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Abstract—Layered asymmetrically clipped optical orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (LACO-OFDM) has been proposed for improving the spectral efficiency of conventional asymmetrically clipped optical OFDM (ACO-OFDM). Multiple base layers that are orthogonal in the frequency domain are sequentially superimposed to form LACO-OFDM, where each superimposed layer fills the empty subcarriers left by the previous layer. As our contribution, the bit error ratio (BER) considering the effect of thermal noise, clipping distortion, inter-layer interference and the bit rate difference between layers is analysed in this paper. Since the BER performance of LACO-OFDM is closely related to its peak-to-average power ratio (PAPR) distribution, we also provide the analytical expression of the PAPR distribution in this paper, which quantifies how the number of layers in LACO-OFDM reduces the PAPR. As a further advance, we propose a tone-injection aided PAPR reduction design for LACO-OFDM, which in turn improves the BER performance. Simulations are provided for verifying both the analytical BER performance and the PAPR distribution of LACO-OFDM. The results show that the expressions derived match well with the simulations. Furthermore, the PAPR reduction method proposed attains a 5 dB PAPR reduction at the $10^{-3}$ probability-point of the complementary cumulative distribution function (CCDF), as well as a better BER performance than the original LACO-OFDM scheme.

Index Terms—Layered asymmetrically clipped optical orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (LACO-OFDM), bit error ratio (BER), inter-layer interference, clipping distortion, peak-to-average power ratio (PAPR), tone injection.

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the ever-growing urban population density, the portable device usage and multimedia streaming requirements result in increased tele-traffic [1], [2]. As a remedy, the unregulated visible light band is gaining research attention as an appropriate alternative. Light-emitting diodes (LED) act as downlink transmitters in visible light communications (VLC) [2].

In VLC, intensity modulation combined with direct detection (IM/DD) has been commonly adopted to relax the complexity of the optical transceiver frontend design [3]. Since the intensity of light wave has to be non-negative, unipolar encoding is required for IM/DD transmission. In order to enhance the bandwidth efficiency, optical orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (O-OFDM) has been proposed for appropriately migrating the mature OFDM scheme from the radio frequency (RF) system to the VLC downlink, owing to its ability to mitigate the multipath-induced inter-symbol interference (ISI) [4]. Explicitly, since OFDM produces complex-valued time-domain (TD) symbols, we have to ensure that the output signal meets the unipolar IM/DD requirement.

Carruthers and Kahn [5] utilised Hermitian symmetry to generate a real-valued TD signal, which was then positively biased with a direct current (DC) component so that the signal became positive. The resultant scheme is referred to as DC-biased optical OFDM (DCO-OFDM). However, DCO-OFDM has a low energy efficiency due to its high DC energy. [6]. The more energy-efficient asymmetrically clipped optical OFDM (ACO-OFDM) was then proposed by Armstrong and Lowery [7]. In ACO-OFDM, all subcarriers having even indices are left zero, whilst additionally obeying the Hermitian symmetry, as in DCO-OFDM. The corresponding TD ACO-OFDM signal is then not only real-valued, but also anti-symmetric with respect to the horizontal axis, whose negative part can be clipped at the transmitter (TX) and recovered losslessly at the receiver (RX) without additional DC shifting. Similar characteristic features are exhibited by the pulse-amplitude-modulated discrete multitone (PAM-DMT) scheme, where the imaginary part of all subcarriers is actively modulated, while the real part is left blank [8]. Diverse schemes have been advocated for avoiding the DC energy wastage, such as Flip-OFDM/U-OFDM, which transmits the positive and inverted negative parts of the real-valued symbols separately in the TD [9], [10]. Armstrong et al. [6], [11] carried out the bit error ratio (BER) performance analysis of DCO-OFDM and ACO-OFDM, respectively, and compared their optical efficiency to that of on-off keying. In [12] the clipping distortions in DCO- and ACO-OFDM were also taken into consideration by Dimitrov et al. and the clipping effects together with the BER expression of both AWGN and fading channels were also provided.

However, O-OFDM also has some impediments, such as its high peak-to-average power ratio (PAPR), which is defined as the quotient of the maximum to the average power of the TD symbols [13]. Conventional RF-OFDM systems have a high PAPR, which is further increased as the number of subcarriers increases. Hence, the TX power amplifiers have to rely on a backoff and therefore the RX analogue-to-digital converters suffer from an increased granular noise [13]. For this reason, plenty of literature can be found on the PAPR reduction of OFDM in RF systems [14], [15], [16]. The
situation in IM/DD systems is even worse, because the lifespan of LED emitters and the overall indoor lighting functionality would be affected by the high PAPR. However, the PAPR analysis of RF OFDM signals cannot be applied to the IM/DD optical scenario due to its unipolar nature [2], [14]. A study by Wang et al. [17] has characterised the PAPR performance of several typical O-OFDM schemes, such as DCO-/ACO-/Flip-OFDM and PAM-DFT, where the complementary cumulative distribution function (CCDF) expressions have been derived and verified by simulations. Given the unipolar nature of the IM/DD optical signals, several methods such as null subcarrier shifting [18], pilot-symbol assistance [19] and tone injection [20] were proposed. Whilst some of the O-OFDM schemes mentioned before, such as for example ACO-OFDM, are capable of achieving a fairly high energy efficiency, the price paid is to have its spectrum efficiency halved compared to DCO-OFDM using the same constellation size [4].

Based on the aforementioned schemes, some further improved structures have also been conceived, such as asymmetrically clipped DC biased OFDM (ADO-OFDM) [21] and hybrid asymmetrically clipped optical orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (HACO-OFDM) [22] relying on the PAPR reduction method of [23], both of which attain a better spectral efficiency than ACO-OFDM, despite their lower energy consumption compared to DCO-OFDM. The PAPR reduction solution of Li et al. [23] relies on the tone-injection method originally proposed in [16] for the complex TD signals of RF-OFDM, which was then adapted. The author adjusted the method for the unipolar HACO-OFDM TD signals composed of an ACO-OFDM and a PAM-DMT base layer signal. Other approaches such as anti-periodic OFDM [24], spectral and energy efficient OFDM (SEE-OFDM) [25], enhanced U-OFDM (eU-OFDM) [26], and enhanced asymmetrically clipped optical OFDM (eACO-OFDM) [27] and augmented spectral efficiency discrete multitone (ASE-DMT) [28] are also found in recent studies.

A further design option is contributed by the recently proposed layered ACO-OFDM (LACO-OFDM) [29], which populates the idle subcarriers of ACO-OFDM by creating an additional layer and mapping an extra set of symbols to the un-populated positions of the ACO-OFDM scheme [29], [30]. To elaborate, the LACO-OFDM distinguishes itself from other derived schemes by its flexibility, since the number of layers, the modulation scheme and power allocated to each layer can be adjusted as required, which provides more design freedom for the communication system. A study by Lowery [31] has embodied the excellent spectrum efficiency of LACO-OFDM compared with DCO-OFDM, while in [32] experiments were conducted to show the better performance of LACO-OFDM compared to DCO-OFDM. Naturally, the layered nature of the LACO-OFDM signal composition also leads to a significantly different statistical characteristics with respect to the Gaussian or truncated Gaussian distributions of the aforementioned schemes. This makes the reduction of PAPR in LACO-OFDM more difficult, since most of the methods proposed for OFDM only perform well for the Gaussian distribution, which is not the case for the multiple layers of LACO-OFDM and for the solution conceived in [23] for HACO-OFDM, which is composed of two base layers of equal weight.

