OmpA: A Flexible Clamp for Bacterial Cell Wall Attachment
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Summary

The envelope of Gram-negative bacteria is highly complex, containing separate outer and inner membranes and an intervening periplasmic space encompassing a peptidoglycan (PGN) cell wall. The PGN scaffold is anchored non-covalently to the outer membrane via globular OmpA-like domains of various proteins. We report atomically detailed simulations of PGN bound to OmpA in three different states, including the isolated C-terminal domain (CTD), the full-length monomer, or the complete full-length dimeric form. Comparative analysis of dynamics of OmpA CTD from different bacteria helped to identify a conserved PGN binding mode. The dynamics of full-length OmpA, embedded within a realistic representation of the outer membrane containing full-rough (Ra) lipopolysaccharide, phospholipids, and cardiolipin, suggested how the protein may provide flexible mechanical support to the cell wall, and the mechanism by which dimerisation occurs may help maintain the integrity of the cell wall. An accurate model of the heterogeneous bacterial cell envelope should facilitate future efforts to develop novel antibacterial agents.
**Introduction**

The bacterial cell wall plays a critical role in maintaining the structural integrity of the cell, providing a surrounding mechanical support that protects it from high internal osmotic pressures (Höltje 1998; Silhavy et al. 2010). The major components of the bacterial cell wall are long glycan chains, covalently cross-linked by short peptides, forming a flexible network called the peptidoglycan (PGN) (Vollmer et al. 2008). The glycan scaffold comprises alternating $\beta$-1,4-linked N-acetylgalactosamine (NAG) and N-acetylmuramic acid (NAM) subunits, with the latter attached to a pentapeptide of variable sequence (Schleifer & Kandler 1972). Additionally, in some species such as *Escherichia coli*, the terminal residues of the pentapeptide can be degraded to produce peptidoglycan chains with NAM linked to di, tri, or tetrapeptide sequences (Vollmer & Bertsche 2008). Apart from these various peptides, the length of each glycan strand can also differ substantially, with those in *E. coli* typically between 25-35 disaccharide units long (Harz et al. 1990). As such, PGN is a highly complex and heterogeneous mesh of polymers. Since this structure is unique to bacteria, PGN and the enzymes involved in PGN biosynthesis have become primary targets for antibiotics including, for example, the $\beta$-lactams and glycopeptides (Kohanski et al. 2010). A detailed understanding of the molecular organisation of the PGN within the cell envelope is therefore crucial for further development and refinement of novel therapeutic agents to fight bacterial infections.
In Gram-negative bacteria, PGN is found in the periplasmic space, delineated by the inner and the outer membranes. The outer membrane is covalently attached to the PGN network via the Braun’s lipoprotein (Lpp) through a peptide bond between the ε-amino group of the C-terminal lysine of the protein and the carboxyl end of the diaminopimelate (DAP) residue on the peptide side chain of the PGN (Braun 1975). Apart from Lpp, PGN is also anchored non-covalently to proteins via OmpA-like domains. These include three distinct protein families: i) the integral outer membrane proteins, e.g. OmpA, ii) PGN-associated lipoproteins, e.g. PAL, and iii) flagellar motor proteins, e.g. MotB (Koebnik 1995; Parsons et al. 2006; Roujeinikova 2008).

The outer membrane protein OmpA from *E. coli* (*EcOmpA*) is perhaps the most extensively studied amongst these proteins (Smith et al. 2007). OmpA is a multi-domain protein whose N-terminus is composed of a membrane-embedded β-barrel, and whose C-terminus contains a globular periplasmic domain, which are linked together by an unstructured loop. Structures of several OmpA homologues from different bacteria have been determined using various biophysical methods (Pautsch & Schulz 1998; Arora et al. 2001; Grizot & Buchanan 2004; Døvling Kaspersen et al. 2014; Marcoux et al. 2014), although very little is currently known about how they interact with the network of PGN. Recently, the crystal structure of the C-terminal domain (CTD) of OmpA from the bacterium *Acinetobacter baumannii* (*AbOmpA*) bound to a PGN-derived pentapeptide was solved (Park et al. 2012), providing a first glimpse into the molecular mechanism of PGN attachment.
Nevertheless, the molecular-level details concerning the relative arrangement of individual components of the cell envelope remain unclear, due to both experimental and computational difficulties associated with studying this complex system. For example, since PGN is covalently linked to Lpp, it is not clear why the cell requires the non-covalent attachment to OmpA. It is therefore of immense interest to understand the structural details of this interaction in the context of the surrounding cell envelope. While OmpA is generally investigated in its monomeric form, it can also form homodimers, as has shown using SDS-PAGE analysis (Stenberg et al. 2005) and in vivo crosslinking studies (Zheng et al. 2011). Again, however, the functional relevance and molecular details of dimerisation vis-à-vis PGN binding are sparse.

