The Evolution of White Etching Cracks (WECs) in Rolling Contact Fatigue-Tested 100Cr6 steel

A.D. Richardson[a]*, M.-H. Evans[a], L. Wang[a], R.J.K. Wood[a], M. Ingram[b], B. Meuth[a]

*Corresponding author email: A.D.Richardson@soton.ac.uk; orcid.org/0000-0002-8188-9811
[a]nCATS, Faculty of Engineering and the Environment, University of Southampton, UK
[b]Afton Chemical Ltd, Bracknell, UK

ABSTRACT

The formation of white etching cracks (WECs) in steel rolling element bearings can lead to the premature rolling contact fatigue (RCF) failure mode called white structure flaking (WSF). Driving mechanisms are still debated but are proposed to be combinations of mechanical, tribochemical and electrical effects. A number of studies have been conducted to record and map WECs in RCF tested samples and bearings failed from the field. For the first time, this study uses serial sectioning metallography techniques on non-hydrogen charged test samples over a range of test durations to capture the evolution of WEC formation from their initiation to final flaking. Clear evidence for subsurface initiation at non-metallic inclusions was observed at the early stages of WEC formation, and with increasing test duration the propagation of these cracks from the subsurface region to the contact surface eventually causing flaking. In addition, an increase of the amount of associated microstructural changes adjacent to the cracks is observed, this being indicative of the crack being a prerequisite of the microstructural alteration.

KEYWORDS

White etching cracks; Wind turbine gearbox bearings; White etching area; Rolling contact fatigue; Rolling element bearings; Bearing failure

1. INTRODUCTION

Rolling element bearings used in wind turbine gearboxes suffer from a premature failure mode called white structure flaking (WSF). This typically occurs in $1 - 20\%$ of the bearing's L_{10} life, where the wind turbine lifetime is reduced from the predicted 20 years to <2 years [1, 2]. WSF is due to the formation of white etching cracks (WECs) typically ~1 mm below the contact surface. WECs are networks of micro-cracks with an associated microstructural alteration called white etching area (WEA) which borders, or is intermixed with the WEC. The appearance of WEA is revealed when etched in nital solution (2% nitric acid in ethanol). WEA is a nano-crystalline ferrite structure of grain sizes ~5-300 nm, ~10-50% harder than the surrounding matrix and comprised of wholly or partially dissolved spherical carbides found to be part of the WEA formation process [3-13]. Amorphous like phases have also been shown to be present in WEA, these amorphous like structures forming first before WEA is generated [8, 14, 15]. WEA has been proposed to exist in two ways, deformed WEA consisting predominantly of nanocrystallites and transformed WEA consisting of coexistence between nanocrystallites and amorphous phase [16].

The formation drivers as well as the initiation and propagation mechanisms for WSF and WEC in rolling bearings are still highly debated but are thought be driven by combinations of mechanical, tribochemical and electrical effects including; (i) transient operating conditions such as wind gusts, load reversals, grid engagement, braking etc. generating high impact loads, vibrations and slip (ii) electrothermal and electrical effects, (iii) tensile hoop stresses and (iv) hydrogen release and diffusion into the bearing steel (sourced from the lubricating oil and additives or water contamination). The proposed initiation and propagation mechanisms for WSF/WECs are; 1) surface initiation through two opposing mechanisms, (i) shear stress induced fatigue microcracks [17] and (ii) Localised high circumferential tensile stress spontaneously induced cleavage like axial cracks that initiate independently [5, 17, 18], at defects such as inclusions [17-21] or due to corrosion, machining defects or electrical erosion pits [21]; 2) subsurface initiation by non-metallic inclusions [5-7, 22-26], perhaps in some cases due to tensile stresses [27]; 3) adiabatic shear banding independent or including defects through impact events, cracks forming after microstructural changes occur [2, 28]; 4) self-charging of lubricants triggering localised transient current flow causing local electromagnetic induction that crosses the contact surface leading to electrothermal mechanisms triggering subsequent WEA microstructural change [29, 30]; 5) a multistage initiation of WECs as a result of migration of carbon under shear stress and high localised energy [31].

Subsurface initiated cracks are difficult to identify and quantify. When a "young" crack is found, the mechanism of formation is easier to understand, and is frequently believed to be revolved around non-metallic inclusions (NMIs). One technique used for recording WECs is the application of serial sectioning to map entire WECs in 3D. This technique has been perfected by the authors [6, 7, 24, 25] where it is confirmed that at least one mechanism of WEC formation is initiation and propagation in the subsurface, with strong evidence for subsurface initiation being at NMIs. Supporting evidence for subsurface initiation has been provided from 3D-mapping of entire WECs by X-ray microtomography conducted on high-speed wind turbine gearbox bearings (WTGBs) returned from the field [26, 32, 33] and an inner ring section of a large spherical roller bearing used in an industrial application [26]. X-ray tomography of this spherical roller bearing revealed large (>26 μm in axial and circumferential length) multiphase inclusion combinations of Al, Mn and S elongated in the direction of over-

rolling to have initiated subsurface WECs. Through metallographic analysis of field returned WTGBs it has also been found that small/short sized 8-24 µm MnS inclusions were mainly associated with butterfly/small-WEC crack initiation [22]. Analysis of failed low speed shaft WTGBs however have found that oxide and dual phase inclusions are more detrimental than MnS inclusions [34]. Subsurface WECs and inclusion interactions have also been found through testing and metallographic analysis of WTGBs with induced tensile stresses from bearing seat deviation [27]. This supports evidence from previous studies conducted on WTGBs by authors of this manuscript [7] where predominantly small/short sized (3-20 µm) sulphides, globular oxides and globular MnS-oxide inclusions were recorded and judged likely initiators of WECs. It is proposed that small NMI initiated WECs coalesce to form larger networks that eventually branch to the contact surface causing WSF or axial cracking [1, 4-8, 23-25].

There is also debate on whether the crack or WEA microstructural change occurs first, whether WEAs form cooperatively with the crack and whether WEA forms gradually or suddenly, where proposed formation mechanisms include amorphisation [8, 14-16, 35], adiabatic shear, severe localised plastic deformation, low temperature recrystallisation, carbide break-up and dissolution and electrothermal effects, these being extensively reviewed in [1]. One popular hypothesis is due to crack face rubbing causing a localised mechanical deformation during RCF (this being enhanced in the presence of diffusible hydrogen [36], higher concentrations could exist at these sites [37]), an associated material transfer from one side of the crack to the other occurs and recrystallisation results [13, 37, 38]. A more recent hypothesis developed through modelling is energy dissipation at rubbing crack faces [35]. The developed crack model quantifies the amount of energy dissipated as a result of friction at crack faces; part of this energy is converted to heat and microstructural decay, WEA formation being a result of amorphisation due to high density dislocation accumulation. The energy generated during crack rubbing leading to amorphisation is also proposed to be sufficient enough to dissolve large amounts of carbides in the WEA [16]. A counter argument to crack rubbing comes through subsurface inspection using Barkhousen noise measurements, where subsurface changes are investigated without the presence of cracks [39]. A local transformation in the microstructure is observed as 'crack free' irregular dark etching regions and is suggested to lead to the formation of WEAs. Similarities have been shown between microstructural alterations in WECs and those alterations found in dark etch regions [40]. An experimental approach by artificially inducing microcracks into the steel prior to RCF has also shown that hard WEAs formed in close proximity to the microcracks, this providing an experimental validation that cracks can be a precursor to WEA formations [12]. In an investigation to study the effect of brittleness on the generation of WEA, modified AISI 8620 steel was intentionally heat treated to produce intergranular embrittlement [41]. During RCF, cracks formed preferentially along the grain boundaries due to lowered toughness where WEA was found to form along these intergranular cracks. It is suggested that the movement of the crack faces under subsurface shear promoted the formation of WEAs along the crack faces. Finally subsurface crack rubbing has been shown to produce wear debris with an identical composition to the steel matrix, the wear debris being a result of the disintegration of lamellar structure formed during crack rubbing [16].

This study uses extensive metallographic analysis including standard and serial sectioning techniques to record and map individual WECs in 3D and associated damage features in RCF tested 100Cr6 steel cylindrical roller thrust bearings (CRTBs) on an FAG-FE8 test rig, this being a continuation of the works conducted previously by the authors [42, 43]. Many previous studies have applied different techniques to record and map WECs in failed bearings from the field and RCF tested samples, however little attempt has been made to record the evolution of WECs from their initiation to final flaking. This study aims to provide evidence for the stages of subsurface inclusion initiated WEC evolution for the first time to give valuable insight into this bearing degradation mechanism.

There is an accompanying piece of work [44] to this investigation that explores the role of hydrogen diffusion in the RCF tests conducted in this study.

2. MATERIALS, TECHNIQUES AND EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

2.1 Rolling contact fatigue testing

Testing was conducted on a standard FAG-FE8 rig (see **Fig. 1**). Two 100Cr6 steel CRTBs are tested simultaneously, usually used in the standardised test (DIN 51819-3). Two plate springs apply load. Each bearing has 15 individual rollers mounted in a brass cage sandwiched between two washer raceways (see **Fig. 1 (c)**). The raceways are pre-roughened before testing to R_q values of 0.25 µm and 0.5 µm for the two bearings respectively, the 0.5 µm bearing being focused upon. On the raceway at the centre of the bearing contact zone exists a pure rolling condition with rising slip to the edges of up to ±12.5% SRR along the contact major axis (see **Fig. 1 (c)** [45]). On the rollers, the slip zones experience both –ve and +ve directional slip, due to the roller being sandwiched between two raceways. Eight tests were conducted from 0–18 hours, two of the tests (at 16.5 and 18 hours) were shut down due to a vibration threshold limit being reached, other tests were shut down manually at pre-determined running durations (0hr control, 2hr, 4hr, 6hr, 6hr repeat and 12hr). The calculated maximum Hertzian contact pressure Pmax is in the range of ~1.5 – 1.9 GPa, this being in accordance to the contact length used for a range of lengths between 7 – 9 mm (this dependence taking into account the profile of the rolling element geometry and where roller/raceway contact is assumed to start based upon bearing drawings and software). The test conditions are shown in **Table 1**.

