1 Exome Analysis of Rare and Common Variants within the NOD Signaling

2 Pathway

3

- 4 Gaia Andreoletti¹, Valentina Shakhnovich^{2,3}, Kathy Christenson², Tracy Coelho^{1,4}, Rachel
- 5 Haggarty⁵, Nadeem A Afzal⁴, Akshay Batra⁴, Britt-Sabina Petersen⁶, Matthew Mort⁷, R Mark
- 6 Beattie⁴, Sarah Ennis^{*1}

7 Affiliations

- 8 ¹Human Genetics & Genomic Medicine, University of Southampton, Duthie Building
- 9 (Mailpoint 808), Southampton General Hospital, Southampton, SO16 6YD, UK
- ²The Children's Mercy Hospital, Division of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition;
- 11 Kansas City, MO, USA
- ³The Children's Mercy Hospital, Division of Clinical Pharmacology, Toxicology and
- 13 Therapeutic Innovation; Kansas City, MO, USA
- ⁴Southampton Children's Hospital, University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust,
- 15 Southampton General Hospital, Tremona Road, Southampton, SO16 6YD, UK
- ⁵NIHR Nutrition Biomedical Research Centre, Southampton Centre for Biomedical Research,
- 17 University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust (Mailpoint 218), Southampton
- 18 General Hospital, Southampton, SO16 6YD, UK
- ⁶Institute of Clinical Molecular Biology, Christian-Albrechts-University of Kiel, University
- 20 Hospital, Schleswig-Holstein, Schittenhelmstr 12, 24105 Kiel, Germany
- ⁷ Cardiff University School of Medicine, Institute of Medical Genetics Building, Heath Park,
- 22 Cardiff CF14 4XN, UK

23 Corresponding author

- 24 *Prof Sarah Ennis
- 25 Human Genetics & Genomic Medicine
- 26 University of Southampton
- 27 Duthie Building (Mailpoint 808)
- 28 Southampton General Hospital
- 29 Southampton
- 30 SO16 6YD
- 31 Tel: +44 (0)23 8120 8614
- 32 Fax: +44 (0)23 8120 4264
- 33 s.ennis@southampton.ac.uk

35 36 37 38 39 40	Contributors GA was responsible for analysis, interpretation of data, drafting of the manuscript, critical revision of article and final approval. TC, RMB, AB, NA and RH were responsible for acquisition of data, critical revision and final approval of article. VS, KC and BSP were responsible for acquisition of replication data, critical revision of article and final approval. MM was responsible for interpretation of data, critical revisions and final approval. SE was responsible for conception, design, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data, drafting, revision and approval of the final manuscript
42	
43	Conflict of Interest Statement
44 45 46	We confirm that this manuscript has not been published elsewhere, and is not under consideration by another journal. All the authors have read and approved the manuscript, and there is no ethical problem or conflict of interest with regard to this manuscript.
47	
48	
49	Keywords
50	Whole-exome sequencing, NOD2, NOD signaling pathway, rare variants, SKAT-O, , BIRC2
51	pediatric inflammatory bowel disease
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	
61	
62	
63	

Abstract 64 Pediatric inflammatory bowel disease (pIBD) is a chronic heterogeneous disorder. 65 This study looks at the burden of common and rare coding mutations within 41 genes 66 comprising the NOD signaling pathway in pIBD patients. 67 136 pIBD and 106 control samples underwent whole-exome sequencing. We compared the 68 burden of common, rare and private mutation between these two groups using the SKAT-O 69 test. An independent replication cohort of 33 cases and 111 controls was used to validate 70 significant findings. 71 72 We observed variation in 40 of 41 genes comprising the NOD signaling pathway. Four genes were significantly associated with disease in the discovery cohort (BIRC2 p=0.004, NFKB1 73 p= 0.005, NOD2 p=0.029 and SUGT1 p=0.047). Statistical significance was replicated for 74 BIRC2 (p=0.041) and NOD2 (p=0.045) in an independent validation cohort. A gene based 75 test on the combined discovery and replication cohort confirmed association for BIRC2 76 (p=0.030).77 We successfully applied burden of mutation testing that jointly assesses common and rare 78 variants, identifying two previously implicated genes (NFKB1 and NOD2) and confirmed a 79 80 possible role in disease risk in a previously unreported gene (BIRC2). The identification of 81 this novel gene provides a wider role for the inhibitor of apoptosis gene family in IBD

83

82

pathogenesis.

84

Introduction

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is an umbrella term for a group of complex and multifactorial illnesses: Crohn's disease (CD), ulcerative colitis (UC) and inflammatory bowel disease unclassified (IBDU). The etiology of IBD is multi-genic and environmentally triggered, but generally accepted to occur as a result of an inappropriate immune response to the normal gut flora in genetically predisposed individuals.² Since the discovery of NOD2 in 2001 as the first susceptibility gene for IBD³, over 200 loci have been associated with IBD risk in humans through genome wide association studies (GWAS).^{4,5} GWAS have provided substantial insight into the understanding of the biology of complex diseases by providing robust and replicated evidence for autophagy², immune response² and bacterial recognition² patterns. However, an intrinsic limitation of these studies is their focus on common variation, typically those with a minor allele frequency (MAF) \geq 5% in the general population. The combined contribution of these common mutations to IBD heritability only account for 13.6% of CD and 8.2% of UC, respectively.6 It is hypothesized that low frequency (MAF of 0.05 -5%) and rare (MAF \leq 0.05%) variation may contribute significantly towards some fraction of the missing heritability of IBD. 6-8 Recent technological advances in DNA sequencing have made it possible to sequence large tracts of the genome in a cost-effective manner. This has enabled large-scale studies of the impact of rare variants on complex diseases.9 Whole-exome (WES) and whole genome sequencing (WGS) have improved the understanding of genetic cause of diseases by revealing variants not captured by GWAS.¹⁰ It is estimated that ~85% of disease-causing mutations reside within the coding regions of the genome.¹¹ Therefore, targeting these expressed regions of the genome represents the most cost-effective means to uncover causal disease genes. 12

