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**ABSTRACT**

Resistance training and increased dietary protein are recommended to attenuate age related muscle loss in the elderly. This study examined the effect of a lean red meat protein-enriched diet combined with progressive resistance training (RT+Meat) on health-related quality of life (HR-QoL) in elderly women. In this 4-month cluster randomised controlled trial, 100 women aged 60-90 years (mean 73 years) from self-care retirement villages participated in RT twice a week and were allocated either 160g/d (cooked) lean red meat consumed across two meals/day, 6 days/week or ≥1 serving/day (25-30g) carbohydrates (control group, CRT). HR-QoL (SF-36 questionnaire), lower limb maximum muscle strength and lean tissue mass (LTM) (DXA) were assessed at baseline and 4-months. Ninety-one women (91%) completed the study [RT+Meat (n=48); CRT (n=43)]. Mean (±SD) protein intake was greater in RT+Meat than CRT throughout the study (1.3±0.3 vs 1.1±0.3 g/kg/d, *P*<0.05). Exercise compliance (74%) was not different between groups. After 4-months there was a significant net benefit in the RT+Meat compared to CRT group for overall HR-QoL and the physical component summary (PCS) score (*P*<0.01), but there were no changes in either group in the mental component summary (MCS) score. Changes in lower limb muscle strength, but not LTM, were positively associated with changes in overall HR-QoL [muscle strength, β: 2.2 (95% CI: 0.1, 4.3), *P*<0.05]. In conclusion, a combination of RT and increased dietary protein led to greater net benefits in overall HR-QoL in elderly women compared to RT alone, which was due to greater improvements in PCS rather than MCS.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Most people strive to maintain their independence and quality of life (QoL) into old age, in the face of increasing life expectancy. QoL comprises broad concepts that affect global life satisfaction, including good health, adequate housing, employment, personal and family safety, interpersonal relationships, education, and leisure pursuits(1). QoL has been applied specifically to those life concerns that are most affected by health or illness, hence the term “health-related quality of life” (HR-QoL)(2). Sarcopenia, the age related loss in muscle mass, strength and function, has been associated with multiple adverse clinical outcomes, including impaired mobility, increased risk of falls and fractures, physical disability, loss of independence, increased hospitalization and all-cause mortality(3-7). There is also evidence that a loss in muscle mass and strength is associated with major co-morbidities, including osteoporosis, type 2 diabetes, obesity, metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular disease(8). More recent studies have reported that sarcopenia and its determinants (losses of muscle mass, strength or function) are associated with reduced HR-QoL, particularly in terms of impaired physical function(9-11). Indeed, the findings from a 3-year prospective study in older adults showed that declines in muscle mass and physical performance were associated with a deterioration in the physical QoL domain(12). Therefore, it is likely that strategies which improve muscle strength and function may improve overall HR-QoL for older people.

Current international consensus guidelines recommend progressive resistance training (PRT) in combination with an adequate intake of dietary protein [or protein (amino acid) supplementation] as a strategy to optimise muscle mass, strength and function in older adults(13-16). However, there have been few studies which have examined the combined effects of PRT and dietary protein on HR-QoL in community-dwelling older adults. The 36 item short form (SF)-36 survey is a valid and reliable tool for measuring HR-QoL and health perceptions of many populations including the elderly(17). This multi-item scale, which is designed for self-administration, contains 36 questions that forms eight specific health concepts (physical functioning; role limitations due to physical health; bodily pain; social functioning; general mental health; role limitations due to emotional problems; vitality and general health perceptions) as well as two aggregate summary scores: the physical component summary (PCS) and the mental component summary (MCS).(18) Several intervention trials have reported that PRT and multi-component exercise programs can improve various measures of HR-QoL in older adults(19-22), with some evidence that exercise-induced changes in muscle function are directly associated with improvements in HR-QoL(21). While less is known about the influence of dietary protein on HR-QoL in older adults, there is some evidence to support a beneficial effect(23,24). In an 8 week randomized controlled trial in institutionalised elderly men and women who were not at nutritional risk [mean mini nutritional assessment (MNA) score >17], it was reported that supplementation with 4 grams of essential amino acids twice a day was associated with a greater improvement in both the SF-36 mental (MCS) and physical component summary (PCS) scores compared to placebo(23). However, there are discordant findings from two trials which have evaluated the effects of PRT combined with protein supplementation on HR-QoL in older adults(25,26). In a 24-week randomized controlled trial, Tieland et al(25) failed to observe any benefits on either PCS or MCS (SF-12) following twice weekly PRT with and without supplemental protein (2 x 15 g daily) in elderly people. In contrast, the finding from a 12-week randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial in 130 sacropenic older adults revealed that a moderate intensity multimodal exercise program combined with an amino acid/whey-protein (22 g/d) and vitamin D (100 IU/d) enriched supplement led to a greater benefit in PCS scores compared to exercise alone; both groups experienced a similar significant increase in MCS scores(26). Whether PRT combined with an increase in daily protein intake from dietary sources such as lean red meat can improve HR-QoL remains uncertain.

