

**UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHAMPTON**

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS

Institute of Sound and Vibration Research

**The *in situ* compressional wave properties of marine sediments**

by

**Gary Benjamin O'Neill Robb**

Thesis for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

March 2004

## Acknowledgements

Despite the fact that a PhD thesis is attributed to an individual, there are a number of people without whom this project would not have been possible. This thesis presents a cross-departmental project incorporating the Institute of Sound and Vibration Research (ISVR) and the School of Ocean and Earth Science (SOES), both departments of Southampton University, and the Challenger Division of the National Environmental Research Council (NERC). Thanks goes to ISVR for the use of the AB Wood tank to perform calibration tests, Southampton Oceanography Centre for the use of departmental vehicles and Challenger division for practical essentials such as computers and office space. This project was funded by NERC grant number NER/S/A/2000/03621.

I would like to thank my plethora of supervisors; **Justin Dix** (SOES), **Tim Leighton** (ISVR), **Angus Best** (NERC), **Jon Bull** (SOES) and **Paul White** (ISVR) for a huge amount of assistance, both of a motivational and scientific nature.

My thanks goes to Piezo Ceramic Transducers Ltd. for the production of the SPADE source and receiving transducers, Drumgrange Ltd. for the additional measurement of beam patterns and **Andy Harris** and **James Riggs** for the development of the source and receiving amplifiers, helping me understand the “joys” of electronics and enduring my frequent questioning.

Any project would be nothing without data. Hence I express my appreciation to those who granted me permission to survey the range of inter-tidal sites examined. These include; The National Trust, English Nature, Poole Borough Council, Poole Harbour Commission, The Beaulieu Estate, George Korab and the management of the Mercury and Universe Marinas.

I am indebted to all those who helped in the intensive program of fieldwork undertaken, some of whom got sunny days on a beach with ice cream, while others spent miserable winter days up to their knees in mud. These include; **Ronan Apprioual**, **Justin Dix**, **John Davies**, **Jon Bull**, **Martin Gutnowski**, **Angus Best**, **Robin Saunders**, **Simon Dean** and **Caroline Cooil**. In addition, the calibration water tests of the SPADE would not have been possible without the help of **Phil Cole**, **Caroline Cooil** and **Stephanie Arnott**. In particular, special mention should be given to **Ronan Apprioual** for the

development and production of the insertion device used to deploy the SPADE probes in sands and for a steady supply of French cuisine.

Grainsize analysis of sediment samples was assisted by **Anna Vitali, Ronan Apprioual** and **John Davies**, with permission to use the sediment analysis laboratory authorized by **Shir Akbari**.

Finally I would like to express my gratitude to **Phil Cole** for solving countless computer issues over the last three years, to my family for understanding that being a student is still an acceptable job at the age of 29 and to **Caroline** for keeping me sane over the entire project.

## ABSTRACT

The inversion of compressional wave properties is presently emerging as a technique for determining the geotechnical properties of marine sediments. However, the relationships required to perform such an inversion are still under debate, with further research required to resolve the dependence of compressional wave properties on both frequency and geotechnical properties. Though the use of *in situ* probes provides the most promising manner of examining these relationships, previous work in this field has encountered a number of experimental difficulties.

This work presents a series of well-constrained *in situ* transmission experiments. These were undertaken on inter-tidal sediments using a purpose built *in situ* device, the Sediment Probing Acoustic Detection Equipment (SPADE). Compressional wave properties were measured from 16 to 100 kHz in a range of sediment types (medium to fine sands and medium to fine silts), with several closely spaced locations examined at each general site to assess the local variability in compressional wave properties. Spreading losses, which were adjusted for sediment type, were incorporated into the data processing. Also included were a thorough error analysis and an examination of the repeatability of both the acoustic wave emitted by the source and the coupling between the probes and the sediment.