In the present study, we have developed a new atomistic model for PGN. Based on the X-ray structure of AbOmpA, we modelled CTDs from various members of the OmpA-family, and studied the structure and dynamics of their interactions with PGN. A model of full-length E. coli OmpA (EcOmpA) in monomeric and dimeric forms was subsequently embedded within a realistic outer membrane environment, to determine the influence of oligomerization and membrane association upon PGN binding. Key conserved interactions observed between PGN and OmpA-like domains lead us to propose a universally conserved binding mechanism. Monomeric PGN-bound OmpA CTD became distorted and interacted with the outer membrane during simulation. Such dynamics were absent for the OmpA dimer; we thus
hypothesize that dimerisation may be important for maintaining the structural integrity of the PGN network.

**Table 1: Summary of Simulations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Ionic Strength</th>
<th>Simulation Time (ns)</th>
<th>Number of Repeats</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AbOmpA CTD</td>
<td>Counter-ions only</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SeOmpA CTD</td>
<td>Counter-ions only</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NmRmpM CTD</td>
<td>Counter-ions only</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EcOmpA CTD</td>
<td>Counter-ions only</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EcOmpA full-length monomer</td>
<td>Counter-ions only</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EcOmpA full-length homodimer</td>
<td>Counter-ions only</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EcOmpA full-length homodimer</td>
<td>1 M MgCl₂</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results

Figure 1. PGN binding to the CTD of AbOmpA. (A) The crystal structure of OmpA CTD from the bacterium A. baumannii bound to a pentapeptide from PGN (PDB: 3TD5) (Park et al., 2012). Two residues, D271 and R286, which are key to coordinating the peptide in the binding site, are shown. (B) The chemical structure of one repeat unit of PGN with the same peptide side chain as found in the crystal structure (highlighted in orange). The contact points of PGN (via its m-DAP residue) with the CTD of AbOmpA are indicated.

Development of PGN parameters. In this study, we developed a new set of atomistic parameters for the simulation of PGN. To test the reliability of these parameters to study PGN binding, we simulated the crystal structure of AbOmpA CTD (PDB: 3TD5) (Park et al. 2012) in complex with a PGN molecule of two repeat units in length. The PGN molecule was docked into the
CTD binding site based on the position of the pentapeptide L-Ala-gamma-D-Glu-m-DAP-D-Ala-D-Ala found in the crystal structure (Figure 1). The crystal structure suggests that the interaction between PGN and the OmpA CTD is primarily facilitated by two key residues, D271 and R286, which respectively form salt bridges with the amino and carboxylate moieties of the m-DAP residue in PGN. To probe the stability of PGN binding, we measured the maintenance of these salt bridges throughout three 500 ns simulation replicas. It was expected that, with a reliable set of parameters, the PGN strand should bind stably to the OmpA CTD binding site. Indeed, in all three repeats, these salt bridges persisted (Figure 2B), suggesting that our PGN parameters are sufficiently accurate to study the binding of PGN to the OmpA CTD.
Figure 2. Binding mode of PGN is conserved across species. (A) Sequence alignment of AbOmpA with eight other proteins containing the OmpA-like domain, i.e. PGN associated lipoprotein (PAL) from E. coli (EcPAL) (PDB: 1OAP), PAL from Burkholderia pseudomallei (BpPAL) (4B5C), flagellar motor protein MotB from Helicobacter pylori (HpMotB) (3CYP), cell wall anchor from Pseudomonas aeruginosa (PaT6SS) (4B62), outer membrane protein of Borrelia burgdorferi (BbOmp) (3OON), OmpA from Salmonella enterica (SeOmpA) (4RHA), OmpA-like domain from Neisseria meningitides (NmRmpM) (1R1M), and OmpA from E. coli (EcOmpA). A large insert
present only in SeOmpA, NmRmpM and EcOmpA, highlighted by a green box, contributes to the flexibility of the binding site. Two key residues in PGN binding, D271 and R286, are indicated by the red and blue triangles respectively. Conserved or similar residues are marked in light blue and magenta respectively. (B) Distance between two key residues and PGN during the 500 ns simulation. Measurement was made between: the carboxylate group of the protein aspartate residue and the amino group of the PGN m-DAP residue; and between the guanidinium group of the protein arginine residue and the carboxylate group of the PGN m-DAP residue. Equivalent measurements were performed for simulations of SeOmpA (C), NmRmpM (D) and EcOmpA (E). For each system, three independent simulation replicas were conducted. In (E) only one blue line is visible since the distance between the R286 equivalent residue and PGN in two other repeats exceeded 10 Å.

