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Abstract

Zinc negative electrodes are well known in primary batteries based on the classical Leclanché cell but a more recent development is the introduction of a number of rechargeable redox flow batteries for pilot and commercial scale using a zinc/zinc ion redox couple, in acid or alkaline electrolytes, or transformation of surface zinc oxides as a reversible electrode. The benefits and limitations of zinc negative electrodes are outlined with examples to discuss their thermodynamic and kinetic characteristics along with their practical aspects. Four main types of redox flow batteries employing zinc electrodes are considered, the zinc-bromine, zinc-cerium, zinc-air and zinc-nickel. Problems associated with zinc deposition and dissolution, especially in acid media, are summarised. The main features of each battery are identified and the benefits of a flowing electrolyte are explained. In each case, a summary of their development, including the electrode and cell reactions, their potentials, the performance of the positive and negative electrodes, the benefits of a single flow compartment and cell developments for energy storage are included. Remaining challenges are highlighted and possibilities for future advances in redox flow batteries are suggested.
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Highlights

- The importance of Zn negative electrodes for RFBs is highlighted.
- Acidic, neutral and alkaline electrolytes are involved.
- Zn-Br₂, Zn-air, Zn-Ce, Zn-Ni and other Zn-based cells are featured.
- Electrode potentials and charge-discharge performance are considered.
- Developments are critically discussed; further R & D needs are underlined.
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1. Introduction

1.1 Energy storage and sustainability

The need for renewable energy arises from the realisation that fossil fuel supplies are being rapidly depleted with adverse environmental impacts, coupled with the ever-increasing growth of energy consumption due to the growing global population and the demand for higher standards of living [1, 2]. Renewable energy can be produced through several means – solar, wind, hydro, tidal, geothermal and biomass. The integration of these carbon-free technologies into the electric grid contributes towards a safe, reliable, flexible, affordable and sustainable energy supply which is essential for the continuous development of a modern society [3]. Several countries have recognised the importance of this such as the European Commission who initiated a Renewable Energy Directive for 2020 [4] proposing that 20% of energy consumption in the EU should be derived from renewable sources. This has now been further supplemented by a proposal published in 2016 for the share of energy consumption by renewables to be increased to 27% by 2030 [5]. Likewise, China’s National Energy Administration (NEA) has set an ambitious target for 50% of energy consumption in China to be generated by renewable sources by 2020 [6].

In spite of this, the generation of energy from renewable sources is problematic as energy produced is usually in the form of secondary energy [7] making matching energy supply to demand a complex issue. An Energy Storage System (ESS) is recommended as a viable solution to the intermittency of renewable energy generation [8-10] and seasonal consumer demand as it absorbs the surplus generation capacity, smooths residual loads and provides flexibility for energy balance [11]. There are several ESS technologies which are near to, or currently, commercially available such as pumped-hydro, compressed air, flywheel and rechargeable battery systems. These systems have been reviewed [12-17] in detail based on
their technical, economic and environmental features to give a good indication of their relevance to different applications.

In general, the generation of energy from renewable sources is carried out on a much smaller scale than conventional power plants, commonly in the range of kilowatts to megawatts, with various levels of applications ranging from small off-grid communities to grid-scale storage [18]. These requirements are suitably met by redox flow batteries (RFBs), first developed by NASA in the 1970s [19] as an electrical-energy storage technology. RFBs have proven to be able to support the transmission and distribution of renewable energy efficiently within the grid due to their high cycling efficiency, reasonable nominal duration, reliable long lifespan and flexibility in terms of geographical location [20]. In addition to renewable energy applications, RFBs are also suited to frequency response and UPS services, due to their fast response time, in the order of seconds [7, 17]. Other advantages of RFBs, which include their ability to operate at ambient temperature and pressure, absence of harmful emissions, safety in comparison to lithium-ion batteries and greater efficiency in comparison to electrolysis cells, make them ideal medium to large-scale energy storage solutions [21].

1.2 Zinc-based redox flow batteries

Recently, the Zn(II)/Zn redox couple has received considerable interest as the negative electrode reaction in several redox flow batteries (RFBs) for renewable energy storage. Some of the advantages of using this redox couple include: a negative standard electrode, the high solubility of Zn(II) ions, fast kinetics as well as the low cost, abundance and recyclability of zinc compounds. Depending on the chemistry of the RFB, the electrodeposition and redissolution reaction can take place either in acid or in alkaline media [22], respectively:
The standard potentials of common positive electrode reactions and parasitic reactions in zinc RFBs are given in Table 1. It can be seen that numerous RFB chemistries are possible with acid and alkaline electrolytes, as shown in Table 2. In general, high cell potentials are achieved by these systems compared to other proposed RFBs. Thermodynamically, the main competing reaction is H₂ evolution at the Zn electrode, and thus high coulombic efficiencies are only attainable by inhibiting this process. H₂ evolution must also be avoided during open-circuit, as it can result in self-discharge via proton reduction.