The results indicate that sands possess greater group velocities, greater effective attenuation coefficients and lower quality factors than silts, while the low velocities measured in silts imply that the bulk moduli of the silt sites examined are lower than expected owing to a considerable fraction of organic matter. Significant variations were observed in compressional wave properties, which were more reliably related to variations in geotechnical properties in sands than in silts. Group velocities were observed to be independent of frequency in sands within 95 % confidence limits, with no reliable frequency-dependence being determined in silts owing to variability in the measured values. Effective attenuation coefficients were proportional to frequency within 95 % confidence limits for the majority of the sand and silt locations examined. Results indicate that compressional wave properties can be used to determine porosity, bulk density and sand fraction, while the reliable determination of mean grain diameter from compressional wave properties is inhibited by the scatter in the data.

The results from this study were also used to assess the effectiveness of Biot Theory to predict the compressional wave properties of these sediment types. In sands, the Biot phase velocities agreed with measured group velocities, while Biot absorption coefficients were less than measured effective attenuation coefficients, owing to scattering or squirt flow not accounted for in the Biot Theory. In silts, Biot phase velocities are greater than measured group velocities, while Biot absorption coefficients generally agree with or are greater than measured effective attenuation coefficients. In silts, predicted velocities are greater than those measured, while absorption coefficients generally agree with or are greater than measured attenuation coefficients. The discrepancy between the measured attenuation coefficients and predicted absorption coefficients can be explained through the over-estimation of *in situ* porosities by the geotechnical measurement techniques adopted.

# Table of contents

## List of abbreviations used

## List of symbols used

<b>Chapter 1. Introduction</b>	1
1.1. Thesis Structure	3
<b>Chapter 2. Present understanding of compressional wave properties</b>	5
2.1. Definitions	5
2.2. Typical sediment structures	9
2.3. Variation of compressional wave properties with frequency	13
2.3.1. Frequency-dependence of velocity	13
2.3.2. Frequency-dependence of attenuation coefficient and quality factor	15
2.4. Dependence of compressional wave properties on geotechnical properties	19
2.4.1. Dependence of velocity on geotechnical properties	19
2.4.2. Dependence of attenuation coefficient and quality factor on geotechnical properties	25
2.5. Geoacoustic models	27
2.5.1. Linear viscoelastic model	28
2.5.2. Biot Theory	33
2.5.3. Micro geometrical model	42
2.5.4. Critique of attenuation mechanisms	44
2.6. Summary	46
<b>Chapter 3. Techniques of measuring compressional wave properties</b>	48
3.1. Selection of optimum technique	48
3.2. Transmission experiments using <i>in situ</i> probes	51
3.2.1. <i>In situ</i> research at frequencies less than 1 kHz	53
3.2.2. <i>In situ</i> research at discrete frequencies	54
3.2.3. <i>In situ</i> probes which span a considerable frequency range	56
3.2.4. SAX99	60
3.3. Summary	64
<b>Chapter 4. <i>In situ</i> transmission experiments</b>	66
4.1. The SPADE	66
4.2. Tonal pulses used	74
4.2.1. Properties of <i>voltage input pulse</i>	75
4.2.2. Properties of <i>voltage output pulse</i>	78
4.2.3. Properties of acoustic pulse transmitted through water	82
4.3. Determination of pressure field emitted by the SPADE source	84
4.3.1. Modelling approach adopted	84
4.3.2. Verification of model	88
4.3.3. Simulated pressure fields for typical marine sediments	98

