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ABSTRACT

There are arguably many factors that affect the way a student learns. A recent report by the Higher Education Policy Institute (HEPI) and the Higher Education Academy (HEA) on student academic experience in the UK states that class size is an important factor in the quality of the student experience and that smaller class sizes are of greater educational benefit than lectures. This paper assesses research related to lectures, lecture attendance of medical students and their learning approaches in higher education outside the clinical setting. A questionnaire and focus groups were employed to gather quantitative and qualitative data. The results show that students value lectures in the curriculum alongside other teaching and learning methods.
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introduction

In the context of a globally-competitive higher education (Robertson 2010) there has been an increased emphasis on the learning and experiences of students at universities in the UK. For example, Woodall and colleagues (2014) reported that students in the UK are increasingly demonstrating customer-like behaviour and demanding more ‘value’ from institutions. One aspect of the student experience under investigation is lecture attendance.

Background Literature

Lectures are still the most common form of teaching in higher education (McGarr 2009). With large classes the teacher is able to deliver content to everyone simultaneously. Indeed, lectures are cost effective and involve less preparation in relation to other modes of teaching (Jones 2007; McGarr 2009).However, the literature is heavily weighted towards the disadvantages of lectures, which are reported to fail to engage students thus allowing them to become easily distracted (Bligh 2000; Jones 2007; McGarr 2009). Bligh (2000) found lectures worked best for teaching facts, not problem solving. He argues that students accept conclusions the teacher has given to them without encouraging critical analysis. It has been stated that students must learn to think, and lecturers should use more ‘active’ teaching to encourage this (Bligh 2000; Jones 2007).

Lecture attendance has been studied globally, with most of the literature comparing attendance to performance. Researchers tend to agree there is a relationship, but the strength and influence of confounding variables is debatable (Massingham and Herrington, 2006; Stance 2006). The overriding opinion is that attendance slightly increases assessment performance (Walbeek 2004). Studies have examined why students choose to attend lectures; Dolnicar (2004), for example, found the most common reasons for attending were to find out what material was relevant and to ensure nothing important was missed. Reasons for non-attendance are frequently related to lack of motivation and one study reported 60% of reasons involved poor motivation (Massingham and Harrington 2006; Moore et al. 2008).

A common theme discussed in the literature was the teacher’s influence. Gupta and Saks (2013) found the most common reasons for non-attendance were lecturer-related, with 87% saying the delivery was important or very important. Another reason mentioned was learning. Both Billings-Gagliardi and Mazor (2007) and Mattick et al (2007) found some medical students felt lectures did not match their learning style.

In 1960, Rita Dunn created the phrase ‘learning-style’, defining it as the way to learn material that is unique to the individual (Gurpinar et al. 2011). Since then numerous surveys have been compiled to assess the way individuals learn (Coffield et al. 2004). Although it is claimed the understanding of learning styles has multiple benefits, many authors highlight flaws in the concept and in some of the surveys themselves (Cassidy 2004; Coffield et al. 2004; Romanelli et al. 2009). Due to the complexity of learning styles and the literature surrounding them, further analysis and exploration of individual styles is beyond the scope of this article

One advantage is if teachers know how their students learn, pedagogy can be tailored to best suit their needs (Cassidy 2004; Coffield et al. 2004; Pashler et al. 2008). Teaching that matches a learner’s preferences is termed the ‘meshing hypothesis’ (Pashler et al. 2008 108). Yet this may also risk ‘pigeonholing’, inadvertently limiting the potential number of ways to learn. Interestingly, Romanelli et al (2009) proposed that a learning/teaching style mismatch may be beneficial by challenging students to adapt and experience different ways to learn.

However, researchers are undecided as to whether a learning style is a ‘state’ or ‘trait’; if it is a fixed attribute or changes according to the educational situation. Cassidy (2004) proposes that a person’s learning style does have structure, but can be altered by situations and experiences. Arguably the biggest weakness in the hypothesis is the lack of evidence and the unknown implications within education. Indeed most studies on the topic only have a small number of participants (Pashler et al. 2008). Although this does not necessarily mean learning styles do not exist, there are few robust studies supporting the concept and the surveys (Coffield et al. 2004; Pashler et al. 2008; Rohrer and Pashler 2012).

