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Abstract—Texturing the surface with both micro and nanoscale features to form black silicon is a promising approach in improving solar cell efficiency. In optical modeling of such a surface, it is difficult to balance the accuracy and computational resource. In this work, we develop on a semianalytical model, effective index technique (EIT), which utilizes a finite-difference time domain (FDTD) method to represent the nanoscopic texturing as an effective medium, and then apply this to microscale structures, which can then be modeled using the transfer matrix method and ray-tracing. We fabricate and model both periodic and random nanoscale textures, and analyze the accuracy of several effective index models against measured reflectivity. The limitations in the model are identified and coherency of the films is studied. The semianalytical method is shown to perform better than the other effective medium approaches for modeling black silicon and is applicable to modeling multiscale textures, whereas full numerical methods such as FDTD are not. However, although the EIT approach predicts the trends in antireflective performance of a texture, it remains inaccurate when compared with the experiment. Also, as with all effective medium approaches, the EIT does not account for light trapping through scattering.

Index Terms—Black Si, effective medium, finite-difference time domain (FDTD), nanoscale, optics, ray-tracing (RT), transfer matrix method (TMM).

I. INTRODUCTION

Optical enhancement is a key factor in achieving efficient performance in solar cells, in particular for materials that suffer from high reflectivity such as silicon (Si). Several approaches are used to tackle this problem including antireflective coatings (ARCs) and microscale pyramidal texturing. Another approach to antireflection is to form arrays of nanoscale features with dimensions below the ray optic limit. In this work, we fabricate and model both periodic and random nanoscale textures, namely the volume averaging technique (VAT) [14], Maxwell-Garnett (MG) [15], and Bruggeman (BG) [16] are analyzed and compared with FDTD, along with a recently developed approach called the effective index technique (EIT) [17].

The models are first analyzed for various nanoscale feature radii, and their limitations identified. The use of EIT in representing periodic nanopillars is then explored, with modeled data compared with measured data of samples fabricated using nanoimprint lithography. The EIT model for pseudorandom NWs is then explored in an attempt to account for the heterogeneity found in many experimentally-realized nanoscale...
Fig. 1. Scanning electron micrographs of (a) periodic nanopillars fabricated via nanoimprint lithography, (b) random NWs fabricated via MACE, (c) pyramids fabricated via KOH etching, (d) multiscale hybrid structure of pyramids and random NWs fabricated via MACE+KOH etching.

Textures being developed for silicon solar cell technologies. Furthermore, the influence of treating such films incoherently is studied. Finally, the effective medium films are then applied to pyramidal surfaces in Sentaurus TCAD and the results are compared with measured data over a wide angular range, from fabricated multiscale-textured surfaces. It should be clarified that whilst light trapping via scattering of incoming light by textured features is an important aspect of solar cell performance, none of the effective medium approaches (even EIT) takes this into account, and this work is limited to antireflection properties only.

II. FABRICATION

To verify the accuracy of the modeling techniques, both periodic and random nanoscale textured surfaces were fabricated and characterized. The periodic texture [see Fig. 1(a)] consisted of a hexagonal array of cylindrical pillars fabricated on a planar silicon surface with a pitch of 280 nm, over an area of 1 cm$^2$ using nanoimprint lithography and dry etching, as described in [18]. The random nanoscale-textured surface [see Fig. 1(b)] was fabricated by metal-assisted chemical etching (MACE), which results in dense arrays of randomly distributed but vertically aligned NWs. A pyramidal-textured surface [see Fig. 1(c)] was fabricated using standard alkaline etching. Finally, a multiscale hybrid sample [see Fig. 1(d)] was fabricated by first forming pyramids and then using MACE to create the nanoscale texture on the pyramidal surface.