4.4. <i>In situ</i> transmission experiments	106
4.4.1. Methodology	106
4.4.2. Details of fieldsites	110
4.4.3. Geotechnical properties of fieldsites	115
4.5 Summary	124
<b>Chapter 5. Measurement of compressional wave properties</b>	126
5.1. Pre-processing of acoustic data	126
5.1.1. Design and application of filters	127
5.1.2. Stacking	134
5.2. Calculation of velocity	136
5.2.1. Technique for velocity	137
5.2.2. Justification of assumptions used in velocity calculation	141
5.2.3. Error analysis for velocity	145
5.3. Calculation of attenuation coefficient	146
5.3.1. Technique for attenuation coefficient	147
5.3.2. Justification of assumptions used in attenuation calculation	149
5.3.3. Error analysis for attenuation coefficient	153
5.4. Calculation and error analysis of quality factor	155
5.5. Summary	155
<b>Chapter 6. Measured compressional wave properties of inter-tidal sediments</b>	156
6.1. Frequency-dependence of compressional wave properties	156
6.1.1. Sandy sediments	156
6.1.2. Silty sediments	165
6.2. Dependence of compressional wave properties on geotechnical properties	173
6.2.1. Dependence on porosity	174
6.2.2. Dependence on bulk density	181
6.2.3. Dependence on mean grain diameter	185
6.2.4. Dependence on percentage of sand sized particles	189
6.3. Summary	193
<b>Chapter 7. Comparison of measured compressional wave properties to Biot Theory</b>	195
7.1. Computing/measuring input properties of Biot model	196
7.1.1. Calculating fluid properties	196
7.1.2. Estimating grain properties	197
7.1.3. Measuring and calculating sediment frame properties	197
7.2. Comparison of Biot predictions to measured properties in sands and silts	202
7.3. Summary	214
<b>Chapter 8. Conclusions and future work</b>	216
8.1. Conclusions	216

8.2. Future work	218
<b>References</b>	<b>219</b>
<b>Appendix A: Empirical equations used to compute properties of water</b>	
<b>Appendix B: Additional details of fieldsites examined</b>	
<b>Appendix C: Measurement of geotechnical properties of sediments</b>	
<b>Appendix D: Data anomalies</b>	
<b>Appendix E: Chi-squared <math>\chi^2</math> distribution</b>	

## List of abbreviations used

$dS$	Surface element of source transducer
$EGM$	Effective Grain Model
DSOP	Deep Sea Ocean Probe
FFT	Fast Fourier Transform
ISSAMS	<i>In Situ</i> Sediment Acoustic Measurement System
$\phi$	Phi scale
R1, R2 etc	Receiver locations
R-R separation	Receiver-to-Receiver separation
SNR	Signal-to-Noise Ratio
$S_p$	Active surface <i>of</i> source
SPADE	Sediment Probing Acoustic Detection Equipment
S-R separation	Source-to-Receiver separation
TVR	Transmit Voltage Response
X	Field-point



## List of symbols used

$\%E_{10}$	Percentage of useful energy retained from $f_+$ to $f_-$ (%)
$\%CE$	Percentage error associated with coupling variability (%)
$\alpha$	Attenuation coefficient of sediment ( $\text{dB}\cdot\text{m}^{-1}$ )
$\alpha_n$	Attenuation coefficient of sediment ( $\text{np}\cdot\text{m}^{-1}$ )
$\beta$	Conversion constant (dimensionless)
$\gamma$	Tortuosity (dimensionless)
$\gamma_o$	Empirical constant used to obtain tortuosity (dimensionless)
$\delta(t)$	Dirac pulse (dimensionless)
$\Delta E$	Energy loss per cycle (joules)
$\Delta M$	Variability of mean grain diameter (dimensionless)
$\Delta t$	Time difference between sediment and reference signals (s)
$\varepsilon$	Volume strain of the fluid in Biot Theory (dimensionless)
$\xi(t)$	Analytical voltage signal (V)
$\eta$	Viscosity of pore water ( $\text{Pa}\cdot\text{s}$ )
$\eta_l(T)$	Viscosity of pure water ( $\text{Pa}\cdot\text{s}$ )
$\theta$	Angular dimension of source transducer (degrees)
$\kappa$	Wave number ( $\text{m}^{-1}$ )
$\kappa_o$	Dominant wave number ( $\text{m}^{-1}$ )
$\lambda$	Wavelength (m)
$\mu$	Shear modulus (Pa)
$\mu'$	Imaginary part of complex shear modulus of sediment (Pa)
$\pi$	3.1416 (to 4 decimal places)
$\rho$	Bulk density of sediment ( $\text{kg}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ )
$\rho_c$	Mass coupling between solid and fluid ( $\text{kg}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ )
$\rho_e$	Error in bulk density ( $\text{kg}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ )
$\rho_f$	Density of pore water ( $\text{kg}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ )
$\rho_r$	Density of mineral grains ( $\text{kg}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ )
$\rho_w(T)$	Temperature-dependent density of pure water ( $\text{kg}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ )
$\rho_{11}, \rho_{12}, \rho_{22}$	Coupled densities used in Biot Theory ( $\text{kg}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ )
$\sigma$	Sorting ( $\phi$ )
$\sigma_m$	Standard deviation in the gradient of linear least-squares fit
$\sigma_i$	Error on $i$ th measured value
$\sigma_Q$	Error in quality factor (dimensionless)
$\sigma_V$	Error in velocity ( $\text{m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ )
$\sigma_a$	Error in attenuation coefficient ( $\text{dB}\cdot\text{m}^{-1}$ )
$\tau$	Period of the dominant oscillation in the pulse (s)
$\tau_i$	Period of <i>voltage output pulse</i> (s)
$\tau_r$	Period corresponding to central frequency of received pulse (s)

