. 2013) and determined the source distance of 7.2±0.3 kpc (Persi et al. 2015). At soft X-ray energies a long term monitoring (2011-2013) with *Swift*/XRT allowed a detailed study of the emission outside the bright outbursts, identifying two low emission levels, both well described with a power law model: the first has a photon index of $\sim 1.35$ and a column density of $\sim 1.36 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ at an observed flux of $F_{2-10\text{keV}} \sim 16 \times 10^{-12}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, while the second one is fitted with a photon index of $\sim 0.3$ and a column density of $\sim 1.5 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ at an observed flux of $F_{2-10\text{keV}} \sim 1.1 \times 10^{-12}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. These observations allowed an estimate of the lower limit of the dynamic range in this source of $\sim 750$ (Romano et al. 2014b).

This source has been reported as a SFXT characterized by an intermediate orbital period and a low flux variability in the review of Walter et al. (2015).

Outbursts from IGR J16328-4726 usually occur near the periastron passage, at a restricted phase range of its orbital period (10.068±0.002 days, Fiocchi et al. 2013), allowing the use of fixed-time observations. In this paper we report on the spectral results for two *XMM-Newton* (Jansen et al. 2001) observations, performed quasi-simultaneously with a long *INTEGRAL* (Winkler et al. 2003) observation performed at periastron (phase $= 0.5$).

### 2. Observations and Data Reduction

The *INTEGRAL* observation commenced on 2014-08-24T11:38:42 (revolution 1448) and ended 2014-08-27T07:36:18 (revolution 1449). The *XMM-Newton* observations were performed on 2014-08-24T19:57:54 (UTC) for $\sim 33$ ks (orbital phases $\sim 0.4$) and on
Fig. 1.— The (23-50 keV) IBIS/ISGRI intensity of IGR J16328-4726 during revolutions 1448 and 1449 (triangles and upper limits) superimposed on the phase-folded light curve (crosses), constructed using the best orbital period determination of 10.068 days and a zero-phase ephemeris of MJD 52651.164 (from Fiocchi et al. 2013).
Fig. 2.— EPIC PN, background-subtracted light curves of IGRJ16328-4726 in the 2–10 keV energy range. The bin size is 60 s and the time axis is in UTC hours from the start time of 56893 19:59:29.57 MJD. Letters indicate the time intervals used for selected spectral states (see text for details). The left panel shows the first XMM-Newton observation at orbital phase ~0.4 and the right panel the second XMM-Newton observation at orbital phase ~0.6.
The INTEGRAL/IBIS (Ubertini et al. 2003) data are processed using the Off-line Scientific Analysis (OSA v10.2) software released by the INTEGRAL Scientific Data Centre (Courvoisier et al. 2003).

Light curves and images of the source are extracted in the 23–50 keV energy band. These runs were performed with the AVES cluster, designed to optimize performances and disk storage need to the INTEGRAL data analysis by Federici et al. (2010).

\textit{XMM-Newton} data were processed using version 14.0 of the Science Analysis Software (SAS). During both observations, EPIC MOS1 and PN operated in Full Frame Imaging mode and EPIC MOS2 in Partial Frame Imaging mode, filter used was the medium thickness filter for both observations. Calibrated events are filtered using patterns 0-4 for the PN and 0-12 for both MOS. Extraction radii of 40\arcsec were used for the source events for both the PN and MOS cameras. Background counts were extracted from source free regions, in the same temporal intervals. Response and ancillary matrix files were generated using the SAS tasks \texttt{rmfgen} and \texttt{arfgen}. All spectra were binned with a minimum of 20 counts per bin.

All spectral uncertainties and upper-limits are given at 90\% confidence for one parameter of interest.

\section{Analysis and Results}

IGRJ16328-4726 was not detected with IBIS in the entire observation (216 ks on-source) at a significance level greater than 4\sigma. The 3\sigma upper limit in the 23-50 keV
energy range is $\sim 3 \times 10^{-10}$ erg cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$, corresponding to a luminosity $L \sim 2 \times 10^{36}$ erg s$^{-1}$, using a distance of 7.2 kpc (Persi et al. 2015).

