

1 **Resolution of fatty liver and weight loss: Independent associations with changes in**
2 **serum lipids and apolipoproteins**

3

4 Ki-Chul Sung ^{a,*}, Mi-Yeon Lee ^b, Jong-Young Lee ^a, Sung-Ho Lee ^a, Jang-Young Kim ^c, Sarah
5 H Wild ^d, Christopher D Byrne ^{e,f,*}

6

7 ^aDivision of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital,
8 Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea

9 ^bDivision of Biostatistics, Department of R&D Management, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital,
10 Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea

11 ^cDepartment of Cardiology, Yonsei University Wonju College of Medicine, Wonju, Republic
12 of Korea

13 ^dCentre for Population Health Sciences, Lothian Place University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh,
14 Scotland

15 ^eEndocrinology and Metabolism Unit, IDS Building, Southampton General Hospital,
16 University of Southampton, Southampton, UK

17 ^fSouthampton National Institute for Health Research, Biomedical Research Centre,
18 Southampton General Hospital, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK

19

20 *These authors contributed equally to this study as corresponding author.

21

22 **Short title:** Resolution of Fatty Liver and the Lipid Profile

23

24 ***Corresponding authors:**

25 **Ki-Chul Sung**, Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Kangbuk Samsung

26 Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, 29 Saemunan-ro, Jongno-gu, Seoul
27 03181, Republic of Korea

28 Tel: +82-2-2001-2001; Fax: +82-2-2001-2400; E-mail: kcmd.sung@samsung.com

29 Or

30 **Christopher D Byrne**, Nutrition and Metabolism, IDS Building, Southampton General
31 Hospital, University of Southampton, MP 887, Tremona Road, Southampton, UK. SO166YD

32 E-mail: c.d.byrne@soton.ac.uk

33 **ABSTRACT**

34 *Background and aims:* It is uncertain whether resolution of fatty liver can improve
35 cardiovascular disease risk factors, independently of changes in body mass index (BMI). Our
36 aim was: to test whether resolution of fatty liver is associated with improvements in
37 components of the lipid profile, independently of changes in BMI; and to quantify and
38 compare the magnitude of benefit of resolution of liver fat, and decreases in BMI on the lipid
39 profile.

40 *Methods:* 36,195 subjects with fatty liver were studied. Persistence/resolution of fatty liver
41 was determined by ultrasound at follow up (Mean=4.93 years). Total cholesterol,
42 triglycerides, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and
43 apolipoproteins were measured at baseline and follow up. Regression modelling was
44 undertaken to test the independence of associations between change in fatty liver status, or
45 change in BMI, with any change in lipid profile concentrations between baseline and follow
46 up.

47 *Results:* Mean (SD) age was 36.3±6.6 and 39.8±8.7 years (men and women, respectively).
48 Resolution of fatty liver occurred in 7,086, and persisted in 29,109 subjects. Mean±SD weight
49 change was -3.2±4.3 (~1 kg/m² decrease in BMI) with resolution of, and +0.5±3.5 kgs with
50 persistence of, fatty liver, respectively. Both resolution of fatty liver and decrease in BMI
51 were independently associated with improvements in all components of the lipid profile and
52 there was a similar magnitude of benefit associated with resolution of fatty liver, or 1 kg/m²
53 decrease in BMI.

54 *Conclusions:* Resolution of fatty liver improves the lipid profile, independently of weight loss.

55

56 *Keywords:* BMI, obesity, non alcoholic fatty liver disease, fatty liver, lipid, insulin resistance,
57 metabolic syndrome

58 **1. Introduction**

59

60 Recent evidence shows that non alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is an independent
61 cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk factor [1-3]. Several different mechanisms have been
62 proposed that may explain the association between NAFLD and CVD and these include
63 increased inflammation, altered paracrine cell signalling, increased angiogenesis and secretion
64 of hepatokines, as well as altered redox status, hemostasis and lipoprotein profiles [1,2].
65 Accumulation of liver fat is used to diagnose NAFLD [4] and hepatic fat accumulation is
66 strongly associated with dyslipidaemia, specifically, hypertriglyceridemia, and a low HDL-C
67 concentration [5]. It has also been shown that patients with NAFLD have markedly higher
68 plasma apolipoprotein B to apolipoprotein A1 ratios and smaller LDL particle sizes, and these
69 changes were independent of obesity or steatohepatitis [6]. These data suggest that in NAFLD
70 the severity of liver disease does not affect dyslipidaemia and the best predictor of
71 atherogenic dyslipidaemia is liver fat accumulation and insulin resistance [6].

72 Obesity is a risk factor for increased all cause mortality [7-10]. Obesity frequently occurs
73 with NAFLD, diseases associated with NAFLD (e.g. CVD and type 2 diabetes [3,11-16]), and
74 the greater the BMI, the greater the risk of type 2 diabetes and CVD [17]. In obese subjects, it
75 is recognised that a 5-10% weight loss produces a clinically meaningful benefit on obesity-
76 related outcomes [17,18], and when patients lose as little as 5% of body weight, there is an
77 even greater decrease in hepatic fat [19]. However, within any obese group of subjects, there
78 are subjects who have a more metabolically healthy profile (so called metabolically healthy
79 obesity) which is important because 5-10% weight loss does not improve obesity-related
80 outcomes to the same extent in all subjects [20].

81

82 Although it is well known that weight loss produces an improvement in certain

83 components of the lipid profile such as atherogenic dyslipidaemia [21,22] and decreases liver
84 fat [23], it is uncertain whether resolution of fatty liver in NAFLD improves the lipid profile,
85 independently of any decrease in body mass index (BMI). Since weight loss does not improve
86 obesity-related outcomes to the same extent in all individuals, our aim was therefore to test
87 whether resolution of fatty liver was associated with improvements in components of the lipid
88 profile, independently of changes in BMI. Additionally, we aimed to quantify the magnitude
89 of benefit on the lipid profile components, of resolution of liver fat, versus decreases in BMI.