**PGN binding is conserved across OmpA family members.** It is not currently known whether the binding of PGN to other OmpA family members follows the same mechanism as observed in the crystal complex of AbOmpA CTD, as this is the only high-resolution structural information available to date. To answer this question, we performed similar simulations with three other members of the family: i) OmpA from *S. enterica* (SeOmpA), ii) RmpM from *N. meningitides* (NmRmpM), and iii) EcOmpA. The former two proteins have apo crystal structures available for their CTDs (PDB: 4ERH and 1R1M respectively), whilst the latter was modelled based on the crystal structure of SeOmpA, which shares ~94% sequence identity. It is worth noting that while the conservation of amino acid sequences across the OmpA family is generally poor, the two key residues for PGN binding as characterised by the crystal
structure (Park et al. 2012) are universally conserved (Figure 2A). We therefore again measured the distance between these two residues and the PGN as a metric of the strength of binding, during a series of triplicate 500 ns simulation studies for each family member. We found that in both SeOmpA and NmRmpM, the D271 equivalent residues formed persistent salt bridge interactions with the modelled PGN, throughout each trajectory (Figure 2C and 2D). The R286 equivalent residues also interacted with PGN, albeit to a lesser extent; continuous salt bridges were observed in two out of three simulations of SeOmpA and in one out of three simulations of NmRmpM. In the simulations of EcOmpA, on the other hand, only the D271 equivalent residue (D241) formed a stable interaction with the PGN molecule (Figure 2E). Intermittent salt bridges were observed for the R286 equivalent residue (R256) but these mostly lasted for around 10-20 ns. The lack of stable interactions in these simulations likely stemmed from the less robust starting structure, i.e. the use of a homology model instead of a high-resolution crystal structure as in the other simulations. Despite the subtle differences in these key interactions, it is noteworthy that in all simulations the PGN strand remained tightly bound to the protein and did not dissociate into the bulk solution.

One major difference found in the sequence of SeOmpA, NmRmpM and EcOmpA compared to AbOmpA is a large insert between residues 320 and 330 (Figure 2A). Interestingly, this region comprised the least stable part of the protein during our simulations, as indicated by the large root mean square fluctuations (RMSF) (Figure S1). This is in agreement with a recent NMR
study, which suggested that this region in EcOmpA has a lower melting
temperature than the rest of the protein and therefore a higher flexibility (Ishida
et al. 2014). It is possible that the presence of this mobile insert in certain
members of the OmpA family may result in weaker binding of PGN due to its
close proximity to the binding site, which could explain the less stable salt
bridge interaction observed for the R286 equivalent residues in SeOmpA,
NmRmpM and EcOmpA compared to AbOmpA. Taken together, our results
suggest that PGN binding is conserved across species, but the strength of
binding is likely to differ owing to subtle differences in protein fold.

Monomeric PGN-bound OmpA monomer binds to the outer membrane.