Initial minimum oil film thickness (h_{min}) between rollers and washer raceways is calculated using the Hamrock and Dowson visco-elastic equation [46, 47]; see **Eq. (A.1)**. Lambda ratio (λ) has been calculated based upon h_{min} and the roughness (R_q) values given in **Table 1**, the bearing running in boundary lubrication throughout RCF testing; see **Eq. (A.2)**. Fully formulated semi-synthetic gear oil was used as the lubricant for all tests (detailed in **Table 1**). The oil temperature in the contact is controlled at 100 ˚C during operation.

It should be noted that no method to artificially induce WECs was used, such as pressure transients, hydrogen charging or applying electrical currents. The pressure, speed and temperature are in steady state. Although the lubricant used is known to readily induce WSF.

Fig. 1. (a) FAG-FE8 test rig, (b) schematic of test chamber (side on view), and (c) CRTB used in the RCF testing and slippage condition experienced. Adapted from [24].

Table 1 FAG-FE8 RCF test conditions.

| **Test system**	
Test rig	FAG-FE8
Test sample	Cylindrical roller thrust bearings
Bearing type	F-562831-01 / 81212
Oil properties	
Oil type	Automotive gear oil, fully formulated semi-synthetic (ISO VG64)
Viscosity	64 cSt (40 °C), 9.5 cSt (100 °C)
Pressure viscosity coefficient (α)	6.6 GPa^{-1}
Dynamic viscosity η_o (100ºC)	0.0046 Pas
Oil additives	Sodium and calcium anti-corrosion sulfonates, ZDDP antiwear additives, VI improvers and friction modifiers
Bearing material properties	
Washer / roller / cage material	Martensitic 100Cr6 steel / Martensitic 100Cr6 steel / Brass
Hardness roller / washer	765 HV / 590 HV
Surface roughness (R_q) roller / washer	0.09 μm / 0.50 μm
Test conditions	
Rotational shaft speed	750 rpm
Axial load	60 kN
Max contact pressure	~1.5 – 1.9 GPa (depending on contact length used between 7-9 mm, 9 mm used in this study)
Bearing / oil temperature	100 °C
Minimum film thickness (h_{min})	0.053 μm
Lambda ratio	0.1
Test durations	
Test number (duration) 1/2/3/4/5/6/7/8	0/2/4/6/6-repeat/12/16.5/18 (hours)
Subsurface shear stresses	
Max orthogonal shear stress ($\tau_{o,\,max}$)	~375 - 475 MPa (acting @ a depth below the contact surface of ~92 μm)
Max unidirectional shear stress ($\tau_{uni,\,max}$)	~456 - 578 MPa (acting @ a depth below the contact surface of ~145 μm)

2.2 Metallographic analysis and contact surface inspection

Metallographic analysis including fine and coarse serial sectioning techniques were conducted on the RCF tested bearing rollers and raceway washers to record and fully map individual WECs in 3D and associated damage features. Optical macroscopy was used to inspect the contact surface of the bearing parts before metallographic analysis.

Before sectioning, the raceway washers were cut into ~20 x 20 mm sections, the rollers kept whole. Rollers and raceway sections were subsequently hot mounted in Bakelite. Rollers were mounted in groups of three for each test duration (excluding

the 16.5 hr test) to make sure analyses were statistically representative of each test duration. Raceway sections for the 18 hour test only were mounted singularly, four individual sections being analysed covering ~1/3rd of the washer to inspect a representative area of steel. Notches were cut into the rollers to act as a marker for identifying individual WECs at each sectioning slice interval around the circumference, individual WECs, when recorded, being numbered in regards to the numbers around a clock face, the notch representing 12 o'clock. Rollers were mounted such that they were sectioned in the axial direction from the outer roller edge through to the inner. Raceway washers were mounted such that the contact surface was sectioned, material removal being performed in the radial z-direction. See **Fig. 2** for sample preparation.

Sectioning was performed on automatic grinding/polishing machines (Struers TegraPol-15 with a TegraForce-1 and a Struers LaboPol-21 with a LaboForce-3) using 800, 1200 and 4000 SiC papers followed by 9 and 3 μm diamond suspension lubricants for the final polishing stages. The polished sample cross sections were then chemically etched in Nital (2%) before imaging. Images were taken by optical microscopy (Olympus BX41M-LED and BX51) at x50 – x200 magnification to map and record WECs, with x500 and x1000 magnifications accompanied with SEM/EDX (JEOL JSM-6500F SEM and Oxford Inca 300) to image and analyse inclusion-WEC interactions. Open source image processing software (ImageJ) was used to measure the dimensions of WECs and damage features. To create a controlled sectioning process, macro Vickers indents were used to track the grinding/polishing removal rate as well as being used to track individual WECs.

Fig. 2. Stages of sample preparation for rollers and raceway sections.

2.2.1 Contact surface inspection

Optical macroscopy was conducted on the same rollers and raceway sections that were subsequently sectioned. Images were taken at 60° intervals around the circumference of the rollers. The four individual raceway sections were imaged to give an overview of the contact surface.

2.2.2 Sectioning analysis

Fine serial sectioning was conducted on the 2, 6 and 18 hour RCF tests with removal intervals of ~3.4 – 3.9 μm per slice. Coarse serial sectioning was conducted on the 4 and 12 hour tests and post fine serial sectioning on the same 6 and 18 hour test rollers to continue recording WECs across the length of the rollers. Coarse serial sectioning was not conducted on the 2 hour test due to no WECs being found through fine serial sectioning. Removal intervals were conducted at ~15, 30 or 50 μm per slice. Coarse serial sectioning was conducted from the outer roller edge through to the inner on the 6, 12 and 18 hour tests, the 4 hour test being cut short due to lack of WECs recorded. Coarse serial sectioning was conducted up to ~9.6 – 10.41 mm across the 6, 12 and 18 hour tests, this being due to time considerations and that through initial macro sectioning WECs were first found at ~1.7 – 2.6 mm from the outer edge. Inclusions were recorded during coarse serial sectioning; only inclusions recorded in the outer roller half being displayed. Coarse serial was conducted on the 18 hour raceway sections at intervals of ~50 μm, starting at the contact surface (0.00 mm) up to a total depth of ~500 μm. The individual fine and coarse serial sectioning interval ranges for rollers and raceway sections are listed in **Table 2.**

2.2.3 WEC tomography and 3D crack modelling

One of the individual WECs recorded in the 6 hour test (WEC-10 R2) had each fine serial sectioning slice interval image at x200 magnification aligned using layering tools using Photoshop CS3 and subsequently stacked. The image stacks are then used to make a video using Fiji software showing an orthoslice sweep through the volume of steel showing the WECs morphology in 3D from start to finish.

The total WEC damage recorded in one individual roller (Roller 1) from the 18 hour test was modelled in 3D. Optical microscope images at x200 of every individually recorded WEC in the roller were segmented at 0.25 mm intervals across the roller from outer to inner edge (0 − 11 mm). These images were then aligned and stacked before being imported into Fiji software where interpolation between individually segmented WECs was conducted. 3D models were subsequently constructed using Aviso and VGStudio MAX software. Animations of the 3D model were constructed using VGStudio MAX and Fiji.

2.2.4 WEA volume analysis

ImageJ has been used to quantitatively analyse the average total volume (μm^3) and area (μm^2) of white etching microstructural alteration associated with individual WECs across the RCF test durations. Five individual slices taken from start to finish across four individual WECs from the 4−18 hour tests were analysed (see **Fig. 3**). At each slice (1-5) the WEA

associated with the WEC are segmented in 2D to give the total WEA (μm^2) for that particular slice. Multiplication of the individual total WEAs at the 2nd, 3rd (middle) and 4th slices with the axial length between slices plus the total WEA at the 1st and 5th slices gives the total WEA volume (μm^3) for that particular WEC i.e. 1^{st}WEA + 2^{nd}WEA (Axial distance between 1st – 2nd) + 3^{rd}WEA (Axial distance between 2nd - 3rd) + 3^{rd}WEA (Axial distance between 3rd - 4th) + 4^{th}WEA (Axial distance between 4th - 5th) + 5^{th}WEA. The average WEA volume for each RCF test duration is then calculated for comparison based upon the 4 WECs analysed. It is important to note that this approximates the WEA volume found associated with the crack and therefore measurements can be over/under estimated and not fully representative of the actual amount of WEA present.

In addition, ImageJ has also been used to quantify the area (μm^2) vs. WEC angle w.r.t the axis of compression (θ) (see **Fig. 17 (d)**) for 5 individual WECs from the 18 hour test. For each WEC, one plane from the sectioning analysis has been taken (approximately the middle slice, see **Fig.3** below). Individual WEAs have then been segmented out in the same way as described above and in **Fig.3**. However, in addition the angle of the WEC w.r.t the axis of compression associated with the segmented WEA region is recorded. Cracks are recorded between 0˚ (a crack that is perpendicular to the compression) and ±90˚ (a vertical crack in the same direction as the compression).

Fig. 3. Schematic illustrating the methodology of WEA volume measurements.

