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Abstract

Studies that attempt to simulate continental rifting and subsequent breakup require detailed knowledge of crustal stresses, however observational constraints from continental rifts are lacking. In addition, a knowledge of the stress field around active volcanoes can be used to detect sub-surface changes to the volcanic system. Here we use shear wave splitting to measure the seismic anisotropy of the crust in Northern Afar, a region of active, magma-rich continental breakup. We combine shear wave splitting tomography with modelling of gravitational and magmatic induced stresses to propose a model for crustal stress and strain across the rift. Results show that at the Ethiopian Plateau, seismic anisotropy is consistently oriented N-S. Seismic anisotropy within the rift is generally oriented NNW-SSE, with the exception of regions north and south of the Danakil Depression where seismic anisotropy is rift-perpendicular. These results suggest that the crust at the rift axis is characterized by rift-aligned structures and melt inclusions, consistent with a focusing of tectonic extension at the rift axis. In contrast, we show that at regions within the rift where extension rate is minimal the seismic anisotropy is best explained by the gravitationally induced stress field originating from variations in crustal thickness. Seismic anisotropy away from the rift is controlled by a combination of inherited crustal structures and gravitationally induced extension whereas at the Dabbahu region we show that the stress field changes orientation in response to magmatic intrusions. Our proposed model provides a benchmark of crustal stress in Northern Afar which will aid the monitoring of volcanic hazard. In addition we show that gravitational forces play a key role in measurements of seismic anisotropy, and must
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be considered in future studies. We demonstrate that during the final stages of continental rifting the stress field at the rift axis is primarily controlled by tectonic extension, but that gravitational forces and magmatic intrusions can play a key role in the orientation of the stress field.
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**Highlights**

- Stress field at the Northern Afar continental rift inferred from seismic anisotropy.
- Anisotropy in Ethiopian Plateau controlled by inherited structures and/or gravitational forces.
- Extension changes orientation between the rift axis and western rift margin.
- Gravitational forces control anisotropy within the rift where extension is minimal.
- Stress around Dabbahu volcanic segment changes orientation through time.

**1. Introduction**

The transition from continental rifting to seafloor spreading is a fundamental stage of plate tectonics; yet the mechanisms behind it have remained poorly constrained. Many studies which attempt to understand continental rifting have used numerical models (e.g., Brune et al., 2016); analogue models (Corti et al., 2003) or reconstructions of rifted passive margins (e.g., Pindell et al., 2014). Such studies require an understanding of how extension and stress are oriented across a continental rift. In recent years, studies have shown that extension migrates away from rift margins and focuses at rift axes through magmatically accommodated extension (Ebinger et al., 2013). However, the orientation of extension from rift margin to rift axis in the final stages of continental breakup remains poorly constrained. In addition, continental rifts typically host considerable volcanic activity that threatens local populations (e.g., Hutchison et al., 2016). The stress field that acts on a volcano has a profound effect on the orientation of fissure eruptions (Wadge et al., 2016) and any changes in the local stress field around the volcano may act as an indicator of an impending eruption (Gerst and Savage, 2004). Therefore an understanding of extension and the associated stress field during continental rifting is crucial for volcanic hazard assessments.

An ideal location to study the stress field during continental breakup is the Danakil Depression of Northern Afar (Figure 1). In this study we use shear-wave splitting of local earthquakes to measure strain and interpret the stress field at
a late stage continental rift. This allows us to gain a deeper understanding of continental rifting, providing observational constraints for future studies of rifting and volcanic hazards.

Figure 1: The Danakil Depression of Northern Afar. (a) Red circles are earthquakes recorded between 2011–2013, with horizontal errors <5 km (Illsley-Kemp et al., 2017 In Prep.). Blue inverted triangles are seismometers deployed between 2011-2013. GPS velocities taken from McClusky et al. (2010) show the NE-SW regional extension. (b) Orange triangles are active volcanoes. Volcanic segments shown in red (Wolfenden et al., 2005). Bottom left inset: The Afar Depression, located at the triple junction between the Main Ethiopian rift (MER), the Red Sea rift and the Gulf of Aden rift. Political boundaries shown with black lines. Topography data taken from NASA’s Shuttle Radar Topography Mission.
2. Background

2.1. Tectonic Background

The Afar Depression lies at the triple junction between the Main Ethiopian Rift (MER), the Red Sea rift and the Gulf of Aden (Tesfaye et al., 2003) (Figure 1). Rifting in Afar first involved the separation of Arabia and Africa and has been geochronologically dated as commencing \( \sim 29–31 \) Ma (Wolfenden et al., 2005), with extension oriented NE-SW and initially accommodated on large (>50 km) border faults. Between 25 and 20 Ma, extension in Northern Afar migrated away from the border faults and focused at rift aligned, axial volcanic segments (Wolfenden et al., 2005). This change in extension mechanism is characterized by a focusing of seismicity and volcanic activity at the rift axis (Wolfenden et al., 2005).

