



European Journal
of Marketing

**Introduction to the Special Issue: Strategic Marketing - New
Horizons in Theory and Research**

Journal:	<i>European Journal of Marketing</i>
Manuscript ID	EJM-11-2016-0612
Manuscript Type:	Editorial

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

**Introduction to the Special Issue: Strategic Marketing - New Horizons in
Theory and Research**

**John M. Rudd
Warwick Business School, UK**

**Matti Jaakkola
Southampton Business School, UK**

**Greg W. Marshall
Rollins College, USA**



Introduction to the Special Issue: Strategic Marketing - New Horizons in Theory and Research

The origins of strategic marketing as a field of study date back at least to the 1970s, when strategic planning began to gain importance in companies (Jain, 1983). Others suggest it is traceable back as to the introduction of the marketing concept itself (Drucker, 1954; McKitterick, 1957). Early in the 1980s, a series of seminal articles (e.g. Anderson, 1982; Day and Wensley, 1983; Jain, 1983) provided the first solid push toward establishing the research domain that today encompasses “the study of organizational, inter-organizational and environmental phenomena which are of crucial importance to organizations from the standpoint of their long-term performance, and concerned with (1) the behaviors of organizations in the marketplace in their interactions with consumers, customers, competitors and other external constituencies in the context of the creation, communication and delivery of products that offer value to customers in exchanges with organizations, and (2) the general management responsibilities of the marketing function in organizations that align with its boundary spanning role” (Varadarajan, 2015, p. 86).

Mostly growth but also a few bumps along the road

During the past three decades, strategic marketing topics – building on several streams of research, most notably marketing, strategic management and industrial/organizational (I/O) economics (Varadarajan, 2010; 2015) – have gained a strong foothold in the marketing literature. An analysis of articles published in strategic marketing between 1983 and 2015 shows a general growing trend for the discourse (see the Figure).

However, in recent years, growth in the number of published studies has stopped and in

1
2
3 2013-2015 the numbers even show slight decline. Some scholars argue that this negative
4
5 trend for strategic marketing (while simultaneously econometric modelling and
6
7 consumer behavior studies in marketing have gained in popularity) represents an
8
9 “identity crisis” of the field (Reibstein *et al.*, 2009; Varadarajan, 2010; Hunt, 2015). To us,
10
11 the negative trend also suggests that the field of marketing in general has struggled to
12
13 successfully find a solid balance between academic rigour and managerial relevance, a
14
15 combination that arguably is required from today’s academicians in business studies
16
17
18
19 (Van de Ven and Johnson, 2006; Jaworski, 2011).
20

21 -----

22 Place Figure here

23 -----

24
25
26
27
28
29 The Figure also illustrates that the growth of strategic marketing as a research
30
31 domain has not been without bumps along the road. After the initial push (e.g. Anderson,
32
33 1982), the number of articles within the domain remained at a relatively low level for
34
35 almost a decade. It was not until the early 1990s that a spike occurred with the growing
36
37 interest in market orientation (e.g. Kohli and Jaworski, 1990; Narver and Slater, 1990). At
38
39 the beginning of the new millennium, these themes could not carry the domain anymore
40
41 and the research field was diminishing. Fortunately, another clear growth period began in
42
43 the mid-2000s, likely sparked by the beginning of extensive discourses on strategic
44
45 organisational re-imagining via a service dominant logic in marketing (Vargo and Lusch,
46
47 2004) and customer profitability management (e.g., Johnson and Selnes, 2004). Current,
48
49 slightly decreasing numbers in publishing activity suggest that once again new research
50
51 avenues need to be identified and exploited. Historically, strategic marketing has always
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60 been able to bounce back and thrive again. But as history often poorly predicts the

1
2
3 future, should we expect growth or decline for the domain going forward?
4
5
6
7