Against this background, the BER performance of LACO-OFDM is analysed in the face of thermal noise, inter-layer interference and clipping distortion. The bit rate difference between layers is also quantified. Its BER expression is also provided, which suggests that the clipping distortion becomes a major performance-limiting factor in the high signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) region. Since the clipping distortion is closely related to the PAPR of the signal, the probability density function (PDF) of the PAPR is studied. A novel PAPR reduction method based on tone-injection is also proposed for improving the performance of LACO-OFDM, which formulates an optimisation problem that may be solved by off-the-shelf tools, regardless of the number of layers. Monte-Carlo simulations are conducted to verify the PAPR and BER analysis.

The contributions of this paper are listed as follows.

1) The BER performance of LACO-OFDM is analysed in the face of thermal noise, inter-layer interference and clipping distortion. The bit rate difference between layers is also quantified and its BER expression is provided, which suggests that the clipping distortion becomes a major performance-limiting factor in the high-SNR region.

2) A novel PAPR reduction method based on tone-injection is also proposed for improving the performance of LACO-OFDM, which formulates an optimisation problem that may be solved by off-the-shelf tools, regardless of the number of layers.

Monte-Carlo simulations are conducted to verify the PAPR and BER analysis.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Section II the multi-layer LACO-OFDM is reviewed and some key points are indicated. The analysis of the LACO-OFDM BER and PAPR distribution is given in Sections III and IV, respectively. A novel PAPR reduction method is proposed in Section V for improving the system performance, while Section VI provides our simulation results for verifying the theoretical analysis. Finally, our conclusions are offered in Section VII.

II. SYSTEM MODELS

Figure 1 shows the basic schematic of a LACO-OFDM communications system, which has N OFDM subcarriers, and the final signal is composed of a total of L layers [29]. More specifically, in Fig. 2 we present side-by-side frequency and time domain views of the TX and RX processes for a LACO-OFDM signal that is composed of 3 layers and uses 16-point FFT. The remainder of this section introduces each of the steps numbered in both figures.

1) At the TX side, the serially modulated frequency domain (FD) symbol stream generated from the symbol set $\mathcal{S}$ is firstly converted into parallel streams mapped to the layers. ACO-OFDM having $N$ subcarriers is applied to the first layer, which is capable of accommodating $N/4$ symbols. This will leave $N/2$ empty subcarriers according to ACO-OFDM, which can be filled by the ACO-OFDM scheme in the second layer. In this way, the number of symbols loaded onto each of the higher layers is halved with respect to the former, i.e. the $l\text{th}$ layer only
Fig. 1. LACO-OFDM in IM/DD optical communication systems, where LB and UB clipping represent clipping at lower- and upper-boundary, respectively.

has \( N_l = N/2^{l-1} \) subcarriers for \( N_l/4 \) symbols. On each layer, the symbols themselves (\( S_l \)) together with their conjugates (\( S^*_l \)), shown as shaded bricks in Fig. 2 (1) generated for satisfying the Hermitian symmetry are aligned with their corresponding FD subcarriers, while the unused FD positions are left zero. For a total of \( N_l/4 \) multicarrier symbols on the \( l \)th layer, denoted by \( S_l[k] \), (0 \( \leq k \leq N_l/4 - 1 \)), the length-\( N \) FD signal assembled becomes:

\[
\tilde{S}_l[m] = \begin{cases} 
S_l[k], & m = 2^l k + 2^l - 1, \\
S^*_l[k], & m = N_l - 2^l k - 2^l - 1, \\
0, & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]  

For each layer, the signal \( \tilde{S}_l \) is transformed by an \( N \) - point IFFT to generate the layered TD signals \( s_l \), where \( l \) is the index of the layer. The resultant layered signals exhibit the half-wave symmetry of ACO-OFDM, which is also shown in Fig. 2 (2). Further, the high layer signals tend to repeat themselves for several times.

These TD signals are then clipped at the lower boundary (LB) to zero. The resultant non-negative signals are denoted as \([s_l] \), where \( [\cdot] \) stands for LB clipping. Naturally, this clipping imposes distortions on each of these signals, which appear in the FD at the specific subcarriers that were originally left blank by the current layer, like those shown in Fig. 2 (3)F using the diagonal and vertical patterns.

Next, all non-negative layer signals are superimposed on each other to form the signal \( x_L \), with \( L \) being the total number of layers utilised. In the FD, the original information symbols and the distortions located at the same subcarrier index are also superimposed on each other. In this way, the distortions generated by one layer will contaminate the symbols on higher layers, while layer 1 always remains free from this contamination, as shown in Fig. 2 (4)F.

However, the IM/DD transmission process is subject to the maximum power emit of the LED and to the limited linearity range of other components. Hence \( x_L \) will be further clipped at a specific upper boundary (UB). For example, in Fig. 2 (5) \( B_u \) is used as the UB clipping threshold and the TD symbol at the 14th sample is clipped to \( B_u \). In the FD, the UB clipping distortion will spread across the entire spectrum and will contaminate all subcarriers, as seen in Fig. 2 (7), where the dark grey bricks on the top represent the UB clipping distortion. The final signal ready for intensity modulation is denoted as \( x_{UBc,L} \) and a decision can be made to obtain the first layer’s symbol stream \( \hat{S}_1 \) as in (1) and it is transformed by the IFFT into the
Fig. 2. Frequency and time domain views of a 3LACO-OFDM signal with 16-point FFT. The circled numbers and the symbol notations follow those defined in Fig. 1. For the frequency domain views on the left, the purely light shaded bricks with ‘*’ symbols are the Hermitian symmetry conjugates, while the North East hatching, vertical hatching and North West hatching represent distortion generated by Layer 1, 2 and 3, respectively. The dark grey bricks are the UB clipping threshold. Circle stems are the original signals viewed at TX and triangle stems are the distorted signals viewed at RX.
corresponding TD signal $\hat{s}_1$. Due to the unavoidable UB clipping distortion encountered, $\hat{s}_1$ would be slightly different from $s_1$, as seen in Fig. 2(9)1, where the circles represent the original signal $s_1$, while the triangle markers represent the locally generated $\hat{s}_1$.

Then, $\hat{s}_1$ is clipped again at LB zero for forming the non-negative signal $|\hat{s}_1|$. The LB distortion of the first layer may now be generated at the RX of Fig. 1 as $I_1$ by applying the N-point FFT to $|\hat{s}_1|$. Again, owing to the UB clipping distortion, the LB distortion $I_1$ generated locally at the RX of Fig. 1 will be slightly different from the actual distortion $I_1$, as shown in Fig. 2(11)1, where the small light grey bricks at the top of the LB distortion bricks constituted by the even-index bars marked by the North East hatching pattern represent this difference.