90

91 **2. Materials and Methods**

92

93 The study population consisted of individuals who participated in a comprehensive
94 health screening program, at least twice, at Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Seoul and Suwon,
95 Korea from 2007 to 2014 (n = 259,011). Among these subjects, fatty liver was present at
96 baseline in 67,138 subjects. Subjects were excluded if they were known to be treated with
97 lipid lowering therapy (including statin treatment). Subjects were excluded if they were
98 receiving treatment for diabetes, hypertension or CVD. Subjects were excluded: age < 20 years;
99 data recording the presence or absence of fatty liver at follow up were missing (n = 160);
100 alcohol intake was >30g/day (men) or >20g/day (women); there was missing data, (n =
101 11,299 at baseline and n = 12,642 at follow up); HCV antibody status was positive (n = 34 at
102 baseline and n = 69 at follow up); HBsAg status was positive (n = 840 at baseline and n =
103 1,753 at follow up); there was evidence of cancer (n = 682 at baseline and n = 1,675 at follow
104 up; data on physical activity were missing (n = 974 at baseline and 2,119 at follow up; data on
105 BMI were missing n = 5 at baseline and n = 73 at follow up; data recording blood pressure
106 medication were missing (n = 4,901 at baseline and n = 9,298 at follow up; data on
107 medication for treatment of diabetes were missing n = 1,711 at baseline and n = 4,471 at

108 follow up; and data recording lipid lowering therapy were missing n = 1,038 at baseline and n
109 = 4,404 at follow up. After these exclusions the final number of subjects included in the
110 analyses was n = 36,195. Mean follow up was 4.93 years, median 3.94 years (minimum 0.50
111 and maximum 12.65 years). The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of
112 Kangbuk Samsung Hospital and any requirement for informed consent was waived by the
113 Board, because de-identified information was retrieved retrospectively.

114

115 *2.1. Measurements*

116 As part of the health screening program, individuals completed self-administered
117 questionnaires, related to their medical and social history and medication use. Individuals
118 were asked about duration of education (years), regular exercise, smoking history (never,
119 former, or current) and alcohol consumption (grams, g/week). Trained staff also collected
120 anthropometric measurements and vital statistics. Body weight was measured in light clothing
121 with no shoes to the nearest 0.1 kilogram using a digital scale. Height was measured to the
122 nearest 0.1 centimeter. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight in kilograms divided
123 by height in meters squared. Blood samples were collected after at least 10-hours of fasting
124 and analyzed in the same core clinical laboratory. The core clinical laboratory has been
125 accredited and participates annually in inspections and surveys by the Korean Association of
126 Quality Assurance for Clinical Laboratories. Blood was drawn from participants after fasting
127 for ≥ 10 hours and analyzed at the Laboratory Medicine Department at the Kangbuk Samsung
128 Hospital. Serum levels of glucose, total cholesterol, triglycerides, low-density lipoprotein
129 cholesterol (LDL-C), and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) were measured using
130 Bayer Reagent Packs (Bayer Diagnostics, Leverkusen, Germany) on an automated chemistry
131 analyzer (Advia 1,650 Autoanalyzer; Bayer Diagnostics, Leverkusen, Germany).
132 Apolipoprotein (apo) B and A1 concentrations were determined by rate nephelometry

133 (IMMAGE system; Beckman Coulter). Insulin was measured with an immunoradiometric
134 assay (Biosource, Nivelles, Belgium) and HOMA-IR was calculated. High sensitivity-C
135 reactive protein was analysed by particle-enhanced immunonephelometry with the BNITM
136 System (Dade Behring, Marburg, Germany) with a lower detection limit of 0.1 mg/L.

137 We assessed the weekly frequency of moderate- or vigorous-intensity physical activity
138 which was assessed using the validated Korean version of the International Physical Activity
139 Questionnaire Short Form (IPAQ-SF) [24]. The IPAQ-SF measures the frequency and
140 duration of moderate to vigorous physical activity undertaken for more than 10 continuous
141 minutes across all usual activities at work, home or during leisure for middle aged individuals
142 during a seven-day period. Abdominal ultrasonography (Logic Q700 MR; GE, Milwaukee,
143 WI, USA) was undertaken by clinical radiologists using a 3.5MHz probe for all subjects at
144 baseline and after five years. The following images were undertaken; i) sagittal view of the
145 right lobe of the liver and right kidney, ii) transverse view of the left lateral segment of the
146 liver and spleen and iii) transverse view of the liver for altered echo texture. Fatty infiltration
147 of the liver (fatty liver) was identified if there was an increase in echogenicity of the liver
148 compared with the echogenicity of the renal cortex where the diaphragm and intrahepatic
149 vessels appeared normal [25].

150

151 *2.2. Statistical analyses*

152 Statistical analyses were performed using STATA version 14.2 (StataCorp LP, College
153 Station, TX, USA). Reported *p* values were two-tailed, and <0.05 were considered statistically
154 significant. The distribution of continuous variables was evaluated and transformations were
155 conducted for nonparametric variables. Baseline and follow up difference were evaluated
156 using Kernel density plot, all variables are normally distributed, we conducted parametric
157 tests (paired Student's *t* test). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was undertaken to test for

158 between group differences. Multiple linear regression was undertaken to investigate the
159 associations between change in lipid profile measurements (arithmetic difference between end
160 of study concentration minus baseline concentration). Binary logistic regression modelling
161 was undertaken to test the independence of associations between change in fatty liver status,
162 and the change in lipid profile concentrations between baseline and follow up (total
163 cholesterol, triglycerides, LDL-C, HDL-C, apo B-100 and apo A1 concentrations).
164 Regression models were adjusted for age, sex, alcohol intake in grams, smoking status,
165 educational attainment, exercise, baseline BMI (or waist circumference), change in alcohol,
166 change in exercise, change in HOMA-IR and each component of the lipid profile at baseline.
167 When testing associations between change in components (D) of the lipid profile and change
168 in BMI, the model was adjusted for change in fatty liver status and when testing associations
169 between change in components of the lipid profile and change in fatty liver status, the model
170 was adjusted for change in BMI or change in waist circumference.