Due to the transient nature of IGR J16328-4726 we produced four mosaics (23-50 keV) with a shorter exposure time: for revolution 1448 we extracted one mosaic during the first $\sim 18$ hours of observation ($T_{\text{start}}^1=56893.49$ MJD), while for revolution 1449 we created three mosaics covering three periods of $\sim 13.3$ hours each, with $T_{\text{start}}^2=56894.71$ MJD, $T_{\text{start}}^3=56895.23$ MJD and $T_{\text{start}}^4=56895.74$ MJD. Intensities for each mosaic are reported in Fig. 1 (triangles and upper limits) superimposed on the phase-folded light curve (from Fig. 1 of Fiocchi et al. 2013). This plot shows an increased activity around phase 0.5, most probably associated to the transit near periastron, although no strong flare was detected ($\leq 2 \times 10^{36}$ erg s$^{-1}$). Consequently we noted that the source was significantly detected during phase 0.50-0.55 at 6.0 sigma with a flux corresponding to $\sim 3 \times 10^{-11}$ erg cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ ($L_X \sim 2 \times 10^{35}$ erg s$^{-1}$), while the first and last points of Fig. 1 are 3$\sigma$ upper limits. We summed the data in the period in which the source was detected, obtaining a spectrum with a net exposure time of $\sim 60$ ks. With the \textit{INTEGRAL} short observation we are not able to constrain the physical spectral parameters and we report here the (23-50 keV) flux of $(2.8 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-11}$ erg cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ with a photon index value fixed to 2.0 ($\chi^2/d.o.f. = 6.5/5$). We note that IBIS data in the phase $\sim 0.45-0.50$ are not available because of the non visibility period between one \textit{INTEGRAL} revolution and the next. Unfortunately the \textit{XMM-Newton} observations were performed during two periods in which IGR J16328-4726 was not detected with IBIS, preventing a spectral study using simultaneous data.

The EPIC PN background-subtracted light curves of IGR J16328-4726 in the 2-10 keV energy range are shown in Fig. 2 at $\sim 0.4$ (left panel) and $\sim 0.6$ (right panel) orbital phase.