Learning and teaching can also be described as ‘active’ and ‘passive’. Passive learners memorise material and prefer lectures. Active learning involves the student using reflective thinking and it is considered the better way to learn (Michel et al. 2009; Wingfield and Black 2005). However, Michel and colleagues (2009) explain that comparing the two is difficult to quantify, with research focusing on student satisfaction, not performance. When they attempted to compare the two, they found active learning is not superior. Other studies also came to the same conclusion (Haidet et al. 2004; Wingfield and Black 2005). One cross-sectional study found participants rated active learning sessions as having less educational value (Haidet et al. 2004).

Marton and Säljö (1976) introduced the concepts of surface and deep levels of processing. This was later renamed ‘learning approaches’ (Webb 1997). Deep learning involves understanding and analysing ideas, whereas surface involves memorising and rote learning (Beattie et al. 1997). Strategic/achieving learning was added later by different academics; an approach driven by organisation and the desire for high grades (Mattick et al. 2004). Shukr et al. (2013) conclude a single method of teaching would only serve 60% of the cohort, so variety should be used. This type of learning appears to align itself with personal responsibility and interests being motivators for medical students e.g. the aspiration to be a competent doctor and to learn more about the vocation (Mattick et al. 2004).

Although lectures are a significant proportion of some medical schools’ teaching, some studies believe this is not the best way for active or deep learners to learn (Lujan and DiCarlo 2006). Shukr et al (2013) conclude a single method of teaching would only serve 60% of the cohort, so variety should be used. Gurpinar et al (2011) examined whether medical students’ learning changed, but found 51% are stable over the first 2 years of the course. However, the authors acknowledge learning could have changed further on in their training.

Studies have found medical students feel lectures do not ‘suit’ their preferred way of learning and attendance can be low in these groups (Billings-Gagliardi and Mazor 2007; Mattick et al. 2007). Although there is separate literature on medical students’ learning and on attendance, there have been no studies that explore both, and if they relate to students’ attitudes and preferences for teaching sessions. Research in these areas could provide medical schools with information about how their students learn and want to be taught, contributing to the field of medical education and inform educational policy.

The aim of this study is to explore medical students’ perceptions of lectures, whether they are helpful or unhelpful for their learning and whether these perceptions differ between groups of students with varying levels of attendance. These aims will be addressed through the following research questions:

* Do medical students perceive lectures to helpful or unhelpful for their learning?
* Are students’ perceptions of lectures affected by the level of attendance?

Methods

A mixed-method approach was employed which involved distribution of a questionnaire followed by focus groups. The questionnaires enabled the collection of data from two sizeable cohorts of students providing a breadth of data. The questionnaire responses were analysed and used to underpin the focus group framework to facilitate further exploration of key areas relevant to the study, extending the questionnaire data.

A questionnaire was distributed to first- and second-year undergraduate medical students in one university. The questionnaire contained questions taken from the Grasha-Reichmann Student Learning-Style Survey (Reichmann 1974) that related to learning approaches. Questions about teaching session attendance and preference were also included. A 5-point Likert scale (strongly agree, agree, neither agree or disagree, disagree, strongly disagree) was used to rate student responses to the questions, for example ‘*Most of what I know I learned on my own’.* This paper presents selected questions from the questionnaire. Students were also able to write free text comments.

A paper copy of the questionnaire was distributed at the end of four lectures – two for year 1 and two for year 2. In order to capture non-attenders, an email was sent to everyone in both years which contained a link to an online version of the questionnaire. Students were asked to confirm on the email that they had not completed a paper copy at a lecture. A follow-up email was sent two weeks after the first was sent out. Students were given the opportunity to volunteer to participate in a focus group after completing the questionnaire. Responses from the questionnaires were used to inform the focus group discussion topics.