The aim of this study was to assess the effects of PRT combined with a protein-enriched diet achieved through the consumption of lean red meat versus PRT alone on overall HR-QoL, MCS, PCS and their subdomains in community-dwelling elderly women. This study is a secondary analysis from a 4-month cluster randomized controlled trial in which we have previously reported that a lean red meat protein-enriched diet (twice daily consumption that increased protein intake to 1.3g/body weight kg/day) combined with PRT, increased lean tissue mass and lower limb muscle strength, and decreased markers of inflammation compared to PRT alone, in healthy elderly women(27). Therefore, a secondary aim was to examine whether these changes in lower limb muscle strength and lean tissue mass were associated with changes in HR-QoL or their physical and mental domains.

**METHODS**

*Study Design*

A detailed description of the study protocol and the primary results have been reported previously(27). Briefly, this was a 4-month cluster randomized controlled trial in which 15 retirement villages were randomly allocated to one of two groups: PRT combined with a protein-enriched diet achieved through the consumption of lean red meat twice a day (RT+Meat) or PRT combined with a control moderate-carbohydrate diet (CRT). Randomization was conducted by an independent statistician with the use of a computer-generated randomization of study numbers. Clusters (e.g., village) were used to minimize the potential contamination across the two diet groups and enhance feasibility.

*Participants*

Women recruited to the study were at least 60 years of age and residing independently in self-care retirement villages within metropolitan Melbourne, Australia. Exclusion criteria included: participation in resistance exercise (>1 week) and/or moderate-intensity physical activity (>150 min/week) over the past 3 months, acute or terminal illness, unstable metabolic or cardiovascular disease, inflammatory bowel disease, a history of low-trauma fracture in the past 12 months, type 1 diabetes, renal impairment (estimated glomerular filtration rate <45 mL/min), BMI >40kg/m2, the use of medication that might have affected muscle metabolism (corticosteroids or thyroxine), substantial weight loss (>5 kg) in the past 6 months, any condition that might have limited participation in the trial, or an inability to commit to the program. Participants were not excluded based on their mental health status. The study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki. All subjects provided written informed consent before commencing the study, which was approved by the Deakin University Human Ethics Committee, and registered with Australian and New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry (<http://www.anzctr.org.au/>, ID no. ACTRN12609000223235).

*Exercise intervention*

Specific details of the exercise intervention have been described previously(27). Briefly, all participants were prescribed an individually tailored and supervised progressive resistance and balance-agility training program that was performed twice a week for 4 months. Briefly, each session lasted approximately 45-60 minutes and consisted of the following activities: 1) 5-10 minutes warm-up that involved rhythmic exercises such as marching, side stepping and line dancing; 2) 30-40 minutes of low-impact balance-agility exercises and moderate intensity PRT (3 sets of 8-12 repetitions for eight different upper and lower body exercises (eg., squats, lunges, box step-ups, leg extensions, standing leg curls, calf raises, shoulder press, upright row, bicep curls, wall push-ups and triceps kickback) using free weights (dumbbells and ankle weights) and a Swiss ball at an intensity that corresponded to 14-16 (somewhat hard to hard) on the Borg Rating of Perceived Exertion Scale, and 3) a 5-10 minute cool-down period that consisted of a series of stretching exercises. To deliver the exercise program, qualified exercise trainers, with a minimum Certificate IV in Fitness qualification, drove a custom-built ‘*Weights on Wheels*’ mobile van containing the resistance training equipment to the 15 respective retirement villages twice a week for 4 months (32 sessions per person in total). Where possible, exercise sessions were conducted prior to lunch or dinner so that the participants could consume their red meat meal as soon as possible following the training. Participants in the CRT group also completed their exercise sessions prior to lunch and dinner. Exercise compliance was computed from daily exercise cards completed by the women and checked by the trainers after each session.