Pediatric onset IBD (pIBD) presents with unique phenotypic characteristics and pronounced severity compared to adult-onset disease.¹³ PIBD is more often characterized by extensive intestinal involvement, rapid early progression and a high rate of resistance to conventional therapy. 1 Moreover, early-onset IBD has a stronger familial component than adult disease. 1 These combined features indicate a stronger genetic component to pIBD compared to IBD diagnosed in adulthood. GWAS are powered to assess common genetic variation in large patient cohorts that are often composed of adults, in order to amass sizeable patient groups. Large cohorts of patients with disease onset in childhood are less easily ascertained and also likely enriched for rare or private variation of large effect.¹⁴ Approximately 300 genes have been prioritized within the 200 loci determined through adult studies and only less than half have been replicated in a small number of pediatric studies. 15,16 To date, 51 genes have been associated with monogenic disease manifesting in an early onset IBD-like phenotype. 17,18 Homozygous mutations in the interleukin 10 receptor (IL10) gene and its associated receptor alpha and beta subunits (IL10RA and IL10RB) have been associated with children presenting very-early-onset IBD (VEO, age of onset < 6 years). 19,20 The discovery of the disease causal mutations helped to personalize treatments inducing a sustained remission in the patients. 19,20 The investigation of a child with intractable IBD using whole-exome analysis by Worthey et al. 21 found a hemizygous mutation in the gene Xlinked inhibitor of apoptosis (XIAP). The same mutation was confirmed in the asymptomatic mother. Based on these findings, this patient underwent hematopoietic stem cell progenitor transplantation with a resolution of symptoms and sustained remission following this targeted treatment approach.²¹

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

133	XIAP belongs to the in inhibitor of apoptosis protein (IAP) family (comprising XIAP, BIRC2
134	and BIRC3) that plays a role in regulation of the innate immune response through ubiquiting
135	ligase activity, TNF survival, inflammatory and death signaling pathways. ^{22,23} IAP proteins
136	mediate the downstream signaling of pattern-recognition receptors such as NOD1 and NOD2
137	after response to bacterial pathogens. ²⁴
138	The NOD signaling pathway, Figure 1, is involved in gram-negative and gram-positive
139	peptidoglycan recognition. NOD1 and NOD2 proteins are highly conserved cytoplasmatic
140	receptors that sense microbial effectors. Activation of NOD receptors leads to downstream
141	activation of multiple molecules including mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK) and
142	nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF-κB). ²⁵
143	In this study, we hypothesize that rare and private genetic variation across genes involved in
144	the NOD signaling pathway may contribute to childhood onset IBD. We interrogate WES
145	data to extract all genetic variation across the frequency spectrum in a pIBD cohort and
146	evaluate the joint effect of rare and common variants with a gene-based statistical test
147	(SKAT-O ²⁶). We further validate our findings in an independent cohort.
148	
149	
150	
151	
152	

Results

PCA procedure removed 10 cases and 20 controls reducing the final number of cases to 136 and controls to 106 within the discovery cohort (Supplementary Figure 1). The analysis revealed no outliers in the replication cohort. (Supplementary Figure 2 and Supplementary Figure 3).

Mutations were identified in either cases and/or controls in all but one gene (*CCL5*) from the NOD signaling pathway in the discovery cohort ($n_{cases} = 136$ and $n_{controls} = 106$). A total of 250 variants (Supplementary Table 2) that occurred in at least one individual (either case or control) across 41 genes were called in order to extract and create the VCF file for all 242 individuals. We observed 67 novel variants, 94 rare variants with a MAF 1000 genome project (1KG) < 1%, 41 low frequency mutations ($1\% \le MAF_{1KG} \ge 5\%$) and 48 common mutations ($MAF_{1KG} > 5\%$). The majority of these variants would not have been detected or interrogated using array technology or traditional association studies.

Variants within the NOD2 gene

Across the 126 pIBD cases and 85 controls within the discovery cohort, we observed 31 mutations over 12 exons of the *NOD2* gene. Of these, 26 had a MAF < 0.05 across the cohort (Table 1). Eight mutations were identified in or proximal to the caspase recruitment (CARD) domain, 16 in the nucleotide-binding oligomerization (NBD) domain and seven in the leucine-rich (LRR) domain (Figure 2). In addition to the known IBD biomarkers, Arg702Trp, Gly908Arg and Leu1007fsinsC 3,27 , we observed two novel variants, 20 rare (MAF $_{1KG}$ < 0.01), two low frequency (0.01 \leq MAF $_{1KG}$ \leq 0.05) and four common mutations (MAF $_{1KG}$ > 0.05) (Table 1). Ten of the 26 mutations were annotated as deleterious by SIFT and 13 are

described in HGMD as pathogenic.²⁸ Twenty six (out of 31) mutations observed would not have been assessed in any GWAS due to their rarity.

Gene based burden of mutation testing in the discovery cohort

The gene-based test for assessing the combined association of novel, rare and common mutation with disease status showed significant evidence for association with four genes across the discovery cohort (*BIRC2*, *NFKB1*, *NOD2*, and *SUGT1* see Table 2). *NFKB1* (p=0.005) and *NOD2* (p=0.029) are known IBD associated genes. *SUGT1* is a previously unreported gene but has borderline significance only (p=0.047). Combined variation in *BIRC2* is more significantly associated (p=0.004) with IBD in our discovery cohort than any other genes. This gene has not been previously implicated by association studies.

Replication of the gene based burden of mutation test in the validation cohort

We aim to conduct a replication analysis of the four gene identified as significant in the discovery phase using a replication cohort ($n_{cases} = 33$; $n_{controls} = 111$). A total of 13 variants were identified across the regions sequenced in the *NFKB1*, *BIRC2*, *NOD2* gene. No variant was observed in *SUGT1* in the replication cohort and therefore SKAT-O test was not conducted on this gene. SKAT-O test showed independent statistical association for *BIRC2* (p=0.041) and *NOD2* (p=0.045) but was not powered to detect significant association for *NFKB1* (p=0.223), Table 3. The gene based test on the combined discovery and replication cohort ($n_{cases} = 169$ and $n_{controls} = 217$) showed statistical association for *NOD2* (p=0.011), *NFKB1* (p=0.017) and *BIRC2* (p=0.030), Table 3.