The Danakil Depression is a \( \sim 200 \) km long 50–150 km wide basin situated in the northernmost Afar Depression (Figure 1b). It lies predominantly below sea level but is currently sub-aerial. The crust beneath the Danakil Depression thins dramatically from \( \sim 27 \) km in Central Afar to <15 km at the Danakil Depression (Hammond et al., 2011; Makris and Ginzburg, 1987). This is in stark contrast to the Ethiopian Plateau, in the west, which has a \( \sim 38 \) km thick crust (Hammond et al., 2011). Due to the low elevation of the Danakil Depression, repeated marine incursions mean the surface geology largely consists of thick layers of evaporites (Barberi and Varet, 1970). The NNW-SSE trending Erta-Ale volcanic range dominates the depression, and is the focus for the majority of Quaternary to Recent basalts in Afar (Bastow and Keir, 2011). Recent volcanic activity includes a fissure eruption at Alu-Dalafilla volcano in 2008, which was oriented sub-parallel to the rift axis (Pagli et al., 2012). The Erta-Ale range acts as the magmatic rift axis within the Danakil Depression; further to the south the rift axis steps en echelon to the southwest to the Dabbahu volcanic segment. The Dabbahu segment underwent a major dike intrusion episode from 2005–2010, which consisted of 14 large volume dikes (Belachew et al., 2011; Ebinger et al., 2010; Wright et al., 2006). To the east of the Danakil Depression, straddling the Ethiopian-Eritrean border, is the NE-SW trending Bidu volcanic complex, which consists of two calderas Nabro and Dubbi (Figure 1b). In 2011 Nabro underwent a major eruption of VEI 4, which killed 7 people and displaced over 12000 people (Goitom et al., 2015).

2.2. Sources of Crustal Seismic Anisotropy

In this study we use local, crustal earthquakes and thus our measurements of seismic anisotropy are strictly limited to the crust. As extension is focused and aligned in the upper crust of the Danakil Depression, stress induced dilatancy of micro cracks will induce seismic anisotropy (Crampin, 1994); such that the anisotropy direction (\( \phi \)) aligns with the maximum horizontal stress (\( SH_{\text{max}} \)) (Hudson, 1981). In an extensional regime the minimum horizontal compressive stress (\( SH_{\text{min}} \)) corresponds to the minimum compressive stress (\( S_3 \)). In contrast, \( SH_{\text{max}} \) corresponds to the intermediate compressive stress (\( S_2 \)).
the absence of a strong regional stress field, anisotropy can also be caused by aligned features within the crust. The alignment of crustal structures such as fault zones will induce seismic anisotropy that is parallel to these faults (Boness and Zoback, 2006). Faults in the crust of Afar generally trend perpendicular to the orientation of extension, however there are local variations in the surface faulting, particularly in central Afar (Figure 2).

The alignment of magmatic intrusions and melt within the crust will also produce observable seismic anisotropy (Kendall et al., 2005; Dunn et al., 2005). The Afar Depression has been the focus of repeated dike intrusions and ongoing volcanic activity (Pagli et al., 2012; Wright et al., 2006). It can therefore be expected that these features will affect the seismic anisotropy in the region. Magmatic activity is focused at the rift axis and is generally aligned with regional $SH_{max}$. Therefore it can be difficult to distinguish between anisotropy induced by the extensional stress field and anisotropy due to aligned magmatic features.
The presence of aligned fabrics within the basement rock of the Afar Depression may also influence the seismic anisotropy. Exposures of basement foliation and Proterozoic ophiolite formations have been used to suggest the presence of N to NE oriented sutures within the East African basement (Berhe, 1990). These features are not exposed within the Afar Depression, and thus interpretation of basement fabrics within the Afar Depression must be performed with caution. However, if it is assumed that the N-NE trend observed elsewhere in East Africa also exists in the Afar Depression, then associated seismic anisotropy would align parallel to these features (e.g., Keir et al., 2011).

Our study uses local earthquakes that are confined to the crust, and thus any measurements of seismic anisotropy originate from the crust. However, knowledge of fabrics in the mantle beneath the Afar Depression may be important for an understanding of underlying fabrics the region. Hammond et al. (2014) show that anisotropy in the mantle beneath the Afar Depression is stratified into two layers. In the shallow mantle, there is little anisotropy beneath the Afar Depression, suggesting that melt has no preferential alignment. In the deeper mantle, anisotropy shows a consistent NE-SW orientation, which is interpreted to represent a broad mantle flow towards the northeast.