*Dietary intervention*

Women randomised to the protein-enriched group were supplied with ~220g (raw weight) of lean red meat for 6 days per week for 4 months. This amount is equivalent to two 80g servings of cooked lean red meat per day (~45g protein; ~1.3 g/kg/d for an average woman weighing 78 kg), and participants were asked to consume the meat at lunch and dinner each day. Participants could select from a variety of lean cuts of beef, lamb or veal that was delivered frozen (labelled in 110g portion packs) every 2-4 weeks. Participants received individual counselling sessions with a dietitian, recipes and written instruction. A compliance calendar recording daily meat consumption was completed by the participants and collected every month.

Women randomised to the control group were required to consume ≥1 serving of carbohydrates (~1/2 cup or ~250 ml) of cooked rice or pasta or potato daily (~25-35g of carbohydrates) included within their usual dietary pattern. This control diet was not designed as an isoenergetic diet, and the rice/pasta was provided to assist in keeping their dietary protein intakes towards the lower range of usual intake and to ensure that both groups received the same level of attention. Participants were provided with packs of pasta and rice every 2-4 weeks and were encouraged to focus on having larger servings of breads, cereals and vegetables and smaller servings of protein foods. As with the protein-enriched group, participants received counselling, recipes and instructions. A record of carbohydrate-rich meals to measure dietary compliance was completed daily.

All participants were required to take one 1000-IU vitamin D3 capsule per day. These capsules were provided at the beginning of the study and compliance was checked by counting the capsules returned at the end of 4 months.

*Health related quality of life (HR-QoL)*

HR-QoL was assessed using the Short Form Health Survey 36 (SF-36) Version 1 questionnaire at baseline and 4 months(18). This multi-item scale, which is designed for self-administration, contains 36 questions from which two summary scores are derived: the physical component summary (PCS) and the mental component summary (MCS). In addition, scores were calculated for each of eight health sub-domains: physical QoL included physical functioning (physical health), role physical (role limitation due to physical health), bodily pain, general health (general health perceptions); and mental QoL included vitality, social functioning, role emotional (role limitations due to emotional problems) and mental health (general mental health). An overall HR-QoL score was calculated as the mean of all the eight health sub-domains. Higher scores indicate better quality of life. All scores are reported using Australian norm-based scores according to previously published guidelines(28,29). The use of norm-based weights gives each domain score a mean of 50 and a standard deviation (SD) of 10, allowing change in scores to be assessed on a comparable scale. The SF-36 has demonstrated good construct validity, internal consistency and test-retest reliability(17,30,31).

*Anthropometric measures*

Height was measured to the nearest mm using a stadiometer (Holtain Ltd) and weight to the nearest 0.1 kg was assessed using a digital scale (BWB 800; Wedderburn Australia). BMI was calculated as weight (kg) divided by height (m2).

*Muscle strength and lean tissue mass*

As reported in detail previously(27), lower limb muscle strength [one-repetition maximum (RM) estimated from a 3-RM test] was assessed on a leg-extension machine (FreeMotion Fitness). Leg lean tissue mass (LTM) was assessed from a total body scan by using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) (Lunar Prodigy; GE Lunar Corp) with software version 12.30.008.

*Diet and physical activity assessment*

As reported previously(27), nutrient intake was assessed at baseline and every 4-weeks throughout the study by using telephone-facilitated 24-h dietary recalls performed by trained research dietitians. Daily energy, macronutrient and micronutrient intake was determined using Foodworks Professional Edition (version 6.0.2562; Xyris Software, Brisbane, Australia), which was linked to the 2007 AUSNUT food composition database. The amount of total leisure and recreational physical activity time was assessed at baseline and 4 months using the Community Healthy Activities Model Program for Seniors (CHAMPS) questionnaire developed and validated for use with older adults(32).

*Health and lifestyle measures*

Demographic and lifestyle variables (age, education, smoking, chronic health conditions) and history of hormone replacement therapy (HRT) use were collected using self-administered questionnaires at baseline(27). Educational status was categorized as: never attended school, completed primary school, some high school, completed high school, technical/trade certificate or university/tertiary level. Participants were categorised as never smokers, ex-smokers and current smokers. History of chronic disease was categorised as: none, 1 or ≥2 chronic health conditions.