The MACE process [1] occurs in two stages: the first is the nucleation stage in which SiO$_2$ pits are formed underneath metal nanoparticles (in this work, Ag) deposited on the silicon surface. The second stage is to apply an etchant (in this work, HF) to etch away the SiO$_2$ and drive the nanoparticles deeper into the Si substrate. As this process proceeds, vertical NWs are formed. The etch rate can be regulated by temperature, which enables good control over NW length. The process begins with a cleaning step of a 5 cm $\times$ 5 cm square Si sample (Cz, 2.5–5 $\Omega$-cm, $<100>$) using Piranha etch solution of H$_2$SO$_4$:H$_2$O in a 3:1 ratio followed by an HF dip. The sample is then placed in a silver nitrate (AgNO$_3$, 0.06 M) and HF (3 M) solution in a ratio of 1:1. An etch time of 2.5 min is used at a solution temperature of 50 °C. The sample is then thoroughly rinsed in DI water followed by 5 min in nitric acid to remove Ag nanoparticles. The pyramidal-textured samples are fabricated by placing Piranha-cleaned wafers in a solution of KOH (0.2 M) and isopropanol at 70 °C for 60 min. Alkaline etchants such as KOH exhibit a significantly faster etch rate on the $<100>$ than the $<111>$ planes of silicon, resulting in well-defined, randomly distributed pyramids on the surface of a $<100>$ wafer [19]. For fabricating the multiscale pyramid-NW samples, the pyramidal-textured samples are then subjected to the MACE process. Fig. 1 shows scanning
electron micrographs (SEM) of the fabricated samples: periodic nanopillars on planar silicon, random NWs on planar silicon, pyramids alone and the multiscale, hybrid texture with random NWs etched into pyramids. Measurements from the SEM images of the periodic arrays give a feature diameter of 140 nm, a height of 360 nm, and a pitch of 280 nm. The heterogeneity of both the pyramidal and NW surface can be observed, whilst the periodic nanopillars are arranged in a hexagonal lattice.

Hemispherical reflectance measurements were conducted on each sample and then the samples were cleaved to enable morphological characterization by SEM (NVision40, Carl Zeiss). Hemispherical reflectance measurements were undertaken at an 8° incident angle using an integrating sphere in a Bentham PVE300 photovoltaics characterization system, referenced to a Spectronal reflectance standard. An integrating sphere was also used in an custom-built system to measure reflectivity as a function of angle. A tungsten-halogen HL-2000-FHSA (Ocean Optics) lamp is used as light source, the samples were mounted in the center of the sphere on a rotatable clip and light was coupled out of the sphere via an optical fiber to a USB2000+ VIS-NIR-ES spectrometer (Ocean Optics). A bare, polished silicon sample was used as a reference.

III. MODELING TECHNIQUES

To model the black silicon morphology as shown in Fig. 1, the first step is to begin with a periodic model and then to expand to include a degree of heterogeneity. Assuming a periodic structure, the nanopillar/NW can be modeled as a cylinder of a given diameter and height based on a cuboidal substrate. The structure can be simulated accurately using FDTD. We will explore whether faster simulation methods that involve defining the NW/air as a film on top of a silicon substrate, and then applying TMM and RT, can lead to accurate results. The FDTD tool used in this work to solve the spectral response of the NW surfaces is FDTD Solutions by Lumerical [20]. The NW is defined as a cylinder on a cuboidal substrate [see Fig. 2(a)]. Periodic boundaries are used in the x- and y-directions to define the structure as an array of NWs, the pitch of which is determined by the width of the simulation domain. Perfectly matched layers (PML) are applied in the z-direction to define a semi-infinite substrate [see Fig. 2(b)]. The minimum mesh size of the Yee cell and the termination criteria of the simulation are set to 10 nm and 1e−5, respectively. The heterogeneity was modeled using a unit cell of uniformly distributed random cylinders of varied radii (20–80 nm), position and height (1 μm ±15 nm), see Fig. 2(c). Effective medium approximations treat the NW surface as a thin film for which the effective refractive index (n_{eff}) and the effective extinction coefficient (k_{eff}) are the weighted averages of those for Si (n_{Si}, k_{Si}) and air (n_{air}, k_{air}) based on the fill factor, φ (i.e., ratio of Si/air). The equations below represent the approximation for three different methods.