As shown in Figure 1, several RFBs have taken advantage of these properties, exhibiting the highest cell potentials among aqueous systems, which are least 400 mV higher than the all vanadium cell in most cases. Yet, Zn-based RFBs compromise with relative low charging efficiency, difficult uniform zinc electrodeposition and some degree of self-discharge [28]. The controlled electrodeposition of Zn is critical to produce compact, dendrite-free deposits, uniformly coating the negative side of the bipolar electrodes, in order to prevent short-circuiting. Similarly, uniform and efficient redissolution of the metallic deposit is necessary to avoid its accumulation over repeated battery cycling.

A timeline of developments in rechargeable zinc batteries is presented in Figure 2. These cells have a long history and, in some cases, have achieved high reliability, e.g., classical Leclanché’s zinc/carbon cells [42, 43]. Nickel/zinc and zinc/air and batteries are also well-known. In the field of RFBs, the zinc-bromine system is the most researched and
commercialised, having almost 40 years of development [44]. In contrast, zinc-air and zinc-cerium RFBs continue under investigation, while zinc-nickel RFB has the potential to be developed into economic, undivided cells. Recent years have witnessed a diversity of new chemistries, such as zinc-iron or zinc-polyiodide.

A summary of the typical performance of selected Zn-based is shown in Table 3. It is unfortunate that some authors have presented performance data without explicitly stating the state of charge of the cell or normalized electrolyte flow rates. High cell voltages are predicted for the divided and undivided Zn-Ce systems; the undivided cell has higher efficiencies but the surface area ratios of the positive and negative electrodes need tailoring to prevent self-discharge. Other cells using air, nickel, bromine or ferricyanide have been reported; although high-energy efficiencies can be achieved at low current densities, the efficiency falls off at a higher operational current density. In electrochemical flow reactors such as RFBs, there are complex relationships among their performance, electrode kinetics, electrolyte flow and operational conditions, as shown in Figure 3 and illustrated by examples in this review. In contrast to static batteries, where electrode and electrolyte composition are the main factors that determine cell potential and current, Zn-based RFBs are governed by flow regime, deposit uniformity and deposit stability. Electrode geometry and electrolyte additives to control the morphology of zinc deposits are critical to cycling life. Thermal management of RFBs of considerable size is also required and it is usually achieved by taking advantage of electrolyte flow through a heat exchanger.

Figure 4 describes some of the most common Zn-based RFBs. All of them have Zn negative electrodes but different positive reactions, some of which take place via phase changes, e.g., at gas diffusion electrodes (GDEs). Diverse separators are employed in view of the pH and
composition of the electrolytes, e.g., the Zn-Br$_2$ has a microporous separator, the Zn-air an anionic membrane, the Zn-Ce a cationic membrane and the Zn-Ni cell can be undivided.

During R & D and the scale-up of practical RFBs, several design choices are undertaken. Such decisions will determine the cost, ease of manufacture, efficiency, cycling life and the overall feasibility of the electrochemical energy storage system. Based on the current state of the art and new trends in zinc-based RFBs, Figure 5 illustrates some of the available options for electrode geometry, flow cell configuration, electrolyte composition, zinc electrode substrate and type positive electrode reaction. As explained in the following sections, planar electrodes are traditional to encourage uniform Zn deposition but research has considered the possible implementation of 3-D electrodes. Most RFBs are divided, although undivided cells significantly reduce cost and complexity. New electrolyte types recently considered, include slurries, emulsions, ionic liquids and deep eutectics.

2. **Zinc-bromine redox flow batteries**

The Zn-Br$_2$ RFB is a relatively mature commercial technology and one of the most accessible RFBs, in contrast to the more expensive all vanadium system. The Zn-Br$_2$ battery is capable of rapid discharge, full depth discharge and high energy storage capacity [52]. The cell was developed with low cost in mind and is not constrained by reagent supply, in contrast to vanadium systems. Its thermodynamic cell potential, $E_{\text{cell}}$, is 1.82 V and its specific energy is 440 W h kg$^{-1}$ (Zn) at 298 K.