3. Data and Methods

3.1. Seismic Data

The seismic network was comprised of eleven stations in Ethiopia, active for two years between February 2011 and February 2013. This was supplemented by a network of three stations in Eritrea active between June 2011 and October 2012 (Figure 1a, Table 1). The resulting combined network consisted of eighteen Guralp CMG-3ESP CD instruments and four Guralp CMG-6TD instruments that all recorded continuous seismic data at 50 Hz. Earthquakes were picked manually for both P and S waves and events were located with NonLinLoc (Lomax et al., 2000). We used a two-dimensional velocity model based on controlled source experiments and receiver functions (Hammond et al., 2011; Makris and Ginzburg, 1987). This resulted in a total of 4951 earthquakes, in a catalog complete above magnitude 2.0, where magnitudes are calculated using a region-specific local magnitude scale (Illsley-Kemp et al., 2017). Here we show only those earthquakes with horizontal errors less than ±5 km (Figure 1a).

3.2. Shear Wave Splitting

Once we have a catalogue of manually picked S-waves, we apply the Multiple Filter Automatic Splitting Technique Version 2.2 (MFAST) developed by Savage et al. (2010). This method is based on the method of Silver and Chan (1991), which minimizes the eigenvalue of the covariance matrix of horizontal particle motion by performing a grid search over a range of fast directions (φ)
and delay times ($\delta t$).

MFAST uses the method of [Teanby et al. (2004)] and develops it further to allow the automatic classification of the quality of the measurement. MFAST trials a set of 14 filters over a window surrounding the S-wave pick and determines the three most preferable filters by evaluating signal-to-noise ratio and the filter bandwidth. These three filters are then used to apply the [Silver and Chan (1991)] splitting measurement over multiple windows. This has the advantage of avoiding the additional subjectivity of window definitions. Cluster analysis is then performed on these measurements in order to select the most reliable measurement. Further to the analysis of clustering, MFAST rejects measurements from waveforms that have an unclear linearity in incoming polarization by analyzing the smallest and largest eigenvalues. The rigorous quality analysis of MFAST removes any unintentional bias that is associated with manual methods. For further details on the MFAST method, see [Savage et al. (2010)].

We use the incidence angles calculated from NonLinLoc in order to restrict the earthquake raypaths we analyze to the shear-wave window. The shear-wave window is defined by the vertical cone beneath the seismic station bound by $\sin^{-1}(V_S/V_P)$. By limiting the incoming raypaths to this window we remove any potential effect of phase conversions at the surface [Booth and Crampin (1985)]. We find that due to high velocity gradients near the surface, largely due to sedimentation, a high proportion of the earthquakes fulfil the shear-wave window criteria. The resulting incidence angles range from 59.1° to 90° from horizontal, with an average incidence angle of 75.7° from horizontal. We choose to only interpret splitting measurements that were classed as A-grade by MFAST. This resulted in a total of 888 measurements across the network from 636 individual earthquakes (Table 1, Figure 4). Seismic anisotropy is accrued along the raypath of the earthquake, thus measurements are taken at or above the earthquake depth. Over 78% of the analyzed earthquakes occur in the upper 20 km of the crust, thus seismic anisotropy results represent the mid to upper crust. The depth distribution of the analysed events is shown in Figure 3.

3.3. Shear Wave Splitting Tomography

To better understand the spatial distribution of seismic anisotropy we use the two-dimensional splitting tomography method of [Johnson et al. (2011)]. This method assumes that $\delta t$ is accrued along the entire path length in a homogeneous sense. It also assumes that there is no depth dependence of anisotropy. The region is placed in an initial grid which is then iteratively divided, using a quad-tree method [Townend and Zoback (2004)], into increasingly smaller blocks based on the ray path density (Figure 4). We place the constraint that each block must contain at least 10 and a maximum of 65 rays.

In order to determine the minimum scale of features that we can resolve with our dataset we performed a checkerboard test. We input a checkerboard with
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Elev. (m)</th>
<th>Num. Meas.</th>
<th>Φ (°)</th>
<th>±Φ (°)</th>
<th>δt (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABAE</td>
<td>13.353</td>
<td>39.764</td>
<td>1447</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFME†</td>
<td>13.204</td>
<td>40.858</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAYE</td>
<td>14.802</td>
<td>39.305</td>
<td>2435</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DALE</td>
<td>14.299</td>
<td>40.218</td>
<td>-97</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>-17.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOLE</td>
<td>15.097</td>
<td>39.981</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERTE†</td>
<td>13.446</td>
<td>40.497</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>-80.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GALE</td>
<td>13.725</td>
<td>40.394</td>
<td>-87</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>-35.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GULE</td>
<td>13.694</td>
<td>39.589</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HITE†</td>
<td>13.101</td>
<td>40.317</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGRE</td>
<td>12.253</td>
<td>40.461</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>88.0</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KOZE</td>
<td>12.495</td>
<td>40.985</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAHE</td>
<td>12.040</td>
<td>40.977</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIOE</td>
<td>14.655</td>
<td>40.867</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>12.481</td>
<td>40.315</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Stations with a bipolar anisotropy distribution.