*Statistical analysis and sample size*

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 22.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Because of non-normality, leg muscle strength and LTM were log transformed before analysis. Baseline characteristics in the RT+Meat and CRT groups were compared using Chi-square test for categorical variables (education, smoking, HRT use and chronic health condition) and linear models accounting for clustering for the continuous variables (age, age at menopause, BMI, physical activity, leg muscle strength and leg lean tissue mass). The effect of the intervention on HR-QoL and diet was assessed using linear mixed models including group, time (HR-QoL: baseline and 4-months; diet: baseline, 1, 2, 3 and 4 month time points) and the group-by-time interaction as fixed effects, with village as a random effect to account for the cluster randomization. In a second model we also adjusted for the change in habitual physical activity. Unstructured covariance matrix was assumed for the repeated measures. Eleven HR-QoL-related outcome variables were considered: physical functioning, role physical, bodily pain, general health, vitality, social functioning, role emotional, mental health, PCS, MCS and the overall HR-QoL score. All data were analysed by using an intention-to-treat approach. Results are presented as model estimates and 95% confidence intervals (CI). Robust linear regression models (M-estimates) including group, change in log muscle strength or leg LTM, and the interaction term were used to explore the association between changes in strength or leg LTM (independent variable) with changes in overall HR-QoL, PCS and MCS (outcome variables). Since the interaction term was not significant in any of the models, all results are based on models including only group and change in log lower limb muscle strength and leg LTM. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

*Sample size*

The trial was designed to have adequate power to detect a difference in the change in total body LTM between the groups(27). Given strong recommendations against their use(33), *post hoc* power calculations were not performed for this secondary analysis of HR-QoL scores.

**RESULTS**

*Participant Characteristics*

One hundred women were found to be eligible and randomised to one of the two groups (RT+Meat: n=53; CRT: n=47) (Figure 1). Nine participants withdrew from the study over the 4-month period (RT+Meat: n=5; CRT: n=4). Reasons for withdrawal included medical issues unrelated to the study (n=5), work or personal time commitments (n=2), and personal reasons (n=2) unrelated to the study(27). There was no significant difference in age, weight and BMI between those who completed and those who withdrew from the study. Forty-eight women in the RT+Meat and 43 women in the CRT group completed the study. A total of 31 (32%) women were smokers or ex-smokers; 30 (31%) had no health condition(s) and 38 (37%) had not completed high school (Table 1). The study recruitment and intervention were conducted in two cohorts over 2 years from January 2009 to December 2010.

*Diet, exercise adherence and habitual physical activity*

For each individual, the number of meat or carbohydrate portions consumed during the study as a proportion of the total number prescribed (i.e. 2 servings meat/day for 6 days each week, or at least 1 serving carbohydrate per day each week) was calculated and expressed as a percentage. As reported previously(27), the average adherence was 81% in the RT+Meat and 100% in the CRT group. Estimated dietary intakes were similar between the groups at baseline, with the exception the percentage energy from carbohydrate was greater in the RT+Meat compared to the CRT group (Table 1). Mean dietary protein intake (g/d) was significantly greater in the RT+Meat compared to CRT group at months 2, 3 and 4 (Figure 2). Baseline mean (±SD) daily protein intake expressed as grams per kg body weight was 1.07±0.37 g/kg/d in the RT+Meat group and 1.13±0.40 g/kg/d in the CRT group. Throughout the intervention, the average protein intake (mean of months 1-4) was significantly greater in the RT+Meat compared to CRT group (mean±SD: 1.29±0.30 vs 1.15±0.35 g/kg/d, *P*<0.05). For both groups combined at baseline, 85% of women aged 51-70 years and 56% aged greater than 70 years exceeded the current Australian recommended dietary intake of protein of 0.75 g/kg/d and 0.94/g/kg/d, respectively(34). Mean adherence to the exercise classes for all women was 74%, and did not differ between the RT+Meat [75% (95% CI: 68%, 82%)] and CRT [72% (95% CI: 64%, 80%)] group. In the CRT group, habitual physical activity increased compared to the RT+Meat group throughout the study [mean±SD change at 4 months: 2.8±5.1 vs -0.3±4.7 hours/week, *P*<0.01].