Based on the spectral characteristics of this source, including the column density
Fig. 3.— Top panel: Hardness ratios \( R = \frac{\text{Rates}_{4-6\text{keV}}}{\text{Rates}_{2-4\text{keV}}} \) for time intervals corresponding to flares displayed in Fig. 2 plotted against the sum \( S = \frac{\text{Rates}_{4-6\text{keV}}}{\text{Rates}_{2-4\text{keV}}} \) for the first observation. Large circles indicate the combined data from flares with the similar flux level and hardness. Bottom panel: unfolded spectra and the model in \( E^2 f(E) \) and residuals of the following spectral states: \( A_1 \) in orange, \( A_2 \) in black, EGI in blue, F in green,
Fig. 4.— Top panel: Hardness ratios $R = Rates_{4-6keV}/Rates_{2-4keV}$ for time intervals corresponding to flares displayed in Fig. 2 plotted against the sum $S = Rates_{4-6keV}/Rates_{2-4keV}$ for the second observation. Large circle and square indicate the combined data from flares with the similar flux level and hardness. Bottom panel: unfolded spectra and the model in $E^2\phi(E)$ and residuals of the following spectral states: MNOP in red, JKL in black, and the
Fig. 5.— Residuals in units of count $s^{-1} \text{keV}^{-1}$ of the $A_2$ (black triangles) and JKL (red squares) states, in the XMM-Newton first and second observations, respectively. The used model is an absorbed power law (see text for details).
Fig. 6.— Top panel: confidence contours for the best fit parameters to spectral states EGI, A1, A2 and state with rate lower than 0.4 c/s, for the first XMM-Newton observation. Bottom panel: confidence contours for the best fit parameters to spectral states JKL, MNOP and state with rate lower than 0.4 c/s, for the second XMM-Newton observation. For both
Fig. 7.— Top panel: photon index against unabsorbed fluxes in units of $10^{-12}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ in the 2-10 keV energy range. Bottom panel: column density in units of $10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ plotted versus unabsorbed flux in units of $10^{-12}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ in the 2-10 keV energy range. To do this comparison the values from previous observations were computed with the same interstellar abundances used in this paper (Wilms et al. 2000). For blue squares ($Swift$ data from Romano et al. 2013, 2014b) the flux uncertainties are not available. For details on the
Fig. 8.— Column density in units of $10^{22} \text{cm}^{-2}$ plotted versus orbital phase. We display column density values for the first XMM-Newton observation in black, the second XMM-Newton observation in red, the BeppoSAX in green (from Fiocchi et al. 2013), Swift XRT in blue (from Romano et al. 2013) and XMM-Newton in magenta (from Bozzo et al. 2012). Square with circles indicate spectral parameters measured during average low emission levels, squares alone indicate parameters measured during an active period.
changes with the flux variations (Fiocchi et al. 2013), we performed a preliminary analysis for spectral variability by plotting the hardness versus total rates. We selected the energy bands 2-4 keV and 4-6 keV and computed the ratio \( R = \frac{Rates_{4-6keV}}{Rates_{2-4keV}} \) and the sum \( S = Rates_{4-6keV} + Rates_{2-4keV} \) of the count rates using a bin time of 240 s. These energy bands are chosen to investigate the possible column density and flux variations. We selected eight regions of interest from temporal intervals showing similar flux and similar hardness ratio. In Fig. 3 and 4 (top panels) the total rate S (intensity) versus the ratio R (colour) for the flares reported in Fig. 2 are shown, for both observations. Data from flares at similar flux level and similar hardness in the top panels of Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 were combined to improve the statistical quality of the spectra and better constrain the physical parameters. In particular, we consider the following regions in the plots of intensity versus colour: a hard region with colour \( \geq 3.8 \), a soft region with colour \( \leq 3.8 \), a region with the intensity lower than \( \sim 1 \) c/s and a region with the intensity higher than \( \sim 1 \) c/s. Within these colour-intensity regions, for the first \textit{XMM-Newton} observation we sum data from different flares when the points are superimposed on each others (E+G+I and C+D+H) while we consider single spectral states when the flare points are well separated (A₁, A₂, B and F). A similar criterion has been adopted for the second \textit{XMM-Newton} observation, identifying two spectral states (J+K+L and M+N+O+P). The different intervals used for the time selected spectroscopy were indicated with letters in Table 1 and in Fig. 3 for the first \textit{XMM-Newton} observation, and in Table 2 and in Fig. 4 for the second \textit{XMM-Newton} observation. In addition, spectra of the low emission level were obtained including events with count rate below 0.4 c/s (the bin time of the light curve is 60 s) corresponding to a net integration time of \( \sim 15\text{ks} \) and of \( \sim 11\text{ks} \) for the first and second \textit{XMM-Newton} observations, respectively. The spectral analysis was performed for both EPIC MOS detectors and EPIC PN detector in the energy range 0.8-12.0 keV. We show only EPIC-PN spectra in the figures for clarity.
The PN and MOS spectra have been fitted simultaneously for each time interval using the model `phabs*powerlaw` in `xspec` with the interstellar abundances of Wilms et al. 2000. In the case of the spectral states named A$_2$ and JKL the residuals show an evidence for a positive excess at iron line energies. For these spectral states a Gaussian component was added to the power law model. A zoom of residuals in the 5-10 keV band, using a simple absorbed power law model, is shown in Fig. 5 for the spectral states A$_2$ and JKL, from the first and second `XMM-Newton` observations, respectively. For each selected spectral state, the best fit parameters are reported on Table 1 and Table 2 for first and second observations, respectively.

Spectra and residuals with respect to the best model are shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 (bottom panels) for the first and second `XMM-Newton` observation, respectively. For periods in which an emission line is significantly detected, we also report the $\chi^2$ value obtained using a simple absorbed power law without a Gaussian component (see last row of Table 1 and Table 2).

In Fig. 6 (top panel) the confidence contours (68%, 90% and 99% confidence level) for the best fit parameters to spectral states EGI, A$_1$, A$_2$ and “low state” are shown, for the first `XMM-Newton` observation. For clarity, we report on the better constrained contour plot only. In Fig. 6 (bottom panel) we show the confidence contours for the best fit parameters to spectral states JKL, MNOP and state with rate lower than 0.4 c/s, for the second `XMM-Newton` observation.