Discussion

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

Since 2005 next generation sequencing (NGS) has proven to be an effective technology for the study of rare and low frequency mutations within disease-associated genes.²⁹ More than 100 types of Mendelian disorders have been studied using WES with a diagnostic rate of success of 25%-30%. This success represents a substantially higher rate than that afforded by classical clinical genetic testing such as karyotyping (<5%) or array comparative genomic hybridization (~15%–20%).³⁰ The combination of traditional genetic testing and WES/WGS technology has rapidly accelerated the discovery of new disease-associated genes underlying Mendelian traits: from an average of 166 per year between 2005³⁰ and 2009 to 236 per year between 2010 and 2014³⁰, with the numbers increasing every year. WES/WGS has made gene discovery for all phenotypes feasible and cost effective.³⁰ The rapid growth and success of the next generation sequencing technologies in Mendelian traits has brought a great interest in their application to complex traits. WES and WGS have enable diagnosis and alternative treatment in patients with monogenic IBD. 18 In our study we applied WES and the SKAT-O statistical test on a discovery cohort of 242 individuals. We conducted the analysis with no assumption with regard to IBD diagnosis (CD, UC or IBDU) because in half of the families recruited in the study we observed mixed diagnoses reflecting the substantial genetic overlap between IBD subtypes. Although our data were derived from whole exome sequencing, we did not conduct SKAT-O on all gene across the exome due to our modest sample size. Instead, we targeted our analysis to all 41 genes across the NOD signaling pathway removing the requirement of an exome-wide significance threshold.³¹ We chose to select the most significantly associated genes and to replicate their significance in an independent replication cohort. A limitation of the replication analysis was the use of data gleaned from different sources. Although an established method to take into

account such differences is not yet available,^{32,33} we minimized bias by analyzing only variants that occurred in the regions common to all capture kits.

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

Despite a modest cohort size, we detected significant association in four genes and replicated significant association for two genes (*NOD2* and *BIRC2*).

NOD2 is the earliest gene implicated in IBD pathogenesis and the most strongly associated in association studies with IBD.34 Polymorphisms within NOD2 are known to increase the risk of developing CD. 35 NOD2 patient carriers of one of the three allelic biomarker variants have an increased risk of developing CD: heterozygous carriers have a 2-4-fold increased risk of CD, while homozygous or compound heterozygous carriers have a 20-40-fold increased risk.³⁴The association for *NOD2* was solely driven by the three known biomarkers (Table S3). BIRC2 (Figure 3) belongs to a gene family (XIAP, BIRC2 (also known as cIAP1) and BIRC3 (also known as cIAP2)) encoding three conserved proteins characterized by the presence of 1-3 baculovirus IAP repeat (BIR) motifs. 36 XIAP is located on the X chromosome while BIRC2 and BIRC3 are both located on chromosome 11. Several studies have demonstrated the importance of these genes in regulating the expression of proinflammatory cytokines, such as TNFα, through NF-κB and MAPK pathways primarily through their ubiquitin-ligase activity. XIAP, BIRC2 and BIRC3 are key players in regulating the NOD1 and NOD2 signaling pathway by directly promoting RIPK2 ubiquitylation and they facilitate activation of NF-κB pathway to promote cell survival.³⁷ Cellular studies on BIRC2, BIRC3 and XIAP deficient macrophages were defective for MAPKs and NF-κB activation.^{23,38} This defect in the NOD signaling was also further observed in vivo in BIRC2, BIRC3 and XIAP knockout murine IBD models.³⁸ BIRC2 and BIRC3 are inhibitors of the Fas signaling cascade in human intestinal cell line.²³ The expression profile of BIRC3 was further investigated in 14 UC patients indicating an overexpression in colonic specimens during disease flares.³⁹ Additional

studies on the interleukin (IL)-11 expression suggested a possible protective role of IAP, indicating that an over-expression of the IAP proteins could promote healing of the gut. 40 It is therefore feasible that mutations within these genes might impact gut healing and contribute to flares in IBD. Six variants within BIRC2 were observed in the discovery cohort across 15 cases and 4 controls. Three of these were novel (p.112 113del, p.S154A and p.G517E), two were rare (p.K516E and p.S318S) and one was low frequency (p.A506V,). Across the 15 cases (four with CD, four with IBDU and seven with UC), four were diagnosed aged < 6 years, seven had a positive family history for IBD and nine were diagnosed with a second autoimmune condition other than IBD. While our observed enrichment of variation within BIRC2 directly implicates this gene in pediatric IBD, further functional analyses are necessary for a comprehensive understanding of the role of individual variants in this protein and their wider impact on the signaling pathway. While mutations in XIAP are known to cause up to 4% of male early onset IBD, it is has been postulated that BIRC2 and BIRC3 might contribute to IBD pathogenesis by regulating the inflammatory cascade through their ubiquitin-ligase activity, our findings are the first to directly implicate this genes in pIBD.⁴¹ Novel drugs that mimic the natural endogenous inhibitor of the IAP (the mitochondriaderived activator of caspases, SMAC) have been proposed to suppress the pro-inflammatory immune response in the gastro-intestinal tract for patient with moderate to severe disease activity. 42 It is possible that patients harboring BIRC2 mutations may benefit from new treatments targeting IAP expression and function. Further studies are required to assess the role of targeted therapy in the clinical management of these patients.

270

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

271

273	Conclusions
274	A gene based burden of mutation test for association using sequencing data on a small cohort
275	have supported the involvement of NFKB1 and NOD2 in the pathogenesis of IBD and have
276	confirmed a role for BIRC2 in the pathogenesis of disease. This is the first study highlighting
277	the role of BIRC2 in IBD through targeted exome sequencing.
278	
279	
280	
281	
282	
283	
284	
285	
286	
287	
288	
289	
290	
291	

Methods

292

293

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

Ethics statement

- This study was approved by the Southampton and South West Hampshire Research Ethics
- 295 Committee (REC) (09/H0504/125) and University Hospital Southampton Foundation Trust
- 296 Research & Development (RHM CHI0497).
- This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at The Children's Mercy
- 298 Hospital (IRB #15050179).
- All methods were conducted in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations.
- Written informed consent was obtained for every participant.