To study PGN binding in a more realistic environment, the full-length
EcOmpA monomer model (Marcoux et al. 2014) was simulated within an
asymmetric outer membrane containing lipopolysaccharide (LPS) in the outer
leaflet, and a mixture of phospholipids (1-palmitoyl,2-cis-vaccenyl-
phosphatidyl ethanolamine (PVPE), 1-palmitoyl,2-cis-vaccenyl-phosphatidyl
glycerol (PVPG) and cardiolipin (DPG)) in the inner leaflet. The N-terminal β-
barrel was first embedded in the outer membrane model. One strand of PGN of
ten repeat units length was then docked onto the CTD following the crystal
structure of AbOmpA-pentapeptide complex (Park et al. 2012). This PGN
strand was much longer than the one used in the CTD-only simulations, such
that the two ends of the chain were in close proximity with their periodic
copies. To imitate the mesh of PGN in the cell wall, these two ends were linked together across the periodic boundary with a harmonic bond. Sufficient Mg$^{2+}$ ions (around 0.3 M) were then added to neutralise the system, and to satisfy the essential role of Mg$^{2+}$ for maintenance of the integrity of the LPS-containing membrane (Clifton et al. 2015). Two independent repeats of 500 ns simulation were performed.

We first probed the stability of PGN binding by measuring the distance between two key residues, D241 and R256, with the PGN (Figure 3A). In both independent repeats, D241 formed strong, stable interactions with the PGN, as previously observed in the CTD-only simulations, suggesting that the inclusion of the N-terminal domain and the linker region did not noticeably degrade the stability this key salt bridge. Intriguingly, compared to the CTD-only simulations, the R256 residue formed more stable interactions with PGN in this configuration, as indicated by the distance remaining ~5 Å for most of the 500 ns simulations. This result hints at possible stabilisation of the binding pocket when PGN binds to OmpA in its monomeric state.

Previous simulations of the apo OmpA monomer showed that the CTD makes stable contacts with the inner leaflet of the outer membrane (Ortiz-Suarez et al. 2016). We observed similar behaviour in these PGN-bound simulations (Figure 3C); the CTD drifted upwards to interact with the lipids, concomitant with the linker region connecting the N- and C-terminal domains contracting to a final length of ~5 Å. This membrane binding event only
occurred after ~100 ns in both simulation replicas (Figure 3B), compared to 20-40 ns in the previous apo simulations. This discrepancy in time scale is most likely due to the attached PGN strand imposing a resistance on the movement of the CTD, as it is infinitely linked to its periodic images.

Also of interest in this study is whether the interaction with the outer membrane is mediated by a conserved membrane-binding surface. Further inspection showed that, similar to the apo simulations, initial contact between the CTD and the inner leaflet of the membrane was primarily mediated by residues 270-300 (Figure S2), suggesting a potentially conserved binding mechanism. We note that this stretch of residues includes the mobile insert found in certain members of the OmpA family (Figure 2A). The interaction of this insert with the membrane reduced its flexibility and therefore stabilised the nearby binding pocket, which could explain the stronger salt bridge formed by R256 with PGN (Figure 3A). While these residues remained in contact with lipids for the remainder of both simulations, other residues and the PGN strand itself also began to interact with the membrane after ~200 ns in both simulation repeats. Both the peptide side chains and the backbone sugar moieties of the PGN strand facilitated membrane binding by forming hydrogen bonds and salt bridge interactions with the lipid head groups.
Figure 3. Interactions of PGN-bound CTD of OmpA monomer with the outer membrane. (A) Distance between key residues in the binding pocket, D241 and R256, and PGN throughout the two independent repeats of 500 ns simulations. Measurement was performed as described in Figure 2B. (B) Minimum distance between the CTD of OmpA (residue 188-316) and the inner leaflet of the outer membrane, taken from the simulations of either the monomeric (green) or the dimeric (black) form of the protein. For clarity, only the results from dimer simulations in low ionic strength are shown. (C) Snapshots taken from one of the OmpA monomer simulations highlighting the position of the protein (blue) and the bound PGN strand (red), with respect to the membrane (phosphate atoms depicted by the silver spheres).