171

172 **3. Results**

173

174 36,195 subjects with fatty liver were studied. Mean (SD) age was 36.3 ± 6.6 and 39.8 ± 8.7
175 years (men and women, respectively). Fatty liver status at follow up was assessed by
176 ultrasound (Mean = 4.93 years) and resolution of fatty liver occurred in 7,086 and persisted in
177 29,109 subjects. Mean \pm SD weight change was -3.2 ± 4.3 and $+0.5 \pm 3.5$ kgs for groups with
178 resolution and persistence of fatty liver respectively.

179 **Table 1** describes the baseline and follow up characteristics of men in whom there was
180 resolution of fatty liver at follow up examination (n = 5,470 subjects) and in whom fatty liver
181 persisted at follow up examination (n = 25,521 subjects). **Table 2** describes the baseline and
182 follow up characteristics of women in whom there was resolution of fatty liver at follow up

183 examination (n = 1,616 subjects) and in whom fatty liver persisted at follow up examination
184 (n = 3,588 subjects). For both men and women, only in the group in whom there was
185 resolution of fatty liver was there a marked improvements in all components of the lipid
186 profile (total cholesterol, LDL-C, HDL-C, triglyceride, apolipoprotein-B and apolipoprotein
187 A-I (For women, the improvement in LDL-C and Apo-B was non significant, although the
188 changes were in the same direction as for men).

189 For both men and women, in the group in whom there was persistence of fatty liver,
190 there a worsening in all components of the lipid profile (total cholesterol, LDL-C, HDL-C,
191 triglyceride, apolipoprotein-B and apolipoprotein A-I. In the group in whom there was
192 resolution of fatty liver there was also a $\sim 1 \text{ kg/m}^2$ decrease in BMI in men and women,
193 compared with a $\sim 0.2 \text{ kg/m}^2$ increase in BMI in men and women. **Supplementary Tables 1**
194 (men) and **2** (women) show the change in anthropometric and biochemical variables between
195 baseline and follow up according to resolution or persistence of fatty liver and change in BMI
196 between baseline and end of study. These data show that the most marked improvements in
197 lipid variables between baseline and follow up, occurred in those subjects in whom there was
198 both resolution of fatty liver and a decrease in BMI between baseline and follow up.
199 **Supplementary Tables 3** (men) and **4** (women) show the change in biochemical and
200 anthropometric measures according to decrease in BMI ($\text{BMI} < 0$) and increase in BMI
201 ($\text{BMI} \geq 0$). These data illustrate the beneficial effect of weight loss on components of the lipid
202 profile (in contrast to the harmful impact of weight gain).

203 Next we tested whether the associations between improvements in the lipid variables and
204 resolution of fatty liver, were independent of decreases in BMI and potential confounders.
205 Since improvements in insulin sensitivity and resolution of fatty liver are co-linear variables,
206 first in **Supplementary Table 5**, we show the change in lipid variables without adjustment for
207 D-HOMA-IR, and then in **Table 3**, we show the change in lipid variables after adjustment for

208 D-HOMA-IR. As can be seen even after further adjustment for D-HOMA-IR (**Table 3**), there
209 were significant and independent improvements in LDL-C, HDL-C, triglyceride, Apo-B and
210 Apo-A-I concentrations, associated with resolution of fatty liver. Finally, to test the
211 independence of associations between changes in BMI and changes in lipid variables, we
212 investigated associations between change in BMI and change in lipid variables, adjusting for
213 resolution of liver fat, as well as other potential confounders. **Supplementary Table 6** shows
214 the model without adjustment for HOMA-IR, and **Table 4** shows the model after adjustment
215 for HOMA-IR. These data illustrate that even after full adjustment (**Table 4**), that included
216 adjustment for change in HOMA-IR, change in BMI was associated with a decrease in LDL-
217 C, triglyceride and Apo-B and an increase in HDL-C and Apo A-I concentrations.

218 In men and women, in the group with resolution of fatty liver, all measured components
219 of the lipid profile improved. For example, the improvement in triglyceride concentration was
220 a decrease in ~27 mg/dL (~17%) in men and a decrease in ~19 mg/dL (~16%) in women from
221 baseline, and for both sexes, the decrease in BMI at follow up was ~1kg/m² (which
222 represented ~ 3kg weight loss). In the regression model shown in **Table 4**, the B coefficient
223 for change in triglyceride, indicates that for a 1 kg/m² decrease in BMI (for men and women
224 combined), there was a 14.3 mg/dL decrease in triglyceride concentration. For comparison,
225 with resolution of fatty liver (**Table 3**), (for men and women combined), there was a 9.2
226 mg/dL decrease in triglyceride concentration. For Apo A-I, the improvement was slightly
227 greater with resolution in fatty liver, than with a decrease of 1 kg/m² in BMI; for the other
228 measured components of the lipid profile, the improvements associated with decrease in BMI
229 were slightly greater than the improvements associated with resolution in fatty liver.