Focus groups were audio-recorded and each group lasted approximately one hour. In addition to obtaining ethical approval from the University Ethics Committee and assuring all participants that their information would remain anonymous to protect their identity, they were provided with an information sheet and asked to provide their consent. This confirmed their understanding of the process and their right to withdraw at any time without needing to justify their decision. Audio recordings of the focus group were made and transcribed by the researcher to enable detailed and accurate transcripts for coding. It should be noted that context is an important consideration when analyzing transcripts and comments from focus groups. The group environment may itself influence the nature of the comments; this may be through persuasion or indirect influence. Comments may be the result of challenges and justifications with a group discussion and these group interactions must be taken into account in the process of data analysis (Krueger 2015).

Transcripts were analysed using thematic analysis. To minimize researcher bias the transcripts were independently analysed, line-by-line and statements were labelled with codes, by 3 researchers. The codes were discussed by these researchers and a coding framework was created from which themes and sub-themes were then developed. The themes were reviewed and the transcripts re-read to find any new segments that needed to be coded. Quotations were then chosen to provide further explanation of the students’ perspectives.

Results

In total, 260 questionnaires were collected (154 females, 106 males), including 135 questionnaires from year one and 125 from year two. Years one and two have a combined total of 402 students.

In addition to the questionnaire, two focus groups were held with 5 students from year one (3 females, 2 males) and 8 from year two (5 females, 3 males) attending.

Table 1

\* On the questionnaire students were instructed that the term ‘class’ or ‘classroom’ should be read as ‘lecture’ or ‘lecture theatre’

The study produced a questionnaire response rate of 64.7% and of those only 10 low attending students completed the survey. Some of the 10 low attending students left descriptions in the comment box about how they prefer to learn and their opinions on lectures, but none were interested in participating in a focus group. The 2 focus groups contained students who attend at least half of all teaching sessions.

Figure 1

The majority of students from all the attendance categories either agreed or strongly agreed that lectures were worthwhile (Figure 1). The reasons for this were described in the focus groups primarily in terms of lectures as a way to obtain a broad understanding of the topic. As one student explained, lectures were a way of introducing new words and ideas to students:

*It’s the first time you’re exposed to that information, it’s easy not to get it. But what it does to is expose you to the language. You’re hearing all these words crop up. For me lectures are a way of making things a bit familiar for the first time.*

Other comments were similar to this, presenting lectures as helpful for, ‘getting the big picture, getting the general understanding’ and that a lecture, ‘starts the foundation of understanding’.

The practical benefits of lectures were also acknowledged, as was variation in lecture delivery depending on the lecturer:

*I think it’s the only practical way of teaching a large group of people something for someone who doesn’t have much time but has a wealth of knowledge. Obviously it varies in style and there are some lecturers who are much better at it than others.*

However there was also acknowledged a difference in the number of lectures in year 1 compared to year 2, which for one student was perceived to be at odds with the university lifestyle:

*First year is really heavy on lectures. They have so many lectures, I mean when it’s your first year of university, it makes it difficult to get involved with uni. Yeah, we’re here to be doctors… But you’re here for a uni experience as well.*

For another student there was no option but to find them worthwhile as they did not feel they had a choice: *‘*I’m happy because I have no choice but to be happy with it’.

Figure 2

Figure 2 shows that for all attendance groups the majority of students showed disagreement or a neutral response to the statement that lecture (classroom) activities are generally boring. However, explanations for finding lectures boring focused mainly on the length of time students spent in lectures. For example, as one student commented:

*It’s so soul-destroying going into a lecture theatre and sitting there for 4 hours and then coming out and it’s a different time of day…*

As other students alluded to, concentration could not always be maintained for the periods of time that lectures were scheduled. As one student put it, ‘I’m there but I’m not mentally there’. Furthermore, ‘guest’ lecturers unaware that students would be attending numerous lectures in a row could exacerbate this.