alternating anisotropy strength values of 0.01 km/s and 0.02 km/s and adding random noise drawn from a standard normal distribution [Johnson et al., 2011]. We set the minimum checkerboard block size to be 20 km² and performed the inversion with the same quad-tree grid described above. We then use the residual between the input checkerboard and inversion output to examine the regions in which we have resolution. Figure 5B shows that we have a residual of less than 0.005 km/s for the majority of the Danakil Depression and western rift margin. We only interpret regions within the residual contour of 0.005 km/s. Our final tomography results are shown in Figure 6.

3.4. Gravitational Modelling

Northern Afar features dramatic changes in both topography and crustal thickness. The Ethiopian Plateau has elevation above 3 km and crustal thickness of ∼38 km, whereas the Danakil Depression lies beneath sea level and has a crust of ∼15 km thickness [Hammond et al., 2011; Makris and Ginzburg, 1987]. The density of the crust in Northern Afar is poorly constrained, gravity observations [Tiberi et al., 2005] suggest that the density of the crust increases from the plateau to the rift which likely reflects an increase in intrusive magmatism within the rift. These variations in crustal thickness and density will induce gravitational forces that contribute to the stress field. Araragi et al. (2015) showed that the gravitational stress around Mt. Fuji is sufficient to alter the orientation of seismic anisotropy, it is therefore plausible that the seismic anisotropy in Northern Afar may be affected in a similar manner. We use an implementation of the Flesch et al. (2001) method [Hirschberg et al., In Review], to model the vertically averaged, gravity-induced, deviatoric stress field.
Figure 3: Depth distribution of the analyzed earthquakes showing a predominance of events at depths <20 km and no clear dependence of $\delta t$ with source depth. Seismic anisotropy results (Table 1) are therefore likely to be dominated by anisotropy within the mid to upper crust. Crustal thickness values for the Ethiopian Plateau and Danakil Depression taken from Hammond et al. (2011) and Makris and Ginzburg (1987).

in Northern Afar. We model the deviatoric stress by solving the force balance equation, equating stress to the force due to gravity, and seek the minimum stress magnitude solution, corresponding to the deviatoric stress due to gravity (Flesch et al., 2001; Hirschberg et al., In Review). The force due to gravity is represented by the vertically-averaged gravitational potential energy (GPE). GPE is calculated from the density structure of the region and is given by the formula (England and Houseman, 1986; Molnar and Lyon-Caen, 1988):

$$GPE = \frac{1}{L} \int_{-h}^{L} \int_{-h}^{z} \rho(z')g dz' dz,$$

(1)

where $\rho$ is the density, $g$ the acceleration due to gravity and $h$ the elevation. $L$ is the thickness of the layer over which GPE (and, thus, the deviatoric stress due to gravity) is averaged; here, we use a thickness of 100 km. We use densities of 2760 kg m$^{-3}$ for the crust at the Ethiopian Plateau and Danakil Block, 2890 kg m$^{-3}$ for the crust within the rift, and 3200 kg m$^{-3}$ for the mantle (Tiberi et al., 2005). These were combined with measurements of crustal thickness (Hammond et al., 2011; Makris and Ginzburg, 1987) to determine the density structure used in Equation 1. We perform the calculations at 20 km spacing to provide the modeled full tensor of the deviatoric stress due to gravity, averaged to a depth of 100 km, including the principal axes and the $SH_{max}$ direction.
Figure 4: Rose diagrams of seismic anisotropy measurements. Only measurements graded as A quality are displayed. Average anisotropy orientation (Φ) scaled for average delay time (δ) plotted at each station. Table 1 gives the number of measurements and the average anisotropy orientations for each station.

(Figure 7a).