Table 2 Sectioning intervals and removal rates conducted during the metallographic analysis.

| Test duration	Analysis type	Nº samples analysed	Sectioning interval (~mm)			Slice removal rate (~µm)	
			Macro sectioning	Fine serial	Coarse serial	Fine serial	Coarse serial
2 hr	Fine serial	3x Rollers	R1: 0.00 – 1.65 R2: 0.00 – 1.76 R3: 0.00 – 1.51	R1: 1.65 – 2.22 R2: 1.76 – 2.37 R3: 1.51 – 2.17	- - -	R1: 3.4 R2: 3.6 R3: 3.9	- - -
4 hr	Coarse serial	3x Rollers	R1: 0.00 R2: 0.00 R3: 0.00	- - -	R1: 1.7 – 2.84 R2: 1.86 – 2.8 R3: 1.7 – 2.82	- - -	R1: 15 R2: 15 R3: 15
6 hr	Fine + coarse serial	3x Rollers*	R1: 0.00 – 1.92 R2: 0.00 – 1.73 R3: 0.00 – 1.51	R1: 1.92 – 2.68 R2: 1.73 – 2.57 R3: 1.51 – 2.28	R1+: 2.68 – 10.3 R2+: 2.57 – 9.6 R3+: 2.28 – 9.67	R1: 3.4 R2: 3.7 R3: 3.4	R1†: 15/30/50 R2†: 15/30/50 R3†: 15/30/50
12 hr	Coarse serial	3x Rollers	R1: 0.00 R2: 0.00 R3: 0.00	- - -	R1: 1.8 – 10.26 R2: 2.03 – 10.41 R3: 1.86 – 9.7	- - -	R1†: 15/30/50 R2†: 15/30/50 R3†: 15/30/50
18 hr	Fine + coarse serial	3x Rollers* 4x Raceways	R1: 0.00 – 2.01 R2: 0.00 – 1.77 R3: 0.00 – 1.77	R1: 2.01 – 2.59 R2: 1.77 – 2.41 R3: 1.77 – 2.36	R1+: 2.59 – 10.27 R2+: 2.41 – 9.8 R3+: 2.36 – 9.64 RW1: 0.00 – 0.047 RW2: 0.00 – 0.051 RW3: 0.00 – 0.048 RW4: 0.00 – 0.047	R1: 3.4 R2: 3.8 R3: 3.5	R1†: 15/30/50 R2†: 15/30/50 R3†: 15/30/50 RW1: 50 RW2: 50 RW3: 50 RW4: 50

KEY

*: Same samples used for fine and coarse serial sectioning analysis, samples mounted as a group of 3.

+: Coarse serial sectioning conducted post fine serial sectioning analysis.

†: Slice intervals of ~15 µm conducted initially, with intervals of ~30 and 50 µm being conducted subsequently due to time considerations.

NOTE: All samples are macro sectioned at ~50 µm slice intervals at the start outer rolling element edge (0.00 mm) until the first visible sign of WECs are found in any one test roller. R: Denotes rolling element and RW: Denotes raceway washer.


Fine and coarse serial sectioning removal rate of ~ x µm dependent upon roller and ~ 50 µm for washer raceway sections

Metallography conducted on outer roller edge face or raceway contact surface (sectioning in axial direction)

Step 1 (0.00 mm): Outer edge of roller; face mounted for start of macro sectioning.

Step 2 (0.00 - x mm): Macro sectioning from edge of roller until first visible WECs at –x mm.

Step 3 (x – y mm): Fine/coarse serial sectioning or both to map WECs and damage features from x – y mm.

2.3 Materials characterisation

2.3.1 Steel cleanliness

Steel cleanliness analysis was performed under ISO 4967-B standard [48] to measure the density of NMIs in the rollers and raceway washers. Analysis was conducted at the Dr. Sommer Werkstofftechnik GmbH lab. An Olympus BX51M optical microscope and software (analysis auto version 5.2 + Inclusion Inspector) was used for automatic detection of the inclusion size, type and count. A global severity index (C_i) was given to the inclusions recorded during the analysis. Under the thresholds set by the ISO 4967-B standard, the global cleanliness index C_i was found to be 0.2 and 1.5 for the raceway and roller respectively. Analysis of the raw cleanliness data (this data including the addition of NMIs recorded outside of the thresholds set by the ISO 4967-B standard) gave global cleanliness index C_i of 35.6 and 344.1 for the raceway and roller respectively.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Contact surface inspection

Wear across the rollers for increasing RCF test durations has been observed on both sides of the central pure rolling region corresponding to the outer and inner zones (see **Fig. 5**). RCF test durations of 2–12 hours showed very little surface

damage. The 18 hour test showed visible signs of damage on the outer half in the form of dents/impressions, but conversely little surface damage on the inner (see **Fig. 4 (a)** and **Fig. 5.**). Surface analysis of a typical indent using SEM (JEOL JSM-6500F) and optical profilometry (Alicona InfiniteFocusSL) revealed the indent to have a width of ~800 μm and maximum depth of ~2 μm (see **Fig. 4**). No signs of spalling were found on the rollers that were subsequently sectioned however spalling was observed on other rollers from the same bearing. **Fig. 5** shows the wear and damage across the 2–18 hours rollers. Inspection of the raceway washer sections at 18 hours revealed little surface damage. Again wear zones can be seen on either side of the central pure rolling region, see **Fig. 5**.

Fig. 4. Surface analysis of a typical indent found on the 18 hour rollers. (a) Optical macro image of roller indicating location of indent on the contact surface. (b) Magnified optical image of the indent. (c) Depth profile analysis of the indent.

Fig. 5. Optical macroscope images of a single roller from each RCF test duration (2-18 hours) and 18 hour raceway sections chosen for subsequent sectioning. Images (a-e) show one of the 60˚ interval zones around the circumference of the roller. (f) Overview image of raceway sections (S1 – S4). Images (g-j) are optical macro images of the corresponding sections (S1 – S4) shown in (f). S denotes sample number.

3.2 Metallographic analysis

3.2.1 Fine serial sectioning

The results from the fine serial sectioning analysis are described below and are detailed in **Table 3**.

2 hour:

No WECs or butterflies were recorded.

*6 hour:*

10 individual WECs were recorded. 8 of these were mapped from start to finish (imaging at every slice), no surface connections being recorded. Through WEC tomography, the 3D morphology of one of the fully mapped WECs (WEC-10 R2) can be seen in **Video 1**. 5/8 WECs had their respective images at x500 magnification aligned in strips from start to finish, an example is shown in **Fig. 8**. 24 butterflies were recorded. 12 inclusion interactions were recorded (see **Fig. 8** and **9** for examples), 9 inclusions interacting with the 8 fully mapped WECs, with multiple inclusion-WEC interactions being recorded for single WECs. Every WEC had at least 1 inclusion-WEC interaction. Small sized (~2-15 μm) globular sulfide-oxides (D_{Dup}) and globular oxide (D) were the most common inclusions found. All 12 inclusions were evaluated as having either a rank of 1

or 2 (see details about the ranking system in **Appendix B** and **Fig. 8**). Spatial distributions and depth of inclusion-WEC interactions are shown in **Fig. 10**.

18 hour:

78 individual WECs were recorded, no WECs were mapped (see [24] for 3D mapping and orthoslice sweep videos of 18.5 hr WECs in their entirety). 16/78 WECs made a surface connection or near surface interaction (<1 μm below the contact surface), the near surface interactions showing no apparent connection with the contact surface when viewed under optical microscope at x1000 magnification (**Fig. 6 (c)**). Most surface connections at 18 hours have small surface connection/interaction crack volumes (<1 μm) with short connection lengths with respect to sectioning in the axial direction (a few slice intervals) (see **Fig. 6 (a)**). This being opposed to some surface connections that had connection lengths over multiple slices ranging over hundreds of microns (see **Fig. 6 (b)**). A significant number of small individual WEA/WECs were also found in the near surface zone (<25 μm), 8700 of these features being recorded (see **Fig. 7** for examples) where evidence for the propagation or coalescence of these features was not seen. 50 inclusion-WEC interactions were recorded, multiple inclusion interactions being found for single WECs. Small sized (~2-15 μm) globular sulfide-oxides (D_{Dup}) and globular oxides (D) were the most frequent inclusions found to interact, 30/50 inclusions being ranked as either 1 or 2 regarding initiation of WECs, examples are shown in **Fig. 9**. Spatial distributions and depth of inclusion-interactions are presented in **Fig. 10**. Further inclusion-WEC interaction examples from an 18.5 hour RCF test can been found in [24]. 16 butterflies were recorded.

Fig. 6. Optical images of 18 hour surface connections and near surface interactions. (a) WEC-9 R1 surface connection, surface connection length in axial sectioning direction <30 μm. (b) WEC-9 R2 surface connection, surface connection length ~500 μm. (c) WEC-11 R2 near surface interaction. (d)-(f) x500 optical images of the surface connections and near surface interactions shown in (a)-(c). Over-rolling direction (OD) left to right.

Fig. 7. Optical images of typical 18 hour near surface WEA/WEC features (a-f). Over-rolling direction (OD) left to right.

3.2.2 Coarse serial sectioning

The results from the coarse serial sectioning analysis are described below and are detailed in **Table 3**.

4 hour:

Through analysis of 3x rollers only, one WEC (WEC-9 R1) was found, this WEC being comparable (but smaller in size) to the smallest WECs recorded at 6 hours. It should also be noted that this WEC could be judged as a butterfly, however due to the forking nature of the crack tip it is of the authors opinion that this is an extended butterfly or WEC. WEC-9 R1 was subsequently mapped (serial sectioned). No connection to the surface was made. After mapping, standard sectioning resumed.

Individual slice images of WEC-9 R1 were aligned in a strip and are shown in **Fig. 8.** One inclusion-WEC interaction was recorded (see **Fig. 8**), a small (~6 μm) globular Al_2O_3 (D_{Dup}) rank 1 inclusion. Spatial distribution and depth of this inclusion interaction can be seen in **Fig. 10**. 11 butterflies were recorded.

6 hour:

Coarse serial sectioning was conducted on the same rolling elements post serial sectioning, WECs that had not finished during fine serial sectioning continuing to be recorded. The total number of WECs equals the sum of WECs not finished during fine serial sectioning plus the coarse serial sectioning. 14 individual WECs were recorded. No surface connections were recorded. 1 inclusion-WEC interactions were recorded, this is likely due to the larger sectioning intervals where inclusions may have been missed or removed during sectioning due to their typical small size (~2-15 μm). 6 butterflies were recorded.