*Effects of the intervention on HR-QoL*

There were no significant differences between the two groups in any of the HR-QoL domains at baseline (Table 2, all *P*>0.10). Comparison of the changes over time between the groups revealed that there was a significant net benefit (group-by-time interaction) to the RT+Meat compared to CRT group for the following measures (Table 2): overall HR-QoL (*P*=0.009), PCS (*P*=0.007), and the sub-domains: physical functioning (*P*=0.043), role physical (*P*=0.041) and bodily pain (*P*=0.021). For overall HR-QoL, this was driven by a significant increase in the RT+Meat group (*P*=0.047) with a non-significant reduction in the CRT group (*P*=0.075). For PCS, the net difference was due to a non-significant increase in the RT+Meat group (*P*=0.260) and a significant decrease in the CRT group (*P*=0.007). For both physical functioning and role physical, the net benefit to the RT+Meat group was due to a non-significant increase (*P*=0.074 and *P*=0.235, respectively) in this group and a non-significant decrease in the CRT group (*P*=0.268 and *P*=0.089, respectively). For bodily pain, the net benefit was related to a non-significant decrease in the RT+Meat group (*P*=0.901) and a significant decrease in the CRT group (*P*=0.001). All results remained unchanged after adjusting for the change in habitual physical activity. There were no significant between group differences for the change in MCS or its subdomains after 4-months.

*Regression results for muscle mass, muscle strength and HR-QoL*

An exploratory analysis of the association between changes in HR-QoL scores and changes in leg LTM and lower limb muscle strength, after adjusting for group, showed that the change in the overall HR-QoL was positively associated with the change in log muscle strength but not log leg LTM [muscle strength β: 2.2 (95% CI: 0.1, 4.3), *P*=0.037; leg LTM β: 18.9 (95% CI: -10.3, 48.1), *P*=0.205]. The change in the mental health sub domain showed the same pattern of association [log muscle strength β: 4.3 (95% CI: 1.1, 7.5), *P*=0.008); log muscle mass β: 24.0 (95% CI: -19.2, 67.2), *P*=0.280. There was no association between changes in muscle strength or LTM with changes in PCS scores [muscle strength β: 0.4 (95% CI: -2.6, 3.5), *P*=0.79); LTM β: 22.3 (95% CI: -22.9, 67.4), *P*=0.33].

**DISCUSSION**

The findings from this secondary analysis of a 4-month cluster randomized controlled trial indicate that combining PRT with a diet enriched with lean red meat to increase dietary protein intake, compared to PRT alone, was associated with a modest (7%) but significantly greater improvement in overall HR-QoL, which was largely associated with the physical rather than mental health domains. Additional exploratory analysis also revealed that PRT combined with lean red meat induced changes in lower limb muscle strength, but not leg lean tissue mass, were associated with changes in both overall HR-QoL and the mental health sub domain scores. This finding adds to the growing body of evidence supporting the various health and psychological benefits associated with preserving muscle strength into old age.

The finding that the provision of additional protein enhanced the effects of PRT on overall HR-QoL and PCS scores is consistent with the results from a 12 week intervention in which a moderate intensity multi-modal exercise program combined with an amino acid/whey-protein (22 g/d) and vitamin D (100 IU/d) enriched supplement led to a net 6% improvement in PCS scores compared to exercise alone in 69 elderly (mean age 80 years) sarcopenic people; in this study, both groups experienced a similar significant increase in MCS scores(26). Similarly, the findings from a short-term prospective study in 91 institutionalised frail elderly people showed that HR-QoL [assessed via the EuroQol-5 Dimensions (EQ-5D) questionnaire] improved after 6 and 12 weeks with a nutritional supplement and exercise program(35). In this study, participants were asked to take two daily oral nutritional supplements each containing 20g of protein, 500 IU of vitamin D, 480 mg of calcium and 3g of fibre plus and undertake a multi-modal exercise program performed 5 days per week(35). While a limitation of this study is that there was no exercise alone or control group, making it difficult to determine whether it was the exercise or nutritional supplement that contributed to the improvement in HR-QoL, collectively these findings provide some evidence that nutritional supplementation with additional protein (and other nutrients) may enhance the effects of exercise on HR-QoL in older adults and the elderly, particularly the physical health domains.