Volume Average Theory [14]:

\[ A = \phi(n_{Si}^2 - k_{Si}^2) + (1 - \phi)(n_{air}^2 - k_{air}^2) \]

\[ B = 2n_{Si}k_{Si} + 2n_{air}k_{air}(1 - \phi) \]

\[ n_{eff}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ A + \sqrt{A^2 + B^2} \right] \]

\[ k_{eff}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ -A + \sqrt{A^2 + B^2} \right]. \]

Maxwell Garnett [15]:

\[ \varepsilon_{eff}^2 = \varepsilon_{Si}^2 \left[ 1 - \frac{3\phi(\varepsilon_{Si}^2 - \varepsilon_{air}^2)}{2\varepsilon_{Si}^2 + \varepsilon_{air}^2 + \phi(\varepsilon_{Si}^2 - \varepsilon_{air}^2)} \right]. \]

Bruggemann [16]:

\[ (1 - \phi)\frac{\varepsilon_{Si}^2 - \varepsilon_{eff}^2}{\varepsilon_{Si}^2 - 2\varepsilon_{eff}^2} + \phi\frac{\varepsilon_{air}^2 - \varepsilon_{eff}^2}{\varepsilon_{air}^2 - 2\varepsilon_{eff}^2} = 0. \]

Note that \( \varepsilon_x = (n_x + ik_x)^2 \), where \( x = \text{Si}, \text{air}, \text{or eff} \).

Alongside these approximations, the EIT also approximates refractive index data for NWs. This semianalytical model presented in [17] calculates refractive index data by first modeling the NW as semi-infinite (i.e., no substrate but instead the base of the NW extending through the PML layer) and obtaining its reflected power \( R \) and transmitted power \( T \), through various depths \( Z \) of the NW using the FDTD tool. An increase in the number of PML at the base of the simulation domain is necessary in this case to remove instabilities that are triggered at the interface between the dispersive silicon NWs and the PML boundary. The absorption coefficient \( \alpha \) of the NW array can be related to the transmitted power at varying depths using the cutback technique described in [17], based on the Beer–Lambert
The extinction coefficient $k$ can then be calculated by

$$k = \frac{\alpha \lambda}{4\pi}.$$  

Finally, the refractive index $n$ can be calculated by relating $k$ to the reflected power:

$$R = \frac{(n - 1)^2 + k^2}{(n + 1)^2 + k^2}.$$  

The RT tool used in this work is Sentaurus TCAD device solver. Microscale texturing is defined as a random array of pyramids with base widths ranging from 1 to 5 $\mu$m [see Fig. 2(d)]. The boundary conditions used are fully reflective in the $x$–$y$ plane and fully absorbing in the $z$ plane. The nanoscale textures are defined as a film deposited on top of the pyramids. These films have a custom-defined refractive index that has been precalculated using the aforementioned effective medium models. Furthermore, this tool can treat the films either coherently or incoherently.

IV. RESULTS

Fig. 3(a) shows the reflectivity spectra obtained using the full FDTD technique (Lumerical) to solve differing radii of an NW unit cell (height = 500 nm, period = 200 nm). Likewise, Fig. 3(b)–(d) illustrates the reflectivity spectra of differing NW radii when using the VAT, MG, and BG models, respectively, to represent the NW unit cells as an effective medium before solving, using the TMM technique. The results of using the EIT technique to calculate the reflectivity spectrum as a function of varying radii are presented in Fig. 3(e). The results from the conventional effective medium models (i.e., VAT, MG, and BG) exhibit fringes which stem from the Fabry–Perot resonances.
due to interference of light reflected from the top and bottom of the NW layer [21]. However, it is observable that these fringes differ substantially from the FDTD result. In contrast, the EIT technique shows similarity to FDTD, illustrating guided modes that become red-shifted with increasing radii. This is because the EIT technique takes into account, not only geometry as is the case for the effective medium models, but also the influence of the electromagnetic fields of the neighboring NWs. As a means to characterize the similarity of the effective models and techniques to that of FDTD, the photon current from transmitted light into device \( J_T \) is calculated based on the simulated