Cases and samples

For the discovery cohort, patients were recruited through pediatric gastroenterology clinics at University Hospital Southampton (UHS), a regional center providing tertiary pediatric gastroenterology and endoscopy service for the Wessex region in Southern England. Written informed consent was provided by an attending parent or legal guardian for all pediatric recruits. All children aged < 18 at the point of diagnosis were eligible for recruitment to the study. The mean age of the cohort was 10.97 years (min 1 – max 17 years). Diagnosis was established using the Porto criteria. Clinical data were recorded for each patient including family history of IBD and any history of autoimmune disease. We accessed control samples through our local database of germline exome sequence data for 126 unrelated patients with no inflammatory-related disease.

We used an independent replication cohort derived from the Children's Mercy Kansas City IBD cohort and the Critical Assessment of Genome Interpretation (CAGI, 2013)⁴³ dataset to

validate significant results from the discovery cohort. The Children's Mercy Kansas City cohort consists of 43 whole-exome individuals of which 13 are independent IBD patients and 1 control; the CAGI dataset is composed of 66 whole-exomes datasets (in VCF format) of which 20 are unrelated adult CD patients and 8 are unrelated healthy controls. We merged 102 additional whole-genome control samples of British ethnicity from the 1KG phase 3 dataset⁴⁴ resulting in the retention of 33 unrelated cases and 111 independent controls for subsequent analysis in the validation cohort.

Discovery cohort DNA extraction

Genomic DNA for each of the Southampton patients undergoing exome sequencing was extracted from saliva or peripheral venous blood samples collected in EDTA using the salting out method. DNA concentration was estimated using the Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer and α 260:280 ratio calculated using a nanodrop spectrophomter. The average DNA yield obtained was 150µg/ml and approximately 20ug of each patient DNA was extracted for next generation sequencing.

Whole-exome sequencing data generation and analysis

For the Southampton discovery and Children's Mercy Kansas City cohort, whole-exome capture was performed using Agilent SureSelect Human all Exon 51 Mb (versions 4 and 5) capture kits and TruSeq Expanded Exome and Nextera Expanded Exome capture kits. Capture technology is characterized by rapid progress, including new content and improved probe design, and we applied the optimal capture chemistry available at the time of sample sequencing. All samples were sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq 2000 and HiSeq 2500

platforms. As previously described⁴⁵, fastQ raw data generated from Illumina paired-end sequencing protocol were aligned against the human genome reference 19 using Novoalign (2.08.02). SAMtools mpileup tool (samtools/0.1.19) to call SNPs and short indels. Variants called with a read depth < 4 were excluded. The Phred software reads DNA sequencing trace files, calls bases, and assigns a quality value to each called base and is powered to discriminate between correct and incorrect base-calls. To minimize the false positive rate for the called bases, only variants called with high confidence (Phred score > 20) were retained for further analysis (99% base call accuracy). ANNOVAR (annovar/2013Feb21) was then applied for variant annotation. Genetic variants were annotated as "novel" if they were not previously reported in the dbSNP137 databases, 1000 Genomes Project (1KG) and the Exome Variant Server (EVS) of European Americans of the NHLI-ESP project with 6500 exomes, or in the Southampton database of reference exomes. Resultant variant call files for each individual were subjected to further in-house quality control tests to detect DNA sample contamination and ensure sex concordance by assessing autosomal and X chromosome heterozygosity. Variant sharing between all pairs of individuals was assessed to confirm that subjects were not related. Sample provenance was confirmed by application of a validated SNP tracking panel developed specifically for exome data.⁴⁶

For the CAGI subgroup of the replication cohort, whole-exome sequencing was performed using the TruSeq capture kit and sequenced on Illumina platforms. Alignment against the human genome (hg19) was conducted with BWA. PICARD was used to remove duplicate reads and GATK for genotype calling. The VQSR method was used to identify true polymorphisms in the samples rather than those due to sequencing, alignment, or data processing artefacts.⁴³

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

Gene selection

Genes involved in the NOD receptor pathway were extracted by interrogating the KEGG Pathway database. The pathway (KEGG ID: hsa04621) is composed of 56 genes, of which 41 are intrinsic to NOD signaling. Gene names were cross-referenced with the HUGO webserver to confirm the approved gene symbol (Supplementary Table 1). All good quality (Depth \geq 4 and Phred \geq 20) variants within these genes were extracted using local scripts and retained for analyses. SKAT-O statistical test was then performed on the 41 genes directly involved in the *NOD1* and *NOD2* signaling cascade.

Principle component analysis

Whole-exome sequencing data were available for 146 independent children diagnosed with IBD within the discovery cohort. Demographic data for the IBD cohort are shown in Table 4.

In order to minimize bias for association analysis, we conducted a principle component analysis (PCA) using the SNPRelate⁴⁸ package on the discovery and validation cohort to exclude non-Caucasian samples. PCA was conducted on the whole discovery dataset merged with the 1,092 subjects from the 1,000 genome phase 1 dataset (20101123) in order to discriminate ethnic clusters. PCA was applied to 1363 samples with 305,950 biallelic SNPs. The same PCA procedure was conducted on the validation cohort using a combined set of CAGI and 1,000 genome phase 1 data (209,029 biallelic SNPs across 1158 samples) and on the combined Kansas and 1,000 genome phase 1 data (224, 786 biallelic SNP across 1134 samples) to discriminate ethnic clusters.

Variant calling and quality control

Next generation sequencing pipelines typically identify genomic locations at which any given sample *differs* from the human genome reference sequence on a case-by-case basis. After compiling the list of all variants identified in all cases and controls it was necessary to positively re-call the genotypic state (for the full set of all variants from all samples) in order to distinguish allelic genotypic status from missing data for each individual. The resultant genotypes were used for further analysis. Variants were excluded using vcftools if they deviated significantly from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium status (p<0.001) in the control group. Samples with a genotype missing call rate >95% were also excluded. VCF files containing genotypic information for all cases and controls were merged and annotated.

To detect association between genetic variant and disease status, a gene-based test (the sequence kernel association optimal unified test²⁶, SKAT-O) was performed using the EPACTS software package⁴⁹ in the discovery cohort. SKAT-O test was further conducted on the replication cohort to validate significant results from the discovery cohort.

Burden of mutation testing in the discovery cohort

SKAT-O statistical test was applied to further investigate the joint effect of rare and low frequency variants. Specifically, SKAT-O encompasses both a burden test and a SKAT test to offer a powerful means of conducting association analyses on combined rare and common variation as single variant tests are often underpowered due to the large sample size needed to detect a significant association.