The observation that there was a greater net benefit in PCS scores in the RT+Meat group compared to the CRT group is consistent with evidence from a number of intervention studies in older adults showing that protein supplementation can augment the effects of PRT on muscle mass and strength(25,26,36). As previously reported(27,37), there is evidence that the anabolic sensitivity of skeletal muscle to dietary protein is blunted with ageing, which has been termed ‘anabolic resistance’. Thus, it has been recommended that older adults require a daily protein intake >1.2 to 1.5 g/kg to maximise the anabolic response to exercise(14). Consistent with these guidelines, we found that increasing mean dietary protein intake from 1.1 to 1.3 g/kg/d was associated with a greater exercise-induced increase in muscle mass and strength (27). Whether there is an optimal protein intake to enhance the effects of PRT on HR-QoL remains unknown. Nevertheless, previous research in older adults has shown that physical functioning plays a key role in QoL, with the main drivers being energy, freedom from pain, ability to undertake activities of daily living and ability to move around(11). In our study, we found that women in the RT+Meat group experienced significant net benefits in the subdomains of physical functioning, role physical and bodily pain compared to the CRT group. It is also noteworthy that changes in lower limb muscle strength (but not lean tissue mass) in the women in our study were positively associated with changes in overall HR-QoL, which suggests that improving muscle strength may be a key element to improving HR-QoL during ageing.

Another interesting finding from our study was that there were no significant changes in MCS scores (or its subdomains) in either group after 4-months of training. A likely explanation for this result is that we only included relatively healthy older women who were residing independently in self-care retirement villages, and excluded those with any serious chronic disease(s) or conditions that would limit participation in the exercise program. In partial support of this notion, a previous 10-week intervention in untrained adults aged 40-69 years showed that PRT only improved physical (physical functioning, general health) and mental health (social functioning) dimensions of QoL in those who had a high, but not low, number of metabolic risk factors(38). Others have shown that HR-QoL is linked to the presence of chronic disease(39), and thus exercise could have greater benefits in this population group. In our study, the average baseline MCS scores of the women was higher than that of a similar population sample of Australian women aged 65 years and older(40), which suggests that there may have been limited capacity for any improvement in MCS scores over the 4-month intervention.

A number of previous PRT interventions have observed improvements in HR-QoL in older adults and the elderly(20,21), including physical functioning, role physical, general health, vitality and mental health(41). However, others have reported limited or no benefits(19,42-44). The reason(s) for these contrasting results remains unclear, but may relate to differences in the health status of participants (eg. depressive or mental state), level of social interaction or supervision association with each intervention (eg. group versus individual training) and/or differences in the training doses (eg. frequency, intensity, duration). In our study, we found that PRT alone was not effective for improving any measure of HR-QoL, in fact, after 4-months there was a trend for overall HR-QoL to decrease relative to baseline in the CRT group. In the present study, all women trained in small groups to promote social interaction and were prescribed a supervised moderate to high intensity training program which significantly improved muscle strength and function, and so it is unlikely these factors would explain the trend for a decrease in HR-QoL in the CRT group(27). The frequency of training and the duration of the PRT intervention (eg., PRT twice a week for 16 weeks) may have also played a role in the lack of an effect on HR-QoL. In part support of this notion, Sillanpaa et al. (44) reported that strength training twice a week for 21 weeks in healthy middle aged and older adults resulted in no changes in HR-QoL as measured by the SF-36 questionnaire.

Previous research has shown that sarcopenia and its determinants are associated with a decrease in HR-QoL, particularly the physical function domain, independent of age, physical activity and/or comorbidities(9,12,45,46). Furthermore, several exercise intervention trials have shown that changes in lower extremity muscle strength or function were positively associated with changes in various measures of HR-QoL(21,22,38,47). Consistent with these results, we found that exercise-protein induced changes in lower limb muscle strength in elderly women were significantly associated with changes in the overall HR-QoL and the mental health sub domain, however the change in muscle strength was not associated with the change in PCS scores. The findings from a previous 8-month intervention also revealed that exercise-induced changes in functional capacity (stair ascent performance) were associated with changes in bodily pain, mental health and MCS scores in community-dwelling older adults, but changes in PCS scores were not related to any changes in strength or function(48). The authors suggested that increases in functional capacity may provide a global indicator of health and functioning and thereby lead to improvements in mental health and well-being. Nevertheless, these findings further reinforce the importance of maintaining (or improving) functional capacity, particularly lower limb muscle strength, in later life.