*Like back-to-back lectures. I’m ‘off’ by the second or third lecture. These lecturers who come for their one lecture a year, they don’t know the system.*

A number of responses suggested that experiencing a mixture of lectures and other teaching sessions was welcomed. Some directly compared the boredom of lectures with tutorials:

*…in a lecture it’s really easy to doze off for a large proportion of the time. Whereas in a tutorial you’re more likely to be picked on, or asked a question at any point so you’re more likely to pay attention and take more in.*

Even moving between different physical spaces appeared to help maintain student concentration and motivation. For example, as one student put it:

*Sometimes just a case of a change in environment where, cos if you’re in LT1 or 2 (sic) all day, then they all blur into one. And I think things like that, in the same way that anatomy breaks things up, it’s just a different environment, and that, to me, is partly why I like it a bit more.*

Figure 3

The students with the lowest attendance showed the strongest agreement with the statement that most of what they know they learned on their own (Figure 3). The focus group data highlighted many ways that students learned on their own. In keeping with earlier comments, it was recognised by one student that the lecture introduces concepts, which then have to be researched independently:

*Every single lecture there’s lots of things I don’t understand, but that’s because I’m seeing it for the first time, and I think every time I go home and look it up, I think ‘oh, that’s the answer to my question’. I think it’s a waste of time asking a question that I could just look up in a book anyway.*

Alternative ways of learning included finding different ways to keep their notes:

*Yeah flashcards. If the lecture says ‘the enzyme X does, catalyses this reaction’ then I write on one side ‘what catalyses this reaction?’ or ‘what does enzyme X do?’ …It forces you to think about things. I find if I write up my notes I can read it and think ‘I know that’ but actually, whether or not it’s actually processed, that’s what makes me think about it, when I write little questions and the answer on the other side…*

Others used technology and YouTube was mentioned frequently as a source of useful information.

*YouTube is very good for things like that. If I don’t understand something, almost before I look it up in a textbook I look it up on YouTube.*

One student considered the process of learning a personal one and that the methods of learning need to be adapted according to the learners’ needs:

*I think you adapt to what you’re given. If you’re not great at learning from lectures, if you’re of the same mind-set as us you’ll go to the lecture and adapt it to your style. So you’ll go home, make the flashcards, write the mind maps, write it up on the board, you change it for you.*

Other students adapted the methods of learning to suit their mental condition. For example, this student, in common with a number of others, used YouTube when they did not feel energetic enough to do other things.

*I used YouTube before my exams, especially after I gone over my lecture slides once and drawn out mind maps, if I couldn’t face doing any more work I’d just sit through some videos. I found that quite a good way of consolidating it.*

Indeed the process of consolidating learning was referred to a number of times. Less frequently mentioned was the need to learn with other students. One such mention was in addition to using technology as well:

*For me it’s the discussing it, and talking about it I learn from. I learn from talking about it, talking it through and then, but I do love watching YouTube videos with people drawing things out.*

For some students, learning was a journey of discovery and learning approaches could change depending on the task:

*I feel I’m finding my best ways. I have weeks where I feel it’s easiest to just copy it out, others where I think it’s really good to do it on a whiteboard. Other times I feel it will help watching YouTube videos or an anatomy App that helps. I’m still finding my best way, in some ways. But I also vary.*

An additional comment suggested that some students would rather learn independently than attend lectures at all:

*Some people just genuinely don’t like lectures. They still get really good results in the exams, because their method of learning is to sit with the book and go through it.*

Indeed, this was a view expressed by another student who stated that:

*At long as you understand the general concepts, you’ve gone to all the lectures, you’re keeping up. That’s how I’d rather spend my time at uni, because I do a lot of other stuff.*

Figure 4

Figure 4 shows that more students from the lower attendance category felt an obligation to attend lectures. Perhaps unsurprisingly, the main reason for attending lectures was that important information would be presented for exams or assignments:

*But I’m scared that if I miss a lecture I might miss something important. I’m a bit geeky.*