At this stage, the iterative receiver now turns to decontaminating the second layer. Hence locally generated LB distortion sample $I_1$ of the first layer constituted by the even-index bars marked by the North East hatching pattern is subtracted from $R_3$ of stage (7), so that in the resultant $R_2$ the symbols of the second layer can be detected without the interference from the first layer. However, the UB clipping distortion and the difference between $I_1$ and $I_1$ cannot be removed, hence they slightly degrade the performance of the second layer, as shown in Fig. 2(8)2.

(1) At this stage, the iterative receiver now turns to decontaminating the second layer. Hence locally generated LB distortion sample $I_1$ of the first layer constituted by the even-index bars marked by the North East hatching pattern is subtracted from $R_3$ of stage (7), so that in the resultant $R_2$ the symbols of the second layer can be detected without the interference from the first layer. However, the UB clipping distortion and the difference between $I_1$ and $I_1$ cannot be removed, hence they slightly degrade the performance of the second layer, as shown in Fig. 2(8)2.

Moreover, assuming that the same modulation scheme is applied to all layers, we have to use a fair power allocation scheme where the power assigned is commensurate with the number of subcarriers occupied by each layer $N_i$. Hence the average symbol power $P_{i}^{base}$ of layer $l$ should be half that of layer (l-1), yielding

$$P_{i}^{base} = \frac{1}{2} P_{i-1}^{base} = \cdots = \frac{1}{2^l} P_{1}^{base}.$$ 

Electrical power/energy is considered here instead of the optical power/energy, as in [12].

### III. BER PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

In this section, the non-Gaussian statistical characteristics of LACO-OFDM signals will be studied, which assists us in the analysis of the BER performance.

#### A. PDF and CDF of LACO-OFDM TD Signals

Some of the basics of the LACO-OFDM signal PDF were presented in [33], with the PDF for the $l$th base layer $|s_l|$ given as

$$g_l(z; \sigma_l^2) = \frac{1}{\sigma_l^2} \delta(z) + \phi(z; \sigma_l^2)u(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $\delta(\cdot)$ is the Dirac delta function and $\phi(z; \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{z^2}{2\sigma^2}\right]$ is the PDF of a zero-mean Gaussian distribution having a variance of $\sigma^2$, with $u(\cdot)$ being the Heaviside step function, while $\sigma_l$ represents the root mean square (RMS) of the unclipped base layer signal $s_l$, while obeys $\sigma^2_l = 2^{-l}\sigma^2_1$ according to (2) [17]. Based on (3), the PDF of an $L$-layer LACO-OFDM signal, denoted as $f_L(z)$, can be obtained by successively convolving the PDFs of all $L$ of its constituent base layers, yielding [33]

$$f_L(z) = g_1(z) * g_2(z) * \cdots * g_L(z)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{z_1} \int_{-\infty}^{z_2} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{z_L} [g_L(z - z_{L-1})g_{L-1}(z_{L-1} - z_{L-2}) \cdots g_2(z_2 - z_1)g_1(z_1)] d z_{L-1} d z_{L-2} \cdots d z_1.$$ 

However, due to the involvement of the term $\int \phi(z) \int \phi(z') dz' dz$, it has been shown in [34] that no closed form expression can be obtained for $l \geq 3$ layers, when $l$ is applied. Therefore, we have to resort to numerical computation of the PDF solution, which is extremely time-consuming. Fortunately, the complexity of this calculation can be slightly reduced by combining pairs of convolutions, yielding

$$f_L(z) = [g_1(z) * g_2(z)] * [g_3(z) * g_4(z)] * \cdots$$

since the double-layer PDFs of $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$ have been derived in closed-forms in [33].

When UB clipping is applied to the combined signal $x_L$ with the clipping voltage set to $B_a$ Volts ($B_a > 0$), the PDF of $x_L < B_a$ remains the same, while the $x_L \geq B_a$ part is truncated and replaced with a pulse $\delta(x_L - B_a) D_L$, where $D_L$ is given by

$$D_L = \int_{B_a}^{+\infty} f_L(x_L) dx_L = 1 - \int_{0}^{B_a} f_L(x_L) dx_L.$$ 

This leads to the following expression for the PDF of the final transmitted signal $x_{UBcL}$

$$f_{UBcL}(x_L) = f_L(x_L) u(-x_L + B_a) + \delta(x_L - B_a) D_L.$$ 

Finally, the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of signal $x_L$ can be expressed as

$$F_L(x_L) = \int_{-\infty}^{x_L} f_L(z) dz = \int_{-\infty}^{x_L} f_{UBcL}(z) dz,$$

while for $x_{UBcL}$ its CDF would have $f_L(\cdot)$ substituted by $f_{UBcL}(\cdot)$.

#### B. BER Performance Expressions

In the IM/DD LACO-OFDM scheme, four major differences appear compared to conventional RF communications.

- Despite the fact that the signal suffers from complex-valued noise during communication, the optical signal modulated according to IM/DD scheme only deals with the real parts. Therefore the imaginary part of the TD noise does not affect the communications quality, which halves the degradation by AWGN.
The diodes at the TX have limited dynamic ranges, thus they might require clipping of the signal amplitude at the UB. Due to the complicated non-Gaussian PDF of the LACO-OFDM signal, the clipping effects require particular attention.

For LACO-OFDM, the decision errors of a specific layer degrade the following layers. Furthermore, the RX also imposes clipping distortion.

Due to the multi-layer nature of LACO-OFDM, both the number of layers and the modulation scheme utilised on each layer would affect the overall bit rate, which in turn affects the energy per bit, $E_b$.

The following parts of this subsection discuss the influence of the last three points on the BER.

1) Clipping: According to Sec. II, LACO-OFDM experiences both at LB and UB clipping. Their effects will be discussed separately. For each of the base layer signals that are clipped at the LB ($s_l \rightarrow [s_l]$), the power is halved, but no information loss is observed due to the symmetric nature of ACO-OFDM. The clipping distortions the signal and reduces its power, which may be amplified to naturally compensate for the clipping induced power-reduction. However, the UB clipping of the combined signal $x_L$ has a more severe effect. Specifically, theUB clipping reduces the signal energy of $x_L$, which again may be modelled by a simple attenuation factor $\kappa$ that is related to the clipping threshold $B_u$ (corresponding to a clipping ratio of $\tau = 20 \log_{10}(B_u/\sqrt{P_L})$, where $P_L$ is the power of $x_L$ to be given in (26)). This UB clipping can be compensated in the same way as for LB clipping, while the estimation of the value $\kappa$ can be performed at the RX with the aid of a training sequence. On the other hand, the non-linear process also introduces upper harmonics that may spread across all subcarriers. A simplified model of clipping distortion based on [35] is given below, where the power of the clipped part quantifies the clipping distortion of

$$\sigma^2 = \int_{B_u}^{\infty} (x_L - B_u)^2 f_{X_L}(x_L) dx_L.$$  (9)

Therefore, the clipping process affects the equivalent communication signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) by reducing the power of the signal and by increasing the noise power, which undoubtedly degrades the BER performance.

2) Inter-Layer Interference: Each base layer of LACO-OFDM generates its own inter-layer interference and influences that of its higher layers.