8 **Outlook for the strategic marketing domain**

9

10 Despite a bump downward in 2014-2015, several recent points of evidence portend
11 increased publication activity within the strategic marketing domain. For one, in his
12 opening editorial as incoming editor of the *Journal of Marketing*, Kumar (2015) laid
13 down the gauntlet suggesting that marketing now “occupies a much more central role in
14 organisations” (p. 6). His perspective implies that marketing holds potential for being
15 more involved in strategic decision making, not only within the marketing function but
16 also at the firm level. This eventuality is supported by marketing’s boundary-spanning
17 role and interfaces toward customers, other parts of organisation, competitors and the
18 external business environment overall (Varadarajan, 2010). The rapidly increasing
19 availability of data in order to gain customer insights and enhance marketing
20 accountability offers a fertile soil for strategic marketing studies (e.g. Hanssens and
21 Pauwels, 2016), which is evident also in the articles of this special issue as many of them
22 touch the accountability theme.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40
41 *AMS Review* also recently dedicated much of an issue to conceptual work in
42 strategic marketing and marketing strategy. In that issue, Hunt (2015) discusses the role
43 that resource-advantage (R-A) theory plays in strategic marketing, whereas Varadarajan
44 (2015) follows up on his prior work (Varadarajan, 2010) to revisit and clarify what the
45 domain of strategic marketing is and what it is not. In the previously mentioned *Journal*
46 of *Marketing* editorial, Kumar (2015) also alerts that we are approaching an era where
47 marketing’s strategic role in organisations increases rather than diminishes since, for
48 instance, marketers are facing increasing pressure to demonstrate the effectiveness and
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 efficiency of marketing activities and to effectively manage customer attitudes and
4
5 engagement (rather than focusing solely on customer behaviour and short-term
6
7 transactions). In addition, Marketing Science Institute's (MSI) top five research priorities
8
9 for 2016-2018 all include elemental facets of the strategic marketing world view (MSI
10
11 2016). A recent special issue of the *Journal of Marketing* (Volume 80, Number 6 -
12
13 November 2016) was themed around the MSI research priorities and included articles full
14
15 of fascinating and potentially lucrative research avenues for the future of the field.
16
17
18

19
20 Taken collectively, these high profile examples all are excellent news for strategic
21
22 marketing scholars and the potentially increasing role of strategic marketing also shows
23
24 in the level of interest through submissions to this *European Journal of Marketing* special
25
26 issue. Consequently, we see the future of strategic marketing as very bright.
27
28
29

30 **Summary of the articles in the special issue**

31
32 A central aim of this special issue is to further the dialogue on strategic marketing in a
33
34 substantive way, through both theoretical development and empirical testing. Now that
35
36 we have the issue in our hands, we believe it indeed addresses new research directions in
37
38 the field of strategic marketing as well as adds important new knowledge to the field. As
39
40 special issue guest editors, we are very pleased with the robust turnout of submissions,
41
42 and we are proud to present the eight articles herein that comprise a highly diverse,
43
44 value-adding, and contemporary set of perspectives on the domain of strategic
45
46 marketing. Below we provide a capsule summary of those eight articles.
47
48
49

50
51 The first article, by Michael Krush, Raj Agnihotri and Kevin Trainor, tackles the very
52
53 timely topic of marketing accountability and its potential benefits for companies. In "A
54
55 contingency model of marketing dashboards and their influence on marketing strategy
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 implementation speed and market information management capability”, the authors
4
5 provide an important contribution to a theme empirically still in its infancy by testing the
6
7 mechanism through which marketing dashboards aid in improving market performance.
8
9 Specifically, they argue that market information management capability and marketing
10
11 strategy implementation speed serve as such mechanisms. Indeed, it seems to us that
12
13 developments such as this are necessary should marketing want to become “an integral
14
15 part of organisational decision-making framework” (Kumar, 2015, p. 4).
16
17
18

19 The second article, by Lee Quinn, Sally Dibb, Lyndon Simkin, Ana Canhoto and
20
21 Mathew Analogbei, discusses the challenges posed by the evolving technological
22
23 landscape for marketing and its role within organisations. In “Troubled waters: The
24
25 transformation of marketing in a digital world”, the authors elaborate on the
26
27 opportunities and threats that digital marketing, big data and advances in analytics, for
28
29 example, provide. From qualitative interviews, they identify key changes in how
30
31 marketing is viewed and practiced in firms. Although the authors argue that there clearly
32
33 are barriers that impede strategic integration of marketing, they stress that marketing
34
35 needs to take charge of technological changes and help organisations become more
36
37 market-led.
38
39
40
41
42