There are a number of limitations associated with this study which must be considered when interpreting the findings. First, this was a secondary analysis of a randomized controlled trial in which the primary outcome was changes in LTM and muscle strength. Thus, this study was not designed to improve HR-QoL or specifically powered to detect any potential between group differences. Second, in the absence of a non-exercising control group, we were unable to determine whether either intervention was better than standard care. Third, the sample included relatively healthy, independent living women with no serious medical conditions, which limits the generalizability of the findings to other groups. Fourth, exercise adherence of 74%. While this may be viewed as modest, this is comparable to other similar exercise trials which reported adherence rates ranging from 79 to 87% (20,38,49,50). Furthermore, it is worth noting that both the RT+Meat and CRT group experienced significant improvements in muscle strength, leg muscle cross-sectional area and certain measures of muscle function, as reported in our previous paper(27). This indicates that our exercise program and a compliance of 74% was effective. Finally, the self-report recall nature of the SF-36 questionnaire is prone to reporting bias and has a ceiling effect which may limit the ability to detect subtle changes in response to an exercise-protein intervention in relatively healthy older women. While it is possible that other QoL instruments may be more sensitive to changes in QoL following an exercise-nutrition intervention, net between group differences for the change in various HR-QoL scores over 16 weeks in our study supports the use of the SF-36 questionnaire in healthy community-dwelling older adults.

In conclusion, this study has shown that a supervised and structured PRT program conducted within self-care retirement villages in combination with a protein-enriched diet through the consumption of lean red meat led to greater net benefits in HR-QoL compared to PRT alone in healthy elderly women. Furthermore, this finding adds to the growing body of evidence to support the health and well-being benefits of increasing high quality dietary protein intake in older adults and the elderly, particularly in combination with exercise.
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**Figure 1**. Flowchart of participants through the study. RT+Meat, resistance training plus lean red meat; CRT, resistance training.

**Figure 2**. Mean±SD estimated (a) energy, (b) protein, (c) carbohydrate and (d) fat during the 4 month intervention for the resistance training plus lean red meat group (RT+Meat •) and carbohydrate control group (CRT ⭘). \**P*<0.05, \*\**P*<0.01, net between group differences for the change over time.

**Table 1**. Baseline characteristics of the participants in the resistance training (RT) plus lean red meat group (RT+Meat) and the control RT group (CRT).

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Variables | RT+Meat (n=53) | CRT (n=47) | P value |
|  Age (years) | 72.1 (6.4) | 73.6 (7.7) | 0.417 |
|  Weight (kg) | 70.0 (11.3) | 68.4 (11.5) | 0.384 |
|  BMI (kg/m2) | 27.7 (3.9) | 27.6 (4.9) | 0.871 |
|  Age at menopause (years) | 49.3 (6.6) | 47.7 (5.3) | 0.169 |
|  Use of HRT, n (%)a | 24 (45%) | 20 (45%) | 0.986 |
|  Physical activity (hours per week) | 9.3 (5.6) | 8.1 (4.0) | 0.330 |
|  Educationb |  |  | 0.340 |
|  Did not complete high school | 20 (37.7%) | 16 (35.6%) |  |
|  Completed high school | 14 (26.4%) | 7 (15.6%) |  |
|  Technical/Trade certificate | 8 (15.1%) | 6 (13.3%) |  |
|  University or Tertiary level | 11 (20.8%) | 16 (35.6%) |  |
|  Smoking status |  |  | 0.919 |
|  Current or ex-smoker | 17 (32%) | 14 (31%) |  |
|  Non smoker |  36 (68%) | 31 (69%) |  |
|  Chronic Health Condition |  |  | 0.162 |
|  No health conditions | 17 (32%) | 13 (29%) |  |
|  1 health condition | 19 (36%) | 24 (53%) |  |
|  ≥2 health conditions | 17 (32%) | 8 (18%) |  |
| Dietary intakes |  |  |  |
|  Energy intake (kJ/d) | 6160 (1513) | 6612 (1593) | 0.088 |
|  Protein (g/d) | 73 (23) | 76 (27) | 0.570 |
|  Protein (% of energy) | 19.7 (4.4) | 18.9 (5.0) | 0.335 |
|  Protein (g/kg/d) | 1.07 (0.37) | 1.13 (0.40) | 0.483 |
|  Carbohydrates (g/d) | 171 (48) | 172 (57) | 0.897 |
|  Carbohydrates (% of energy) | 44.3 (7.6) | 40.7 (7.9) | 0.041 |
|  Fat (g/d) | 53 (22) | 61 (19.2) | 0.072 |
|  Fat (% of energy) | 30.0 (8.4) | 33.4 (8.1) | 0.124 |
|  Leg muscle strength, kg | 32.0 (11.2) | 28.1 (10.1) | 0.177 |
|  Total leg lean tissue mass, kg | 11.8 (1.7) | 11.9 (1.4) | 0.879 |