PGN binding to OmpA homodimer. To study the effects of OmpA dimerisation on PGN binding, we simulated the full-length EcOmpA
homodimer (Marcoux et al. 2014) with two strands of PGN bound (one for each monomer), with the two N-terminal domains embedded within an asymmetric outer membrane, following the same protocol described above for the monomer simulations. It has previously been reported that the dynamics of the OmpA homologue from Pasteurella multicauda are sensitive to the ionic strength (Carpenter et al. 2007); we therefore also simulated this system in two conditions: i) with neutralising ions only (amounting to an approximate concentration of 0.3 M Mg\textsuperscript{2+}); and ii) at 1 M MgCl\textsubscript{2}, thus spanning the range of possible physiological ionic states. Again, two repeats of 500 ns simulations were conducted for each condition.

In both salt concentrations, we found similar dynamics to those observed in the CTD-only simulations, i.e. the D241 residue made a stable salt bridge with the PGN for the most part of the simulations, whilst the R256 residue formed occasional, less stable contacts (Figure S3). While the linker region connecting the NTD and CTD of each OmpA chain extended and contracted during the simulations, the CTD did not make contact with the inner leaflet of the outer membrane, as previously observed in the monomer simulations (Figure 3B), and in agreement with previous simulations of OmpA in its apo state (Ortiz-Suarez et al. 2016). The membrane-binding surface of the CTD in our monomer simulations was located close to the dimerisation interface. Dimerisation would therefore be expected to reduce the mobility and solvent accessibility of this surface and prevent interactions with the membrane. The high salt concentration in simulations with 1 M MgCl\textsubscript{2} masked
the polar and charged residues of the protein and lipids, further inhibiting any
contact. Due to the absence of membrane binding, the mobile region close to
R256 remained flexible throughout the entire simulations, which likely
explains the weaker interaction between this residue and PGN compared to that
of the monomer simulations.

The PGN strands in the dimer simulations also exhibited different
behaviour to that of the monomer simulations. As the CTDs of the OmpA
homodimer did not interact with the outer membrane, the PGN strands could
similarly not bind to the membrane. Instead, the peptide side chains from the
two adjacent PGN strands that were distant from the protein interacted with
each other at the end of the 500 ns simulations (Figure S4A). These
interactions were primarily mediated via salt bridges between the carboxyl and
amino groups on the m-DAP residue, and the carboxyl group on the D-Ala and
D-Glu residues. In the newly formed bacterial cell wall, adjacent PGN strands
are cross-linked by transpeptidases via the m-DAP and D-Ala terminal
residues. Our simulations therefore shed light on how these PGN cross-links
are positioned with respect to PGN-binding proteins such as OmpA (Figure
S4B). Some PGN peptide side chains associate with these proteins while the
ones that are far from the proteins are cross-linked to form a robust network
parallel to the membrane.
Figure 4. Interactions between CTD of OmpA dimer and PGN. (A) Snapshots taken at different time points during a simulation of OmpA homodimer in 1 M MgCl$_2$, highlighting the unbinding and rebinding of PGN to the CTD. (B) Upon rebinding, the PGN peptide side chain reorientated itself such that the R256 residue interacted with the D-Ala on PGN, instead of the m-DAP residue as observed in the crystal structure. For clarity, only one repeat unit of PGN is shown. (C) Distance between the R256 residue of the protein with either the D-Ala or the m-DAP residue of the PGN during the first 100 ns of the simulation.

Whilst the conformational dynamics of the OmpA homodimers and the PGN strands in both ionic strengths studied here were generally very similar, we found one key difference: a brief unbinding of PGN occurred in one of the monomers during a simulation conducted at 1 M MgCl$_2$. The binding pocket opened up, releasing the PGN peptide side chain into the bulk solution for a few nanoseconds before the PGN reassociated with the binding site (Figure
This rebinding event, intriguingly, resulted in a reorientation of the terminal residues of the PGN peptide side chain, such that the carboxyl group of the D-Ala formed a salt bridge with the R256 residue (Figure 4B and 4C). The D-Ala replaced the m-DAP residue, which is central to positioning the PGN within the binding site in the crystal structure of AbOmpA. As the terminal D-Ala on the PGN does not have an amino group like the m-DAP residue, the salt bridge with D241 was lost in this new conformation. This result suggests that the PGN may adopt different configurations in the binding site due to the presence of the multiple carboxyl groups in its side chain that could form a salt bridge with the R256 residue. It is likely that the m-DAP residue forms the strongest interaction with the binding site as it can interact with both D241 and R256 via its amino and carboxyl termini respectively, and such a conformation was therefore captured within the crystallographic state (Park et al. 2012).