12 hour:

27 individual WECs were recorded with no surface connections. 11 inclusion-WEC interactions were recorded and 12 butterflies, inclusion-WEC interaction spatial distributions are shown in **Fig. 10**.

18 hour:

Coarse serial sectioning was conducted post serial sectioning on the same rolling elements, WECs being recorded as in the case of the 6 hour test described above. 145 individual WECs were recorded. 19 surface connections were found. 9 inclusion-WEC interactions were recorded, their respective spatial distributions shown in **Fig. 10**. Likewise, inclusion-WEC interactions may have been lost due to larger sectioning intervals. 2 butterflies were recorded. Also note that the number of near surface WEA/WECs features recorded through fine serial sectioning significantly decreased in number when going from the outer to the inner roller halves.

No signs of 'conventional' WEC/WEAs were recorded in the raceway washers. However some fine 'WEC-like lines' have been observed in 18.5 hour raceway segments [24], but no extensive WECs were found.

Fig. 8. Optical images of mapped WECs and inclusion-WEC interactions at 4, 6 and 18 hours. (a-d) represent the individual slices from start (slice 1) to finish (slice 4) of mapped 4 hour WEC-9 R1. SEM image (e) shows inclusion-WEC interaction from (a) slice 1 with corresponding EDX chemical maps. (f-m) Mapped 6 hour WEC-3 R2, images (f-m) represent the individual slice images from start (slice 1) to near finish (slice 8). Images (g-i) show the location of the inclusion-WEC interaction. (n) Is an SEM image of the inclusion-WEC interaction from (h) (slice 3) with corresponding EDX chemical maps. (o) SEM image of inclusion-WEC interaction recorded in 18 hour WEC-1 R1 with corresponding location of the inclusion-WEC interaction shown in optical image (p). A key above the images details how to interpret the inclusion-WEC interaction information in each case.

6 hour

6 hr R1 – WEC1, Slice 139

(a) 20 µm

(b) 50 µm

6 hr R2 – WEC7, Slice 152

6 hr R2 – WEC10, Slice 166

(c) 50 µm

6 hr R1 – WEC5, Slice 156

(d) 20 µm

1-R1, $D_{Dup1\_74(A}$ $^{:\sim14,\ C:\sim8)}$

(e) 2 µm

7-R2, $D_{Dup}^{1\_84(A:\sim11,\ C:\sim8)}$

(f) 2 µm

10-R2, $D_{Dup}^{1\_136(A:\sim21,\ C:\sim6)}$

(g) 5 µm

5-R1, $D_{Dup}^{1\_84(A:\sim7,\ C:\sim4)}$

(h) 2 µm

18 hour

7-R1, $D_{Dup}^{1\_128(A:\sim10\ C:\sim8)}$

(i) 10 µm

12-R1, $D_{Dup}^{1\_113(A:\sim10\ C:\sim10)}$

(j) 10 µm

Contact surface OD

(k) 50 µm

(l) 10 µm

8-R3, $D^{1\_27\ (C:\sim3)}, D^{2\_10\ (C:\sim12)}$

4-R1, $D_{Dup}^{1\_113(A:\sim7\ C:\sim7)}$

(m) 10 µm

17-R1, $D_{Dup}^{1\_180(A:\sim10\ C:\sim10)}$

(n) 10 µm

10-R3, $D^{1\_189(A:\sim10\ C:\sim10)}$

(o) 10 µm

2-R2, $D_{Dup}^{1\_63(A:\sim7\ C:\sim4)}$

(p) 10 µm

Fig. 9. Images of typical inclusion-WEC interactions recorded at 6 and 18 hours. Images (a-d) show the location of the inclusion-WEC interactions from SEM images (e-h) respectively at 6 hours. Images (i-p) are optical images of typical inclusion-WEC interactions recorded at 18 hours. (k) and (l) show an example of a butterfly WEC with corresponding inclusion linking to another inclusion in the WEC network, (l) showing a magnified image of the highlighted region. Arrows highlight the inclusion in each case. See **Fig. 8** and **appendix B** for more information on the inclusion ranking system.

Inclusion interaction location w.r.t zone of sectioning from outer roller edge (mm)

Direction of sectioning

$\tau_{o,\ max}$ = 92 µm

$\tau_{uni,\ max}$ = 145 µm

- 4 hours
- 6 hours
- 12 hours
- 18 hours

Fig. 10. (a) Spatial distribution and depth of inclusion-WEC interactions w.r.t the depth of maximum subsurface sheer stresses judged to have a high likelihood of crack initiation (rank 1 or 2). See **Fig. 8** and **appendix B** for more information on the inclusion ranking system.

3.2.3 Summary of sectioning analysis

　　Table 3 and **Figs. 12-13** summarise the combined fine and coarse serial sectioning results. **Fig. 13** provides the average WEC dimensions for the 2-18 hour tests.

A WEC severity index has been calculated based upon the WEC length in the axial sectioning direction, the radial length of the WEC (maximum depth minus minimum depth) and the maximum WEC span, see **Fig. 13**. The average severity of WEC formations across 2-18 hours (averaged across 3x rolling elements) has been calculated as well as the average severity in individual rollers and the outer and inner halves at 18 hours.

The total WEC damage recorded across roller 1 from the 18 hour test has been modelled in 3D. This is to visualise the extent, density and distribution of damage seen typically at the late stages of RCF before failure, see **Fig. 11** (see **Video 2** for a 2D segmentation, 3D orthoslice sweep through the entire volume of the roller representing each individual WEC recorded across the roller from outer to inner edge). **Fig. 11 (a)** shows an example of an axial slice cross-sectional image of one of the WECs recorded in 18 hour R1 that was subsequently segmented in 2D and placed in its relative position in the roller (see **Fig. 11 (b)** and **(c)**). **Fig. 11 (d)**, **(e)** and **(f)** show three views of the 3D model.


Fig. 11. 3D model of total WEC damage recorded across 18 hour R1. (a) Optical cross-sectional image of a WEC. (b) 2D segmentation of WEC from optical image in (a). (c) Placement of 2D segmented WEC into its relative position across the roller. (d-f) 3D model with all WECs highlighted in red across the roller from outer to inner edge. See **Video 2**.

Table 3 Summary of WECs and inclusion interactions recorded from combined fine and coarse serial sectioning analysis.

| Test duration	WECs recorded	Surface connections	Near surface WEC/WEAs	Inclusion interactions	Butterflies	WECs recorded in roller halves	
						Outer	Inner
2 hr	**Total: 0**	**Total: 0**	**Total: 0**	**Total: 0**	**Total: 0**	**Total: 0**	**Total: 0**
4 hr	R1: 1	R1: 0	R1: 0	R1: 0	R1: 7	R1: 1	R1: 0
	R2: 0	R2: 0	R2: 0	R2: 0	R2: 2	R2: 0	R2: 0
	R3: 0	R3: 0	R3: 0	R3: 1	R3: 2	R3: 0	R3: 0
	Total: 1	**Total: 0**	**Total: 0**	**Total: 1, Total: 1*****	**Total: 11**	**Total: 1**	**Total: 0**
6 hr	R1: 6	R1: 0	R1: 0	R1: 5	R1: 14	R1: 5	R1: 1
	R2: 10	R2: 0	R2: 0	R2: 8	R2: 12	R2: 7	R2: 3
	R3: 6	R3: 0	R3: 0	R3: 0	R3: 4	R3: 6	R3: 0
	Total: 22	**Total: 0**	**Total: 0**	**Total: 13, Total: 13*****	**Total: 28**	**Total: 18**	**Total: 4**
12 hr	R1: 13	R1: 0	R1: 0	R1: 4	R1: 4	R1: 8	R1: 5
	R2: 9	R2: 0	R2: 0	R2: 4	R2: 4	R2: 9	R2: 0
	R3: 5	R3: 0	R3: 0	R3: 3	R3: 4	R3: 2	R3: 3
	Total: 27	**Total: 0**	**Total: 0**	**Total: 11, Total: 11*****	**Total: 12**	**Total: 19**	**Total: 8**
18 hr	R1: 59	R1: 9	R1: 3730	R1: 44	R1: 8	R1: 43	R1: 16
	R2: 52	R2: 9	R2: 3653	R2: 7	R2: 5	R2: 44	R2: 8
	R3: 53	R3: 1	R3: 1316	R3: 9	R3: 5	R3: 30	R3: 23
	Total: 164	**Total: 19**	**Total: 8699**	**Total: 60, Total: 40*****	**Total: 18**	**Total: 117**	**Total: 49**

Key: *****: Total number of inclusions ranked 1 or 2.

Fig. 12. (a) Distribution of individual WECs recorded in 4-18 hour rollers across the entire axial length (x-axis) of rollers from outer to inner edge and corresponding mid-range depth below the contact surface (0.00 mm) (y-axis). Pressure P, absolute sliding velocity V and slip energy PV are also represented (adapted from [49] (b) 3D plot of all independently recorded WECs across 4-18 hours. X-axis represents the entire axial length of the roller from outer to inner edge. Y-axis represents the mid-range depth below the contact surface (0.00 mm). Z-axis represents the maximum span (see **Appendix C** for details). The dots on the YZ-projection represent the position of each independent WEC in the Y-axis and Z-axis. The distances between spheres for each WEC represent the total length in the X-axis.

Fig. 13. (a) Average WEC dimensions in the rollers across 2 – 18 hour tests, see appendix C for details on measurement of relevant crack dimensions. (b) WEC severity index in the rollers for the 2-18 hour tests.