43 The third article, by Imran Currim, Jooseop Lim and Yu Zhang, focuses on the long-
44
45 term implications of marketing spending. The topic is important, since many companies
46
47 still see cuts from the marketing budget as a suitable solution when encountering
48
49 financial distress. In “Commitment to marketing spending through recessions: Better or
50
51 worse stock market returns?”, the authors examine how the economic cycle affects
52
53 marketing spending decisions and whether those companies committed to spending
54
55 through recessions achieve better stock market performance. Employing an impressive
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 set of panel data, they find that economic recessions are associated with a short-term
4
5 decrease in marketing spending and report that commitment to marketing spending
6
7 through recessions is positively associated with long-term stock market returns. Their
8
9 findings suggest that marketing spending should be viewed strategically as an *investment*
10
11 and not as a cost.
12
13

14
15 The fourth article, by Sanja Pekovic and Sylvie Rolland, takes into consideration
16
17 sustainability and corporate social responsibility as new developments in society (Kumar,
18
19 2015; Korschun *et al.*, 2014). In “Customer orientation and firm’s business performance:
20
21 A moderated mediation model of environmental customer innovation and contextual
22
23 factors”, the authors introduce the environmental customer innovation concept and
24
25 empirically demonstrate that it serves as an important mediator between customer
26
27 orientation and business performance. Although a focus on environmental innovations
28
29 with potential for customer benefits indeed seems to be an important performance
30
31 mechanism for contemporary firms, the evidence suggests that the performance
32
33 outcomes are contingent on, among others, market environment and firm size.
34
35
36
37

38
39 The fifth article, by Andrew Thoeni, Greg Marshall and Stacy Campbell, tackles a
40
41 managerially crucial topic of strategic segmentation, which has gained surprisingly limited
42
43 theoretical attention. Despite their theory development potential, conceptual pieces in
44
45 strategic marketing are today rather rare (a notable exception being the recent *AMS Review*
46
47 issue), so we were happy to see one solid conceptual work published in this issue. In “A
48
49 resource-advantage theory typology of strategic segmentation”, the authors build on
50
51 resource-advantage theory to develop a typology of strategic segmentation, which
52
53 suggests that resource availability affects managers’ strategic market view and approach.
54
55
56
57 The article provides nine theoretically-derived propositions on effective strategic
58
59
60

1
2
3 segmentation and potential performance differentials, setting the stage for future
4
5 empirical research. It well acknowledges potential negative implications of short-term
6
7 segmentation decisions, providing a valuable addition to the contents of the special
8
9 issue.

10
11 The sixth article, by Emir Agic, Merima Činjarević, Emir Kurtovic and Muris Cacic,
12
13 focuses on market-based resources and marketing capabilities. In “Strategic marketing
14
15 patterns and performance implications”, the authors well use methodological
16
17 developments to identify configurations of such resources and capabilities and to
18
19 analyse their relationship with business performance. They identify distinct
20
21 organisational profiles and provide an illustration of “bundles” or “recipes” that are
22
23 associated with certain levels of performance and go on to identify different resource-
24
25 capability bundles associated with similar performance outcomes. Importantly,
26
27 contributions such as this – via introducing methods not previously used to solve
28
29 marketing problems (e.g. Frösén *et al.*, 2016) – add to the understanding about the
30
31 interplay and synergies between key strategic marketing concepts, as well as allow for
32
33 analyses of complex strategic marketing phenomena.