During the first `XMM-Newton` observation, corresponding to the orbital phase $\sim$ 0.4, the source shows variations in both absorbing column density and photon index. The photon index of the states A$_2$ and EGI is $\sim$1.2 at fluxes of $\sim 10^{-10}$erg s$^{-1}$cm$^{-2}$ and it becomes $\sim$1.7 at flux of $\sim 7 \times 10^{-12}$erg s$^{-1}$cm$^{-2}$ (“low state”). The spectral parameters
of states A₁ and B are not well constrained and are compatible with photon indices ranging from 1.1 to 2.5 (at confidence level of 99%). The behavior of the photon index of the spectra A₂, EGI and low emission state follows the relation usually observed in accreting X-ray pulsars; X-ray emission is harder when the source is brighter. During the first XMM-Newton observation, there is evidence that the column density variation is independent of the unabsorbed flux as shown in Fig. 6 (top panel), with different values at high fluxes (states EGI and A₂) and the same values at different fluxes (states A₂ and “low state”). During the second XMM-Newton observation, at orbital phase ∼0.6, both the photon index and the column density remains constant (see Fig. 6 bottom panel).

4. Discussion

The XMM-Newton observations have allowed us to perform an in-depth investigation of the transient source IGR J16328-4726 at two different orbital phases. We also followed the source variability in detail, revealing changes in its spectral shape. The photon index shows significant variations, with values ranging from ∼1.2 during high flux intervals (states EGI and A₂) to ∼1.7 during a low state (see Table 1 and Fig. 6 top panel) in the first XMM-Newton observation (at an orbital phase of 0.4). This spectral softening at low luminosity is in agreement with the standard behavior observed in SFXTs. Indeed X-ray spectra during very strong flares are usually well described by a flat power law (Γ ∼ 0 − 1) while the photon index increases to values of Γ ∼ 1 − 2 at lower luminosities of ∼ 10^{33–34} erg s⁻¹ (see Romano et al. 2011, 2014b, Sidoli et al 2011). Changes to photon index corresponding to changing luminosity are not observed during the second XMM-Newton observation at orbital phase ∼0.6.

In Fig. 7 we show the spectral index (top panel) and the column densities (bottom panel) against unabsorbed fluxes in the 2-10 keV energy range, using spectral analysis of
Table 1: Results of the time selected spectroscopy (letters mark the same time intervals displayed in Fig. 2) during the first *XMM-Newton* observation. $\Gamma$ is the power law photon index. Unabsorbed flux is in the 2–10 keV energy range in units of $10^{-12}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ and $N_H$ is in units of $10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$. When a Gaussian component was added to the model, $E$ is the centroid in keV, $\sigma$ is the line width in units of keV and $EW$ is the equivalent width in eV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>A1</th>
<th>A2</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C+D+H</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>E+G+I</th>
<th>&lt;0.4 c/s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Start (MJD)</td>
<td>56893.832</td>
<td>56893.835</td>
<td>56893.890</td>
<td>56893.937 (C)</td>
<td>56894.007</td>
<td>56893.979 (E)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp. PN$^b$</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp. MOS1$^b$</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp. MOS2$^b$</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_H$</td>
<td>$39^{+7}_{-6}$</td>
<td>$27 \pm 2$</td>
<td>$30 \pm 7$</td>
<td>$29 \pm 3$</td>
<td>$19 \pm 3$</td>
<td>$20.5 \pm 0.9$</td>
<td>$26 \pm 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Gamma$</td>
<td>$1.8^{+0.4}_{-0.5}$</td>
<td>$1.2 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$1.2 \pm 0.4$</td>
<td>$1.7 \pm 0.2$</td>
<td>$1.2 \pm 0.3$</td>
<td>$1.2 \pm 0.08$</td>
<td>$1.7 \pm 0.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unabs. Flux</td>
<td>$208^{+53}_{-37}$</td>
<td>$140 \pm 6$</td>
<td>$37^{+9}_{-7}$</td>
<td>$30^{+4}_{-3}$</td>
<td>$35 \pm 4$</td>
<td>$73 \pm 2$</td>
<td>$7.4 \pm 0.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E$</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>7.1 $\pm 0.1$</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$EW$</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>$40^{+15}_{-20}$</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi^2$/d.o.f.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>261.8/232</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi^2$/d.o.f.$^a$</td>
<td>79.6/60</td>
<td>298.4/235</td>
<td>35.1/39</td>
<td>83.9/100</td>
<td>49.0/68</td>
<td>311.4/277</td>
<td>223.2/186</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ $\chi^2$/d.o.f. without Gaussian component. $^b$ Exposure time in ks.
Table 2: Results of the time selected spectroscopy (letters mark the same time intervals displayed in Fig. [2] during the second XMM-Newton observation. $\Gamma$ the power law photon index. Unabsorbed flux is in the 2–10 keV energy range in units of $10^{-12}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ and $N_H$ is in units of $10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$. A Gaussian component was added to the model, $E$ is centroids in keV, $\sigma$ is line width in units of keV and EW is equivalent width in eV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>J+K+L</th>
<th>M+N+O+P</th>
<th>&lt;0.4 c/s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Start Time (IJD)</td>
<td>56895.892 (J)</td>
<td>56895.812 (M)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>56895.923 (K)</td>
<td>56895.873 (N)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>56895.967 (L)</td>
<td>56895.909 (O)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>56895.998 (P)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp. Time PN $^b$</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp. Time MOS1 $^b$</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp. Time MOS2 $^b$</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_H$</td>
<td>20 $\pm$ 1</td>
<td>20 $\pm$ 2</td>
<td>21 $\pm$ 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Gamma$</td>
<td>1.27 $\pm$ 0.09</td>
<td>1.4 $\pm$ 0.2</td>
<td>1.6 $\pm$ 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unabs. Flux</td>
<td>91 $\pm$ 4</td>
<td>27 $\pm$ 2</td>
<td>6.7 $\pm$ 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E$</td>
<td>6.8 $\pm$ 0.2</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>$&lt;0.6$</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EW $^c$</td>
<td>$148^{+100}_{-90}$</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi^2$/d.o.f.</td>
<td>216.7/242</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi^2$/d.o.f.$^a$</td>
<td>252.9/245</td>
<td>209.1/140</td>
<td>181.4/142</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$$\chi^2$/d.o.f. without Gaussian component. $^b$Exposure time in ks.
time-selected states reported in Table 1 and Table 2 and archival results. In this way we can track the unabsorbed flux variations by two order of magnitude. We show parameter values for the first XMM-Newton observation in black points, for the second XMM-Newton observation in red, for the BeppoSAX data in green (from Fiocchi et al. 2013), for the Swift XRT data in blue (from Romano et al. 2013) and XMM-Newton data from Bozzo et al. (2012) in magenta. Since these data all cover similar energy ranges, the derived $N_H$ values should be comparable. Squares with circles indicate spectral parameters measured during average low emission levels, squares alone indicate parameters measured during an active period.

