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**Abstract**

**Introduction:** There may be shared neuropsychological dysfunctions in ADHD and obesity. This study tested a neuropsychological model of ADHD (reward/executive dysfunctioning) in individuals with obesity. Further, the association between comorbid binge eating and reward/executive dysfunction was explored.

**Methods:** Reward/executive dysfunctioning was assessed using both neuropsychological measures and questionnaires in individuals (aged 17-68) with obesity (N=39; mean BMI=39.70) and normal weight (N=25; mean BMI=22.94).

**Results:** No significant difference emerged between individuals with and without obesity on the outcome measures. However, individuals with obesity and binge eating showed significantly more self-reported delay discounting and inattention than those individuals with obesity but without binge eating.When controlling for inattention, this difference in delay discounting was no longer significant.

**Discussion:** Not obesity alone, but obesity with binge eating was associated with a mechanism often reported in ADHD, namely delay discounting. However, this effect may be more driven by inattention than by binge eating alone.
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**Introduction**

Increasing evidence shows a significant association between attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and obesity[1], suggesting potential shared psychopathological mechanisms underlying both. Knowledge of common neuropsychological deficits may give clues towards more effective treatments, as treatment can be tailored to the specific psychopathological needs[2]. ADHD is a heterogeneous disorder, with multiple neuropsychological pathways proposed towards ADHD behavior[3]. A classical neuropsychological model of ADHD is the dual pathway model; it proposes two intertwined but separable neuropsychological pathways towards ADHD behavior; i.e., a failing cognitive functioning and an altered motivational/reward related pathway[4]. The dysfunctional cognitive functioning pathway is mainly characterized by deficits in inhibition and working memory[4]. The motivational pathway is characterized by an altered reward sensitivity, leading to high preferences for small immediate reward over later larger reward (temporal reward or delay discounting) and an aversion of delay related situations (delay aversion)[4]. In ADHD samples extensive research has shown deficits in both pathways both on performance-based neuropsychological measures and questionnaires[5,6].

Far less research is conducted within samples of individuals with obesity, although evidence is reported for both reward related deficits (most consistent evidence for delay discounting [7]and executive functioning deficits[8] in individuals with obesity as compared to individuals without obesity. However, to our knowledge both types of deficits underlying ADHD behavior have not been measured within one study in an adult sample of individuals with obesity using a multi-method assessment with both performance-based and self-report measures.

Additionally, binge eating, defined as recurring episodes of eating significantly more food in a short period of time than most people would eat under similar circumstances, with episodes marked by feelings of lack of control [9], may play a role in the link between obesity and ADHD. A subsample of individuals with obesity is characterized by binge eating, with those with binge eating often having more internalizing and externalizing co-morbidity [10]. Because characteristics of binge eating are similar to the impulsive self-control deficits implicated in ADHD, the assumed common neuropsychological deficits may be more pronounced in this subgroup than in those with obesity without binge eating [11]. However, evidence is still inconsistent. Binge eating has been associated with inhibitory and working memory deficits[12] and deficits on the reward related pathway in delay discounting[13], but although some find differences between those with binge eating disorder as compared to the broader obese sample[13], others did not find the assumed differences between binge eaters and non-binge eaters[14]. This highlights the potential importance of taking into account co-morbid binge eating when conducting studies on obesity.

In sum, this study explored differences between individuals with and without obesity on reward and executive functioning pathways and differences within the individuals with obesity between those with and without binge eating. We expected differences on both pathways between individuals with and without obesity, with more pronounced differences in the individuals with obesity and binge eating as compared to those without binge eating. Exploratory, analyses were repeated controlling for ADHD symptomatology to assess the contribution of these symptoms in the expected differences.

**Methods**

***Participants***

Our original sample consisted of 43 patients with obesity and 30 age/gender matched healthy controls. The individuals with obesity consulted a local hospital for bariatric surgery. Five healthy controls were eliminated from the sample because of self reported presence of binge eating on the EDEQ binge eating item and four individuals with obesity were removed due to missing data on the EDEQ[15]-binge eating item (see instruments). Thus the final sample consisted of 25 healthy controls (72% females) and 39 individuals with obesity (82.1% females) with no significant gender differences [Χ2(1)=0.90, *ns*]. The mean age of the healthy controls and individuals with obesity was respectively 44.92 years (*SD* = 15.32) and 42.82 (*SD* = 13.23) (group difference not significant [*F*(1, 62) = .34, *ns*]). The mean BMI of the healthy controls (*M* = 22.94, *SD* = 1.43) was significantly lower [*F*(1,62)=236,59, p<0.001] than the BMI of the individuals with obesity (*M* = 39.70; *SD* = 5.31).

***Instruments***

Eating disorder psychopathology was assessed using the Eating Disorder Inventory-2 (EDI-2[16]) subscales [Drive for Thinness (α=.85), Bulimia (α=.89), Body Dissatisfaction (α=.95)] and the Eating Disorder Evaluation Questionnaire (EDEQ[12]) [Eating (α=.70), Weight (α=.85), Shape (α=.93), Restriction (α=.66)]. To assess Reward Sensitivity, we used the Reward Responsiveness Scale (α=.57, n=5) (sample item “When I see an opportunity for something I get excited right away” of the BISBAS scales[17] and a performance-based measure the IOWA Gambling Task[18], with as outcome the total net score. The higher the score on both tasks, the more reward sensitivity. To assess behavioral inhibition, we administered the Stop-signal Paradigm of Logan[19] a performance based measure of inhibitory control, and used the Stop Signal Reaction Time (SSRT) as outcome. The higher the SSRT, the more problems in prepotent response inhibition. The Quick Delay Questionnaire (QDQ[20]), measured delay aversion (α=.69, n=5) (sample item “Having to wait for things makes me feel stressed and tense”  and delay discounting (α=.64, n=5) (sample item “I try to avoid tasks that will only benefit me in the long term and don’t have any immediate benefits”). The Chessboard Working Memory Task[21] is a performance based measure of visuospatial working memory capacity. The higher the score, the better the working memory capacity. Finally, ADHD symptomatology was assessed by the Dutch version of the adult ADHD rating scale[22] total score (α=.80, n=23) and its subscales Inattention (α=.76, n=11) (sample item “I am easily distracted”) and Hyperactivity/Impulsivity (α=.69, n=12) (sample item “I answer before questions are finished”).