To conduct the test, a group file with mutations of interests (synonymous, non-synonymous, splicing, frameshifts and non-frameshifts, stop gain and stop loss) was created for each of the

407	41 genes. SKAT-O was executed with the small sample adjustment, by using a MAF
408	threshold of 0.05 to define rare variations within the sample size and using default weights. ²⁶
409	
410	Burden of mutation testing in the validation cohort
411	As the validation cohort comprises of whole-exome and whole-genome subjects, only
412	variants falling within the consensus target region were considered. By limiting variants
413	assessed to only those found in the genomic regions captured by both technologies, we
414	limited the potential for bias when using data from two different capture technologies.
415	Variant sites across the four genes requiring replication were used to generate a subset of the
416	VCF file for each dataset. Ultimately, VCF files for all individuals were merged and
417	annotated. SKAT-O testing was conducted using the same settings applied in the discovery
418	cohort.
419	SKAT-O testing was further conducted using the same approach on the combined discovery
420	and replication cohorts ($n_{cases} = 169$ and $n_{controls} = 217$).
421	
422	Acknowledgements
423	The authors are very grateful to all participants and their families. We thank Nikki J Graham
424	and Sylvia J Diaper for technical assistance in DNA laboratory in Human Genetics &
425	Genomic Medicine, University of Southampton, the Wellcome Trust Centre for Human
426	Genetics, Oxford, the NIHR & the Southampton Centre for Biomedical Research (SCBR).

We would like to thank the Critical Assessment of Genome Interpretation (CAGI) and

Professor Andre Franke, University of Kiel in Germany, for sharing their data with us.

427

- As well, we would like to thank Suzanne Herd and Drs. Carol Saunders and Sarah Soden at
- 430 the Center for Pediatric Genomic Medicine, Kansas City, MO (USA) for their encouragement
- and support of this research. We extend this gratitude to Dr. Laurie Smith (University of
- North Carolina School of Medicine, USA) and Lakshmi Katta (University of Missouri-
- 433 Kansas City School of Medicine, USA).

435

Funding

- 436 This work was financially supported by The Crohn's in Childhood Research Association
- 437 (CICRA) and The Gerald Kerkut Charitable Trust.

438

439

References

- 1. Ruel, J., Ruane, D., Mehandru, S., Gower-Rousseau, C. & Colombel, J.-F. IBD across the age spectrum-is it the same disease? *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **11,** 88–98 (2014).
- Khor, B., Gardet, A. & Xavier, R. J. Genetics and pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease. *Nature* **474**, 307–317 (2011).
- Hugot, J. P. *et al.* Association of NOD2 leucine-rich repeat variants with susceptibility to Crohn's disease. *Nature* **411**, 599–603 (2001).
- 4. Liu, J. Z. *et al.* Association analyses identify 38 susceptibility loci for inflammatory bowel disease and highlight shared genetic risk across populations. *Nat. Genet.* **47**, 979–986 (2015).
- Ellinghaus, D. *et al.* Analysis of five chronic inflammatory diseases identifies 27 new associations and highlights disease-specific patterns at shared loci. *Nat. Genet.* **advance on,** (2016).
- 453 6. Jostins, L. *et al.* Host-microbe interactions have shaped the genetic architecture of inflammatory bowel disease. *Nature* **491,** 119–124 (2012).
- Van Limbergen, J., Radford-Smith, G. & Satsangi, J. Advances in IBD genetics. *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **11,** 372–385 (2014).
- Vineis, P. & Pearce, N. Missing heritability in genome-wide association study research. *Nat Rev Genet* **11,** 589 (2010).
- 9. Ng, S. B. *et al.* Exome sequencing identifies the cause of a mendelian disorder. *Nat. Genet.* **42,** 30–5 (2010).

- Johansen, C. T. *et al.* Excess of rare variants in genes identified by genome-wide association study of hypertriglyceridemia. *Nat. Genet.* **42**, 684–7 (2010).
- Majewski, J., Schwartzentruber, J., Lalonde, E., Montpetit, A. & Jabado, N. What can exome sequencing do for you? *J. Med. Genet.* **48,** 580–9 (2011).
- Botstein, D. & Risch, N. Discovering genotypes underlying human phenotypes: past successes for mendelian disease, future approaches for complex disease.
- Van Limbergen, J. *et al.* Definition of phenotypic characteristics of childhood-onset inflammatory bowel disease. *Gastroenterology* **135**, 1114–22 (2008).
- 469 14. Cardinale, C. J., Kelsen, J. R., Baldassano, R. N. & Hakonarson, H. Impact of exome sequencing in inflammatory bowel disease. *World J. Gastroenterol.* **19,** 6721–9 (2013).
- 15. Imielinski, M. *et al.* Common variants at five new loci associated with early-onset inflammatory bowel disease. *Nat. Genet.* **41,** 1335–40 (2009).
- Kugathasan, S. *et al.* Loci on 20q13 and 21q22 are associated with pediatric-onset inflammatory bowel disease. *Nat. Genet.* **40,** 1211–5 (2008).
- Li, Q. *et al.* Variants in TRIM22 that Affect NOD2 Signaling Are Associated With
 Very Early Onset Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Gastroenterology* (2016).
 doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2016.01.031
- 478 18. Uhlig, H. H. *et al.* The Diagnostic Approach to Monogenic Very Early Onset
 479 Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Gastroenterology* (2014).
 480 doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2014.07.023
- Dinwiddie, D. L. *et al.* Molecular diagnosis of infantile onset inflammatory bowel disease by exome sequencing. *Genomics* **102**, 442–7 (2013).
- 483 20. Mao, H. *et al.* Exome sequencing identifies novel compound heterozygous mutations of IL-10 receptor 1 in neonatal-onset Crohn's disease. *Genes Immun.* **13**, 437–42 (2012).
- Worthey, E. A. *et al.* Making a definitive diagnosis: successful clinical application of whole exome sequencing in a child with intractable inflammatory bowel disease. *Genet. Med.* **13,** 255–262 (2011).
- Vandenabeele, P. & Bertrand, M. J. M. The role of the IAP E3 ubiquitin ligases in regulating pattern-recognition receptor signalling. *Nature Reviews Immunology* **12**, 833–844 (2012).
- Pedersen, J., LaCasse, E. C., Seidelin, J. B., Coskun, M. & Nielsen, O. H. Inhibitors of apoptosis (IAPs) regulate intestinal immunity and inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) inflammation. *Trends Mol. Med.* **20**, 652–65 (2014).
- 495 24. Krieg, A. *et al.* XIAP mediates NOD signaling via interaction with RIP2. *Proc. Natl.* 496 *Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 106, 14524–9 (2009).
- Chen, G., Shaw, M. H., Kim, Y.-G. & Nuñez, G. NOD-like receptors: role in innate immunity and inflammatory disease. *Annu. Rev. Pathol.* **4,** 365–98 (2009).
- Lee, S. *et al.* Optimal unified approach for rare-variant association testing with application to small-sample case-control whole-exome sequencing studies. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **91,** 224–37 (2012).
- 502 27. Ogura, Y. *et al.* A frameshift mutation in NOD2 associated with susceptibility to Crohn's disease. *Nature* **411**, 603–606 (2001).