The analysis of different flux states confirms changes in the column density, previously observed using BeppoSAX data (Fiocchi et al. 2013) and highlights the variation of spectral index: from the top panel of Fig. 7 it is clear that the photon index shows significant variations without any clear correlation with unabsorbed flux. The bottom panel of Fig. 7 shows that the $N_H$ values at flux lower than $\sim 10^{-11}$erg s$^{-1}$cm$^{-2}$ and greater than $\sim 4 \times 10^{-10}$erg s$^{-1}$cm$^{-2}$ do not confirm the linear correlation between flux and column density observed in this object in the past (Fiocchi et al. 2013), when we consider two orders of magnitude in flux. In a restricted range of fluxes, from $\sim 10^{-11}$erg s$^{-1}$cm$^{-2}$ to $\sim 2 \times 10^{-10}$erg s$^{-1}$cm$^{-2}$ this correlation still persists.

To investigate the possible column absorption and the orbital phase correlation, we report in Fig. 8 the column density against the orbital phase. We display column density values according with colours in Fig. 7. Squares with circles indicate spectral parameters measured during average low emission levels, squares alone indicate parameters measured during an active period. Spectral parameters values obtained from spectra with long exposure time (covering $\sim$ one phase) are not included in this plot.

Fig. 8 shows that there are significantly higher values of the column density during the
active time interval corresponding to the orbital phase of 0.4. We note that the average low emission level (squares with circles in Fig. 8) show a maximum at phase $\sim 0.4$ and a minimum at phase $\sim 0.95$. These data show there could be two levels of the density variations: the first corresponding to the average low emission states and the second considering the active periods. During the low emission levels (circles of Fig. 8), the $N_H$ follows the same behavior that the IBIS intensity (23-50 keV) has versus the orbital phase, with a maximum value at phase $\sim 0.5$ and lower values at phases $\sim 0.1$ and $\sim 1.0$. During the active periods, the $N_H$ variations are not correlated with the orbital phase and could indicate changes in the accreting material on the neutron star. Obtained $N_H$ values rule out that the observed low emission level (flux lower than $\sim 10^{-11}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$) can be due to obscuration of the emitting region by circumstellar material, as in fact there are values of the column density during low emission level consistent with the ones during the active time intervals (see column density of state with rate lower than 0.4 c/s and A2 state). This behavior suggests that the $N_H$ values in the low emission levels could be an indication of the matter distribution along the orbit, while additional mechanisms come into play during flaring activity.