\[
J_T = -q \int_{\lambda_{\text{min}}}^{\lambda_{\text{max}}} \Phi(\lambda)(1 - R(\lambda))\text{IQE}(\lambda)d\lambda.
\]  

(10)

whereby \( \Phi \) is the incident photon flux density for AM1.5 spectrum, IQE is the internal quantum efficiency (assumed 1), and \( q \) is the charge of an electron. The \( J_T \) as a function of NW radii for the different modeling approaches is plotted in Fig. 3(f). This shows that of the four effective modeling approaches, the EIT model exhibits the best agreement with FDTD for smaller radii. However, this similarity worsens with increasing radius, and approaches the values of the BG and MG models for radii above 60 nm. The VAT model remains significantly different to FDTD results across all radii, whilst MG and BG improve for larger radii but still exhibit errors \( >1\text{ mACm}^{-2} \) for \( J_T \).

The divergence in reflectivity for larger radii in EIT compared with FDTD can be accounted for by the complexity in carrier generation profile, as observable in Fig. 4. This shows one-dimensional carrier generation profile as a function of depth (along \( z \)) into the NW, measured at the center point (of the \( x-y \) plane). The profiles for radii \( <30\text{ nm} \) show a sharp drop followed by a constant decay. This can be accounted for due to small radii only supporting fundamental modes and hence producing a relatively simple depth profile [5]. When using the cut-back technique with transmission monitors along the \( z \)-axis, a fit can be made using an absorption coefficient which is representative of this decay. As the radius increases, higher order modes emerge (see supplementary information), which yield carrier generation profiles that diverge away from a simple decay and thus lead to errors when fitting using the cut-back technique. Despite the differences \( (<3\% \text{ error in } J_T \) for larger radii, the trend is similar across all radii when looking at both reflectivity and \( J_T \). This information is still retained in the cut-back technique as this is averaging the transmitted power across the \( x-y \) plane, and thus an overall decay representative of the NW is observed for which an absorption coefficient can be fitted.

Fig. 5 shows the measured reflectivity, as well as modeled data using FDTD and EIT of the periodic nanopillars fabricated via nanoimprint lithography. The morphology of this structure, which is shown in Fig. 1(a), is cylindrical with an average radius of 70 nm and height of 360 nm. The array is a hexagonal lattice with a pitch of 280 nm. The reflectivity measurement shows reasonable agreement with the FDTD modeled result, with similarities observable with the EIT modeled data (particularly the presence of peaks and troughs for 600 nm \( < \lambda < 800 \text{ nm} \)). As the radius of the NW is \( >30 \text{ nm} \), the results using EIT model for this case are prone to the aforementioned limitations. This results in an error \(<5\% \) in the \( J_T \) values between measured data and EIT modeling results.