At the RX, the first layer’s signal (represented as $\hat{S}_l$ in FD) is immune to this and can be detected directly. According to [29], the $l$th layer ($l > 1$) of the LACO-OFDM signal can be detected at the RX according to

$$\hat{S}_l[k] = \arg \min_{X \in \mathcal{S}} \left| H[2^{l-1}k]X - 2\hat{R}_l[k] \right|^2,$$  (10)

where $\hat{S}_l$ of length $N/2^{l-1}$ represents the detected signal, $H$ is the channel state information and $\hat{R}_l$ is the $l$th base layer signal obtained at the RX by subtracting all the distortions generated by the former layers from the originally received FD signal $R_L$, yielding

$$\hat{R}_l[k] = R_L[2^{l-1}k] - H[2^{l-1}k] \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \hat{I}_i[2^{l-i}k].$$  (11)

Here, $\hat{I}_i$ represents the clipping distortion created by layer $i$ at the receiver, which is generated by

$$\hat{I}_i = \mathcal{F} \left( \left[ \hat{S}_i \right] \right) = \mathcal{F} \left( \left[ \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left( \hat{S}_i \right) \right] \right),$$  (12)

where $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ denote the Fourier transform and inverse Fourier transform, respectively.

Therefore, if an error is made when making a decision for layer $l$, so that $\hat{S}_l[k] \neq S_l[k]$, not only will it affect the BER behaviour of the current layer, but it will also spread across all its following layers (layers $l + 1 \sim L$). More specifically, as we have assumed previously, only single symbol detection errors are considered, which is equivalent a Hamming distance of 1. In this way, the interference generated by a single decision error can be quantified as the nearest constellation pattern distance $d_{\text{min}}$ from the symbol set $\mathcal{S}$. After the regeneration process including the IFFT, asymmetric clipping and FFT, this error propagation process will contaminate all the $N/2^{l-1}$ subcarriers, just like its clipping noise does. Hence, when considering the BER of a specific layer, in addition to the AWGN noise inflicted by the channel, the interference imposed by all its previous layers should also be taken into account. The inter-layer interference $G_l$ generated by the $l$th layer can be calculated from the symbol error ratio (SER) $P_{s,i}$ of the $i$th layer (to be derived later) and its corresponding quantified interference $d_{\text{min}}$ for each single symbol error, yielding

$$G_l = P_{s,i} \cdot d_{\text{min}}^2.$$  (13)

Thus, the cumulative sum of all its previous layers’ interferences, namely $\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} G_i$, should be included when calculating the energy-per-bit-to-noise-power-spectral-density ratio $\gamma_{b,l}$ of the $l$th layer.

3) LACO-OFDM Bit Rate: Assuming that the $l$th layer uses the constellation size of $M_l$, the corresponding number of information bits transmitted by this layer becomes:

$$I_l = \frac{N}{2^{l-1}} \log_2 M_l.$$  (14)

Then the total amount of information transmitted by an $l$-layer LACO-OFDM signal would be

$$I_L = \sum_{l=1}^{L} I_l = N \cdot \sum_{l=1}^{L} 2^{l-1} \log_2 M_l.$$  (15)

Hence, for LACO-OFDM, the overall energy-per-bit-to-noise-power-spectral-density ratio $\gamma_b$ and the symbol-energy-to-noise ratio $E_s/N_0$ have the following relationship

$$\frac{E_s}{N_0} = \gamma_b \cdot \sum_{l=1}^{L} 2^{-l-1} \log_2 M_l.$$  (16)
4) Overall BER Performance: The BER and SER performance under an AWGN channel for the lth layer of the LACO-OFDM, which relies on an M_i-QAM modulation scheme, will be dependent both on the clipping distortion as well as on the BER performance of all its previous layers, which can be expressed as [13]

\[
P_{e,l} \approx \frac{2(\sqrt{M_l} - 1)}{\sqrt{M_l} \cdot \log_2 \sqrt{M_l}} \cdot Q \left( \sqrt{\frac{3\Gamma_{\Delta_d} \log_2 M_l}{M_l - 1}} \right),
\]

\[
P_{s,l} \approx \frac{\sqrt{M_l - 1}}{\sqrt{M_l}} \cdot 4 \cdot Q \left( \sqrt{\frac{3\Gamma_{\Delta_d} \log_2 M_l}{M - 1}} \right),
\]

where \(Q(\cdot)\) is the tail probability of the standard normal distribution and \(\Gamma_{\Delta_d}\) is the signal-to-noise-and-interference-plus-distortion ratio of the lth layer. For \(l > 1\), \(\Gamma_{s,l}\) can be expressed as

\[\Gamma_{s,l} = \frac{\kappa^2 E_s}{N_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} G_i + \sigma^2_c} = \frac{\kappa^2 \gamma_0 N_0 \sum_{l=1}^{L} (2^{-l-1} \log_2 M_l)}{N_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{L} G_i + \sigma^2_c},\]

For the first layer, the cumulative sum in the middle of the denominator can be neglected. Furthermore, if no UB clipping is considered, both \(\kappa\) and \(\sigma^2_c\) can be omitted. Therefore, the overall BER performance of the LACO-OFDM system is given by the weighted average of each layer:

\[P_e = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{L} 2^{-i} \log_2 M_i} \sum_{i=1}^{L} 2^{-i} P_{e,l} \log_2 M_i.\]

According to (19), the clipping distortion is the major BER contributor when \(\gamma_0\) is high. Meanwhile, (9) shows that the clipping distortion is closely related to the upper tail of the signal’s PDF, in other words to the signal’s PAPR. Therefore, the next section will analyse the PAPR distribution of the LACO-OFDM signal in order to reveal the major factors influencing the clipping distortion.

IV. PAPR DISTRIBUTION ANALYSIS

In this spirit we embark on analysing the LACO-OFDM PAPR with the goal of avoiding the typically high BER performance degradation imposed by the clipping distortion. This section studies the LACO-OFDM PAPR as a function of the number of its layers and then in the next section develops a PAPR reduction method.

A. Moments of LACO-OFDM TD Signals

According to (3), the mean square of the lth layer’s signal is given by

\[P_{l}^{\text{base}} = E[|s_l|^2] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} z^2 g_l(z; \sigma_l) \, dz = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{2} z^2 \delta(z) + z^2 \phi(z; \sigma_l^2) u(z) \right] \, dz = \frac{\sigma_l^2}{2}.
\]

The mean and variance of \(s_l\) can then be expressed as

\[E[|s_l|] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} z g_l(z; \sigma_l) \, dz = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{2} z \delta(z) + z \phi(z; \sigma_l^2) u(z) \right] \, dz\]

\[= \frac{\sigma_l}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = \frac{\sigma_l}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{L/2}} \cdot \frac{1}{2\sqrt{M}} \cdot \frac{1}{\pi \sigma_l^2} \cdot \Gamma_{\Delta_d}.
\]

and

\[D[|s_l|] = E[|s_l|^2] - E[|s_l|] = \frac{\sigma_l^2}{2} - \left( \frac{\sigma_l}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^2 = \frac{\pi - 1}{2\pi} \sigma_l^2 = \frac{\pi - 1}{2\pi} \sigma_l^2.
\]