34
35 The seventh article, by Linda Laursen and Poul Andersen is a prime example of
36
37 bridging the gap between academia and business practice in that it brings novel insights
38
39 to the discussion on open innovation. In “Innovation summits at LEGO, Unilever,
40
41 Novozymes and HEINEKEN: Comparison and conceptualisation”, the authors adopt a
42
43 micro perspective and, in doing so, importantly bring more detail to our understanding
44
45 about the process through which open innovation and value co-creation occur. Drawing
46
47 on comparative case studies, they present a typology of innovation summits and argue
48
49 that innovation summits can be seen as an important strategic marketing initiative.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Importantly, the article opens the door wide for a discussion about the role of strategic
4 marketing when organisations engage in innovation activities beyond their boundaries.
5
6

7
8 The eighth and final article, by Johanna Frösén and Henrikki Tikkanen, provides a
9 useful analysis of the trends and impact of key strategic marketing constructs over time.
10
11 In “Development and impact of strategic marketing: A longitudinal study in a Nordic
12 country from 2008 to 2014”, the authors stress the importance of accounting for the
13 impact of strategic marketing in the context of the prevailing economic environment.
14
15 They find support for market orientation increasingly becoming a hygiene factor for firms,
16 and for marketing performance measurement being beneficial for performance across the
17 business cycle. Interestingly, their findings suggest that the effectiveness of marketing-
18 related capabilities varies over the changing business cycle, which gives rise to
19 managerially relevant suggestions on which aspects of strategic marketing firms should
20 devote their resources and efforts to in varying stages of the business cycle.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 34 35 36 **Future considerations**

37
38 From an academic institutional perspective, as part of its recently unveiled “intellectual
39 agenda” the American Marketing Association (AMA) highlighted “7 big problems for the
40 marketing industry” (Jaworski *et al.*, 2016). As the name suggests, the AMA’s intellectual
41 agenda highlights seven “issues that matter most to marketers.” On close examination,
42 the list is heavily weighted toward strategic issues for marketers (e.g., effectively
43 targeting high value sources of growth, the role of marketing in the firm and the c-suite,
44 the digital transformation of the modern corporation, generating and using insight to
45 shape marketing practice, dealing with an omnichannel world, competing in dynamic,
46 global markets, and balancing incremental and radical innovation).
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Having read (and re-read) this list a number of times, an incredible sense of *déjà vu*
4
5 occurs. Are these particularly new issues? Are they particularly “21st century” challenges?
6
7 Certainly levels of change, unpredictability and “turbulence” are perceived to be
8
9 increased, hence marketers have new challenges today – but in the main this list does
10
11 not seem to reflect a seismic shift in paradigms (indeed AMA makes no claims that they
12
13 do). However, the fact that it is very clear that marketing practitioners feel ill-equipped
14
15 to deal with these important, central and apparently perennial issues leads one to
16
17 logically conclude that either a) prior research has not fully addressed these issues, b) the
18
19 issues are so fast-paced that any research insights rapidly decline in usefulness, c) the
20
21 connections between those doing the research and those practicing marketing are weak,
22
23 thus knowledge transfer processes have been inadequate, or d) a combination of the
24
25 above. What appears to be fundamentally missing are deeper, more widespread, active
26
27 and meaningful connections across and among the domain elements of strategic
28
29 marketing as they are explicated by Varadarajan (2010; 2015).
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 As guest editors of this special issue on strategic marketing, we are however very
37
38 positive about the future of the field and believe that many fascinating questions remain
39
40 to be addressed within the research domain -- stimulating studies that will be well
41
42 positioned to provide rich contributions for both marketing theory and practice.
43
44 Whatever the future may bring in terms of topics, we hope that research rigor and
45
46 managerial relevance will be the key driving forces behind future work.
47
48
49
50

51 52 **Acknowledgments**

53 The special issue guest editors acknowledge and thank EJM’s Editor-in-Chief, Professor
54
55 Nick Lee and Emerald Group Senior Publisher Richard Whitfield, along with the entire
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Emerald editorial and production team. We also express our thanks and gratitude to the
4
5 EJM reviewers who diligently and professionally worked with the authors and us to
6
7 create this special issue.
8
9

10 11 12 **References**

13
14 Anderson, P.F. (1982), "Marketing, strategic planning and the theory of the firm", *Journal*
15
16 *of Marketing*, Vol. 46, Spring, pp. 15-26.

17
18 Day, G.S. and Wensley, R. (1983), "Marketing theory with a strategic orientation", *Journal*
19
20 *of Marketing*, Vol. 47, No. 4, pp. 79-89.

21
22 Drucker, P.F. (1954), *The practice of management*. Harper and Row, New York.