230 In this cohort waist circumference was only available in 22,440 subjects (~60%) of the
231 subjects. However, to test whether resolution of fatty liver was independently associated with
232 changes in the lipid parameters after adjustment for baseline waist circumference, change in

233 waist circumference and the same co-variates as shown in Tables 3 and 4, we repeated the
234 regression models shown in Tables 3 and 4, replacing BMI with waist circumference.
235 Resolution of fatty liver was independently associated with similar changes in each of the
236 lipid parameters after adjusting for waist circumference and change in waist circumference
237 (**Supplementary Table 7**). In men, there was a more marked decrease in serum triglyceride
238 concentration (14.27 mg/dL) with resolution of fatty liver, after adjusting for waist
239 circumference (**Supplementary Table 7**); compared with the change in serum triglyceride
240 concentration (9.19 mg/dL) with resolution of fatty liver, after adjusting for BMI (**Table 3**). In
241 contrast, in women, the decrease in serum triglyceride concentration (10.38 mg/dL) was
242 remarkably similar with resolution of fatty liver, after adjusting for waist circumference
243 (**Supplementary Table 7**); compared with the change in serum triglyceride concentration
244 (10.03 mg/dL) with resolution of fatty liver, after adjusting for BMI (**Table 3**).

245 Next, we investigated the change in lipid parameters per unit change (1cm) in waist
246 circumference (**Supplementary Table 8**), adjusting for resolution of fatty liver and the same
247 covariates as shown in **Table 4**. These data were very similar to those shown for **Table 4**,
248 although the magnitude of the change in lipid parameters per 1 cm decrease in waist
249 circumference was much smaller than the magnitude of the change in lipid parameters per
250 $1\text{kg}/\text{m}^2$ decrease in BMI. For both men and women a 5cm decrease in waist circumference
251 was associated with similar decreases in serum triglyceride concentrations as a $1\text{kg}/\text{m}^2$
252 decrease in BMI.

253

254 **4. Discussion**

255 Our novel results obtained in 36,195 men and women with existing fatty liver at baseline
256 show that resolution of fatty liver (that occurred in almost 7,086 people), was associated with
257 improvements in lipid variables (LDL-C, triglyceride and Apo-B, HDL-C and Apo A-I

258 concentrations) independently of any decrease in BMI, or other potential confounders.
259 Mean±SD weight change was -3.2 ± 4.3 kgs in subjects in whom there was resolution of fatty
260 liver, and there was a small amount of weight gain i.e. $+0.5\pm 3.5$ kgs in subjects in whom there
261 was persistence of fatty liver at follow up. Importantly, we have been able to adjust for a
262 comprehensive range of covariates and potential confounders. Specifically, we have adjusted
263 for age, alcohol intake, smoking status, educational status, exercise, BMI and concentration of
264 each lipid variable at baseline; and importantly we also adjusted for changes in exercise,
265 alcohol intake, BMI, and HOMA-IR, between baseline and follow up examination. Although
266 waist circumference was only available in ~60% of this cohort, we have also verified our
267 results (showing that resolution of fatty liver is associated with changes in the lipid
268 parameters, independently of weight loss), by adjusting for baseline waist circumference and
269 change in waist circumference. Adjusting for waist circumference did not attenuate the
270 strength of the association between resolution of fatty liver and change in lipid profile
271 parameters, which we had observed after adjusting for BMI. In men, after adjustment for
272 waist circumference, the association between resolution of fatty liver and decrease in serum
273 triglyceride concentration was strengthened (compared with the association between
274 resolution of fatty liver and decrease in serum triglyceride concentration, after adjustment for
275 BMI).

276 Current population-based prevalence of NAFLD is approximately 30-40% in men and
277 15-20% in women [26] and is even higher in people with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM),
278 occurring in up to 70% of this group of patients [27]. Recent evidence shows that NAFLD is
279 an independent risk factor for CVD [3] and NAFLD increases risk of incident CVD by
280 approximately ~60% [3]. Given that NAFLD is very common in patients with obesity [28]
281 and is a strong risk factor for CVD, it is very important to investigate the effects of resolution
282 of fatty liver on improvements in CVD risk factors. The relationship between decrease in liver

283 fat content and weight loss is variable between individuals, and therefore it is important to test
284 the independent effects of both resolution of fatty liver and decrease in weight, in studying the
285 effects of both, on change in lipid profile. It has been postulated that the large variability in
286 the change in liver fat with weight loss, may be due to variable changes in cardiorespiratory
287 fitness [29]. In this study, the authors showed that cardiorespiratory fitness, independently of
288 total adiposity, body fat distribution and exercise intensity, determined liver fat content in
289 humans, suggesting that fitness and liver fat are causally related to each other. Furthermore,
290 measurement of fitness at baseline predicted the effectiveness of a lifestyle intervention to
291 decrease hepatic steatosis. Taken together with our results, these data emphasise to clinicians
292 that weight loss and resolution of fatty liver are different, and have independent beneficial
293 effects on the lipid profile. Thus in subjects with fatty liver we reason that clinicians should
294 emphasise to patients that there should be a dual focus on both decreasing body fat and
295 ameliorating liver fat. Since some patients have great difficulty in losing body fat but can
296 increase their fitness (that may independently ameliorate liver fat [30]), our data lend
297 supporting evidence with which to provide encouragement to these patients. It is also
298 interesting to note that there was a slighter higher alcohol intake in the group with resolution
299 of fatty liver than in subjects with persisting fatty liver. For example, for men, the baseline
300 group comparisons for persisting fatty liver, versus resolution of fatty liver group was 8.58 vs.
301 8.86 g/day, (p-value=0.011). At the end of the study, group comparison for alcohol intake was
302 8.26 vs. 8.67 g/day, p-value<0.001, for persisting fatty liver versus resolution of fatty liver.
303 For women, the daily alcohol intake was much lower than in men. However, the baseline
304 group comparison for persisting versus resolution of fatty liver was 1.75 vs. 1.88 d/day, p-
305 value=0.19; and the end of study group comparison was 1.92 vs. 2.28 g/day, p-value<0.001,
306 for persisting fatty liver versus resolution of fatty liver, respectively. We adjusted the
307 regression models for change in alcohol intake, and therefore we do not consider that alcohol

308 intake confounds our data, although, it is plausible that the slightly higher alcohol intake in
309 the group with resolution of fatty liver represents an unmeasured potential difference in
310 lifestyle between groups.