$\phi_x$	Grain diameter corresponding to the $x^{th}$ percentage point ( $\phi$ )
$\chi^2$	Chi-squared value (dimensionless)
$\psi(t)$	Impulse response of the transducer (dimensionless)
$\omega$	Angular frequency ( $\text{rad}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ )
$\omega_o$	Dominant angular frequency ( $\text{rad}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ )
$a$	Pore size parameter (m)
$A(f,d)$	Amplitude of received pulse at frequency $f$ and S-R separation $d$ (V)
$A(x,t)$	Amplitude of acoustic wave at distance $x$ and time $t$ (V)
$A(x_1), A(x_2)$	Peak amplitude of the waves which have propagated through distances $x_1$ and $x_2$ (V)
$A_C$	Corrected amplitude (V)
$A_{CO}$	Conditioned amplitude (V)
$A_e$	Error in the corrected amplitude (V)
$A_o$	Amplitude of acoustic wave at $t=0$ and $x=0$ (V)
$A_p(y,z)$	Amplitude of predicted pressure field at field-point $(y,z)$ (V)
$A_{s1}, A_{s2}$	Amplitudes of signals at probes 1 and 2 for propagation through sediment (V)
$A_{w1}, A_{w2}$	Amplitudes of signals at probes 1 and 2 for propagation through water (V)
$b$	Coefficient of damping in Biot Theory ( $\text{Pa}\cdot\text{s}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$ )
$B_1, B_2, B_3,$	Constants used in processing of received amplitudes (dimensionless)
$B_i$	Bandwidth of <i>voltage input pulse</i> (kHz)
$B_o$	Bandwidth of <i>voltage output pulse</i> (kHz)
$C$	Coupling parameter (dimensionless)
$c_1$	Velocity at a frequency of zero ( $\text{m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ )
$c_2$	Attenuation coefficient at a frequency of zero ( $\text{dB}\cdot\text{m}^{-1}$ )
$c_3$	Quality factor at a frequency of zero (dimensionless)
$Cl$	Volume chlorinity ( $\text{kg}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ )
$C_m$	Scaling factor (dimensionless)
$D(\text{mm})$	Grain diameter (mm)
$D(\phi)$	Grain diameter ( $\phi$ )
$d$	Probe separation (m)
$dA_1$	Error in amplitude arising from median stack (V)
$dB(y,z)$	Pressure field at field-point $(y,z)$ (dB)
$D$	Parameter used in Biot Theory
$D_o$	Standard grain diameter (mm)
$D_{50}$	Grain diameter of 50th percentile point ( $\phi$ )
$d_o$	Near-to-far field transition (m)
$DT$	Error in arrival time (s)
$dt_1$	Intrinsic timing error of the acquisition card (s)
$dt_2$	Timing error associated with cross correlation process (s)
$dX$	Separation of source elements along X axis (m)
$dY$	Separation of source elements along Y axis (m)
$e$	Volume strain of the solid frame in Biot Theory (dimensionless)