4. Results and Discussion

The average delay time of 0.19 s (Table 1), concentrated in the upper 20 km of the crust (Figure 3), requires 4% anisotropy, which is consistent with previous measurements from the Afar region (Keir et al., 2011). However, we do not see any consistent pattern in distributions of delay time (δt), an observation that is consistent with other studies (e.g., Gerst and Savage, 2004) and may be due to scattering (Aster et al., 1990). We therefore base our interpretations solely on variations in anisotropy orientation (φ). Seismic anisotropy results show a consistent N-S orientation on the western rift flank and margin (Figures 4, 6). The orientation of anisotropy changes to a NNW-SSE trend within the Danakil Depression. In contrast, seismic anisotropy north of the Danakil Depression is
oriented perpendicular to the rift, NE-SW. Similarly, seismic anisotropy is rift perpendicular to the south of the Danakil Depression in the Dabbahu region. In the following section we present results from each of these regions and discuss their implications in light of gravitational potential modelling, structural geology and Coulomb stress change modelling.

4.1. The Western Rift Flank and Margin

On the Ethiopian Plateau we see a consistent result from stations GULE, CAYE and ABAE, which have $\Phi$ values of $-1.0^\circ \pm 3.6^\circ$, $10.8^\circ \pm 6.7^\circ$ and $25.1^\circ \pm 3.0^\circ$ respectively (Figure 4, Table 1). This north-south orientation of $\Phi$ is also apparent in results from splitting tomography (Figure 6) and gravitational modelling (Figure 7): which display a consistent north-south $\phi$ in the region between $13^\circ$ and $14^\circ$ latitude and have a residual between the tomography results and gravitational modelling of $<20^\circ$. This orientation is parallel to the Oligo-Miocene border faults and thus may show that structure-induced anisotropy from the early stages of rifting dominates in these regions, rather than structures asso-
Figure 6: Shear wave splitting tomography results. Red rose diagrams are normalized, spatial averages of anisotropy orientations, yellow bars show the mean anisotropy orientation. Rose diagrams are plotted in the center of each grid block (Figure 5). Shaded areas indicate regions outside of resolution.

Associated with present-day extension, which is oriented NE-SW [McClusky et al., 2010]. Similar observations have been made in the Main Ethiopian rift, where anisotropy away from the rift axis aligns with inherited structures [Keir et al., 2005]. Alternatively, the results of gravitational modelling show that deviatoric stress in the Ethiopian Plateau acts as an extensional force and that the associated $SH_{max}$ is oriented N-S. It may therefore be that the anisotropy observations at the Ethiopian Plateau reflect the extensional gravitational forces in this region. Alternatively observations may reflect a combination of both structural and gravitational stress induced anisotropy.

At the western rift margin, we also observe a clear N-S orientation in splitting tomography $\phi$ (Figures 6), however gravitational forces in this area are minimal (Figure 7). Recent studies have shown that extension is now focused at both the rift axis and the western rift margin (Ayele et al., 2007; Bastow and Keir...)
Figure 7: (a) The gravitationally induced deviatoric stress field in the Danakil region. The high topography of the Ethiopian Plateau promotes an E-W oriented extension and compression within the Danakil Depression that rotates from E-W at the Erta-Ale segment to NE-SW at the northern Danakil Depression. (b) Residual between the modelled gravitation $SH_{\text{max}}$ and observed tomography results. We see excellent agreement on the Ethiopian Plateau and northern Danakil Depression ($40^\circ\text{E}, 15^\circ\text{N}$).

2011). Detailed studies of anisotropy around active faults have shown that seismic anisotropy that is not recorded directly on the active fault, but is in close proximity, will preferentially align with $SH_{\text{max}}$, regardless of any structures present (Boness and Zoback 2006). If extension at the rift margin had the same NW-SE orientation as at the rift axis, one would therefore expect this to be reflected in the anisotropy alignments. Given that anisotropy at the western rift margin is aligned N-S, this suggests that the extension at the western rift margin is oriented E-W. This would mark a local reorientation of the spreading direction from the rift axis to the rift margin. A similar rotation of extensional direction has been observed in the Main Ethiopian rift (Corti et al. 2013).

4.2. The Danakil Depression

Observational results within the rift display a greater variation in both the single station and tomography results (Figures 4, 6). This suggests that more local features are affecting the anisotropy in this region. Generally within the Danakil Depression we see a NNW-SSE orientation in both the single station, DALE ($-17.7^\circ \pm 4.8^\circ$) and GALE ($-35.6^\circ \pm 7.6^\circ$), and tomography results. This
orientation is parallel to the predicted $S H_{\text{max}}$ from tectonic extension in the region and with surface faulting (Figure 2) but is in disagreement with gravitational forces, which predict compression (Figure 7). The observed, rift-aligned anisotropy occurs in the vicinity of the Erta-Ale volcanic segment, which has been shown to host an abundance of aligned melt and magmatic structures (Pagli et al., 2012). The alignment of melt within the crust is an effective way of generating seismic anisotropy (Dunn et al., 2005), we therefore suggest that aligned melt is the origin of the NNW-SSE oriented anisotropy in the Danakil Depression. This adds further support to previous studies which suggest that extension within Afar is focussed at the rift axis (Bastow and Keir, 2011; McCLUSKY et al. 2010; Wolfenden et al. 2009).