Abbreviations: HRT, Hormone Replacement Therapy

aMissing data for three subjects in the CRT group

bMissing data for two subjects in the CRT group

Values represent mean (standard deviation) or numbers (n) with proportions (%).

**Table 2**. Mean baseline values and the within group changes in the resistance training (RT) plus lean red meat group (RT + Meat) (n=53) and the control RT (CRT) (n=47) group for quality of life (QoL) measures and the net between group differences for the change relative to baseline.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **SF-36 Scores** | **Group** | **Mean baseline scores (SE)** | **Mean change from baseline (95% CI)** | **Net difference for change (95% CI)** | **Group-by-time interaction (*P* value)** |
| *HR-QoL* | RT+Meat  | 51.2 (0.9) | 1.3 (0.02, 2.5) | 2.5 (0.6, 4.3) | 0.009 |
| CRT | 51.0 (1.0) | -1.2 (-2.5, 0.1) |
| *PCS* | RT+Meat  | 47.8 (1.3) | 1.1 (-0.8, 3.0) | 3.9 (1.1, 6.6) | 0.007 |
| CRT | 49.8 (1.4) | -2.8 (-4.8, -0.8) |
|  Physical functioning | RT+Meat  | 47.2 (1.3) | 1.4 (-0.1, 3.0) | 2.3 (0.1, 4.6) | 0.043 |
| CRT | 47.3 (1.4) | -0.9 (-2.6, 0.7) |
|  Role physical | RT+Meat | 49.4 (1.7) | 1.8 (-1.2, 4.8) | 4.5 (0.2, 8.9) | 0.041 |
| CRT  | 50.2 (1.8) | -2.7 (-5.9, 0.4) |
|  Bodily pain | RT+Meat | 48.8 (1.2) | -0.1 (-2.1, 1.9) | 3.4 (0.5, 6.3) | 0.021 |
| CRT | 50.8 (1.3) | -3.5 (-5.6, -1.4) |
|  General health | RT+Meat  | 52.1 (1.2) | 1.1 (-0.8, 3.0) | 2.6 (-0.2, 5.3) | 0.069 |
| CRT | 52.6 (1.3) | -1.5 (-3.5, 0.5) |
| *MCS* | RT+Meat  | 55.0 (1.1) | 1.4 (-0.5, 3.3) | 0.7 (-2.0, 3.4) | 0.621 |
| CRT | 52.3 (1.2) | 0.7 (-1.2, 2.7) |
|  Vitality | RT+Meat  | 53.3 (1.3) | 1.5 (-0.3, 3.3) | 0.6 (-2.0, 3.2) | 0.653 |
| CRT | 51.6 (1.4) | 0.9 (-1.0, 2.9) |
|  Social functioning | RT+Meat  | 52.9 (1.2) | 0.9 (-2.0, 3.7) | 1.2 (-2.9, 5.3) | 0.577 |
| CRT | 51.1 (1.3) | -0.3 (-3.3, 2.7) |
|  Role emotional | RT+Meat  | 51.7 (1.2) | 1.7 (-0.6, 3.9) | 2.3 (-0.9, 5.6) | 0.153 |
| CRT | 49.9 (1.3) | -0.7 (-3.0, 1.7) |
|  Mental health | RT+Meat  | 54.6 (1.2) | 1.5 (-0.5, 3.4) | 0.9 (-1.9, 3.6) | 0.547 |
| CRT | 52.4 (1.3) | 0.6 (-1.4, 2.6) |

Abbreviations: HR-Qol, health-related quality of life; PCS, physical component summary; MCS, mental component summary. Data are presented as mean and standard errors (SE) or mean with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). P-values based on linear mixed models with group, time and group-by-time as fixed effects and village as random effect (unstructured covariance matrix for repeated measures).