$E$	Mean energy per cycle (joules)
$E_{10}$	Amount of “useful” energy retained from frequencies $f_+$ to $f_-$ (joules)
$E_T$	Amount of “useful” energy retained from frequencies $f_1$ to $f_2$ (joules)
$f$	Frequency (Hz)
$f_+, f_-$	Frequencies corresponding spectral amplitudes 10 % of the peak value (kHz)
$F_1(T), F_2(T)$	Temperature-dependent terms in <i>Equations A.2</i> ( $\text{kg}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ )
$f_1, f_2$	Frequencies corresponding spectral amplitudes 1 % the peak value (kHz)
$F_3(T), F_4(T)$	Temperature-dependent terms in <i>Equations A.3</i> (Pa)
$F_5(T), F_6(T), F_7(T)$	Temperature-dependent terms in <i>Equations A.4</i>
$f_c$	Generic central frequency (kHz)
$f_i$	Central frequency of <i>voltage input pulse</i> (kHz)
$f_o$	Central frequency of the <i>voltage output pulse</i> (kHz)
$f_r$	Central frequency of the received signal (kHz)
$f_s$	Sampling frequency (kHz)
$G(f, d)$	Spreading losses at frequency $f$ and S-R separation $d$ (dB)
$G(x)$	Spreading losses distance $x$ from the source (dB)
$G(x_1), G(x_2)$	Spreading corrections distances $x_1$ and $x_2$ from the source (dB)
$H$	Height of source transducer (m)
$HR$	Hydraulic Radius (m)
$i$	$(-1)^{1/2}$ (dimensionless)
$k$	Permeability ( $\text{m}^2$ )
$K$	Bulk modulus of sediment (Pa)
$K'$	Imaginary part of complex bulk modulus of sediment (Pa)
$k_A$	Constant of proportionality in attenuation coefficient power law ( $\text{dB}\cdot\text{m}^{-1}\cdot\text{kHz}^{-1}$ )
$K_b$	Frame bulk modulus (Pa)
$K_f$	Bulk modulus of the pore water (Pa)
$k_h$	Hamillton’s parameter ( $\text{dB}\cdot\text{m}^{-1}\cdot\text{kHz}^{-1}$ )
$k_o$	Constant in Kozeny-Carmen Equation (dimensionless)
$K_r$	Bulk modulus of mineral grains (Pa)
$K_w$	Bulk modulus of pure water (Pa)
$L_+, L_-$	Positive and negative limit parameters (dimensionless)
$m_o$	Gradient of the linear least-squares fit
$m$	Integer (dimensionless)
$M$	Mean grain diameter ( $\phi$ )
$M_D$	Dry mass (kg)
$mean(A_{CO})$	Mean conditioned amplitude (V)
$M_{max}$	Maximum grain diameter ( $\mu\text{m}$ )
$M_{min}$	Minimum grain diameter ( $\mu\text{m}$ )
$M_T$	Saturated mass (kg)
$n$	Porosity (%)
$N_I$	Number of samples in the Blackman-Harris window (dimensionless)