***Analyses***

Mean differences in self-report and performance-based measures were compared using MANOVAs (*p* value .05/SPSS) with (a) healthy controls vs. individuals with obesity, and (b) obesity with and without self reported binge eating on the EDEQ as independent variables and measures of reward and cognitive functioning as dependent variables.

**Results**

***Group characteristics***

Compared to controls the individuals with obesity scored significantly higher on the three EDI-2[16] subscales [Drive for Thinness, Bulimia, Body Dissatisfaction] [Wilks’Lambda = .36, *F*(3,58) = 34.42, *p* < .001] and on three of the EDEQ[15] subscales [Eating, Weight, Shape concerns, except Restriction] [Wilks’Lambda = .40, *F*(4,59) = 22.19, *p* < .001].

Within the individuals with obesity, 30.8% (n=12) of the individuals reported binge eating; whereas 69.2% (n=27) did not. No significant gender [Χ2(1) = 1.09, *ns*], age [*F*(1,37) = 0.02, *ns*] nor BMI differences [*F*(1,37) = 0.56, *ns*] were detected between individuals with obesity and binge eating and those with obesity but without binge eating. Only on the Bulimia subscale of the EDI-2[16] those with binge eating scored significantly higher, the other EDEQ/EDI subscales did not differ.

***Outcome***

No significant differences between healthy controls and individuals with obesity on any of the outcomes (reward sensitivity, behavioral inhibition, delay aversion, delay discounting, visual spatial working memory, inattention, hyperactivity, and ADHD) were found (Table 1).

-Insert table I about here-

However, the comparison between individuals with obesity and binge eating and those with obesity but without binge eating showed significant differences (Table II); those with binge eating as determined by their score on the EDEQ binge eating item scored significantly higher on Delay Discounting and the ADHD inattention scale compared to those without. However, this significant difference between individuals with obesity and binge eating and those with obesity but without binge eating on Delay Discounting disappeared after controlling for Inattention symptoms.

-Insert table II about here-

**Discussion**In our study, despite the use of well-validated multi-method assessment of multiple concepts and the selection of a sample with obesity and a matched control group, individuals with obesity showed no differences on the assumed neuropsychological deficits as compared to individuals without obesity.

In contrast, individuals with obesity and self reported binge eating (on the EDEQ item) showed more self reported delay discounting than those without binge eating, suggesting some evidence that there are shared mechanisms towards both ADHD and obesity with binge eating. This possible common mechanism is further qualified by the differences on the ADHD measure ‘inattention’ with higher scores for individuals with obesity and binge eating. However, when controlling for inattention, symptom differences in delay discounting disappeared, suggesting that the associated ADHD symptomatology may be more related to delay discounting than the self reported binge eating in itself.

Several explanations can be put forward for these findings. As with neuropsychological deficits of ADHD[21], neuropsychological deficits of obesity and binge eating may be heterogeneous with some but not all individuals displaying executive and others displaying mainly reward related deficits. As such, the sample size of our study may be too small to detect group differences on both domains.

This lack of differences may also be related to our assessments. In contrast to the more primary deficits in cognition and motivation in ADHD, for obesity and binge eating, these deficits may be more secondary and only become triggered and dysfunctional when food related cues are used[12]. Nevertheless, despite the usage of non-food related questions, individuals with obesity and binge eating did report worse on delay discounting suggesting that for these individuals targeting delay discounting and related inattention may be useful, for example, by exploring the utility of interventions that have proven effectiveness for ADHD[1].

Somewhat surprising, individuals with obesity and binge eating do not differ from those with obesity but without binge eating on the impulsivity/hyperactivity symptoms but do differ on inattention symptoms, although impulsivity is more implicated in binge-eating[10]. Other studies also mainly find binge eating to correlate with inattention and not impulsivity[23]. One explanation is that in contrast to inattention, impulsivity is not a homogeneous phenomenon, so the scales that measure impulsivity in ADHD do not measure the same in eating disorders, and vice versa[23].

Results should be interpreted within the context of our sample and assessments, the sample size was limited and i.e. individuals and especially males were underrepresented especially in the sample of individuals with obesity and binge eating, thus potential gender differences could not be explored. Therefore our pilot study needs replication in a larger sample.

Further, in our sample we determined our subgroup of individuals with binge eating by their score on an item of the EDEQ and not a broader assessment of binge eating, as such we cannot determine if they met full criteria of binge-eating disorder or if our sample truly had binge eating. In future research it would be good to include a broader assessment of binge eating symptomatology.Also,ADHD symptomatology was based on self-report and not officially diagnosed and potentially due to a small number of items internal consistency of two of our scales may be questionable. Further, without a subgroup with only binge-eating but not obesity we can not make any conclusions about the effects of binge-eating on delay discounting on it’s own. Future studies should include such a subgroup to clarify the role of binge eating in obesity.

In sum, delay discounting, one aspect of the dual pathway model of ADHD was deficient in individuals with obesity and binge eating, but not in those with obesity without binge eating. However, this effect may be more driven by the associated ADHD symptomatology than by binge eating alone.
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