Since the signals of different layers are independent from each other before their superposition, the co-variance between any two of them is zero. Hence the mean and variance of the combined signal \(x_L = \sum_{l=1}^{L} s_l\) becomes:

\[E[|x_L|^2] = \sum_{l=1}^{L} E[|s_l|^2] = \sum_{l=1}^{L} \frac{\sigma_l}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = \frac{\sigma_l}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^L}} = \frac{1 - 2^{-L/2}}{\sqrt{2} \pi} \sigma_l^2
\]

\[D[|x_L|^2] = \sum_{l=1}^{L} D[|s_l|^2] = \sum_{l=1}^{L} \frac{\pi - 1}{2\pi} \sigma_l^2 = \frac{\pi - 1}{\pi} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^L}} = \frac{\pi - 1}{\pi} \frac{1}{1 - 2^{L/2}} = \frac{1}{2^L}.
\]

Thus, the power of a L-layer LACO-OFDM signal can be expressed as

\[P_L = E[|x_L|^2] = D[|x_L|^2] + E^2[|x_L|] = \frac{\sigma_l^2}{\pi} \left[ \frac{1 - 2^{-L/2}}{3 - 2\sqrt{2}} + (\pi - 1) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2^L} \right) \right].
\]

B. PAPR Distribution

For a length-\(N\) LACO-OFDM signal \(x_L\) composed of \(L\) layers, the CDF of its PAPR can be formulated as

\[P(\text{PAPR}_L \leq z) = P \left( \frac{\max_{0 \leq n \leq N-1} x_L[n]}{P_L} \leq z \right),\]

where \(P_L\) is the average signal energy of the L-layer LACO-OFDM signal \(x_L\) expressed in (26). This can be further simplified as

\[P(\text{PAPR}_L \leq z) = P \left( \frac{\max_{0 \leq n \leq N-1} x_L[n]}{\sqrt{P_L}} \leq z \right) = P \left( x_L[n] \leq \sqrt{P_L} z, n = 0, \ldots, N - 1 \right).
\]

Since \(x_L\) can be expanded as the sum of its \(L\) unipolar base layer signals, for the \(n\)th symbol within the signal, we have

\[x_L[n] = [s_1[n]] + [s_2[n]] + \cdots + [s_L[n]].
\]

Recall that the energy of the symbols on each base layer \([s_l[n]]\) decreases exponentially as the layer index \(l\) increases.
Hence the statistical characteristics of the signal $x_L$ are dominated by the first layer’s signal $[s_1]$.

Due to the Hermitian symmetry and asymmetrical clipping, the relationship of the symbol pairs $[s_1[n]]$ and $[s_1[n + \frac{N}{2}]]$ (assuming $0 \leq n < \frac{N}{2}$) becomes as follows. If the symbol obeys $[s_1[n]] > 0$, indicating that it was not clipped during theLB clipping process, the corresponding symbol $[s_1[n + \frac{N}{2}]]$ will then become 0, because the unclipped $s_1[n] = -s_1[n + \frac{N}{2}]$ and the symbol on the right hand side is clipped. The probability of having $[s_1[n]] > 0$ is $\frac{1}{2}$, which is a consequence of their independent distribution. Moreover, $[s_1[n]] > 0$ is independent of the rest of the symbols in the signal stream. Therefore (28) can be re-written based on (17)

$$P(\text{PAPR}_L \leq z) = \left\{ P \left[ x_L[0] \leq \sqrt{P_L} z, x_L[N/2] \leq \sqrt{P_L} z \right] \right\}^{\frac{N}{2}}.$$  

(30)

The law of full probability can assist us in further simplifying (30) by considering the cases, when either $[s_1[n]]$ or $[s_1[n + \frac{N}{2}]]$ is zero, yielding:

$$P \left[ x_L[0] \leq \sqrt{P_L} z, x_L[N/2] \leq \sqrt{P_L} z \right] = P \left[ x_L[0] \leq \sqrt{P_L} z, x_L[N/2] \leq \sqrt{P_L} z, [s_1[0]] > 0 \right] +$$

$$P \left[ x_L[0] \leq \sqrt{P_L} z, x_L[N/2] \leq \sqrt{P_L} z, [s_1[N/2]] > 0 \right] = P \left[ x_L[0] \leq \sqrt{P_L} z, [s_1[0]] > 0 \right] +$$

$$P \left[ x_L[N/2] \leq \sqrt{P_L} z, [s_1[N/2]] > 0 \right] = 2P \left[ x_L[0] \leq \sqrt{P_L} z, [s_1[0]] > 0 \right] = 2 \left[ F_L(\sqrt{P_L} z) - \frac{1}{2} \right].$$

(31)

where $F_L(x_L)$ is the CDF of the signal $x_L$ according to (8). Therefore, the CDF of the PAPR can be expressed as

$$P(\text{PAPR}_L \leq z) = \left[ 2F_L(\sqrt{P_L} z) - 1 \right]^{\frac{N}{2}} = \left[ 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\sqrt{P_L} z} f_L(x_L) dx_L - 1 \right]^{\frac{N}{2}}.$$  

(32)

Then, the CCDF of the signal can be easily obtained as

$$P(\text{PAPR}_L > z) = 1 - P(\text{PAPR}_L \leq z).$$  

(33)

For LACO-OFDM consisted by more layers, less zeros would be found on the TD signal, due to the superposition of more layers. Meanwhile, the average power of the signal increases faster than the peak power as more layers are utilised. More specifically, if we were to fix the average signal power of the different layers, we would find that the CDF of the higher layer LACO-OFDM would become more steep, which is formulated as:

$$F_{L_1}(z) \geq F_{L_2}(z), \quad \text{for } L_1 > L_2 \text{ and } P_{L_1} = P_{L_2}.$$  

(34)

This yields that the probability $P(\text{PAPR}_L \leq z)$ increases with $L$. In other words, the higher layer LACO-OFDM signals tend to have a lower PAPR than the lower layer signals. Therefore, it is plausible that LACO-OFDM mitigates the PAPR problem.

V. PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT

Although increasing the number of layers reduces the PAPR, further improvements may be achieved with the aid of tone injection [16], [23], as demonstrated in this section. We continue with the signal model of the proposed method, followed by the conversion of the PAPR reduction problem into a mixed integer linear programming (MILP) problem. A further simplification of the optimisation problem using $l_1$-minimisation is also proposed.

A. LACO-OFDM Signals Relying on Tone-Injection

For each of the symbols in the original FD signals $S_l$, an additional symbol is added to it, i.e.

$$Y_l[k] = S_l[k] + T_l[k], \quad l = 1, 2, \ldots, L, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, N_l/4 - 1$$  

(35)

where $T_l$ is the injected signal and $Y_l$ is the resultant signal. The modified symbol will shift the corresponding point in the constellation diagram to one of a few legitimate locations and can be recovered losslessly at the RX by the modulo operation, regardless of which location it was shifted to.