We do, however, see some complexity in the anisotropy field within the Danakil Depression. At approximately 13.8° latitude, the tomography results show anisotropy measurements that trend E-W, which is highly oblique to the trend of the rift. These measurements lie in close proximity to the Alu-Dalafilla volcanic complex (Figure 1), which has experienced significant recent volcanic activity (Pagli et al., 2012). Volcanic processes have been repeatedly shown to influence and change the anisotropy in their vicinity (e.g., Gerst and Savage, 2004; Savage et al., 2010). We lack the spatial and temporal resolution to fully investigate whether Alu-Dalafilla is affecting the local anisotropy field but suggest that it may be the cause of these anomalous measurements.

We see a bimodal pattern in the station results at the southern end of the Danakil Depression (ERTE, AFME) (Figure 1). Both stations have rift aligned anisotropy and an approximately rift perpendicular anisotropy. In the tomography results we see that in the Giulietti Plain, which lies between the Tat-Ale and Erta-Ale volcanic segments (Figure 1), there is a clear rift perpendicular anisotropy which is accountable for the bimodal distribution at ERTE and AFME (Figure 5). Surface faulting here shows complex orientations and suggest an interaction between the two volcanic segments (Barberi and Varet, 1970; Barberi et al., 1974) and this may account for the change in anisotropy orientation. At station TIOE, which is situated within the Danakil Block, we see a large amount of variation in the orientation of anisotropy with little obvious coherency. The Danakil Block has a complex and poorly understood tectonic and structural history (Figure 2). We suggest that this structural complexity is the origin of the variable anisotropy observed at TIOE, however further research into the structures of the Danakil Block would be required to verify this.

Further to the north, at a latitude of 15°, the tomography and $\Phi$ at DOLE (50.5°±4.6°) show a clear clockwise rotation of anisotropy towards a rift perpendicular orientation (Figures 6, 8). This area hosts Alid volcano, which is poorly studied but is understood to be active (Duffield et al., 1997). The surface faults in this region follow a similar NNW-SSE trend to the Danakil Depression (Duffield et al., 1997). We thus conclude that the observed anisotropy is not caused by such structures. Extension rate in the Danakil Depression decreases
to the North, such that in the northernmost Danakil Depression the rate of extension is approximately zero (McClusky et al., 2010). If the stress due to tectonic extension is minimal, it could be expected that the anisotropy would be controlled by gravitational stresses. The modelled gravitational stress in the northern Danakil Depression (Figure 7a) shows compression and an $SH_{\text{max}}$ orientation approximately perpendicular to the rift. The modelled $SH_{\text{max}}$ orientation is in excellent agreement (residual $<20^\circ$) with the observed $\phi$ (Figure 7b). This therefore suggests that in the northern Danakil Depression, gravitational stress, and not tectonic extension, controls the stress field and acts to induce rift-perpendicular anisotropy in this region. Previous work by Araragi et al. (2015) has shown that the seismic anisotropy at Mt. Fuji is influenced by the gravitational stress of the volcano. Our study is the first to find that seismic anisotropy can be induced by gravitational forces which arise from crustal thickness variations.

Further to the south (<13° Latitude), anisotropy appears to align NNE-SSW at stations TRUE ($27.88^\circ \pm 3.7^\circ$) and KOZE ($7.25^\circ \pm 9.3^\circ$). In addition, measurements at station HITE that have come from the south align NNE-SSW, as shown in the tomography results (Figure 6). This orientation of anisotropy is sub-parallel with the Alayta volcanic complex and aligns with surface faulting that is associated with oblique extension between the Danakil Depression and Dabbahu volcanic segment. This suggests that extension in this area is not focused NE-SW, as the majority of extension in Northern Afar is, but is oriented oblique to the spreading direction, SEE-NWW. In this sense extension is transferred between the Dabbahu volcanic segment and Danakil Depression.