- 504 28. Stenson, P. D. *et al.* Human Gene Mutation Database (HGMD): 2003 update. *Hum. Mutat.* **21,** 577–81 (2003).
- 506 29. Goh, G. & Choi, M. Application of whole exome sequencing to identify diseasecausing variants in inherited human diseases. *Genomics Inf.* **10**, 214–219 (2012).
- 508 30. Chong, J. X. *et al.* The Genetic Basis of Mendelian Phenotypes: Discoveries, Challenges, and Opportunities. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **97,** 199–215 (2015).
- 510 31. Kiezun, A. *et al.* Exome sequencing and the genetic basis of complex traits. *Nat. Genet.* 511 44, 623–30 (2012).
- 512 32. McCarthy, M. I. *et al.* Genome-wide association studies for complex traits: consensus, uncertainty and challenges. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **9,** 356–69 (2008).
- 514 33. Garner, C. Confounded by sequencing depth in association studies of rare alleles. *Genet. Epidemiol.* **35,** 261–8 (2011).
- 516 34. Bonen, D. K. *et al.* Crohn's disease-associated NOD2 variants share a signaling defect in response to lipopolysaccharide and peptidoglycan. *Gastroenterology* **124**, 140–146 (2003).
- 519 35. Lesage, S. *et al.* CARD15/NOD2 mutational analysis and genotype-phenotype correlation in 612 patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Am J Hum Genet* **70**, 845–857 (2002).
- Damgaard, R. B., Gyrd-Hansen, M. & B Damgaard, R. Inhibitor of apoptosis (IAP) proteins in regulation of inflammation and innate immunity. *Discov. Med.* **11,** 221–31 (2011).
- 525 37. Estornes, Y. & Bertrand, M. J. M. IAPs, regulators of innate immunity and inflammation. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* (2014). doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2014.03.035
- 527 38. McComb, S. *et al.* cIAP1 and cIAP2 limit macrophage necroptosis by inhibiting Rip1 and Rip3 activation. *Cell Death Differ.* **19,** 1791–801 (2012).
- 529 39. Seidelin, J. B., Vainer, B., Andresen, L. & Nielsen, O. H. Upregulation of cIAP2 in regenerating colonocytes in ulcerative colitis. *Virchows Arch.* **451**, 1031–8 (2007).
- Naugler, K. M., Baer, K. A. & Ropeleski, M. J. Interleukin-11 antagonizes Fas ligandmediated apoptosis in IEC-18 intestinal epithelial crypt cells: role of MEK and Aktdependent signaling. *Am. J. Physiol. Gastrointest. Liver Physiol.* **294,** G728-37 (2008).
- 534 41. Zeissig, Y. et al. XIAP variants in male Crohn's disease. Gut 64, 66–76 (2014).
- McLean, L. P., Shea-Donohue, T. & Cross, R. K. Vedolizumab for the treatment of ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease. *Immunotherapy* **4**, 883–98 (2012).
- 537 43. CAGI (2012).The Critical Assessment of Genome Interpretation. URL https://genomeinterpretation.org.
- 539 44. Auton, A. *et al.* A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature* **526**, 68–74 (2015).
- 541 45. Christodoulou, K. *et al.* Next generation exome sequencing of paediatric inflammatory bowel disease patients identifies rare and novel variants in candidate genes Cerca con Google. *Gut* **62**, 977–84 (2012).
- Pengelly, R. J. *et al.* A SNP profiling panel for sample tracking in whole-exome sequencing studies. *Genome Med.* **5**, 89 (2013).
- 546 47. Kanehisa, M. & Goto, S. KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes. *Nucleic*

547		Acids Res. 28, 27–30 (2000).
548 549	48.	Zheng, X. <i>et al.</i> A high-performance computing toolset for relatedness and principal component analysis of SNP data. <i>Bioinformatics</i> 28 , 3326–8 (2012).
550 551	49.	EPACTS (2014) Efficient and Parallelizable Association Container Toolbox, Michigan USA.URL: http://genome.sph.umich.edu/wiki/EPACTS.
552		
553		
554		
555		
556		
557		
558		
559		
560		
561		
562		
563		
564		
565		
566		