Discussion

The present study utilised atomistic molecular dynamics simulations to gain insights into the interactions of PGN with members of the OmpA protein family. We first demonstrated a stable binding of PGN to OmpA CTD from four species of bacteria, suggesting a universally conserved recognition mechanism. The PGN also bound stably to a model of full-length EcOmpA in both monomeric and dimeric states. When attached to OmpA monomer, the PGN strand drifted upwards and interacted with the outer membrane. Such contact
was, however, not evident in simulations of the OmpA homodimer; instead, the PGN remained within the region equivalent to the periplasmic space. This implies that dimer formation may influence the local conformation of the PGN network. PGN binding is also labile, as exemplified by the unbinding and rebinding events observed in one of the dimer simulations.

Despite very low amino acid sequence identity between members of the OmpA protein family, our simulations strongly indicated a similar PGN recognition mechanism, involving two key residues that are conserved across all species. This binding mechanism is also likely to prevail in other proteins with OmpA-like domains, such as the PAL and MotB families, in which these residues are also present (Figure 2A). NMR spectroscopy of PAL from the bacterium *Haemophilus influenzae* in complex with a PGN precursor revealed interactions between the m-DAP moiety and D71, which is equivalent to the D271 residue that coordinates the binding of PGN to AbOmpA (Parsons et al. 2006). While no structure is currently available for MotB bound to PGN, a biochemical study showed that the PGN-binding domain of this protein is interchangeable with PAL (Hizukuri et al. 2009), corroborating the notion of a universal PGN binding mechanism.

Although the binding mechanism itself is conserved, our simulations suggest that the strength of binding is likely to differ for different types of proteins and for proteins from different bacteria. While we did not attempt to directly calculate binding affinities in this study, the stability of PGN binding
to OmpA CTDs from the four species studied appeared to vary, potentially due to subtle structural differences. More specifically, certain members of the OmpA CTD family have a 10-20 residue insert close to the PGN binding site that is highly mobile (Ishida et al. 2014), and therefore weakens the interactions. This insert was stabilised in the monomer simulations as it formed part of the membrane-binding surface, which then led to stronger interactions with PGN. Interestingly, such an insert is not present in the PAL and MotB families, leading us to predict stronger binding affinity for these groups of proteins. Also, the non-covalent interactions between the PGN network and these proteins are likely to be labile \textit{in vivo}. This is supported by the unbinding and rebinding events observed in one of our dimer simulations, whereby a PGN strand detached briefly from the CTD of \textit{EcOmpA}. We conjecture that the ability to detach from the PGN network may be advantageous to allow protein diffusion and reorganisation, especially for the OmpA family, whose N-terminal domain can form an outer membrane pore (Arora et al. 2000) and exists as a dynamic homodimer (Zheng et al. 2011). Thus, OmpA forms what can be thought of as a flexible molecular clamp for attachment to the cell wall, which cannot be achieved by the covalent binding exemplified by Lpp. Additional support for our hypothesis comes from a recent fluorescence study which demonstrated that MotB diffused freely in the membrane and exchanged rapidly with the flagellar motor complex (Leake et al. 2006).
Figure 5: OmpA dimerisation inhibits PGN association with the outer membrane. (A) The full-length OmpA monomer is shown, highlighting in orange the position of residues that interacted significantly with the outer membrane during simulation. The approximate location of the PGN binding site is marked by the red dot. (B) The position of the same residues mapped onto the structure of the OmpA homodimer. The dimerisation interface is indicated by the black dashed circle. (C) A schematic diagram of the possible role of OmpA dimerisation in the formation of the cell wall.