![Figure 8: A comparison between the anisotropy results at station SAHE in 2011 (this study) and in 2008 (Keir et al, 2011). We observe a clear change in the orientation of anisotropy between the two time periods.](image)
4.3. The Dabbahu Region

Further south, in the Dabbahu region (Figure 1), both the tomography (Figure 6) and station results at IGRE (88.0°±3.2°) and SAHE (42.9°±5.5°) (Figure 4) suggest anisotropy is oriented oblique to the rift, NE-SW. In this region we can compare our results to a previous study of seismic anisotropy by Keir et al. (2011), who used seismicity data from 2008. We see an excellent agreement at the Ethiopian Plateau, where Keir et al. (2011) also show anisotropy oriented N-S, however in the rift, near the Dabbahu volcanic segment, we see a stark discrepancy between the two sets of results. Keir et al. (2011) show many measurements that are aligned parallel to the rift (NNW-SSE), however we see no evidence for rift-parallel anisotropy in either the single station results (Figure 4) or the tomography (Figure 6). The discrepancy in results is most clear at station SAHE, which was occupied during both studies. Keir et al. (2011) obtained an anisotropy orientation of -12°, whereas in our study we obtain an average orientation of 42.9°. To ensure that the difference in anisotropy orientation is not due to the method we recalculate the 2008 data at station SAHE (Figure 8). We calculate an anisotropy orientation of -39.0° which agrees with the rift-parallel measurements that Keir et al. (2011) found in the Dabbahu region.

The seismic data used by Keir et al. (2011) was obtained from 2005–2008, during this time period the Dabbahu volcanic segment underwent a series of dike intrusions (Belachew et al., 2011; Ebinger et al., 2010; Wright et al., 2006). The initiation of volcanic activity at Dabbahu was marked by seismic swarms and a fissure eruption on 26 September 2005 which was associated with the intrusion of a ~60 km long dike which induced opening of up to 8m (Wright et al., 2006). From 2005 through to 2010 a further 13 dikes intruded in the rift segment, three of which were associated with basaltic fissure eruptions (Ebinger et al., 2010; Hamling et al., 2009). Volcanic intrusions have been shown to change the local seismic anisotropy (Gerst and Savage, 2004; Johnson et al., 2011), so it is possible that the Dabbahu dike intrusions will have influenced the seismic anisotropy measured by Keir et al. (2011).

In order to test this hypothesis we perform Coulomb stress change modelling using the package of Lin and Stein (2004) and Toda et al. (2005). We use this to calculate the induced maximum horizontal stress ($S_{H_{max}}$) from the Dabbahu dike sequence and test whether this influenced the anisotropy measurements of Keir et al. (2011). We use constraints on dike geometry and opening from geodetic and seismicity observations (Belachew et al., 2011; Hamling et al., 2009; Wright et al., 2006). The results of the Coulomb stress change modelling show that the results of Keir et al. (2011), and the recalculated result at SAHE, can be accurately explained by the modelled, induced stress field, with an average residual in $\phi$ of 27°±17° (Figure 7). We therefore suggest that the previous rift parallel anisotropy orientations from the Dabbahu region are not necessarily representative of the long term anisotropy, rather the anisotropy results of Keir et al. (2011) represent the stress field around the actively intruding Dabbahu volcanic segment. If this is the case, we can further suggest that the results
Figure 9: Coulomb stress change modelling of the Dabbahu dike intrusion from 2005–2008. Small black lines are the modelled $SH_{\text{max}}$, thick black lines are anisotropy measurements from Keir et al. (2011) measured between 2005–2008, and the recalculated result at station SAHE presented in this study represent the background stress field in the Dabbahu region. In this sense, the stress field in the Dabbahu region changes orientation episodically with the occurrence of magma intrusions. This lends observational support to numerical models which suggest that the stress field around magmatic segments in continental rifts changes between magmatic and amagmatic periods of extension (Beutel et al., 2010). The reason behind the NEE-SWW orientation of anisotropy (IGRE, SAHE) in the Dabbahu region is unclear. The southern extent of the Dabbahu volcanic segment extends into central Afar, a region that is postulated to be the location of the Afar triple junction (Tesfaye et al., 2003). The stress field is therefore expected to be extremely complex here. The surface faulting in central Afar is generally oriented NW-SE, consistent with the Red Sea rift (Figure 2). As the anisotropy measurements do not align with these structures, one can infer
that the anisotropy is either originating from deeper structural fabrics, or it is representative of the stress field in the region. The NE-SW orientation of the anisotropy is sub-parallel to the trend of the Main Ethiopian rift, it may be that the anisotropy is therefore originating from either deep structures in central Afar related to the Main Ethiopian rift, or that the stress field related to Main Ethiopian rift extension is currently dominant in central Afar. Alternatively, the rift perpendicular orientation of anisotropy is predicted by the gravitational modelling (Figure 7), therefore it may be that the anisotropy in the Dabbahu region is influenced by the gravitational stress due to crustal thickness variations.