3.2.4 WEA volume analysis

Results show the average amount of WEA volume increases with test duration (see **Fig. 14 (a)**). The total 'white etching area' (μm^2) when quantifying the WEA at five individual positions within the WEC (see **Fig. 3**) shows that the 3rd slice (middle zone) of the WEC network has on average the greatest amount of WEA associated (see **Fig. 14 (a)**). Total WEA volume vs. respective WEC axial length for the WECs analysed shows that for an axial crack length over 500 μm a significant increase in total WEA volume exists (see **Fig. 14 (b)**). Through analysis of 5 individual WECs from the 18 hour test, WEA vs. crack angle w.r.t the axis of compression has shown that a greater amount of WEA is associated with a crack the nearer a crack gets to 0˚ (perpendicular to the axis of compression), vertical propagating cracks (±90˚ in the direction of compression) having much less (see **Fig. 14 (c)**).

Fig. 14. WEA volume and area analysis. (a) Representative average WEA across 2-18 hours. (b) Total WEA volume vs. axial length of WEC. (c) Total WEA measured vs the respective angle of the WEC for 5 different randomly chosen WECs at 18 hours.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1 RCF testing

This study has used contact pressure P_{max} at 1.56 GPa to re-create WECs in CRTBs on a FAG-FE8 test rig lubricated with a 'special' oil known to promote WSF. It must be taken into account that the FAG-FE8 test rig has differing dynamics to those experienced by WTGBs during service, e.g. the relatively high slip experienced in the CRTBs. However large slippage can occur during rapid acceleration/deceleration of the shaft in wind turbine gearboxes [50] and transient events causing ~20-110% SRR in WTGBs [51]. Simulations have revealed that moderate sliding occurs (3-10% SRR) continuously for spherical roller bearings in intermediate shaft locations of the gearbox at roller-raceway interface in the unloaded zone [51].

4.2 Features of WECs

4.2.1 Near surface WEAs/WECs

Serial sectioning analysis of 18 hour rollers revealed a large number of small near surface (<25 µm zone) WEC/WEAs (see **Fig. 7**). These were rarely seen with connection to the contact surface, limited interaction with inclusions and it was also found in cases that there was no apparent presence of a crack, thus evidence shows that a crack is unnecessary for WEAs to form in this case. These near surface features were predominantly found in the outer half of the rollers with a decrease in their respective numbers being found across the inner half. The occurrence of these near surface features has been documented previously by the authors in FAG-FE8 tests [24], hydrogen charged TE-74 two-roller specimens during RCF testing [**6**] and in actual WTGBs from service [1], where rare connection to the contact surface has been found with limited cases of inclusion interaction [6].

It is hypothesised that the occurrence of these features is a result of either, or a or combination of; (i) an increase in traction between contacts at later stages of RCF operation, resulting in a rise of the maximum subsurface shear stress zone towards the surface. This would be significantly increased in the case of insufficient lubrication and higher surface roughness;

14

(ii) micro-indentations at the contact surface causing local regions of mixed/boundary lubrication regimes increasing the traction coefficient; (iii) areas of localised increase in contact pressure, and (iv) increase in the concentration of mobile diffusible hydrogen over longer RCF operation [44], where locally at the near surface, higher localised penetration and concentrations may exist and aid in the acceleration of these features. **Fig. 15** illustrates the hypothesised mechanisms for the formation of these features.

Fig. 15. Hypothesised mechanisms of near surface (<25 μm) WEA/WECs formation. H^+ denotes molecular hydrogen, H denoting mono atomic hydrogen diffused into the bearing steel. e^- denotes free electrons at the fresh nascent surface. 'P' and 'σ' denote pressure and yield stress respectively.

4.2.2 WEC initiation and evolution

Analysis has shown that the propensity and average size (see **Fig. 13**) of WECs recorded in the rollers increases with RCF test duration (see **Table 3**, and **Fig. 12-13**) no WECs being found in the raceway. Two factors to explain this could be; (i) steel cleanliness has shown that the raceway is 'cleaner' than the roller (see **section 2.3.1**); (ii) a lack of hydrogen being available to accelerate WEC formations [44], and (iii) The raceway is ~23% softer (590 HV) than the rollers (765 HV), therefore the raceway is less prone to cracking due to an increased toughness. The importance of high toughness steel has been highlighted in the reduction of intergranular subsurface cracking and the subsequent movement of crack faces in generating WEA [41]. It is well recognised that hydrogen effects higher strength steels, hydrogen embrittlement occurring when hydrogen is in its atomic mobile form, hydrogen able to retain its mobility in high strength steels [52]. Hydrogen has however been shown to have little influence on toughness and no effect on the hardness of 100Cr6 bearings steel [53]. To confirm the non-existence of WECs in the raceway washer, at a later date 9 randomly selected individual sliver sections of raceway were mounted such that the sections were examined in the opposite axial direction (side on instead of top down contact surface direction). Two sections at 100 um intervals were taken and examined through optical microscopy. No evidence of WECs were found.

No WECs were recorded at 2 hours; this could be thought logical due to the short RCF test time. Results show that the number, size and severity of WECs do not increase linearly (see **Fig. 12-13**), a ramped increase seen at the later stages of RCF operation (12-18 hours). This could be due to WECs coalescing to form larger crack networks resulting in a 'weakening' of the surrounding steel accelerating WEC growth, this being heightened in the event of a sufficient threshold concentration of diffusible hydrogen being reached [44]. Variance in severity is also observed between rollers. This highlights the importance of analysing a representative volume of steel. Differences in the severity between outer and inner roller halves at 18 hours are also shown, the outer half having a greater severity than the inner.

Condition monitoring on FAG-FE8 tests [29] have suggested a rapid release of subsurface WECs occurring at ~20% outstanding RCF test time before WSF failure. This is proposed to be due to the steel experiencing a failure-free period (no WECs detected), energy is absorbed in this period (explained by Barkhousen noise (BN) measurements [54]), a limit being reached with a sudden release of WECs. This failure-free period could be local subsurface transformations that have been observed as 'crack free' dark etching regions suggested to lead to the formation of WEA and subsequently WEC [39]. This investigation shows that WECs do exist during this period before a sudden rupture occurs, ~20% outstanding RCF time corresponding to 14.4 hours, WECs being recorded between 4-12 hours.

When comparing the inclusion-WEC interactions recorded at 4-18 hours the inclusions are; (i) consistent in type and size, typically small/short ~2-15 μm D_{Dup} or D type inclusions, (ii) the inclusion-WEC interaction depths are within/close to the zones of maximum subsurface shear stress ($\tau_{0, max}$ = 92 μm, $\tau_{uni, max}$ = 145 μm), specifically at the early stages of RCF (4 and 6 hours) where initiation is suggested to occur (see **Fig. 8)**, and (iii) when visually comparing the inclusion-WEC interactions recorded across 4–18 hours, a number of similarities in regards to crack shape/angle and continuity, inclusion type and size, direction of crack propagation and location of inclusion within the WEC network can be observed (see **Fig. 9, 10 and 16**). It is therefore proposed that the WECs recorded in this study were formed as a result of WECs that initiated at NMIs in the subsurface, individual WECs propagating and coalescing at later stages of RCF (12-18 hrs) to form larger WEC networks. It can also be said that the 19 WECs that did make a connection to the contact surface at 18 hours are likely formed

as a result of subsurface initiation at inclusions. A number of these surface connections/interactions had very small contact crack volumes and connection to the surface over short axial lengths (see **Fig. 6 (a) and (c)**); it is proposed that these connections are not sufficient enough to drive such extensive WEC networks in the subsurface. Note; a number of these surface connections were in fact very near (<1 μm) surface 'interactions' (see **Fig. 6 (c)** and **(f)**), where under optical microscopy no apparent connection to the contact surface was observed. Further evidence for subsurface initiation comes from the fact that for the 9 fully mapped subsurface WECs at 4 and 6 hours, each WEC had at least one or multiple inclusion-WEC interactions. Additional evidence to support subsurface initiation of WECs by NMIs is shown through the visual comparison of typical recorded WECs across the 4–18 hour tests (see **Fig. 16**). As it can be seen the initial shape and propagation route of WECs at 4 and 6 hours follow a close link to those WECs recorded at 12 and 18 hours; this is in conjunction with the fact that the inclusion-WEC interactions are also closely linked by the similarities discussed above. At 4 and 6 hours, WECs are found to initially resemble butterfly cracks, which propagate into 'star-like' cracks with forking of the butterfly crack tips. This 'star-like' crack shape can be seen to fit a number of the WECs found at 12 and 18 hours as shown in **Fig. 16**. It is thus proposed that the WECs recorded at 4 and 6 hours are the early initiation stages of WECs that subsequently propagate and evolve into the large WEC networks recorded at 18 hours. The proposed evolutionary stages of WEC initiation are shown in **Fig. 16 (g)**.

Fig. 16. Optical images of WECs recorded at 4-18 hours. (a-f) Show examples of typical WECs recorded between 4-18 hours. (e) Super imposed image of the 12 hour WEC shown in (d), the inclusion highlighted in red. (g) Proposed stages of WEC evolution; (1) Initiation via inclusion in the subsurface, (2) propagation into 'star-like' WEC (see b), (3) further propagation in radial and over-rolling direction, (4a) continued propagation (4b) coalescing of independent WECs to form larger WEC networks and (5) final propagation to the surface resulting in flaking. Over rolling direction (OR) is from left to right.