Although most outer membrane porins, such as OmpF and OmpC, form homotrimers, OmpA exists in equilibrium between monomeric and dimeric states (Zheng et al. 2011). In this study we show that, in the monomeric state,
the CTD of OmpA can bind to the inner leaflet of the outer membrane, resulting in interactions between the PGN strand and lipid residues. We conjecture that such interactions, *in vivo*, are likely to be undesirable to the cell as they may cause local distortions in the PGN network by preventing cross-linking between adjacent strands, as a result of which the integrity of the cell wall may be compromised. Our simulations also delineated a membrane binding surface close to the PGN binding pocket (Figure 5A and Figure S2). OmpA dimerisation occurs in large part through a network of salt bridges between the CTDs (Marcoux et al. 2014, Ortiz-Suarez et al. 2016), positioned nearby to the proposed membrane binding surface (Figure 5B). Dimerisation therefore may sterically impede the interactions between the CTDs, and hence the bound PGN strands, with the outer membrane, as evidenced by the absence of such interactions in four independent dimer simulations presented in this study. The formation of OmpA dimers could therefore have favourable implications for the integrity of the cell wall, primarily by facilitating cross-linking between adjacent strands and thus smoothening any local distortion in the PGN network (Figure 5C). This is further supported by the prevalent interactions between the peptide side chains of the two PGN strands in our dimer simulations. Our results therefore shed light on the potential functional role of OmpA dimerisation in the formation of the cell wall.

The complex architecture of the bacterial cell envelope has remained the perennial topic of interest in microbiology. Computational modelling provides the essential link between biochemical and biophysical data by illuminating the
microscopic behaviours that are otherwise currently inaccessible to direct imaging techniques. While a number of other computational studies have been performed involving peptidoglycan (Gumbart et al. 2014; Nguyen et al. 2015), ours represent, to the best of our knowledge, the first to directly examine its interaction with outer membrane proteins, specifically OmpA. Our atomic scale model of peptidoglycan will thus enable future studies to answer other crucial questions to further our understanding of the bacterial cell wall structure and function.

**Experimental Procedures**

**PGN parametrisation.** Parameters for the peptidoglycan chain, consisting of alternating β-1,4-linked N-acetylglucosamine (NAG) and N-acetylmuramic acid (NAM) subunits with the latter attached to a tetrapeptide of L-Ala, D-Glu, m-DAP, D-Ala were derived from analogous parameters available with the GROMOS 45A4 carbohydrate (Lins & Hünenberger 2005) and GROMOS 54A7 protein force field (Schmid et al. 2011). This ensured consistency with both protein and membrane parameters used in this work. We note that the final D-Ala residue of the NAM attached pentapeptide (as depicted in Figure 1) was not included, due to the rapid degradation of this residue in *E. coli* (Vollmer & Bertsche 2008).

**Outer membrane construction.** The outer leaflet of the membrane was composed entirely of Ra (i.e. full rough) LPS molecules containing lipid A, the inner core and the outer core sugar molecules. As per our previous simulations
that included the inner core (Piggot et al. 2011; Piggot et al. 2013), the core structure used for the Ra LPS was the R1 core type (Vinogradov et al. 1999), which is the most prevalent core structure in *E. coli* species (Appelmelk et al. 1994). The inner leaflet of the membrane comprised 90% 1-palmitoyl,2-cis-vaccenyl phosphatidyl ethanolamine (PVPE), 5% 1-palmitoyl,2-cis-vaccenyl phosphatidyl glycerol (PVPG) and 5% 1-palmitoyl,2-cis-vaccenyl,3-palmitoyl,4-cis-vaccenyl diphosphatidyl glycerol (DPG) (or otherwise known as cardiolipin) (Lugtenberg & Peters 1976; Aibara et al. 1972; Kito et al. 1975; Yokota et al. 1980). The full rough outer membrane system was constructed through the manual addition of the outer core sugars to a small-equilibrated outer membrane system containing 16 Rd$_1$ LPS molecules in the outer leaflet (Piggot et al. 2011). We note here that, with longer simulations to the previously reported 200 ns, this Rd$_1$ LPS containing membrane system equilibrated to a slightly higher area per acyl chain for the LPS molecules. This is presumably due to the very slow diffusing LPS molecules taking substantially longer than typical phospholipid membranes to equilibrate. The manual addition of the outer core was performed using the VMD program (Humphrey & Dalke 1996). A 500 ns simulation of this system was performed in the NVT ensemble to allow for an equilibration of the new LPS structures without any artificial disruptions of the membrane packing due to the addition of the outer core sugars. Subsequently two 1 μs simulations were performed in the NPT ensemble to further equilibrate this system. The addition of the outer core led to an LPS area per acyl chain of 0.269±0.001 nm$^2$ and 0.265±0.001
nm² (averaged over the final 500 ns of the 1 µs simulations). Finally, the GROMACS program genconf was used to create a larger outer membrane system with 64 Ra LPS molecules in the outer leaflet, which was simulated for another 1 µs (LPS area per acyl chain of 0.267±0.001 nm² averaged over the final 500 ns).