567 Tables

568

Table 1. List of 31 NOD2 variants observed across the discovery cohort

														Frequency in cases (n=136)			Frequency in controls (n=106)			
	Varia nt	Coding change	Protein change	Protein domain	SIFT predictio n	Ger p	MaxE nt score	CADD	dbSNP	Frequen cy in 1KG	Frequen cy in NHLBI ESP	Frequen cy in Exac	HGMD	Homozygo us Reference	Heterozygo us	Homozygo us Alternative	Homozygo us Reference	Heterozygo us	Homozygo us Alternativo	
5073339	sp	c.74-7T>A		Adjacent to CARD		-	1.83		rs1048954 21	0.0014	0.00186	0.00001	listed	1	0	0	0.99	0.01	0	
5073342 3	ns	c.98C>A	p.A33D	CARD	Т	1.13	-	1.40257		0.00000		0.00001	not listed	0.99	0.01	0	1	0	0	
5073366 1	sn	c.336C>T	p.A112 A	CARD	-	-	-	-	-	0.00002	-	0.00003	not listed	1	0	0	0.99	0.01	0	
5073378 5	ns	c.460G>A	p.D154 N	CARD	T	0.95 8	-	0.41094 1	rs1460545 64		0.00209	0.00064	not listed	1	0	0	0.99	0.01	0	
5073385	sn	c.534C>G	p.S178S	CARD			-	-	rs2067085	0.26	0.40930	0.334	not listed	0.39	0.54	0.07	0.47	0.38	0.15	
5074179	ns	c.566C>T	p.T189 M	CARD	T	3.48		2.33488	rs6175518 2	0.0014	0.00441	0.00259	listed	0.99	0.01	0	1	0	0	
5074180 0	ns	c.575C>T	p.A192 V	CARD	D	0.91		0.88530	rs1490711 16	0.00004	-	0.00005	not listed	1	0	0	0.99	0.01	0	
5074185 8	sn	c.633C>T	p.A211 A	CARD				-	rs5743269	0.0009	0.00174 4	0.0008	not listed	1	0	0	0.99	0.01	0	
5074462 4	ns	c.802C>T	p.P268S	NBD	T	- 9.98		0.27189	rs2066842	0.12	0.26907	0.184	not listed	0.43	0.47	0.1	0.56	0.35	0.09	
5074468 8	ns	c.866A>G	p.N289S	NBD	D	4.56		0.44418 8	rs5743271	0.01	0.00627	0.00425	listed	0.99	0.01	0	0.98	0.02	0	
5074485	ns	c.1028T>C	p.L343P	NBD	D	5.4		0.51792 6	·		0.00011	0.00001	not listed	1	0	0	0.99	0.01	0	
5074511	ns	c.1292C>T	p.S431L	NBD	D	3.64		0.85147	rs1048954 31	0.0005	0.00139	0.00082	listed	0.99	0.01	0	1	0	0	
5074519 9	sn	c.1377C>T	p.R459 R	NBD				-	rs2066843	0.13	0.27099	0.185	not listed	0.42	0.48	0.1	0.5	0.4	0.1	
5074531	sn	c.1494A>G	p.E498E	NBD	-			-	-			-	not listed	1	0	0	0.99	0.01	0	
5074551 1	sn	c.1689C>T	p.Y563 Y	NBD	-			-	rs1116084 29	0.0005		0.00007	not listed	0.99	0.01	0	1	0	0	
5074558	sn	c.1761T>G	p.R587 R	NBD				-	rs1861759	0.25	0.40255 8	0.328	not listed	0.4	0.54	0.07	0.47	0.39	0.14	
5074565 5	sn	c.1833C>T	p.A611 A	NBD	-	-		-	rs6173693	0.0046	0.01069	0.00983	not listed	0.99	0.01	0	1	0	0	
5074575	sn	c.1929C>T	p.L643L	NBD	-	-		-	-	0.00000		0.00001	not listed	1	0	0	0.99	0.01	0	
5074592	ns	c.2104C>T	p.R702 W	NBD	D	2.42		1.73658	rs2066844	0.02	0.04348	0.023	listed	0.88	0.1	0.01	0.87	0.13	0	
5074592 9	ns	c.2107C>T	p.R703 C	NBD	D	2.89		1.78832	rs5743277	0.0023	0.00697	0.00002	listed	0.98	0.02	0	1	0	0	
5074596 0	ns	c.2138G>A	p.R713 H	NBD	Т	4.13		2.22572 4	rs1048954 83		0.00023	0.00034	listed	0.99	0.01	0	1	0	0	
5074608 6	ns	c.2264C>T	p.A755 V	NBD	D	5.12		1.22531	rs6174762	0.0005	0.00465	0.00231	listed	0.99	0.01	0	1	0	0	
5074619	ns	c.2377G>A	p.V793 M	NBD	D	3.51		1.54495	rs1048954 44	0.0005	0.00162	0.00105	listed	0.99	0.01	0	0.98	0.02	0	
5074622 8	sn	c.2406G>T	p.V802 V	NBD	-	-		1.92838	rs1048954 95		0.00186	0.00196	not listed	0.99	0.01	0	0.99	0.01	0	
5074629	sp	c.2462+7G >T	-	LRR	-	-	0.83	-	rs2021118	0.0005	0.00058	0.00016	not listed	0.99	0.01	0	1	0	0	
5075084	ns	c.2587A>G	p.M863 V	LRR	Т	- 9.48			rs1048954 47		0.00186	0.0012	listed	0.99	0.01	0	1	0	0	
5075654 0	ns	c.2722G>C	p.G908 R	LRR	D	5.56			rs2066845	0.01	0.01453	0.00992	listed	0.96	0.04	0	0.96	0.04	0	
5075657 1	ns	c.2753C>A	p.A918 D	LRR	D	5.56		-	rs1048954 52	0.0009	0.00081	0.0004	listed	1	0	0	0.99	0.01	0	
5075727	ns	c.2863G>A	p.V955I	LRR	T	- 9.14		-	rs5743291	0.05	0.09604	0.061	listed	0.83	0.17	0	0.81	0.19	0	
5075940	ns	c.2888A>G	p.E963 G	LRR	Т	5.29						-	not listed	0.99	0.01	0	1	0	0	
5076377	fr	c.3019dupC	p.L1007	LRR					rs2066847	0.006		<u> </u>	listed	0.9	0.09	0.01	0.99	0.01	0	

ns, non-synonymous; sn, synonymous; fi, frameshift insertion, fd, frameshift deletion; sp, splicing; nfi, non-frameshift insertion; nfd, non-frameshift deletion, sp, splicing. CARD, caspase recruitment domain; NBD, Nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain; LRR, leucine-rich domain. B, benign; C, Conservative; D, deleterious; MC, moderately Conservative; MR, moderately Radical; NR, not reported; P, possibly damaging; R, radical; T, tolerated

Table 2. Joint variant test (SKAT-O) result for the 41 genes within the NOD signaling pathway in which variations was found across the entire discovery cohort. Only genes in which at least five variants were entered into the model are shown.