The outer and inner roller halves have shown to significantly influence the propensity and size of WEC formations, the outer half being dominant over the inner (see **Table 3**, **Fig. 11-13** and **Video 2**). Slip has been shown to influence the formation of WECs in both FAG-FE8 and three ring roller Micro-Pitting rig (MPR) tests using the same 'special' oil known used in this study [29, 55, 56], where evidence for the influence of negative slip being more dominant in WSF over positive slip is provided [56]. More recently the influence of slip on WEC formations has also been shown in a two-disc test rig set-up, where again negative slip showed dominance in WEC production in contrast to positive [57]. This dominance has been attributed to higher material stressing, lowered fracture mechanic properties under alternating load and preferential surface crack propagation due to the traction force and surface motion vectors pointing in the same direction in negative slip as opposed to positive [57]. It is proposed that negative slip results in the compressive closure of cracks enhancing the crack rubbing mechanism for WEA formation [56]. The localisation of the WECs recorded across 4-18 hours are more densely populated in the 2-3 mm (outer) and 8-9 mm (inner) zones across the roller (see **Fig. 12** and **Video 2**). These zones correspond to areas of high slip energy, (PVmax, the product of contact pressure P and slip velocity V, MPa ms^{-1}, see **Fig. 12**), slip energy taking into account asperity contact (P_cV value which takes into account the asperity contact pressure P_c) and asperity friction accumulation $e_{a,c\ max}$ which relates the regeneration time span between consecutive contact load cycles on tested WEC lives and the specific frictional energy input into the a surface during the contact load cycle [58]. Slip energy criteria has been linked to WSF, WSF occurring at areas of greatest PVmax. These areas have also been found to coincide with zones of highest concentrations of hydrogen [50, 59, 60]. Supporting evidence for the slip energy criterion has been shown on FAG-FE8 tests where WECs appeared firstly at areas of high frictional energy, this also being demonstrated in tests using angular contact ball bearings [29, 58]. A number of inclusion-WEC interactions were also recorded during fine serial sectioning corresponding to the 2-3 mm zone of high slip energy dissipation and asperity accumulation (see **Fig. 10**). Further progression of the slip energy criteria concept has been

developed based upon information from different test rigs, using normal contact load and representing the slip energy criteria per film thickness sheared (N.V/λ, N ms^{-1}) to determine a threshold for WEC formation in most roller bearing configurations [21, 61]. It is postulated that this threshold could exist due to the fact that sliding energy generates local flash temperatures influencing the tribochemical reactions taking place at nascent surfaces [62]. Limitations however do exist as this criterion doesn't take into account the lubricant formulation. Evidence for the degree of boundary lubrication (the range of λ) controlling the propensity for WEC formation is also suggested, more WECs forming for more severe boundary regimes (λ in the range of 0.06-0.7) [56]. No WECs were found in the raceway washers, with no evidence of WEC formations being observed in the zones corresponding to high slip energy dissipation or asperity friction accumulation. It is noted that the asperity friction energy accumulation is greater in the washers than the rollers, where energy dissipation is greater in the inner raceway than the outer (see Fig. 6 [58]). This is contradictory to the result seen in this study, this discrepancy not being understood.

4.2.3 WEA volume

Metallographic analysis has shown that the volume of WEA associated with cracks increases for longer RCF test operation. Through quantitative WEA analysis, the average WEA volume (μm^3) and area (μm^2) associated with cracks increased between 4-18 hours, a ramped increase found between 12-18 hours (see **Fig. 14 (a)**). Analysis also reveals that for greater axial WEC lengths a significant increase in the associated WEA volume is found (**Fig. 14 (b)**). that It is proposed that the evidence found in this study supports the theory of crack rubbing/beating in the formation of WEAs [36]. As WECs grow and propagate during RCF operation, further crack rubbing/beating occurs at the newly formed crack faces, larger cracks having a greater amount of 'free' crack faces available for extended crack rubbing/beating to occur. This can also be exhibited in **Fig. 16** where it can be seen that the amount of WEA associated with the cracks increases across 4-18 hours, an increase being observed between 12-18 hours. Further to this, by visually observing the mapped WECs at 4 and 6 hours (see **Fig. 8**) a decrease in WEA volume is seen at the start and ends of the WEC, i.e. the extreme tips when visualised as a 3D network. This is exhibited in two videos through serial sectioning of an 18.5 hour FAG-FE8 test previously conducted by the authors [24]. Taking for example the 6 hour WEC in **Fig. 8.** It is proposed that the inclusion is the site of initiation, it can be seen that the volume of WEA is greater around the inclusion site (see **Fig. 8 (g)**) than at the end 'tips' where branching/forking has occurred (final stages of propagation) where it is proposed that less time has been available for crack rubbing/beating. This point is strengthened through WEA analysis where it has shown that the average amount of WEA (μm^2) is less at the tips (1st (start) and 5th (end) measurements) than at the centre (3rd (middle) measurement) (see **Fig. 14 (a)**). As discussed, a large number of near surface WEA/WECs were recorded at 18 hours (see **Table 3** and **Fig. 7.**). A number of these features were found not associated with a crack, leading to the conclusion that near surface WEAs do not seem to require a crack to form WEA. However, note that in this study only optical microscopy has been used in the classification of WEAs associated with cracks, further analysis using SEM to confirm the non-existence of small cracks that may be present inside the WEA regions should be conducted.

Through metallographic analysis it is indicated that the angle of crack propagation, crack width and zone of maximum subsurface shear stresses, can influence the degree of WEA generated. WEA analysis has shown that the amount of WEA associated with a crack increases the nearer to 0° or perpendicular to the axis of compression a crack propagates (see **Fig.14 (c)**). A vertical crack ±90° parallel to the axis of compression is found to have very little WEA associated (see **Fig.14 (c)**). This is also exhibited clearly in the 18 hour crack shown in **Fig. 17**. As suggested by others [36], it is proposed that a vertically branching crack will be subjected to a much lesser amount of crack rubbing. The localisation of strain has been found to be strongly reliant on crack orientation in relation to stress [63]. High strain rate compressive tests have shown regions of WEA [64], this being in comparison to equivalent tensile tests, as a result it is proposed that crack rubbing/beating under RCF shear stresses or compressive loading result in WEA formations and thus adiabatic shearing is an unlikely cause [65]. The crack width also appears to influence WEA formations. For example in **Fig. 18** it is seen that very little or no WEAs are associated with sections (Area 1) of the WEC that have large crack width when compared to crack faces that are close together (Area 2). It is proposed that for adjacent crack faces that are further apart, less action is available for crack rubbing/beating. This is not to say however that these particular areas of the WEC network would have not been associated with WEAs at some point during operation. The proposed mechanism (see **Fig. 18.**) to explain this is as follows; (i) Inclusion initiation of butterfly and 'star-like' WECs with subsequent generation of WEAs due to crack rubbing/beating. (ii) WECs propagate and WEAs continue to develop. (iii) short crack growth from inclusions/butterflies by Mode I loading [66] stops and further growth is governed by Mode II/III shear loading if the Mode II/III stress intensity factor threshold is surpassed [66], WECs may propagate and coalesce to form larger networks. Until a critical length is reached, crack growth rate may be slow, where once exceeded rapid propagation results under applied stress. (iv) Due to the rapid growth of the crack and crack volume, the time and action available for WEA development is alleviated and thus a reduction or non-existent presence of WEA is seen. In the event of hydrogen diffusion, hydrogen acts to decrease the Mode I/II stress limits for crack growth and propagation [66, 67], it may be reasoned that this step increase in WEC formations is due to a threshold concentration of hydrogen being reached for a decrease in Mode II crack growth [44].

Analysis also indicates that the zone of maximum subsurface shear stresses influences WEA generation. This is most clearly exhibited in WECs recorded at 18 hours. For example WEC-2 R1 in **Fig. 17** show that a greater amount of WEA exists within and in the regions around the zone of maximum subsurface shear stresses ($\tau_{0, max}$ = 92 μm, $\tau_{uni, max}$ = 145 μm).

Fig. 17. Optical image of a WEC demonstrating the influence of crack angle w.r.t the axis of compression and depth of maximum subsurface shear stresses ($\tau_{0, max}$ = 92 μm, $\tau_{uni, max}$ = 145 μm) on the formation of WEAs. (a) Area 1 and 2 show two different orientations of crack propagation, Area 1 shows cracks at an angle θ to the axis of compression along with a vertical crack parallel to the compression axis, and the zones of maximum subsurface shear stresses are also shown. Area 2 shows a vertical crack parallel to the compression axis. (b) and (c) show magnified x500 images of the two areas respectively. (d) Is a schematic demonstrating the influence of crack orientation angle w.r.t the direction of compression and the associated action of crack rubbing/beating.

Fig. 18. Optical image of a WEC demonstrating the influence of crack width on the generation of WEAs. Area 1 shows a large crack width and Area 2 shows a small crack width, with respective magnified optical images. The proposed mechanism for the development of WEA w.r.t crack width is shown. Over rolling (OR) direction left to right.

4.2.4 Inclusion-WEC interactions and steel cleanliness

82 NMIs were recorded during the metallographic analysis, 62 were ranked with a high likelihood of WEC initiation (rank 1 or 2). Most inclusions were found to be small sized (~2-15 μm (diameter) and ~4-21 μm in axial length) globular duplex inclusions (globular manganese and/or calcium sulfide surrounding aluminate) (D_{Dup}) and globular oxide inclusions (D). At the early infant stages of WEC formation (4 and 6 hrs), D_{Dup} and D type inclusions were found to interact with the WECs, EDX analysis of inclusions at these stages being either Al_2O_3 or MnS surrounding Al_2O_3. Inclusion types found to interact with the large WEC networks found at the later stages of RCF duration (18 hrs) are consistent with those found at the early infant stages. This agrees with the findings found from the 18.5 hr RCF test in [24], that found 49 NMIs and 41 rank 1 or 2 NMIs in 5 fully mapped WECs, these predominantly being small sized (~2-15 μm) D_{Dup}, $D_{DupTi(C,N)}$ and D type inclusions. The oxide encapsulations are responsible for hardness discrepancy with the martensite matrix, induced tensile residual stresses due to differing coefficients of thermal expansion and weak coherence/de-bonding of the oxide and matrix [9, 68, 69]. The

majority of the inclusion-WEC interactions were recorded at a depth of ~50-200 μm, this being consistent with the depth of high subsurface shear stresses ($\tau_{0, max}$ = 92 μm, $\tau_{uni, max}$ = 145 μm) (see **Fig. 10**).