There was also a suggestion that there is a ‘type’ of student who is more selective about whether they attend lectures. This student appeared to have tried this approach to learning in the past:

*I’m not the type to look at the beginning of the day and think ‘should I go in?’ The only time I’ve done it I missed one of the most important lectures, so I’m not doing it again!*

Another reason for attending lectures was the financial investment of university education. Although not all students mentioned this, benefitting from what had been paid for was important to some:

*Obviously if I was ill I wouldn’t, and sometimes I’ve thought ‘I really didn’t need to come to this, cos it was really pointless’, but I make myself go as I’m paying for it.*

An important factor for a few students was the lecture slides made available prior to the lecture. There was a sense that staff and students could use this to determine attendance rates. This student explains that some students would attend lectures depending on how much information was presented on the slides:

*But sometimes you look at lecture slides online – I mean I go anyway – but I can see why people wouldn’t, cos you see why people feel like they have to. You look at lectures slides online, it’s like 2, 3 words in a heading – that’s it. There’s no other extra info, so you have to go to the lecture.*

For other students there was a personal feeling that they should attend lectures, whether it was out of guilt (‘*I feel really guilty if I don’t go.’)* or more a matter of student identity. For example, as one student described, ‘*It just feels like something a uni student does. You go to lectures’*

Figure 5

It can be seen in Figure 5 that all attendance groups agreed that everyone has a responsibility to get as much out of the course as possible. This was reflected in the comments made about responsibility during the focus groups, which were generally in favour of students accepting their own. With regards lecture attendance, the following conversation demonstrates the need for some students to be in control:

*R: Do you think lectures should be compulsory?*

*1: I think it defeats the object of being in control of your own learning.*

Other students had quite strong views about their own responsibility, with one explaining that it was out of a duty and pointed out that it is they who pay for the course:

*You go to it out of a sense of duty. Because you think it might help in some way or it might crop up in the exam. And if I don’t go it’s more my fault. I’m taking responsibility for my own learning. I paid for this!*

However, it was more likely for year 2 students to point out how responsibility for learning changes as the student progresses through the course.

*There’s a point in first year where you have to accept that it’s self-directed. You can get really annoyed at the medical school for not giving you enough direction, but when it comes down to it, you are going to leave it til a few weeks before, and you are going to sort it out yourself. But it’s down to you.*

Another reason for taking responsibility for learning was that it signalled a professional attitude. This explanation is presented in the following two quotes, both concerning attendance at lectures. As these illustrate, the job of a doctor will be to work at unsociable hours and therefore getting into a routine of attending classes was perceived by some to be good preparation for this:

*It’s a bad attitude: not turning up. As doctors, we’ll be expected to turn up to things whether we like it or not.*

*We may as well actually get into the routine of bothering to get up in the mornings. I had a tutor for my pathology who said ‘I’ve had to get up a 7am every day for the past week, that’s unreasonable’. That’s a 4th year student! It’s a bad attitude. It’s not professional. You’re going into a career that’s particularly important that you turn up and do weird hours. You may as well get into the routine of going to things you don’t want to go to.*

There was also an acknowledgement that despite the efforts of institutions and staff to provide the necessary resources for learning, some students may always find a reason to raise issues.

*You give them [students] a lot of resources and they’re like ‘oh there’s too much to do’, but when you don’t give them a lot of resources they’re like ‘oh my god it’s all self-directed learning… You can never please students.*

In keeping with earlier quotes, there was also mention of responsibility to learn as part of the adult learner identity. As one student stated this was about choice and accepting that this choice of taking responsibility was also for the individual to make: ‘You’re meant to be adult learner. You’re meant to choose what you want to do’.

DISCUSSION

This study explored students’ perceptions of the usefulness of lectures to their learning and whether these perceptions differ between groups of students with varying levels of attendance. The recent HEPI HEA report stated students perceive less educational benefit of lectures compared to smaller class sizes, yet the majority of students in this study found lectures to be worthwhile with the highest attendees valuing them the most. However, these results do not determine the causality of the relationship between attendance and valuing lectures; it may be that the effort of attending enhances students’ perception of the value of

lectures. Alternatively, it could be that students already value lectures and that drives their attendance.