The Zn-Br$_2$ RFB was initially developed in the late 1970s in USA by Gould Inc. [62] and Exxon [63] (although there are patents for static bromide cells dating back to 1885 [46]) and constituted an improvement over the now obsolete and less safe Zn-Cl$_2$ chemistry.
Development continued over the next decade under the direction of Sandia National Laboratories [29], including subcontracted work in Energy Research Corp. [64] and Johnson Controls Inc. [65]. Parallel development took place in Japan by Meidensha Electric Co. [66] and in Austria by SEA GmbH [52]. In the late 80s, Toyota Motor Co. demonstrated an electric concept vehicle [52]. A final report for the Sandia developments was published in 1999 [67]. Commercial interest has been renewed since 2010. Currently, major producers include Redflow Ltd., based in Australia, and EnSync Energy Systems in the USA, followed by Zbest Power Co. Ltd. (China), Lotte Chemical Corp. (Korea) and Primus Power (USA).

During charge, a thin layer of metallic zinc is electrodeposited at the carbon-based negative electrode with the simultaneous oxidation of bromide ions to bromine at the positive electrode, as shown in Figure 4a). During discharge, the battery relies on the inverse reactions. The negative electrode reaction shown in reaction (1) is accompanied at the positive electrode by:

\[
\text{Br}_2^{(aq.)} + 2e^- \xrightleftharpoons{\text{Charge}} \rightarrow 2\text{Br}^- \quad E^o = 1.06 \text{ V vs. SHE} \quad (3)
\]

The overall cell reaction is:

\[
\text{Br}_2^{(aq.)} + \text{Zn} \xrightleftharpoons{\text{Charge}} \rightarrow 2\text{Br}^- + \text{Zn}^{2+} \quad E^o_{\text{cell}} = 1.82 \text{ V} \quad (4)
\]

At the positive electrode, the Zn-Br\text{2} battery generates Br\text{2}, a strongly corrosive halide, during charge. In order to ensure that only a very small fraction of Br\text{2} is free, the electrolyte
composition includes relatively large quantities of complexing agents. \( \text{Br}_2 \) is present as a N-methyl-N-ethylmorpholinium (MEM) bromide complex [52], which forms a quaternary ammonium polybromide fused salt [68]. Alternative/joint complexing agents include N-methyl-ethyl-pyrrolidinium (MEP) [69] or dimethylethylpropylammonium [70] and polydiallyldimethyl-ammonium [71]. The positive electrolyte then forms a two-phase system, the aqueous containing at least three bromine polyhalide complexes in equilibrium and the second, fused bromine salts [68] which precipitate at the bottom of the electrolyte reservoir. Research continues on the development of more stable quaternary ammonium salts as complexing agents, as in the work by Bryans et al. [72]. In addition to potential measurements, the SOC can be monitored by determining the concentration of \( \text{ZnBr}_2 \) in the negative electrolyte via Raman spectroscopy [73].

Although relatively dense, the resulting electrolyte is conductive and chemically stable [68]. This approach has proven to be reliable and safe, in contrast to the complex, expensive and dangerous management of \( \text{Cl}_2 \) hydrates in the Zn-Cl\(_2\) RFB [74]. Hermetically sealed flow systems for holding \( \text{Br}_2 \) gas would be highly impractical, while a proposed alternative using resorcinol–formaldehyde aqueous cryogel electrodes suffers from the difficulty of its manufacturing process [75]. Both negative and positive electrolytes use the same Zn-Br\(_2\) support electrolyte, easing the problematic cross-mixing common in some RFBs.

Suitable mixtures of the quaternary ammonium complexes can help to prevent the solidification of the fused bromine-complex salt [76]. Quaternary ammonium compounds tend to inhibit the reaction rate [77], although this does not affect the practicality of the cell. It has been shown that polyoxyethylene (20) sorbitan monolaurate can improve the efficiency
of a Zn-Br\textsubscript{2} cell by forming an emulsion between the phase containing the bromine-complex and the aqueous phase [78]. Recent contributions in the Zn-Br system have considered the common bromine sequestering agents as ionic liquid salts. Alternative bromine complexing agents have been suggested [79] and structural variations of the common bromine sequestering agents, tetraalkylammonium bromide and 1-alkyl-1-methylpyrrolidinium bromide, have been studied electrochemically [80]. Five ionic liquid complexing agents were studied by Rajarathnam et al. [81] using electrochemical methods. Three of these compounds showed a better electrochemical performance at a bipolar carbon electrode material, particularly 1-ethylpyridinium bromide. These authors also characterised the Zn deposits using SEM and XRD.