Steel cleanliness analysis indicates that the raceway is 'cleaner' than the rollers (C_i = 1.5 (standard) and C_i = 344.1 (non-standard) for the rollers and (C_i = 0.2 (standard) and C_i = 35.6 (non-standard) for the raceway). The 'cleaner' raceway would therefore have fewer inclusions available to initiate cracks, which could explain why no WECs were recorded. The lower cleanliness and therefore greater density of inclusions in the rollers would also lead to an increased propensity for WECs to initiate and coalesce to form more extensive networks, this being elevated if inclusions lie in critical locations. It is important to note the significant increase in C_i when only counting inclusions recorded under the thresholds set by the ISO 4967-B standard [48] and when counting inclusions recorded outside of these domains, however the ratio difference in cleanliness between the roller and raceway remains similar. Small/short inclusions have been found to be dominant in initiating and interacting with WECs. Thresholds set by the standard do not factor in these small/short inclusions, comparisons of the C_i highlighting the potential limitations of the standard when considering steels used in WTGBs. These limitations are currently being investigated and will be presented in a future study by the authors.

5. CONCLUSIONS

1. Metallographic analysis has been used to map White Etching Crack (WEC) damage in RCF tested bearings in standard 100Cr6 steel. For the first time, this study has captured the evolution of WEC formation, using serial sectioning methods to investigate the formation mechanisms of WECs in FAG-FE8 tested bearings under non-hydrogen charged conditions.

2. From the characteristics, location and apparent evolution of WECs over the increasing test durations, macro and serial sectioning has enabled further verification of the author's original revelations that; i) WECs can initiate and propagate entirely within the subsurface and (ii) The frequent interaction with small/short inclusions strongly indicates that WECs can often be initiated by non-metallic inclusions. The inclusion interactions are ~2-15 μm in the circumferential direction, and ~4-21 μm in axial length, being globular sulfides (D_{sulf}), globular duplex inclusions (globular manganese and/or calcium sulfide surrounding aluminate) (D_{Dup}) and globular oxide inclusions (D). D_{Dup} and D type inclusions are found to interact with the WECs at the early infant stages of WEC formation, inclusions being either Al_2O_3 or MnS surrounding Al_2O_3. Inclusion types found to interact with large WEC networks found at the later stages of RCF duration are consistent with those found at the early infant stages. The cleanliness of the roller and raceway were found to be significantly different, the rollers having a much lower cleanliness than the raceway, which may help explain why no WECs were found in the raceway sections.

3. Detailed analysis of WEC characteristics across the test durations, such as quantification of the amount of WEA microstructural change associated with the WECs over the test durations, and also within certain planes of the WEC, has provided supporting evidence for the crack being a prerequisite to WEA, where a possible mechanism for this is crack face rubbing. Conversely to this mechanism, in the samples exposed to most test duration, numerous small very near surface WEAs were also found without any visible crack, thus evidently the formation of the microstructural change to WEA does not require the presence of a crack. Further analysis including SEM should be carried out however to also confirm the non-existence of small cracks associated with WEA as only light optical microscopy has been used in this study.

4. An interesting finding is a heterogeneous distribution of WEC formation occurred in the bearing rollers, most WECs forming in a relatively limited zone corresponding to where the largest energy dissipation occurs, this agreeing with recent literature observations.

Appendix A
The minimum oil film thickness (hmin) between the rolling elements and raceway washer was calculated using Hamrock-Dowson viscous-elastic calculation [46, 47], see Eq. (A.1).

$$h_{min} = 3.63U^{0.68}G^{0.49}W^{-0.073}(1 - e^{-0.68k}) \tag{A.1}$$

where,
h_{min}: minimum film thickness [m]

U: dimensionless speed parameter, $u\eta_0/(E'R)$

G: dimensionless material parameter, $\alpha E'$

W: dimensionless load parameter, $N/(E'R^2)$

u: mean lubricant entrainment speed, [m/s]

$\eta 0$: viscosity at atmospheric pressure of lubricant, [Pa s]

E': effective elastic modulus, [30]

R: reduced radius of curvature, [m]

α: pressure–viscosity coefficient, [Pa^{-1}]

N: normal load, [N]

k: ellipticity parameter defined as: k = a/b, where 'a' is the semiaxis of the contact ellipse in the transverse direction [m] and 'b' is the semiaxis in the direction of motion [m]. For line contact, k = ∞.

The initial lambda ratio (λ) was then calculated using Eq. (A.2), where Rq,1 and Rq,2 are the rms roughness values of the two contact surfaces.

$$\lambda = hmin / (Rq,1^2 + Rq,2^2)^{1/2} \qquad\qquad\qquad (A.2)$$

Appendix B

Rank 1: Strong evidence for butterfly initiated WECs.

(i) Orientation of wings (+ve or –ve) being consistent with the wings of independent butterflies found in the serial sectioning analysis (not in the WEC network). +ve or –ve wing orientation is determined by the over –rolling direction, a second pair of wings being formed upon reversal of the over-rolling direction after a certain period [70].

(ii) The crack/wing angle, this being mainly ~45º with a range of 0-60º for typical butterfly formations [4, 5].

(iii) If the microstructural change morphology associated with the crack/wing is of a typical 'classic wing-like pattern'.

Rank 2: Possible butterfly initiation or strong likelihood of WEC initiation independent of butterfly.

(i) This is the case when crack initiation features are observed however the characteristics of butterfly formation as stated in Rank 1 type damage features are not observed.

Rank 3: Crack has passed through the inclusion during crack propagation; inclusion can therefore potentially aid in the propagation however the inclusion isn't involved in the initiation process of a crack.

(i) Orientation of the crack when passing through the inclusion was in a radial or near radial direction.

(ii) The inclusion interaction location is somewhere along one of the radial (depth direction) cracks of the WEC. Also microstructural change may not be observed around the crack.

(iii) The inclusion interaction depth is in an area of low subsurface shear stress.

(iv) The inclusion is small and is located inside a zone of considerable microstructural change with no crack visibly connecting to the inclusion.

Appendix C

Schematic illustrating the measurements of WEC dimensions.

References

1.   Evans, M.-H., *An updated review: white etching cracks (WECs) and axial cracks in wind turbine gearbox bearings.* Materials Science and Technology, 2016: p. 1-37.
2.   Luyckx, J. *Hammering wear impact fatigue hypothesis WEC/irWEA failure mode on roller bearings.* in *Wind Turbine Tribology Seminar, Renaissance Boulder Flatiron Hotel Broomfield, CO, USA.* 2011.

3.   Becker, P., *Microstructural changes around non-metallic inclusions caused by rolling-contact fatigue of ball-bearing steels.* Metals Technology, 1981. **8**(1): p. 234-243.
4.   Evans, M., *White structure flaking (WSF) in wind turbine gearbox bearings: effects of 'butterflies' and white etching cracks (WECs).* Materials Science and Technology, 2012. **28**(1): p. 3-22.
5.   Evans, M.-H., *White structure flaking failure in bearings under rolling contact fatigue.* 2013, University of Southampton.
6.   Evans, M.-H., et al., *Effect of hydrogen on butterfly and white etching crack (WEC) formation under rolling contact fatigue (RCF).* Wear, 2013. **306**(1): p. 226-241.
7.   Evans, M.-H., et al., *Serial sectioning investigation of butterfly and white etching crack (WEC) formation in wind turbine gearbox bearings.* Wear, 2013. **302**(1): p. 1573-1582.
8.   Evans, M.-H., et al., *A FIB/TEM study of butterfly crack formation and white etching area (WEA) microstructural changes under rolling contact fatigue in 100Cr6 bearing steel.* Materials Science and Engineering: A, 2013. **570**: p. 127-134.
9.   Grabulov, A., R. Petrov, and H. Zandbergen, *EBSD investigation of the crack initiation and TEM/FIB analyses of the microstructural changes around the cracks formed under rolling contact fatigue (RCF).* International Journal of Fatigue, 2010. **32**(3): p. 576-583.
10.   Greco, A., et al., *Material wear and fatigue in wind turbine systems.* Wear, 2013. **302**(1): p. 1583-1591.
11.   Österlund, R., et al., *Butterflies in fatigued ball bearings–formation mechanism and structure.* Scandinavian Journal of Metallurgy, 1982. **11**: p. 23-32.
12.   Solano-Alvarez, W. and H. Bhadeshia, *White-etching matter in bearing steel. Part II: distinguishing cause and effect in bearing steel failure.* Metallurgical and Materials Transactions A, 2014. **45**(11): p. 4916-4931.
13.   West, O., et al., *Application of complementary techniques for advanced characterization of white etching cracks.* Practical Metallography, 2013. **50**(6): p. 410-431.
14.   Grabulov, A., U. Ziese, and H.W. Zandbergen, *TEM/SEM investigation of microstructural changes within the white etching area under rolling contact fatigue and 3-D crack reconstruction by focused ion beam.* Scripta Materialia, 2007. **57**(7): p. 635-638.
15.   Harada, H., et al., *Microstructural changes and crack initiation with white etching area formation under rolling/sliding contact in bearing steel.* ISIJ international, 2005. **45**(12): p. 1897-1902.
16.   Li, S.-X., et al., *Microstructural evolution in bearing steel under rolling contact fatigue.* Wear, 2017. **380**: p. 146-153.
17.   Gegner, J., *Tribological aspects of rolling bearing failures.* 2011: INTECH Open Access Publisher.
18.   Gegner, J. and W. Nierlich. *Frictional surface crack initiation and corrosion fatigue driven crack growth.* in *NREL Workshop, Broomfield.* 2011.
19.   Gegner, J. and W. Nierlich. *Service loading analysis of wind turbine gearbox rolling bearings based on X-ray diffraction residual stress measurements.* in *Materials Science Forum.* 2014. Trans Tech Publ.
20.   Hyde, R.S., *White etching areas: importance of microstructural characterization and modeling.* Gearbox reliability collaborative all members meeting, NREL Golden, CO, February 10-12, 2014.
21.   Ruellan, A., et al., *Tribological analysis of white etching crack (WEC) failures in rolling element bearings.* Mechanics of materials, Villeurbanne Cedex, 2015.
22.   Bruce, T., et al., *Characterisation of white etching crack damage in wind turbine gearbox bearings.* Wear, 2015. **338**: p. 164-177.
23.   Evans, M., L. Wang, and R. Wood, *Formation mechanisms of white etching cracks and white etching area under rolling contact fatigue.* Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part J: Journal of Engineering Tribology, 2014. **228**(10): p. 1047-1062.
24.   Evans, M.-H., et al., *Confirming subsurface initiation at non-metallic inclusions as one mechanism for white etching crack (WEC) formation.* Tribology International, 2014. **75**: p. 87-97.
25.   Evans, M.-H., et al., *White etching crack (WEC) investigation by serial sectioning, focused ion beam and 3-D crack modelling.* Tribology International, 2013. **65**: p. 146-160.
26.   Gould, B., et al., *Using advanced tomography techniques to investigate the development of White Etching Cracks in a prematurely failed field bearing.* Tribology International, 2017. **116**: p. 362-370.
27.   Lai, J. and K. Stadler, *Investigation on the mechanisms of white etching crack (WEC) formation in rolling contact fatigue and identification of a root cause for bearing premature failure.* Wear, 2016. **364**: p. 244-256.
28.   Luyckx, J., *White etching crack failure mode in roller bearings: from observation via analysis to understanding and an industrial solution*, in *Rolling element bearings.* 2012, ASTM International.
29.   Holweger, W., et al., *White etching crack root cause investigations.* Tribology Transactions, 2015. **58**(1): p. 59-69.
30.   Ščepanskis, M., et al., *The numerical model of electrothermal deformations of carbides in bearing steel as the possible cause of white etching cracks initiation.* Tribology Letters, 2015. **59**(2): p. 1-10.
31.   Gould, B. and A. Greco, *Investigating the Process of White Etching Crack Initiation in Bearing Steel.* Tribology Letters, 2016. **62**(2): p. 1-14.
32.   Gould, B., et al., *An analysis of premature cracking associated with microstructural alterations in an AISI 52100 failed wind turbine bearing using X-ray tomography.* Materials & Design, 2017. **117**: p. 417-429.
33.   Danielsen, H.K., et al., *Multiscale characterization of White Etching Cracks (WEC) in a 100Cr6 bearing from a thrust bearing test rig.* Wear, 2017. **370**: p. 73-82.
34.   Singh, H., et al., *Investigation of Microstructural Alterations in Low- and High-Speed Intermediate-Stage Wind Turbine Gearbox Bearings.* Tribology Letters, 2017. **65**(3): p. 81.
35.   Kadin, Y. and M.Y. Sherif, *Energy dissipation at rubbing crack faces in rolling contact fatigue as the mechanism of white etching area formation.* International Journal of Fatigue, 2017. **96**: p. 114-126.