4.4. Summary

Our results present the first detailed observations of crustal strain in Northern Afar. We use these observations to propose a model for the stress field in the crust during the final stages of continental breakup. Seismic anisotropy at the rift axis is oriented perpendicular to tectonic extension, suggesting that the rift axis is the current locus for extension. We show that extension changes orientation from NE-SW at the rift axis to a W-E orientation at the rift margin. We also show that the stress field can change dramatically within the rift, such that north of the Danakil Depression, gravitational forces due to gradients in crustal thickness are sufficient to influence the anisotropy within a continental rift. Further, through Coulomb stress change modelling of the Dabbahu dike intrusions we show that the stress field in this region changes periodically through time and that during times of no active intrusions, the extensional stress field is not observed in the seismic anisotropy.

The observation of rift-aligned seismic anisotropy at the axis of continental rifts is in agreement with previous studies of continental rifts (Keir et al., 2011) and ocean ridge segments (Dunn et al., 2005). We suggest that the anisotropy within the Danakil Depression originates from rift-aligned melt within the crust. This adds further support to the suggestion that magmatic extension focuses at the rift axis during continental rifting and that this persists through to seafloor spreading (e.g., Wolfenden et al., 2005). However, we demonstrate that the orientation of the stress field away from the rift axis is more complex than previously thought and is heavily influenced by gravitational forces and magmatic activity. Our results are amongst the first observations of gravity induced seismic anisotropy and shows that variations in crustal thickness is an important consideration in studies of crustal seismic anisotropy in continental rifts. We also note that gravitational forces act to induce compression within the rift such that $SH_{max}$ is oriented perpendicular to the rift (Figure 7). Several studies of surface geology in Northern Afar have noted the presence of cross-rift structures (e.g., Barberi et al., 1974) and Afar hosts dike intrusions and volcanic chains that do not align with $SH_{max}$ induced by regional extension (Wadge et al., 2016). We show that gravitational forces due to variations in crustal thickness act to induce a stress field that is highly-oblique to the stress field due to tectonic extension. It may be that this induced gravitational force is the origin of...
the observed cross-rift structures and volcanism.

In addition to gravitational influence on the stress field, we also demonstrate that magmatic intrusions can dramatically alter the orientation of the stress field. We use Coulomb stress change modelling of dike intrusions at the Dabbahu volcanic segment to show that previous measurements of rift aligned anisotropy are best explained by the induced stress field from the actively intruding dikes (Keir et al., 2011) (Figure 9). Seismic anisotropy measurements presented in this study are not influenced by active intrusions and display a rift-perpendicular orientation in the Dabbahu region. This suggests that the current stress field in the Dabbahu region is not characterized by the regional NE-SW extension of the Danakil Depression.

5. Conclusions

We present the first detailed study of the seismic anisotropy of the Danakil Depression of Northern Afar through automated shear wave splitting, shear wave splitting tomography and forward modelling of both the gravitational and magmatically induced stress fields. This enables us to propose a model for the crustal stress field in the region and we draw the following conclusions:

1. Seismic anisotropy on the Ethiopian Plateau is controlled by gravitationally induced extension and/or preexisting structures inherited from initial Miocene rifting.
2. Seismic anisotropy within the Danakil Depression, at the rift axis, is controlled by the NW-SE oriented extension in the region, suggesting that extension is currently focused at the rift axis through rift-aligned magmatic intrusions and structures. There is also an increase in variability of anisotropy direction within the rift, implying that local effects such as volcanic activity are affecting the local stress field.
3. At the actively extending western rift margin seismic anisotropy is oriented N-S, oblique to the inferred extension direction at the rift axis. This suggests that there is a rotation in the extension direction from the rift axis to the rift margin, such that extension at the western rift margin is oriented E-W.
4. At the northern termination of the Danakil Depression, anisotropy rotates towards a rift-perpendicular orientation which is coincident with a decrease in extension rate. We propose that the stress field due to the regional extension becomes minimal in this area and that stress induced by gravitational forces now control anisotropy. These gravitational forces cause an $SH_{max}$ orientation that is perpendicular to the rift. This is amongst the first observation of gravitational forces inducing seismic anisotropy.
Through Coulomb stress change modelling of the Dabbahu dike intrusions we show that the anisotropy south of the Danakil Depression, in the vicinity of the Dabbahu segment, changes temporally. Previous measurements of anisotropy record the stress field around an actively intruding segment, however since the cessation of intrusions the stress field has re-oriented to a background, rift perpendicular orientation.

We envisage that our results will have great importance for numerical and analogue models of continental rifts and for reconstructions of rifted margins. We show that the distribution and orientation of crustal stress and strain during continental rifting is more complex than previously thought and that this is an important consideration for future studies. In addition, our results will aid the monitoring of volcanic hazards in Northern Afar by providing a benchmark of anisotropy measurements, against which to test any temporal changes.
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