**Simulation systems.** CTD only simulations for *AbOmpA, SeOmpA* and *NmRmpM* were performed using X-ray crystal structures (PDB: 3TD5, 4ERH and 1R1M respectively) and for *EcOmpA*, a homology model based on the crystal structure of *SeOmpA* was utilised. A PGN molecule containing two repeat units was docked to the binding site in the same orientation observed in the crystal complex of *AbOmpA*-pentapeptide (PDB: 3TD5) using the sculpting tool in PyMOL (Schrödinger LLC, 2010). This structure was solvated and counter-ions were added to neutralise the system. Short 100 ps equilibration simulations (NVT followed by NPT runs) were conducted with heavy atoms restrained. Each CTD was then simulated in triplicate for 500 ns with no restraints using different initial velocities.

The full length *EcOmpA* monomer and dimer models were built by attaching the N-terminal β-barrel from X-ray crystal structure (PDB: 1G90) to an 18-residue linker from an NMR structure of *K. pneumoniae* (PDB: 2K0L), which in turn was connected to a homology model of the CTD generated based on the crystal structure from *SeOmpA* (PDB: 4ERH) (Marcoux et al. 2014). The structure was inserted into a model of *E. coli* outer membrane using...
Due to the asymmetric nature of the outer membrane, during the insertion process the protein was resized by a factor of 0.3 in the plane of the membrane and the asymmetry option was applied to ensure an appropriate packing of the LPS around the protein.

A PGN chain consisting of ten repeating NAG-NAM-peptide units was constructed using the program VMD (Humphrey & Dalke 1996) to manually attach repeating units to one another in an appropriate orientation. This chain was solvated in a box constructed to have the same size in the x dimension as the outer membrane system. This size of system brought the two ends of the chain within close proximity across the periodic boundary in this x dimension. Therefore, to mimic a longer chain of PGN as would typically be found in vivo, the two ends of the ten repeat unit chain were linked together across the periodic boundary. This linking was performed by applying a harmonic bond (GROMACS bond type 6) with a distance of 0.3 nm and a relatively weak force constant of 222 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) nm\(^{-2}\) (i.e. 10 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) Å\(^{-2}\)), so as to allow flexibility within the chain structure. Subsequently, this system was simulated in the NVT ensemble for 150 ns, with 2 repeat simulations performed each using different starting velocities, to allow for an equilibration of the periodic chain and to ensure that the system was stable.

One of these PGN molecules containing the ten repeat units was docked onto the CTD of the membrane-inserted protein following the same method described for the CTD only simulations. The system was solvated and
neutralising ions were added. A similar equilibration protocol was performed before running two replicates of production simulation, each for 500 ns, with different initial velocities. 1 M MgCl$_2$ was added to the system for simulations at a high ionic strength.

**Simulation protocols.** All simulations were performed using the GROMACS package version 4.6.1 (Van Der Spoel et al. 2005; Hess et al. 2008), the GROMOS 54A7 force field (Schmid et al. 2011) and the SPC water model (Berendsen et al. 1981). The temperature was kept constant at 313 K using the Nose-Hoover thermostat with a time constant of 0.5 ps (Nosé 1984). The pressure was kept at 1 atm using the Parrinello-Rahman barostat with a time constant of 5 ps (Parrinello & Rahman 1981). The CTD only simulation was coupled to the barostat isotropically, while for the full-length OmpA simulation the semi-isotropic coupling was utilised. Electrostatic interactions were calculated using the Particle-Mesh Ewald (PME) method (Essmann et al. 1995) with short range cut-off of 0.9 nm. Van der Waals interactions were truncated at 1.4 nm with a long-range dispersion correction applied to the energy and pressure. The LINCS algorithm was used to constrain all bonds to allow a 2 fs time step for calculation (Hess et al. 1997).
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