23
24 Frösén, J., Luoma, J., Jaakkola, M., Tikkanen, H., and Aspara, J. (2016), "What counts
25
26 versus what can be counted: The complex interplay of market orientation and
27
28 marketing performance measurement", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 80, No. 3, pp. 60-
29
30
31
32
33 78.

34
35 Hanssens D.M. and Pauwels, K.H. (2016), "Demonstrating the value of marketing",
36
37 *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 80, No. 6, pp. 173-190.

38
39 Hunt, S.D. (2015), "The theoretical foundations of strategic marketing and marketing
40
41 strategy: Foundational premises, R-A theory, three fundamental strategies, and
42
43 societal welfare", *AMS Review*, Vol. 5, No. 3-4, pp. 61-77.

44
45 Jain, S.C. (1983), "The evolution of strategic marketing", *Journal of Business Research*,
46
47
48 Vol.
49
50 11, No. 4, pp. 409-425.

51
52 Jaworski, B.J. (2011), "On managerial relevance", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 75, No. 4,
53
54
55 pp. 211-224.

56
57 Jaworski, B., Malcolm, R., and Morgan, M. (2016), "7 big problems in the marketing
58
59
60

1
2
3 industry", available at: [www.ama.org/publications/MarketingNews/Pages/7-big-](http://www.ama.org/publications/MarketingNews/Pages/7-big-problems-marketing.aspx)
4
5 problems- marketing.aspx (accessed 25 October 2016).
6

7 Johnson, M.D. and Selnes, F. (2004), "Customer portfolio management: Toward a
8
9 dynamic theory of exchange relationships", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 68, No. 2, pp.
10
11 1-17.
12

13
14 Kohli, A. and Jaworski, B.J. (1990), "Market orientation: The construct, research
15
16 propositions, and managerial implications", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 54, April, pp.
17
18 1-18.
19

20
21 Korschun, D., Bhattacharya, C.B., and Swain, S.D. (2014), "Corporate social
22
23 responsibility, customer orientation, and the job performance of frontline
24
25 employees", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 78, No. 3, pp. 20-37.
26

27
28 Kumar, V. (2015), "Evolution of marketing as a discipline: What has happened and what
29
30 to look out for", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 79, No. 1, pp. 1-9.
31

32
33 McKitterick, J.B. (1957), "What is the marketing management concept?", in Bass, F.M.
34
35 (Ed.), *Frontiers of Marketing Thought and Science*, American Marketing
36
37 Association, Chicago, pp. 71-81.
38

39
40 MSI (2016), "Marketing Science Institute Research priorities 2016-2018", Cambridge,
41
42 Massachusetts, USA.
43

44
45 Narver, J.C. and Slater, S.F. (1990), "The effect of a market orientation on business
46
47 profitability", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 54, October, pp. 20-35.
48

49
50 Reibstein, J.F., Day, G., and Wind, J. (2009), "Guest editorial: Is marketing academia
51
52 losing its way?", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 74, July, pp. 1-3.
53

54
55 Van de Ven, A. H., and Johnson, P.E. (2006). "Knowledge for theory and practice",
56
57 *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 31, No. 2, pp. 802-821.
58


59
60 Varadarajan, R. (2010), "Strategic marketing and marketing strategy: Domain, definition,

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

fundamental issues and foundational premises”, *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 38, No. 2, pp. 119-140.

Varadarajan, R. (2015), “Strategic marketing, marketing strategy and market strategy”, *AMS Review*, Vol. 5, No. 3-4, pp. 78-90.

Vargo, S.J. and Lusch, R.F. (2004). “Evolving to a new dominant logic for marketing”, *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 68, January, pp. 1-17.



About the authors

John M. Rudd is Professor of Marketing, Warwick Business School, UK.

Matti Jaakkola is Assistant Professor of Marketing Strategy at Southampton Business School, UK.

Greg W. Marshall is Charles Harwood Professor of Marketing Strategy, Crummer Graduate School of Business, USA.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure. Number of published articles in peer-reviewed journals 1983-2015 (Source: EBSCO Host Business Source Premier Database; Keywords = strategic marketing).

European Journal of Marketing