21

36.   Bhadeshia, H. and W. Solano-Alvarez, *Critical assessment 13: elimination of white etching matter in bearing steels.* Materials Science and Technology, 2015. **31**(9): p. 1011-1015.
37.   Vegter, R. and J. Slycke, *The role of hydrogen on rolling contact fatigue response of rolling element bearings.* Journal of ASTM International, 2009. **7**(2): p. 1-12.
38.   Grabulov, A., *Fundamentals of rolling contact fatigue.* 2010: TU Delft, Delft University of Technology.
39.   Diederichs, A.M., et al., *Study of subsurface initiation mechanism for white etching crack formation.* Materials Science and Technology, 2016. **32**(11): p. 1170-1178.
40.   Šmeļova, V., et al., *Microstructural changes in White Etching Cracks (WECs) and their relationship with those in Dark Etching Region (DER) and White Etching Bands (WEBs) due to Rolling Contact Fatigue (RCF).* International Journal of Fatigue, 2017. **100**: p. 148-158.
41.   Paladugu, M. and R. Scott Hyde, *White etching matter promoted by intergranular embrittlement.* Scripta Materialia, 2017. **130**: p. 219-222.
42.   Richardson, A.D., Wang, L., Wood, R. J. K., Evans, M.-H., Anderson, W. B., and Ingram, M., *Investigation of the Role of Hydrogen in White Structure Flaking (WSF) of Wind Turbine Gearbox Bearings.* STLE Annual Meeting, 17–21 May, Dallas, TX., 2015.
43.   Richardson, A.D., Wang, L., Wood, R. J. K., Evans, M.-H., Anderson, W. B., and Ingram, M., *A combined TDA and metallographic study to investigate hydrogens role in white etching crack (WEC) formation.* STLE Annual Meeting, 15-19 May, Las Vegas, NV, 2016.
44.   Richardson, A.D., et al., *The Evolution of White Etching Cracks (WECs) in Rolling Contact Fatigue-Tested 100Cr6 Steel.* Tribology Letters, 2017. **66**(1): p. 6.
45.   Kuhn, M., P. Gold, and J. Loos, *Wear protection and low friction in roller bearings by different PVD-coating systems.* Tribology Series, 2003. **43**: p. 459-465.
46.   Hamrock, B.J. and D. Dowson, *Minimum film thickness in elliptical contacts for different regimes of fluid-film lubrication.* 1978.
47.   Hamrock, B.J. and D. Dowson, *Ball bearing lubrication: the elastohydrodynamics of elliptical contacts.* 1981.
48.   ISO, *Steel – determination of content of nonmetallic inclusions – micrographic method using standard diagrams.* ISO 4967, ISO, Geneve, Switzerland, 2013.
49.   Loos, J., et al., *Influences on Generation of White Etching Crack Networks in Rolling Bearings.*
50.   Uyama, H. *The mechanism of white structure flaking in rolling bearings.* in *National renewable energy laboratory wind turbine tribology seminar.* 2011.
51.   Kang, Y.S., R.D. Evans, and G.L. Doll. *Roller-raceway slip simulations of wind turbine gearbox bearings using dynamic bearing model.* in *STLE/ASME 2010 International Joint Tribology Conference.* 2010. American Society of Mechanical Engineers.
52.   Matsubara, Y. and H. Hamada, *A novel method to evaluate the influence of hydrogen on fatigue properties of high strength steels.* Journal of ASTM International, 2005. **3**(2): p. 1-13.
53.   Szost, B. and P. Rivera-Diaz-del-Castillo, *Unveiling the nature of hydrogen embrittlement in bearing steels employing a new technique.* Scripta Materialia, 2013. **68**(7): p. 467-470.
54.   Holweger, W., et al., *Non-destructive subsurface damage monitoring in bearings failure mode using fractal dimension analysis.* Industrial Lubrication and Tribology, 2012. **64**(3): p. 132-137.
55.   Franke, J., et al. *Influence of tribolayer on rolling bearing fatigue performed on a FE8 test rig.* in *TAE 19th international colloquium tribology.* 2014.
56.   Gould, B. and A. Greco, *The influence of sliding and contact severity on the generation of white etching cracks.* Tribology Letters, 2015. **60**(2): p. 1-13.
57.   Gutiérrez Guzmán, F., et al., *Reproduction of white etching cracks under rolling contact loading on thrust bearing and two-disc test rigs.* Wear, 2017. **390**(Supplement C): p. 23-32.
58.   Kruhöffer, W. and J. Loos, *WEC Formation in Rolling Bearings under Mixed Friction: Influences and "Friction Energy Accumulation" as Indicator.* Tribology Transactions, 2016: p. 1-14.
59.   Fujita, S., N. Mitamura, and Y. Murakami. *Research of new factors affecting rolling contact fatigue life.* in *World tribology congress III.* 2005. American Society of Mechanical Engineers.
60.   Tanaka, S., N. Mitamura, and Y. Murakami, *Influence of sliding and chromium content in the steel on the white structural change under rolling contact.* Proc. Global Powertrain Cong., Dearborn, MI, USA, 2004: p. 6-13.
61.   Ruellan, A., et al., *Understanding white etching cracks in rolling element bearings: State of art and multiple driver transposition on a twin-disc machine.* Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part J: Journal of Engineering Tribology, 2017. **231**(2): p. 203-220.
62.   Du Crehu, A.R., *Tribological analysis of White Etching Crack (WEC) failures in rolling element bearings.* 2014, INSA de Lyon.
63.   Anderson, P., N. Fleck, and K. Johnson, *Localization of plastic deformation in shear due to microcracks.* Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids, 1990. **38**(5): p. 681-699.
64.   Schlicht, H., *About adiabatic shear bands and the generation of "high-angle white bands" in roller bearingsAbout adiabatic shear bands and the generation of "high-angle white bands" in roller bearings.* Materialwissenschaft und Werkstofftechnik, 2008. **39**(3): p. 217-226.
65.   Solano-Alvarez, W., et al., *Elucidating white-etching matter through high-strain rate tensile testing.* Materials Science and Technology, 2017. **33**(3): p. 307-310.
66.   Lewis, M. and B. Tomkins, *A fracture mechanics interpretation of rolling bearing fatigue.* Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part J: Journal of Engineering Tribology, 2012. **226**(5): p. 389-405.
67.   Fujita, S., et al., *Effect of hydrogen on mode II fatigue crack behavior of tempered bearing steel and microstructural changes.* International Journal of Fatigue, 2010. **32**(6): p. 943-951.

68.   Lund, T.B., *Sub-surface initiated rolling contact fatigue—influence of non-metallic inclusions, processing history, and operating conditions.* Journal of ASTM International, 2010. **7**(5): p. 1-12.

69.   Vincent, A., et al., *From white etching areas formed around inclusions to crack nucleation in bearing steels under rolling contact fatigue*, in *Bearing steels: into the 21st century*. 1998, ASTM International.

70.   Tricot, R., J. Monnot, and M. Lluansi, *How microstructural alterations affect fatigue properties of 52100 steel.* Met Eng Q, 1972. **12**(2): p. 39-47.