311 Besides showing that resolution of fatty liver is associated with favourable changes in
312 the lipid profile, our results show that decrease in BMI was independently associated with
313 improvements in the lipid profile. Therefore, these data emphasise that both resolution of fatty
314 liver and weight loss are having beneficial, independent effects on all measured components
315 of the lipid profile. The magnitude of weight loss in subjects with resolution of fatty liver was
316 ~3kg for both men and women, which was approximately a decrease in BMI of ~1 kg/m² for
317 both sexes. The results of regression modelling shown in Tables 3 and 4, illustrate that the
318 effects of a 1 kg/m² decrease in BMI was very similar to the effect of resolution of fatty liver.
319 For example, for change in triglyceride concentration, a 1 kg/m² decrease in BMI, was
320 associated with a 14.3 mg/dL decrease in triglyceride concentration and for resolution of fatty
321 liver, the associated change in triglyceride concentration was 9.2 mg/dL between baseline and
322 follow up.

323 There are strengths and limitations of our study that need to be considered. We have
324 studied a single population of Koreans largely comprising one ethnic group and consequently,
325 our results cannot be extrapolated to other ethnic groups. Subjects with a known diagnosis of
326 diabetes, hypertension, CVD, cancer, or dyslipidaemia were excluded. Subjects were also
327 excluded if they were taking medication for these conditions (including all lipid lowering
328 therapies). Consequently, changes in the lipid profile between baseline and follow up are not
329 due to these conditions or to the effects of lipid lowering medication. We have been able to
330 adjust for multiple key potential confounders that are known to modify liver fat such as
331 physical activity, alcohol, BMI (and also change in these variables between baseline and
332 follow up. We have assessed the presence of fatty liver using abdominal ultrasonography at

333 baseline and follow up. Whilst the sensitivity of ultrasound for detecting fatty liver is limited
334 to identification of >25% fat infiltration [31], and the detection of liver fat can be affected by
335 severe obesity, in our predominantly single ethnic group population, there were very few
336 severely obese subjects. The mean BMI for men and women with fatty liver at baseline was
337 between 24.6-26.1 kg/m², and the SDs for both groups were ~3kg/m². Consequently there
338 were very few subjects with morbid obesity in whom assessment of fatty liver status by
339 ultrasound would be unreliable because of excess body fat. Although we acknowledge that it
340 is possible that subjects with very low levels of liver fat at follow up would not have been
341 identified by ultrasound, any misclassification bias in the ‘resolution of fatty liver group’,
342 would only act to attenuate the strength of the associations we have observed between
343 resolution of fatty liver and improvements in lipid profile components. Additionally, it is
344 plausible that different lifestyle factors occurred in the group with resolution of fatty liver but
345 it was not possible to study lifestyle factors with this study design. We were also unable in this
346 study design to address the underlying mechanisms or to identify the genetic (and epigenetic)
347 factors that possibly define the subset of patients that showed resolution of fatty liver, as this
348 was beyond the scope of this study and such data are not available in this cohort.

349 We have not undertaken a randomized placebo-controlled trial (RCT) to test the effect of
350 decreasing liver fat on CVD risk factors, which would have been the gold standard approach
351 to addressing the effect of resolution of fatty liver on change in the lipid profile. Whilst we are
352 not able to elucidate why there was resolution of fatty liver in subjects, there was resolution of
353 fatty liver in 7086 subjects, and we have opportunistically assessed the improvements in lipid
354 profile components associated with resolution of fatty liver over ~4 years of follow up.

355

356 **5. Conclusion**

357

358 In conclusion, our novel results add to existing evidence by showing that resolution of
359 fatty liver improves all the measured components of the lipid profile (total cholesterol,
360 triglycerides, LDL-C cholesterol, HDL-C, Apo B-100 and Apo A-I), independently of weight
361 loss. With resolution of fatty liver, the decrease in triglyceride concentration was~17% in men
362 and ~16% in women, and the decrease in BMI was ~1kg/m² (which represented ~ 3kg weight
363 loss for both sexes. The independent effects of resolution of fatty liver and weight loss were
364 very similar on each of the measured components of the lipid profile.

365

366 **Conflict of interest**

367 The authors declared they do not have anything to disclose regarding conflict of interest
368 with respect to this manuscript.

369

370 **Financial supports**

371 The authors are supported by the MRC-KHIDI UK-KOREA PARTNERING AWARD
372 (Medical Research Council MC_PC_16016).

373

374 **Author contributions**

375 K.S contributed to the hypothesis. K.S. wrote the methods and contributed to discussion.
376 M.L analyzed the data. J.L., S.L., J.K., S.W. and C.D.B. wrote the introduction, results and
377 discussion, K.S. is the guarantor for the article.

378

379 **Acknowledgements**

380 We acknowledge the efforts of the health screening group at Kangbuk Samsung Hospital,
381 Korea. CDB is supported in part by the Southampton National Institute for Health Research
382 Biomedical Research Centre.

383 **References**

384

385 [1] L.S. Bhatia, N.P. Curzen, P.C. Calder, et al., Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a new
386 and important cardiovascular risk factor?, *Eur Heart J* 33 (2012) 1190-200.