$N_2, N_3$	Number of integration steps in pressure field model (dimensionless)
$N_3$	Number of steps in FFT (dimensionless)
$n_e$	Error in porosity (%)
$N_p$	Number of data points to which least-squares linear fit is applied
	(dimensionless)
$N_S$	Number of shots stacked (dimensionless)
$P$	Pressure (atmospheres)
$P_b$	Lamé constant in Biot Theory (Pa)
$P(X,t)$	Pressure at field-point $X$ and time $t$ (Pa)
$P(y,z)$	Maximum pressure at field-point $(y,z)$ (Pa)
$P_o$	Maximum pressure at reference point (Pa)
$Q$	Quality factor (dimensionless)
$Q_g$	Correction for saturated sediment in Gassmann's Equation (Pa)
$q$	Exponent of frequency in attenuation coefficient power law (dimensionless)
$Q_A$	Constant of proportionality in relationship between quality factor and frequency ( $\text{kHz}^{-1}$ )
$Q_b$	Measure of coupling between volume change in solid and fluid (dimensionless)
$R$	Distance between the field-point $X$ and the surface element $ds$ (m)
$R_b$	Pressure required to force a volume of fluid into aggregate (Pa)
$r$	Radius of source transducer (mm)
$R'$	Minimum distance between the field-point $X$ and transducer surface (m)
$R^2$	Goodness of fit (dimensionless)
$R_e(f)$	Frequency-dependent electronic gain of the receiving amplifiers (dB)
$R_T(f)$	Frequency-dependent response of the receiving transducers (dB)
$S$	Salinity
$S_n$	SNR enhancement by median stack (dimensionless)
$S_T(f)$	Frequency-dependent TVR of source transducer (dB)
$std(A_{CO})$	Standard deviation of the conditioned amplitudes (V)
$T$	Temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ )
$t$	Time (s)
$t'$	Convolution time variable (s)
$t_A$	Arrival time (s)
$t_l$	Propagation time from furthest point on source to field-point $X$ (s)
$T_i$	Duration of the <i>voltage input pulse</i> (s)
$t_l$	Length of the pulse (s)
$t_{lag}$	Unknown lag time (s)
$t_{ny}$	Nyquist time (s)
$T_o$	Duration of the <i>voltage output pulse</i> (s)
$t_R$	Time at which cross-correlation of received pulse and <i>voltage output pulse</i> peaks (s)
$t_o$	Time of initial arrival at field-point $X$ (s)

$t_S$	Time taken for pulse to travel through the sediment (s)
$t_V$	Time at which correlation of received signal and <i>voltage output signal</i> peaks at (s)
$v$	Compressional wave velocity of sediment ( $\text{m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ )
$v_A$	Constant of proportionality in relationship between velocity and frequency ( $\text{m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}\cdot\text{kHz}^{-1}$ )
$v_I$	Constant term in <i>Equations A.1</i> ( $\text{m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ )
$Var_E$	Variance of data from model predictions
$Var_T$	Total variance of data
$v_g$	Group velocity ( $\text{m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ )
$V_O(f)$	Peak-to-peak voltage of the voltage output pulse (V)
$V_{ph}$	Phase velocity ( $\text{m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ )
$v_P$	Pressure-dependent term in <i>Equations A.1</i> ( $\text{m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ )
$V_S$	Saturated volume ( $\text{m}^3$ )
$v_S$	Salinity-dependent term in <i>Equations A.1</i> ( $\text{m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ )
$v_T$	Temperature-dependent term in <i>Equations A.1</i> ( $\text{m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ )
$v_{TSP}$	Temperature, Salinity and Pressure dependent term in <i>Equations A.1</i> ( $\text{m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ )
$V_W$	Volume of pore water ( $\text{m}^3$ )
$v_w$	Compressional wave velocity of water ( $\text{m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ )
$W(f)$	Spectral amplitude at frequency $f$ (dimensionless)
$W(\omega)$	Spectral amplitude at angular frequency $\omega$ (dimensionless)
$W_P$	Peak spectral amplitude (dimensionless)
$x$	Distance (m)
$\hat{x}(t)$	Hilbert transform of signal $x$ (V)
$x(t)$	Voltage signal (V)
$Y_1, Y_2$	Offset (m)
$Y_i$	$i^{th}$ predicted value
$y_i$	$i^{th}$ measured value