Figure 3 shows an example of the constellation pattern of a 16QAM signal, demonstrating the tone-injection method. The 16 white markers in the centre are the constellation patterns of the original signal $S_l$. The real and imaginary parts of the symbol may or may not be shifted by a constant distance $\mathbb{D}$ for mitigating the PAPR. When shifting by $\mathbb{D}$ is invoked, this may shift the related constellation point to other quadrants. The full set of markers shown in Fig. 3 represents the legitimate constellation points in $Y_l$, while the shaded ones represent the shifted points, whose original markers are of the same shape but filled with white colour and located in the centre. Clearly, a decision threshold of $\frac{\mathbb{D}}{2}$ can be set for both the real and imaginary branches in order to classify the points into two categories, namely those that are shifted and those that remain in their original place. Based on the quadrant symmetry observed in Fig. 3, the RX eliminates the shifting of the points by invoking the modulo-$\frac{\mathbb{D}}{2}$ operation to find the corresponding original position, hence recovering the signal losslessly.

The signal $T_l$ is appropriately selected so that the resultant signal $Y_l$ exhibits a reduced PAPR, where each subcarrier symbol of it can be decomposed as

$$T_l[k] = -\text{sgn}(\Re\{S_l[k]\}) p_l[k] \mathbb{D} - j \text{sgn}(\Im\{S_l[k]\}) q_l[k] \mathbb{D},$$  

(36)

where $\text{sgn}(\Re\{\cdot\})$ and $\text{sgn}(\Im\{\cdot\})$ return the sign of the real and imaginary parts of the symbol, so that only un-shifted symbols will stay within the original quadrant. $p$ and $q$ are Booleans used to indicate whether or not the real and imaginary parts will be shifted, respectively, where 1 represents shifting and 0 the absence of it, formulated as

$$p_l[k] \in \{0, 1\}, \quad q_l[k] \in \{0, 1\}, \quad l = 1, 2, \ldots, L, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, (N_l/4 - 1).$$  

(37)

Still referring to (36) and Fig. 3, $\mathbb{D} = \rho \min \sqrt{M}$ quantifies the shifting, where $\rho > 1$ is a scaling factor, $\min$ is the minimum symbol distance and $d_{\min} = 2 \sqrt{\frac{3}{2(M-1)}}$ for $M$-QAM having
where minimising the maximum amplitude of the composite LACO-OFDM signal. The PAPR of the resultant LACO-OFDM signal is used in the equality of energy conservation. According to (27), in order to satisfy the Hermitian symmetry for all layers. Then the IFFT is performed on $Y_l$ and the resultant TD signal of the $l$th layer $y_l$ can be expressed in (38). An index substitution of $m = 2^{L-1} k$ is used in the equality (a) of (38) and therefore the range of summation becomes identical for each layer.

As shown in Fig. 1, these signals will be clipped at 0 and superimposed as in

$$y'_L[n] = \sum_{l=1}^{L} |y_l[n]|, \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots, (N-1),$$

where $y'_L[n]$ denotes the composite $L$-layer LACO-OFDM signal. The PAPR of the resultant LACO-OFDM signal $y'_L[n]$ can then be expressed by substituting (39) into (27).

### B. The Linear Optimisation Problem Constructed for PAPR Reduction

Since the signals $T_l$ are independent from the original signals $S_l$ for all layers, their sum will have a higher average signal power than either of them in isolation according to the law of energy conservation. According to (27), in order to reduce the PAPR, we have to minimise the peak power of the TD signal $y'_L[n]$.

$$\min_{p,q} \max_{0 \leq n \leq N-1} y^2_L[n], \quad \text{s.t. (37)}.$$  

(40)

**Proposition 1:** The problem formulated in (40) represents minimising the maximum amplitude of the composite LACO-OFDM signal constituted by all the lower layers, which may be expressed as:

$$\min_{p,q} \max_{0 \leq n \leq N-1} \sum_{l=1}^{L'} y_l[n], \quad \text{s.t. (37)}.$$  

(41)

**Proof:** Given that the TD signal obeys $y'_L[n] \geq 0$ and (39), the optimisation problem in (40) can be formulated as

$$\min_{p,q} \max_{0 \leq n \leq N-1} \sum_{l=1}^{L} |y_l[n]|, \quad \text{s.t. (37)}.$$  

(42)

Let $h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_w, \ldots$ represent the random layer indices of the LACO-OFDM signal that are not equal to each other. Since clipping guarantees that $|y_l[n]| \geq y_l[n]$, it can be deduced that at each time slot $n$, the composite symbol $y'_L[n]$ has a higher power than the sum of any combination of its constituent layers $y_{h_1}[n] + y_{h_2}[n] + \ldots$, i.e. we have

$$y'_L[n] \geq \sum_{w=1}^{L'} y_{h_w}[n], \quad \forall L' \in \{1, 2, \ldots, L\},$$

(43)

$$\forall h_w \in \{1, 2, \ldots, L\},$$

(44)

$$\forall n \in \{0, 1, \ldots, N-1\}.$$  

Assuming that the sample index of the TD peak is $n^*$, i.e. that $\max \{y'_L[n]\} = y'_L[n^*]$, equality applies in (43) for this particular TD sample of $n^*$, yielding

$$y'_L[n^*] = \max_{L',h_w} \sum_{l=1}^{L'} y_{h_l}[n^*].$$

(44)

Given the specific nature of the higher layers detailed in Sec. II and the Hermitian symmetry, the TD samples of each layer have to satisfy

$$y_1[n] = -y_1[n + \frac{N}{2}],$$

$$y_2[n] = -y_2[n + \frac{N}{2}] + y_2[n + \frac{N}{4}] = -y_2[n + \frac{3N}{4}],$$

$$y_3[n] = -y_3[n + \frac{N}{2}] + y_3[n + \frac{N}{4}] = -y_3[n + \frac{3N}{4}],$$

$$y_3[n + \frac{N}{4}] = -y_3[n + \frac{3N}{4}] = y_3[n + \frac{5N}{4}],$$

$$\ldots$$

(45)

Let us now assume that the maximum in (44) is found when $L' = 1$. Assume furthermore that $h_1 = 2$ and $n^* \leq \frac{N}{2} - 1$, where $n^*$ is the TD sample index of the maximum, when the symbols of all layers other than of layer 2 are clipped. Since at $n = n^*$ the first layer’s symbol is clipped, $y_1[n^*] \leq 0$, and obviously $y_1[n^* + \frac{N}{2}] = -y_1[n^*] > 0$, as shown in Fig. 4. On the other hand,

$$y'_L[n^* + \frac{N}{2}] = \left[ y_1[n^* + \frac{N}{2}] \right] + \left[ y_2[n^* + \frac{N}{2}] \right] + \sum_{l=3}^{L} \left[ y_l[n^* + \frac{N}{2}] \right]$$

$$= y_1[n^* + \frac{N}{2}] + y_2[n^*] > y_2[n^*] = 0 + [y_2[n^*]] + 0$$

$$= [y_1[n^*]] + [y_2[n^*]] + \sum_{l=3}^{L} [y_l[n^*]] = y'_L[n^*],$$

(45)
\[ y_l[n] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} |\tilde{Y}_1[n]| \left| e^{j2\pi n k} \right| \]

\[ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{k=0}^{N/4-1} \left( S_1[k] + T_1[k] \right) e^{j2\pi n \frac{2^l k + 2^l - 1}{N}} + \left( S^*_1[k] + T^*_1[k] \right) e^{j2\pi n \frac{2^l k + 2^l - 1}{N}} \]