The iron fluorescence lines show an interesting evolution: the centroid is at $\sim 6.8$ keV when the source is in the JKL state while shifts up to $\sim 7.1$ keV at higher fluxes. As the iron line centroid is correlated with 2-10 keV unabsorbed flux (see Table 1 and Table 2), line emissions at $\sim 6.8$ keV and $\sim 7.1$ keV could come from highly ionised iron ions: the ionization level is higher than Fe$_{XXV}$ and Fe$_{XX}$ for the state A2 and JKL, respectively (Kallman et al. 2010). Since the theory predicts that the iron line intensity ratio $I_{K_\beta}/I_{K_\alpha}$ is $\sim 0.13$ ph cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (Kallman et al. 2010), the lack of a strong iron line at 6.4 keV during time intervals A2 and JKL exclude that the observed iron line at $\sim 6.8-7.1$ keV can be fluorescence iron line K$_\beta$, not respecting this iron line intensity ratio. This behavior suggests that the X-ray flux produced by accretion onto the neutron star partly ionized the
clump matter.

The limited statistics of our IGR J16328-4726 *XMM-Newton* data prevent us from studying the expected linear correlations between the continuum flux and the iron line flux or between the Fe equivalent width and the continuum parameters ($N_H$ and luminosity), as reported by Gimenez-Garcia et al. (2015) and Torrejon et al. (2010).

This work has shown a complex picture that is compatible with accretion from an inhomogeneous wind (int Zand 2005, Walter & Zurita Heras 2007). The transient emission produced by accretion of matter from the companion wind indicates change in the wind density and temperature, not clearly correlated with the orbital phase. The inhomogeneities in the accreting material are able to give a physical interpretation of the short flares observed in both the *XMM-Newton* data and the previous *BeppoSAX* ones (Fiocchi et al. 2013).

Conversely, the clumpy wind model alone is not able to explain the few days long flare observed with *INTEGRAL* from this source (Fiocchi et al. 2010). This evidence confirms that additional mechanisms are needed to explain the extreme variability seen in SFXT (Bozzo et al. 2014, Lutovinov et al. 2013).

The two proposed additional mechanisms to inhomogeneous wind are the quasi spherical accretion model (Shakura et al. 2012, 2014) or the centrifugal and/or magnetic gating accretion (Bozzo et al. 2008, 2016). At this stage, for IGR J16328-4726 both mechanisms cannot be ruled out, indeed:

1) the theory of wind accretion in HMXB hosting a magnetic neutron star with transitions driven by centrifugal and magnetic barrier (Bozzo et al. 2008, 2016) requires an high magnetic field to explain the observed dynamic range (greater than $\sim 10^{14} G$): unfortunately, the magnetic field in IGR J16328-4726 is unknown.

2) the quasi spherical accretion model (Shakura et al. 2012, 2014) concerns the accretion onto slowly rotating X-ray pulsars: the spin period of IGR J16328-4726 is unknown.
Furthermore this theory predicts two regimes of accretion at the critical X-ray luminosity value of $\sim 4 \times 10^{36} \text{erg s}^{-1}$. The present $\textit{XMM-Newton}$ data and the previous $\textit{Swift}$/XRT results (Romano et al. 2013) allowed to extend the studied luminosity range, spanning from $\sim 6 \times 10^{33} \text{erg s}^{-1}$ to $\sim 3 \times 10^{36} \text{erg s}^{-1}$. Unfortunately, the investigated luminosity values are always lower than critical value preventing to study X-ray behaviour at very high luminosity.

Finally, we note that the accretion radius and the magnetospheric radius are highly sensitive to variations in the wind velocity and this wind velocity can significantly drop or be completely halted close to the neutron star when the matter is ionized (Krticka et al. 2015, Ducci et al. 2010), making the comparison data-model complicated.
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