387 [2] S.M. Francque, D. van der Graaff, W.J. Kwanten, Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and
388 cardiovascular risk: Pathophysiological mechanisms and implications, *Journal of*
389 *hepatology* 65 (2016) 425-43.

390 [3] G. Targher, C.D. Byrne, A. Lonardo, et al., Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and risk
391 of incident cardiovascular disease: A meta-analysis, *Journal of hepatology* 65 (2016)
392 589-600.

393 [4] L.S. Szczepaniak, P. Nurenberg, D. Leonard, et al., Magnetic resonance spectroscopy
394 to measure hepatic triglyceride content: prevalence of hepatic steatosis in the general
395 population, *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 288 (2005) E462-8.

396 [5] F. Bril, D. Barb, P. Portillo-Sanchez, et al., Metabolic and histological implications of
397 intrahepatic triglyceride content in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, *Hepatology*
398 (Baltimore, Md.) 65 (2017) 1132-44.

399 [6] F. Bril, J.J. Sninsky, A.M. Baca, et al., Hepatic Steatosis and Insulin Resistance, But
400 Not Steatohepatitis, Promote Atherogenic Dyslipidemia in NAFLD, *The Journal of*
401 *clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 101 (2016) 644-52.

402 [7] B.M.I.M.C. Global, E. Di Angelantonio, N. Bhupathiraju Sh, et al., Body-mass index
403 and all-cause mortality: individual-participant-data meta-analysis of 239 prospective
404 studies in four continents, *Lancet (London, England)* 388 (2016) 776-86.

405 [8] D. Aune, A. Sen, M. Prasad, et al., BMI and all cause mortality: systematic review and
406 non-linear dose-response meta-analysis of 230 cohort studies with 3.74 million deaths
407 among 30.3 million participants, *BMJ (Clinical research ed.)* 353 (2016) i2156.

- 408 [9] L. Xu, S.L. Au Yeung, C.M. Schooling, Does the optimal BMI really vary by age and
409 sex?, *International journal of epidemiology* 45 (2016) 285-6.
- 410 [10] S.W. Yi, H. Ohrr, S.A. Shin, et al., Sex-age-specific association of body mass index
411 with all-cause mortality among 12.8 million Korean adults: a prospective cohort study,
412 *International journal of epidemiology* 44 (2015) 1696-705.
- 413 [11] C.D. Byrne, G. Targher, NAFLD: A multisystem disease, *Journal of hepatology* 62
414 (2015) S47-64.
- 415 [12] Y. Heianza, Y. Arase, H. Tsuji, et al., Metabolically healthy obesity, presence or
416 absence of fatty liver, and risk of type 2 diabetes in Japanese individuals: Toranomon
417 Hospital Health Management Center Study 20 (TOPICS 20), *The Journal of clinical
418 endocrinology and metabolism* 99 (2014) 2952-60.
- 419 [13] C.H. Jung, Y.M. Kang, J.E. Jang, et al., Fatty liver index is a risk determinant of
420 incident type 2 diabetes in a metabolically healthy population with obesity, *Obesity
421 (Silver Spring, Md.)* 24 (2016) 1373-9.
- 422 [14] H. Yamazaki, T. Tsuboya, K. Tsuji, et al., Independent Association Between
423 Improvement of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease and Reduced Incidence of Type 2
424 Diabetes, *Diabetes care* 38 (2015) 1673-9.
- 425 [15] K.C. Sung, S.H. Wild, C.D. Byrne, Resolution of fatty liver and risk of incident
426 diabetes, *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 98 (2013) 3637-43.
- 427 [16] K.C. Sung, W.S. Jeong, S.H. Wild, et al., Combined influence of insulin resistance,
428 overweight/obesity, and fatty liver as risk factors for type 2 diabetes, *Diabetes care* 35
429 (2012) 717-22.
- 430 [17] M.D. Jensen, D.H. Ryan, C.M. Apovian, et al., 2013 AHA/ACC/TOS guideline for the
431 management of overweight and obesity in adults: a report of the American College of
432 Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and The

- 433 Obesity Society, *Journal of the American College of Cardiology* 63 (2014) 2985-3023.
- 434 [18] D.H. Ryan, S.R. Yockey, Weight Loss and Improvement in Comorbidity: Differences
435 at 5%, 10%, 15%, and Over, *Current obesity reports* 6 (2017) 187-94.
- 436 [19] F. Magkos, G. Fraterrigo, J. Yoshino, et al., Effects of Moderate and Subsequent
437 Progressive Weight Loss on Metabolic Function and Adipose Tissue Biology in
438 Humans with Obesity, *Cell metabolism* 23 (2016) 591-601.
- 439 [20] N. Stefan, H.U. Haring, M.B. Schulze, Metabolically healthy obesity: the low-hanging
440 fruit in obesity treatment?, *The lancet. Diabetes & endocrinology* 2017).
- 441 [21] L.R. Pedersen, R.H. Olsen, C. Anholm, et al., Weight loss is superior to exercise in
442 improving the atherogenic lipid profile in a sedentary, overweight population with
443 stable coronary artery disease: A randomized trial, *Atherosclerosis* 246 (2016) 221-8.
- 444 [22] J.P. Hobkirk, R.F. King, I. Davies, et al., The metabolic inter-relationships between
445 changes in waist circumference, triglycerides, insulin sensitivity and small, dense low-
446 density lipoprotein particles with acute weight loss in clinically obese children and
447 adolescents, *Pediatric obesity* 9 (2014) 209-17.
- 448 [23] W.N. Hannah, Jr., S.A. Harrison, Effect of Weight Loss, Diet, Exercise, and Bariatric
449 Surgery on Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease, *Clinics in liver disease* 20 (2016) 339-
450 50.
- 451 [24] J. Oh, Y.J. Yang, B. Kim, et al., Validity and Reliability of Korean Version of
452 International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) Short Form, *Korean J Fam Med*
453 28 (2007) 532-41.
- 454 [25] S. Saadeh, Z.M. Younossi, E.M. Remer, et al., The utility of radiological imaging in
455 nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, *Gastroenterology* 123 (2002) 745-50.
- 456 [26] J.D. Browning, L.S. Szczepaniak, R. Dobbins, et al., Prevalence of hepatic steatosis in
457 an urban population in the United States: impact of ethnicity, *Hepatology* (Baltimore,