\[ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{k=0}^{N/4-1} \left[ S_1[k] e^{j2\pi n \frac{2^l k + 2^l - 1}{N}} + S^*_1[k] e^{j2\pi n \frac{2^l k + 2^l - 1}{N}} \right] + \]

\[ + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{m=0}^{N/4-1} \left( T_1[k] \left[ \cos \left( \frac{2\pi n}{N} \frac{2^l k + 2^l - 1}{N} \right) \right] + j \sin \left( \frac{2\pi n}{N} \frac{2^l k + 2^l - 1}{N} \right) \right) \]

\[ = \cos \left( \frac{2\pi n}{N} \frac{N - (2^l k + 2^l - 1)}{N} \right) + j \sin \left( \frac{2\pi n}{N} \frac{N - (2^l k + 2^l - 1)}{N} \right) \]

\[ = \frac{2}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{m=0}^{N/4-1} \Re \left\{ \tilde{S}_1[m] e^{j2\pi n \frac{2^l k + 2^l - 1}{N}} \right\} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{m=0}^{N/4-1} \left\{ -p_{l,m} \sgn(\Re\{\tilde{S}_1[m]\}) \cos \left( \frac{2\pi n}{N} \frac{2m + 2^l - 1}{N} \right) \right\} \]

\[ \min_{p,q} t \]

s.t. \[ \sum_{l=1}^{L'} y_{l}[n] \leq t, \quad \forall 0 \leq n \leq N - 1, \forall 1 \leq L' \leq L \]

and (37). \hspace{1cm} (47)

With the aid of (38), the term \( \sum_{l=1}^{L'} y_{l}[n] \) can be expressed in a linear form by introducing the following vectorial and matrix constants. Since (38) considers the possibility of all \((n, L')\) combinations, the final linear form has to contain a total of \((NL)\) rows, where each row represents the sum of the first \(L'\) layers’ \(n\)th TD sample from \(y_{l}[n]\). The first term in (38) represents the participation of the original signal \(S_1\) in \(y_1\), and it is identical to \(s_{1}[n]\). A vector of length \((NL)\) can then be formed, with the \((L' - 1)N + 1)\)th row to the \((L'N)\)th row representing the length-N summation of the first \(L'\) layers, expressed as

\[ s = [s_1[0], s_1[1], \ldots, s_1[N - 1], \]

\[ \sum_{l=1}^{2} s_l[0], \sum_{l=1}^{2} s_l[1], \ldots, \sum_{l=1}^{2} s_l[N - 1], \]

\[ \ldots, \sum_{l=1}^{L} s_l[N - 1] \] \hspace{1cm} (48)

By substituting (46) back into (42), the equivalent problem (41) is obtained, which concludes the proof.

Let us now return to our PAPR optimisation problem. Let \(t\) be the target of the optimisation. Then a linear programme

\[ \min_{p,q} t \]

s.t. \[ \sum_{l=1}^{L'} y_{l}[n] \leq t, \quad \forall 0 \leq n \leq N - 1, \forall 1 \leq L' \leq L \]

and (37).\hspace{1cm} (47)

For the second summation term in equality (a) of (38), the two parts within it can be expresses separately. For the \(n\)th time slot sample of the \(l\)th layer’s TD signal, the contribution of the \(n\)th subcarrier in the corresponding FD signal \(T_l\) would
be
\[ -c_{l,n,k} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{N}} \text{sgn}(\Re\{\tilde{S}_l[k]\}) \cdot \cos \left(2\pi \frac{2k+2^{l-1}}{N}\right), \]  
(49)
\[ u_{l,n,k} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{N}} \text{sgn}(\Im\{\tilde{S}_l[k]\}) \cdot \cos \left(2\pi \frac{2k+2^{l-1}}{N}\right), \]  
(50)
where \([\cdot]^T\) represents the transpose of a vector or matrix. The terms corresponding to different time slot samples can be grouped into vectors, and the vectors corresponding to different FD symbols of the same layer can be further grouped into vectors, yielding
\[ c_{l,n} = [c_{l,n,0}, c_{l,n,1}, \ldots, c_{l,n,N/4-1}]^T, \]  
(51)
\[ c_l = [c_{l,0}, c_{l,1}, \ldots, c_{l,N-1}]^T, \]  
(52)
\[ u_{l,n} = [u_{l,n,0}, u_{l,n,1}, \ldots, u_{l,n,N/4-1}]^T, \]  
(53)
\[ U_l = [u_{l,0}, u_{l,1}, \ldots, u_{l,N-1}]^T. \]  
(54)
In this way, a large matrix can be constructed with the \(l\)th block row (multiple rows from the same smaller matrix) being used to calculate the summation within (47) when \(L' = l\).

\[ A = \begin{bmatrix}
-C_1 & U_1 \\
-C_1 & U_1 & -C_2 & U_2 \\
& & \ddots & \ddots \\
& & & -C_L & U_L
\end{bmatrix}. \]  
(55)
Likewise, the parameters \(p\) and \(q\) can also be grouped as vectors, yielding
\[ p_l = [p_l[0], p_l[1], \ldots, p_l[N/4-1]]^T, \]  
(56)
\[ q_l = [q_l[0], q_l[1], \ldots, q_l[N/4-1]]^T, \]  
(57)
\[ v = [p_1^T, q_1^T, p_2^T, q_2^T, \ldots, p_L^T, q_L^T]^T. \]  
(58)
Concerning the vectors \(\{p_l[m]\}_{m=0}^{N/4-1}, \{q_l[m]\}_{m=0}^{N/4-1}\) defined above, note that for all \(m \neq 2^{l-1}k\), the corresponding vector elements should always be zero, because no symbols are mapped to those subcarriers of the corresponding layer. In this way, the original PAPR reduction problem of (40) can be rewritten as
\[ \min_v \|v\|_1 \]  
\[ \text{s.t.} \quad s + A v \leq t \cdot 1_{(NL \times 1)}, \]  
(59)
\[ p_l[m], q_l[m] \in \{0, 1\}, \quad m = 2^{l-1}k, \]  
\[ = 0, \quad \text{otherwise}, \]
which is now transformed into a mixed integer linear programming (MILP) problem. Hence, off-the-shelf solvers can be applied [36].

C. Simplifying the Optimisation
It has been shown in [16], [37] that the majority of the elements in the optimisation variable vector \(v\) would be zeros, indicating that with a few subcarrier symbol shifts, the PAPR may be considerably reduced. This result also shows that the vector \(v\) is sparse. Hence, based on [16], [23], [38] we can further simplify the optimisation problem by minimising the \(l_1\)-norm \(\|v\|_1\) of the desired sparse vector \(v\) as
\[ \min_v \|v\|_1 \]  
\[ \text{s.t.} \quad s + A v \leq t' \cdot 1_{(NL \times 1)}, \]  
\[ 0_{(NL/2 \times 1)} \leq v \leq 1_{(NL/2 \times 1)}, \]  
\[ W v = 0_{(NL/2 \times 1)}, \]  
(60)
where \(W\) is a diagonal matrix, whose \(2^{l-1}k\) elements in the diagonal would be 1 and the rest be 0, which ensures the unused subcarriers remain unshifted. Furthermore, \(t'\) is a pre-determined peak amplitude reduction target that varies both with the number of layers \(L\) and with the number of FFT points \(N\) according to [16], [23]. In this paper, empirical values of \(t' = 0.7\) is used for 4LACO-OFDM. It is worth mentioning that (60) relaxes the restriction formulated in (37). Thus the resultant vector \(v^*\) contains floating-point numbers within \([0, 1]\). Then, according to [16], a total of \(Z\) candidate vectors containing only 0 and 1 will be generated, each of which has a probability of being 1 given by the corresponding value in \(v^*\). Their PAPRs of each candidate signal are then calculated by applying (35) and the one achieving minimum PAPR would be selected as the final solution.