Students were encouraged to discuss their opinions on the purpose of lectures. Many students mentioned how lectures are useful for gaining a broad understanding of a topic. Lectures provided a foundation, and finer details would be learnt away from the lecture theatre using a variety of methods. This has been seen in previous studies. For example, Hubbard (2007) found that 73% of engineering students attend lectures to gain a general idea on the subject.Dolnicar (2004) also investigated reasons for attending. The most common was to find out relevant material, with 45% of students agreeing they attend to learn essential material.

The students’ fear of missing ‘important’ information in a lecture has been noted in other papers; Dolnicar (2004) found that 72% of students attend to avoid missing content, and Billings-Gagliardi and Mazor (2007) discovered this reason amongst high-attending students. Students in our study believed that the availability of lecture notes affected attendance. Mattick et al. (2007) investigated lecture non-attendance and did not note this. However, the 2014 report from the HEPI and HEA concluded that online lecture notes did in fact decrease attendance (Soilemetzidis et al. 2014).

Many of the students in this study discussed difficulty maintaining their concentration, either throughout one lecture or when there are many lectures back-to-back. It has previously been claimed that a student’s attention declines over time (Aaron and Skakun 1999; Coffield et al. 2004), and that this occurs after the first 10 minutes (McGarr 2009). However, Wilson et al (2007) found there is little scientific evidence to support this claim.

Although it may seem counterproductive to attend a lecture without paying full attention, participants provided explanations for their high attendance. Some discussed feelings of ‘guilt’ if they missed teaching, and others mentioned the financial aspect. These reasons have not been reported in the literature.

Previous studies have found that students believe the lecturer is important for the success of a lecture (Billings-Gagliardi and Mazor, 2007; Gupta and Saks 2013; Mattick et al. 2007).

We established aspects of lectures that can be pivotal to whether students find them useful. The most significant was regarding the lecturer, which included their method of delivery. Some students discussed certain lecturers whose teaching style they particularly appreciated.

Although the students in the focus groups had high attendance, they generally believed that not all students benefit from attending lectures. Both Billings-Gagliardi and Mazor (2007) and Mattick et al (2007) have previously found that some medical students feel lectures do not match their preferred way of learning, although the way students did prefer to learn was unclear.Despite their learning approaches, the majority of students from all attendance groups agreed they had a responsibility to get as much out of the course as possible; agreement of the high attenders group was strongest.

Aside from lectures, we found how students like to learn away from the lecture theatre. A main finding was that most of the volunteers used online resources to support their learning. However, they would also use visual aids such as mind-maps, or audio recordings. Lujan and Dicarlo (2006) found that only 36.1% of first year students favour one style of information presentation. Similarly to this students in our study would not always use the same learning method, attributing a change in approach to the way they are feeling, or the educational situation. Although there has been plenty of previous research on the use of specific e-Learning packages (Khogali et al. 2011),there is little literature on medical students’ learning through external online resources such as YouTube.

This study obtained a high response rate and employed mixed methods. However, a limitation of this research is the small number of low attending students who participated. As a consequence we are still unsure about how low attending students prefer to learn. Future research could try to capture the thoughts and views of these low attenders.

This article demonstrates that medical students still value lectures as a key aspect of their learning experience, particularly valuing lectures that are well-delivered. They stated that lectures are particularly useful for establishing key concepts and foundations of knowledge. Students see lectures as part of a learning package, used alongside other learning strategies such as lecture notes, mind-maps, audio-recordings and online resources and videos to aid their understanding. Differences in groups with different levels of attendance were seen, with lower attenders finding lectures more boring and feeling a greater obligation to attend alongside taking less responsibility for their own learning. Finally it is worth considering that lectures may fulfil a wider remit than conveying information, such as helping students feel a part of a learning community, giving them a sense of belonging and identity as a University student.
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