- 458 Md.) 40 (2004) 1387-95.
- 459 [27] M. Blachier, H. Leleu, M. Peck-Radosavljevic, et al., The burden of liver disease in
460 Europe: a review of available epidemiological data, *Journal of hepatology* 58 (2013)
461 593-608.
- 462 [28] C.D. Byrne, Ectopic fat, insulin resistance and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, *Proc*
463 *Nutr Soc* 72 (2013) 412-9.
- 464 [29] K. Kantartzis, C. Thamer, A. Peter, et al., High cardiorespiratory fitness is an
465 independent predictor of the reduction in liver fat during a lifestyle intervention in
466 non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, *Gut* 58 (2009) 1281-8.
- 467 [30] K.C. Sung, S. Ryu, J.Y. Lee, et al., Effect of exercise on the development of new fatty
468 liver and the resolution of existing fatty liver, *Journal of hepatology* 65 (2016) 791-7.
- 469 [31] R. Hernaez, M. Lazo, S. Bonekamp, et al., Diagnostic accuracy and reliability of
470 ultrasonography for the detection of fatty liver: a meta-analysis, *Hepatology*
471 (Baltimore, Md.) 54 (2011) 1082-90.

472

Tables

Table 1

Baseline and follow up data for men according to resolution or persistent fatty liver (men) (n = 30,991)

	Persistent fatty liver at follow up			Resolution fatty liver at follow up		
	Baseline (n = 25,521)	Follow up (n = 25,521)	<i>p</i>	Baseline (n = 5,470)	Follow up (n = 5,470)	<i>p</i>
Age (years)	36.30±6.55	41.24±6.84	<0.001	37.00±7.01	42.34±7.29	<0.001
BMI (kg/m ²)	26.01±2.63	26.20±2.74	<0.001	25.39±2.49	24.37±2.27	<0.001
Systolic BP (mmHg)	117.31±11.62	116.58±11.95	<0.001	117.05±11.74	113.83±11.72	<0.001
Diastolic BP (mmHg)	76.42±8.82	76.77±9.45	<0.001	76.12±8.78	74.55±9.19	<0.001
Education			<0.001			0.084
≤high school	1,869(7.32)	2,043(8.01)		451(8.24)	482(8.81)	
Higher education (%)(>High school)	15,712(61.56)	20,235(79.29)		3,269(59.76)	4,302(78.65)	
Unknown	7,940(31.11)	3,243(12.71)		1,750(31.99)	686(12.54)	
Smoking			<0.001			<0.001
Never/former	14,606(57.23)	16,340(64.03)		3,338(61.02)	3,574(65.34)	
Current	10,580(41.46)	8,624(33.79)		2,089(38.19)	1,776(32.47)	

Unknown	335(1.31)	557(2.18)		43(0.79)	120(2.19)	
Alcohol intake (g/day)	8.58±7.30	8.26±7.29	<0.001	8.86±7.30	8.67±7.43	0.041
Insulin (IU/mL)	7.83±4.31	9.42±5.26	<0.001	6.41±3.35	6.30±3.10	0.182
Glucose (mg/dl)	96.02±11.88	99.63±16.92	<0.001	96.03±13.08	97.14±15.61	<0.001
Total cholesterol (mg/dl)	207.71±33.61	209.05±34.01	<0.001	204.09±33.01	198.23±32.60	<0.001
LDL-C (mg/dl)	129.29±29.40	135.50±30.52	<0.001	125.62±29.16	126.60±29.68	0.004
HDL-C (mg/dl)	47.78±9.17	46.88±9.82	<0.001	49.32±9.77	52.18±11.62	<0.001
Triglycerides (mg/dl)	175.30±100.71	178.69±107.53	<0.001	158.57±88.05	131.55±74.48	<0.001
Apolipoprotein (A)	132.17±19.11	135.98±18.96	<0.001	133.73±19.72	140.76±20.86	<0.001
Apolipoprotein (B)	105.47±22.37	111.34±22.60	<0.001	101.82±21.73	101.07±21.82	0.022
HOMA IR	1.90±1.16	2.33±1.54	<0.001	1.54±0.87	1.50±0.81	0.078
Hs-CRP (mg/L)	0.14±0.28	0.14±0.31	0.153	0.12±0.27	0.13±0.52	0.35
WBC count (10 ³ /ul)	6.54±1.50	6.47±1.54	<0.001	6.31±1.49	6.04±1.57	<0.001
Exercise (≥1 times per week)	11,835(46.37)	11,184(43.82)	<0.001	2,634(48.15)	3,060(55.94)	<0.001

BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HOMA-IR, homeostatic model assessment-insulin resistance; Hs-CRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein.