				D .: C			
Gene	Chromosome	bp position (hg19)	Total number of samples (136 cases; 106 controls)	Fraction of individuals who carry rare variants under the MAF thresholds (MAF < 0.05)*	Number of all variants defined in the group file	Number of variant defined as rare (MAF < 0.05)*	P-value unadjusted
BIRC2	11	102220918-102248410	242	0.07851	6	6	0.004
NFKB1	4	103488139-103537672	242	0.11983	10	9	0.005
NOD2	16	50733392-50763778	242	0.21488	31	25	0.029
SUGT1	13	53231709-53261936	242	0.33058	6	5	0.047
MAPK11	22	50703796-50706381	242	0.07024	7	5	0.061
CARD6	5	40841561-40853404	242	0.0909	10	8	0.074
BIRC3	11	102195774-102201850	242	0.05371	6	6	0.075
TAB2	6	149699333-149730846	242	0.07024	6	6	0.117
IKBKB	8	42128942-42188489	242	0.06198	6	6	0.249
ERBB2IP	5	65307924-65372200	242	0.17355	13	9	0.292
CASP8	2	202122956-202149864	242	0.0909	8	6	0.319
MAPK12	22	50691870-50699668	242	0.19835	16	13	0.35
TAB3	X	30849697-30877801	242	0.02066	5	4	0.362
CHUK	10	101964267-101980355	242	0.02892	6	4	0.38
TNFAIP3	6	138196066-138202378	242	0.06198	7	7	0.653
NOD1	7	30487954-30496518	242	0.08264	17	13	0.657
TRIP6	7	100465128-100469223	242	0.07438	11	9	0.783
TAB1	22	39795831-39832516	242	0.02479	7	6	0.795
MAPK13	6	36098410-36107131	242	0.02892	9	8	0.799
RIPK2	8	90770315-90802611	242	0.07438	5	4	0.803
CARD9	9	139258615-139266519	242	0.16942	12	10	0.866

* These variants received different weights in the SKAT-O joint test. Genes are ordered by p-value

Table 3. SKAT-O test result for the four significant genes within the NOD signaling pathway in which variations was found across the replication cohort only and across the combined discovery and replication cohort.

Gene	Chrom	bp position (hg19)	Dataset	Total number of samples	Fraction of individuals who carry rare variants under the MAF thresholds (MAF < 0.05)*	Number of all variants defined in the group file	Number of variant defined as rare (MAF < 0.05)*	P-value unadjusted
		102219940- 102249151	Replication cohort (33 cases; 111 controls)	144	0.11806	3	2	0.041
BIRC2	11		Combined replication and validation cohort (169 cases; 217 controls)	386	0.04663	1	1	0. 030
			Replication cohort (33 cases; 111 controls)	144	0.11111	8	3	0.045
NOD2	16	50733859- 50763778	Combined replication and validation cohort (169 cases; 217 controls)	386	0.041451	4	2	0.011
		103505961- 103514658	Replication cohort (33 cases; 111 controls)	144	0.02777	2	1	0.223
NFKB1	4		Combined replication and validation cohort (169 cases; 217 controls)	386	0.05699	2	1	0.017

^{*} These variants received different weights in the SKAT-O joint test. Genes are ordered by p-value.

Table 4. Patient demographics for 146 pediatric IBD patients that underwent whole-exome sequencing

	CD	UC	IBDU
n	90	32	24
Male (%)	57 (63.3)	18 (56.25)	8 (33.3)
Mean age in years (range)	11.25(2-17)	9.97(1-15)	11.17(2-16)

CD, Crohn's disease; UC, ulcerative colitis; IBDU, inflammatory bowel disease unclassified

Figures

Figure 1. Proteins acting within the NOD signaling pathway. The recognistion of NOD1 and NOD2 of the bacterial peptidoglycan (PGN) promotes the formation of the multi-protein complex named inflammasome. The complex recruits the kinase receptor interacting protein 2 (RIP2), which is ubiquitinated by the ubiquitin ligases XIAP, BIRC2 and BIRC3 proteins. The polyubiquitinated RIP2 recruits the kinase TAK1 and TAK binding protein 1 (TAB1), TAB2 and TAB3 which leads to the activation of the MAPK kinases, p38 and c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) through the activation of mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase (MKK). RIP2 polyubiquitinated also interacts with the IKK complex (IKKα, IKKβ and NEMO). The IKK complex mediates the phosphorylation of the IKKβ subunit of IKK by TAK1 and results in the phosphorylation and degradation of the NF-κB inhibitor (IκBα) which results in the cytoplasmic release and translocation of NF-κB dimers p65 and p50 in the nucleus to activate of the expression of the NF-κB proinflammatory genes.

Figure 2. *NOD2* **gene and protein.** *NOD2* is a gene composed of 12 exons (black rectangles). The NOD2 protein consists of two N-terminal caspase activation recruitment (CARD) domains, a central nucleotide-binding oligomerization (NBD) domain and a terminal sequence rich in leucine (LRR). The CARD domains interact with RIP2 protein to activate the immune response in the gut and the leucine-rich domain recognizes the bacterial peptidoglycan. Mutations within the NBD have been shown to increase the inflammatory cascade. The 31 mutations observed by interrogating exome data from 136 pIBD and 106 controls are depicted using arrows and the corresponding protein change noted. Known IBD biomarkers are in red.

Figure 3. BIRC2 gene and protein. BIRC2 is a gene composed of 9 exons (black rectangles). BIRC2 encodes an inhibitor of apoptosis protein, which contributes to innate immune responses by acting as inhibitor of cell death. All the members of the inhibitor of apoptosis (IAP) gene family share three tandem specific motifs: BIR belonging to the zinc-finger domain that mediates protein-protein interaction, a CARD domain is involved in CARD-

CARD mediated interaction; and a C-terminal RING domain conferring an E3-ubiquitin ligase activity. The RING domain of BIRC2, BIRC3, and XIAP is required for the ubiquitin activity of the IAPs. Studies have reported that the CARD domain in BIRC2 and BIRC3 act as an inhibitor of the ubiquitin ligase activity. Mutations within the BIR1 domain in *BIRC2* alters molecular interaction with TNF receptor associated factor 1 (TRAF1) and TRAF2. The six mutations found by interrogating exome data from 136 pIBD and 106 controls are depicted using arrows and the corresponding protein change shown.