Again, the method proposed reduces the PAPR, which is achieved by increasing the average symbol energy, while simultaneously reducing the peak symbol energy. The peak symbol energy reduction results in beneficial UB clipping distortion reduction. As a benefit, the PAPR reduction relaxes the linearity requirement of the amplifiers and quantisers, while improving the BER performance by reducing clipping distortion. Hence a lower power is required for achieving the same BER target, which ultimately improves the energy efficiency.

VI. SIMULATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS
In this section, our BER and PAPR expressions derived are verified by Monte-Carlo simulations, which are followed by further discussions. All the simulations in this section rely on the power allocation strategy of Sec. II, with the overall signal power transmitted set to \(P_L = 1\ W\). Table I summaries the parameters to be used in the following simulation results, if not been stated otherwise.

Figure 5 shows the BER versus \(E_b/N_0 (\gamma_b)\) performance of each layer in a 4-layer LACO-OFDM (4LACO-OFDM) system without any UB clipping. The simulated BER results of the four layers are represented by the markers, while their analytical curves are marked by solid lines in all figures. The overall average BER performance of all four layers is also provided. According to Fig. 5, the four layers exhibit different BER behaviours at low SNRs. Naturally, the first layer has the best BER since no interference is imposed by the higher layers. By contrast, observed from (19) that all higher layers suffer from the inter-layer interference inflicted by the previous layers. As the SNR increases, the four BER curves tend to converge to the 16QAM BER curve. More explicitly, (13) and (17) show that as the SNR increases, the SER of the lower layers is reduced, hence the interference imposed to the higher
TABLE I
PARAMETERS USED FOR SIMULATION RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Definitions</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Applicable Figures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$M$</td>
<td>Size of the constellation pattern</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L$</td>
<td>Number of layers composing the LACO-OFDM signal</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5, 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N$</td>
<td>Number of FFT/IFFT points for scenarios without UB clipping</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>5, 6, 7, 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau$</td>
<td>UB clipping ratio</td>
<td>9 dB</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z$</td>
<td>Number of candidate vectors for PAPR reduction optimisation</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>9, 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Channel</td>
<td>AWGN</td>
<td>5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*For scenarios with UB clippings, longer FFT length is required.*

layers is also reduced, which in turn decreases the SER of the higher layers. Gradually all the interferences turned to zero and in this way all layers start to behave the same way as the first layers, since no more interlayer interference exists.

Figure 6 shows the BER of LACO-OFDM both with and without a $\tau = 9$ dB UB clipping operation. The derivation of (19), (20) is verified here by the simulations. As expected, the lower-throughput LACO-OFDM composed of less layers exhibit a better BER performance. On the other hand, since the PAPR of more layers is lower, they have better immunity against distortion, when clipped at the UB. Therefore, the BER curves of unclipped and clipped situations are closer to each other for signals composed of more layers. Furthermore, the BER curves of clipped signals tend to converge at a certain level, when the UB clipping distortion dominates the performance. Thanks to their lower PAPR, the schemes having more layers suffer from less distortion at the same clipping ratio, which leads to their lower BER.

Figure 7 compares the CCDF of several LACO-OFDM time-domain signals having 1024 subcarriers. Various number of layers $L$ are used. The trend that LACO-OFDM signal having more layers tends to exhibit lower PAPR can be clearly observed when the total number of subcarriers is fixed. This means that the peak power increases slower than the average power upon combining more layers. This is consistent with the analysis in Sec. IV-B.

Figure 8 portrays the CCDF of the proposed PAPR reduction method for 3LACO-OFDM 16QAM signals for a total of 64 subcarriers. The number of candidate solution vectors generated $Z$ is treated as a variable and its PAPR improvement is quantified. At the CCDF $= 10^{-3}$ level, our tone-injection technique provides a PAPR reduction of around 5 dB, provided that sufficient candidate vectors are generated. Naturally, a higher PAPR improvement can be observed, when a larger $Z$ is
used, albeit at a higher computational complexity. Therefore, we struck a compromise at $Z = 200$ for our further simulation.

On the other hand, the PAPR improvement is a function of the number of layers $L$. Fig. 9 characterises this feature by comparing the PAPR improvement of different LACO-OFDM signals. The improvement of the PAPR at the CCDF $= 10^{-3}$ level is used for our evaluation. It is clear that the PAPR improvement is reduced as the number of layers increases. A plausible reason for this trend based on Fig. 7 is that the PAPR decreases as $L$ increases, which in turn makes further improvements even harder to achieve. However, if we compare the PAPR of the injection-aided 4LACO-OFDM signal to the original 2LACO-OFDM, the improvement now appears more dramatic.

The influence of the proposed PAPR reduction method on the BER performance is characterised in Fig. 10. The BER versus $E_b/N_0$ performance of the 3LACO-OFDM signals under various clipping ratios featuring the proposed PAPR reduction method are depicted by the solid lines, together with their original direct-clipping based counterparts represented by the dashed lines. The proposed method expands the size of the constellation pattern by shifting the constellation points to locations farther apart, while keeping the minimum distance between each pair of points the same. In this way the effective $E_b/N_0$ for the resultant signals becomes lower than that of their original signals, which imposes a higher information loss. However, for $\gamma_b > 20$ dB the proposed PAPR reduction technique starts to outperform its direct-clipping counterparts for both 7 dB and 9 dB clipping ratios. This is an explicit benefit of its reduced clipping distortion.

**VII. CONCLUSIONS**

The state-of-the-art of the LACO-OFDM scheme designed for IM/DD communications has been reviewed and new theoretical expressions of its BER and of its PAPR have been derived and verified by simulations. Explicitly, the BER performance has been analysed in the face of noise, inter-layer interference and clipping distortion. The higher layers tend to have worse BER performance due to the interference imposed by the lower layers, while the first layer has the same performance as ACO-OFDM. We demonstrated that the
LACO-OFDM PAPR is significantly influenced by that of its first layer. The PAPR expression indicates that adding more layers will result in a TD signal with lower PAPR, hence LACO-OFDM attains PAPR reduction. A novel PAPR reduction method based on tone-injection was also proposed for LACO-OFDM, which is capable of achieving in excess of 5 dB PAPR reduction on top of LACO-OFDM’s own reduction capability. The BER simulations also match with our analysis, demonstrating that the signals composed of more layers exhibit a better resistance against clipping, albeit their BER performance becomes much worse when no clipping is imposed. The proposed PAPR reduction method also helps improve the BER. As a result, our LACO-OFDM solution exhibits excellent spectral and energy efficiency.
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