Table 2

Baseline and follow up data for men according to resolution or persistent fatty liver (women) (n = 5,204)

	Persistent fatty liver at follow up			Resolution fatty liver at follow up		
	Baseline (n = 3,588)	Follow up (n = 3,588)	<i>p</i>	Baseline (n = 1,616)	Follow up (n = 1,616)	<i>p</i>
Age (years)	39.75±8.71	44.13±8.53	<0.001	37.27±7.75	41.95±7.73	<0.001
BMI (kg/m ²)	25.84±3.26	26.14±3.48	<0.001	24.55±3.16	23.43±2.82	<0.001
Systolic BP (mmHg)	112.21±13.24	112.09±14.43	0.554	109.53±12.99	106.14±13.53	<0.001
Diastolic BP (mmHg)	71.86±9.32	71.75±10.14	0.537	70.10±9.33	68.10±9.60	<0.001
Education			0.258			<0.001
≤High school	984(27.42)	1,014(28.26)		371(22.96)	429(26.55)	
Higher education (school)	1,431(39.88)	1,872(52.17)		724(44.8)	968(59.9)	
Unknown	1,173(32.69)	702(19.57)		521(32.24)	219(13.55)	
Smoking			0.007			0.091
Never/former	3,346(93.26)	3,291(91.72)		1,511(93.5)	1,488(92.08)	

Current	90(2.51)	85(2.37)		49(3.03)	39(2.41)	
Unknown	152(4.24)	212(5.91)		56(3.47)	89(5.51)	
Alcohol intake (g/day)	1.75±3.32	1.92±3.20	0.001	1.88±3.45	2.28±3.39	<0.001
Insulin (IU/mL)	9.39±5.12	10.62±6.02	<0.001	7.41±4.31	6.73±4.23	<0.001
Glucose (mg/dl)	96.84±16.61	100.75±23.11	<0.001	94.88±14.27	93.89±12.96	<0.001
Total cholesterol (mg/dl)	203.95±35.93	207.60±35.66	<0.001	197.38±35.02	193.73±32.98	<0.001
LDL-C (mg/dl)	124.98±31.03	131.85±31.51	<0.001	118.45±29.86	118.06±29.17	0.541
HDL-C (mg/dl)	52.75±11.07	52.15±11.86	<0.001	56.11±12.46	59.81±13.60	<0.001
Triglycerides (mg/dl)	143.09±89.19	145.87±87.64	0.036	119.42±66.53	100.08±50.99	<0.001
Apolipoprotein (A)	139.26±22.30	142.56±21.59	<0.001	141.54±22.95	150.11±24.63	<0.001
Apolipoprotein (B)	100.63±23.01	106.67±23.52	<0.001	92.16±22.24	91.24±21.31	0.116
HOMA IR	2.28±1.44	2.67±1.88	<0.001	1.76±1.15	1.56±1.07	<0.001
Hs-CRP (mg/L)	0.17±0.27	0.17±0.36	0.604	0.14±0.24	0.10±0.20	<0.001
WBC count (10 ³ /ul)	6.49±1.54	6.47±1.60	0.413	6.21±1.54	5.85±1.50	<0.001
Exercise (≥1 times per week)	1,205(33.58)	1,255(34.98)	0.165	520(32.18)	632(39.11)	<0.001

BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HOMA-IR, homeostatic model assessment-insulin resistance; Hs-CRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein.

Table 3

Multiple linear regression showing the change (between baseline and follow up) in lipid variables with persistent vs. resolution in fatty liver in men and women

	Men					Women				
	B Coefficients	SE	t	<i>p</i>	95% CIs	B Coefficients	SE	t	<i>p</i>	95% CIs
D-LDL cholesterol	2.31	0.56	4.11	<0.001	1.21 3.41	4.61	1.17	3.92	<0.001	2.31 6.91
D-HDL cholesterol	-1.77	0.17	-10.47	<0.001	-2.11 -1.44	-2.17	0.47	-4.60	<0.001	-3.10 -1.25
D-Triglyceride	9.19	2.01	4.57	<0.001	5.25 13.14	10.03	3.30	3.04	0.002	3.57 16.50
D- Apolipoprotein (A)	-2.65	0.44	-6.06	<0.001	-3.50 -1.79	-4.46	1.10	-4.04	<0.001	-6.62 -2.29
D- Apolipoprotein (B)	2.21	0.43	5.16	<0.001	1.37 3.05	4.75	0.96	4.94	<0.001	2.87 6.64

Persistent fatty liver vs resolution of fatty liver between baseline and follow up, is a dichotomous exposure variable in the models. D = change in variable i.e. follow up minus baseline measurement. Adjustments; Age, alcohol, smoking, education, exercise, BMI, each lipid level at baseline, change in exercise, change in alcohol amount, change in BMI and change in HOMA-IR
 LDL, low-density lipoprotein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; SE, standard error; CIs, confidence intervals.

Table 4

Multiple linear regression showing the change (between baseline and follow up) in lipid variables by unit change in BMI (kg/m²) in men and women

	Men					Women				
	B Coefficients	SE	t	<i>p</i>	95% CIs	B Coefficients	SE	t	<i>p</i>	95% CIs
D-LDL cholesterol	3.76	0.18	20.96	<0.001	3.41 4.12	2.35	0.35	6.72	<0.001	1.67 3.04
D-HDL cholesterol	-1.59	0.05	-29.30	<0.001	-1.70 -1.48	-1.50	0.14	-10.71	<0.001	-1.78 -1.23
D-Triglyceride	14.31	0.64	22.24	<0.001	13.05 15.57	7.40	0.98	7.56	<0.001	5.48 9.32
D- Apolipoprotein (A)	-1.33	0.14	-9.68	<0.001	-1.60 -1.06	-1.26	0.32	-3.87	<0.001	-1.89 -0.62
D- Apolipoprotein (B)	3.51	0.13	26.09	<0.001	3.24 3.77	2.40	0.28	8.53	<0.001	1.85 2.95

Adjustments; Age, alcohol, smoking, education, exercise, baseline BMI, change in exercise, change in alcohol amount and resolution of fatty liver and each lipid level at baseline and change in HOMA-IR.

LDL, low-density lipoprotein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; SE, standard error; CIs, confidence intervals.