Fig. 6 shows the measured reflectivity, as well as modeled data, using FDTD and EIT of the black silicon surface fabricated via MACE. The morphology of this structure, which is shown in Fig. 1(b), is heterogeneous with NWs varying in diameter from 30 to 200 nm and having heights of approximately 1 \( \mu \text{m} \). The measured data show significantly reduced reflectivity compared with the periodic structure, which is the result of superposition of modes triggered by the various radii in this heterogeneous surface. The reduction in reflectivity is also observed in the modeled FDTD data, however it does not exhibit the broadband flat response observed experimentally. This is due to the limitation of pseudorandomness in a unit cell that can be efficiently modeled. The EIT modeled data have good agreement with FDTD for only small wavelengths. The error observed in this can be attributed to the limitations observed in the periodic case for larger diameters. The superposition of
different modes triggered by the various radii, produces carrier generation profiles that will diverge from a simple decay capable of being fitted using the cut-back technique. Both the FDTD and EIT modeled data exhibit peaks and troughs that are not present in the experimental measurement. The heterogeneity and the height of the black Si surface can result in an incoherent behavior of the NW array on silicon. As such, specifying the film as incoherent in the TCAD simulation (EIT) produces a flatter response with trends analogous to the experimental data. However, the resulting spectrum still does not exhibit the low reflectivity observed in the experimental case. The benefit of using EIT becomes more apparent when modeling multiscale textured surfaces under varying angles of light injection (θ). This is due to the enhanced simulation complexity involved when modeling using the full FDTD technique which includes having to use fixed wavelengths (angle of incidence of the source changes as a function of frequency for nonzero injection angles [20]), Bloch boundary conditions to account for phase changes across the unit cell [20] and increased PMLs to counter reflections from steep angles [20]. These complexities make it even more difficult to model a microscale structure with a nanoscale mesh as the both RAM and CPU usage become intensive.

Fig. 7 shows $J_T$ of the various textured surfaces over a range of angles of light injection (normalized to planar silicon). This is based on spectral reflectance data which can be found in Supplementary information. The modeled EIT results show a drop in $J_T$ of 20%, 12%, and 8% from $\theta = 10^\circ$ to $\theta = 80^\circ$ for pyramidal, NW and multiscale hybrid textures, respectively. In comparison, the experimental results showed a drop in $J_T$ of 26%, 16%, and 7%, respectively. The retention of low reflectivity over large incident angles is one of the key benefits reported in the literature for black silicon surfaces [6], and this model successfully predicts this trend. It should be noted that whilst the trends are visible in the modeled data, absolute values do not have good agreement with those from experiments. One key factor is the lack of heterogeneity in the model compared with that of the fabricated samples.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we present the use of an EIT model which uses a cut-back approach with the FDTD method to calculate the refractive index of a semi-infinite NW array. This has produced more accurate results when treating the NWs as an effective medium compared with VAT, BG, and MG effective medium models. However, the accuracy of the EIT model remains limited to smaller diameters, where only the fundamental modes are triggered. Higher modes result in carrier generation profiles that cannot be easily fitted to an exponential decay and as such limit the accuracy of the model. Reflectivity for periodic nanopillars (70 nm radius) fabricated by nanoimprint lithography showed agreement with FDTD results, and similarity in peak/trough distribution with the EIT model, with errors <5% in the $J_T$. Measured reflectivity of black silicon surfaces fabricated by MACE showed significantly lower and flatter broadband response than the periodic case. Whilst pseudorandom NWs modeled in FDTD exhibited reduced reflectivity compared with periodic structures, the lack of heterogeneity impeded a lower, flatter broadband response. Furthermore, the EIT model failed to show agreement with FDTD for large $\lambda$. Treating the films incoherently produced a flatter response with trends similar to experimental data. Multiscale texture modeling at various angles of incidence using the EIT model with RT, showed drops in $J_T$ of 20%, 12%, and 8% from $\theta = 10^\circ$ to $\theta = 80^\circ$ for pyramidal, NW, and multiscale textures, respectively. This retention of low reflectivity over a large angle range was also apparent in the experimental data.

In conclusion, EIT performs better than the other effective medium approaches for modeling black silicon and is applicable to modeling multiscale textures, whereas FDTD alone is not. Whilst general trends in overall AR performance of a texture can be predicted with the EIT technique, it remains inaccurate when compared with experiment for determining absolute reflectivity, increasingly so as the nanoscale feature radius exceeds 30 nm. Also, as with all EMT methods, the EIT technique does not account for light trapping through scattering.
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