More expensive and toxic non-aqueous organic solvents have been investigated in the past, including acetonitrile [82] and propionitrile [83, 84]. More recently, nitrobenzene has been studied as a possible supporting electrolyte for a modified Br\textsubscript{2}-nitrobenzene RFB [85]. The electrode kinetics of the B\textsubscript{2}/Br\textsuperscript{-} redox couple are slow in this media, making expensive Pt electrodes more suitable. Alternative supporting electrolytes have been considered. Rajarathnam et al. [86] have studied the effect of 0.5 mol dm\textsuperscript{-3} Br\textsuperscript{-}, SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-}, H\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{-} and NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} anions on the electrode kinetics of the Br\textsuperscript{-}/Br\textsubscript{2} reaction. As shown in Figure 6, these supporting electrolytes resulted in different deposit morphologies. The overall performance was comparable to the classical NaCl electrolyte. The authors noted that grain refinement was correlated to faster kinetics and that mossy deposits did not necessarily resulted in poor performance in the evaluated conditions. Wu et al. [87] have reported that a 2 mol dm\textsuperscript{-3} NH\textsubscript{4}Cl supporting electrolyte significantly decreased cell resistivity while enhancing energy efficiency compared to KCl electrolytes. The interactions of NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} ions with bromine complexing agents and its effects on Zn electrodeposition have not yet been studied.
Regarding the choice of separator, low cost, non-selective, microporous separators are common, such as porous polyethylene (e.g., Daramic™) [64, 65, 88] and acetone-based polymers [89]. The main function of the separator is to avoid bromine diffusion to the Zn electrode, as this causes self-discharge of the battery. In the worst case, at 40 °C with a flowing electrolyte, the self-discharge rate can reach 2.3% charge capacity per hour [90]. More expensive ion exchange membranes are also suitable and have been studied since the earliest developments, e.g., Nafion™ [91], or Asahi™ [67]. Membranes based on chloromethylated polysulfone functionalized with trimethylamine groups have been claimed to be particularly suitable [92] and a carbon-coated membrane (in the positive half-cell side) has been considered [93]. In contrast to other RFBs, the literature on separators for the Zn-Br₂ system is scarce.

The Zn-Br₂ system has been described in a book chapter by Butler et al. [52] and an encyclopaedia article [44]. A book by Rajarathnam and Vasallo [94] considers some aspects of the battery, including the Zn electrodeposition reaction and the bromine electrode process from the perspective of ionic liquids as well as strategies for further development. The electrochemical engineering characteristics of the devices and their critical importance for high performance were not discussed. Other academic studies have considered miniaturized Zn-Br₂ flow cells [95] and cage-like porous carbon materials [96].

2.1 The zinc negative electrode

The negative electrode process involves the cyclic electrodeposition and dissolution of Zn. As explained below, electrolyte additives are used to help control the deposit morphology.
The Zn-Br$_2$ battery typically uses 2-D planar carbon-polymer composite bipolar electrodes manufactured by polymer extrusion. A more uniform current and potential distribution is easier to achieve at 2-D electrodes and has traditionally promoted uniform, compact Zn electrodeposits. The metal layer should have good adhesion to the substrate but be easily dissolved during discharge.

A typical bipolar electrode composition for this system utilises carbon powder mixed with polypropylene [97], polyethylene [69], or carbon black and glass fibre. The content of conductive carbon filler has to be enough to provide adequate conductivity without decrement in mechanical properties. Korean research workers [98] have characterised a polypropylene/carbon/carbon nanotube composite electrode manufactured by sheet extrusion. The composite electrodes, with a CNT content of 5% w.t., had a resistivity of 1.12 $\Omega$ m.

Neither the activity towards the positive reaction nor the negative Zn nucleation and adhesion were studied. A Zn-Br$_2$ cell with such bipolar plates showed voltage and energy efficiencies of 80.7% and 73.2%, respectively. The mechanical properties of the bipolar electrodes were improved. However, it has been shown that expensive multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNT) disperse poorly in a similar polyethylene-based composite bipolar electrode, having a negative effect of Zn electrodeposition [69]. As shown in Figure 7, the onset potential for Zn nucleation becomes more negative at the electrode containing carbon nanotubes while the current density diminishes in comparison to the conventional substrate.

The homogeneousness and morphology of the Zn deposits have critical importance for the cycling lifetime of the device. Dendritic growths are known to pierce separators and detach from the electrode, decreasing capacity and efficiency and potentially blocking manifolds.
Aspects that affect the Zn deposit include: a) flow regime, b) electrolyte composition, c) electrolyte additives, d) nucleation at the carbon bipolar electrode. The flow regime within the electrode compartment has some influence over the electrodeposition of Zn, so a controlled, uniform flow from minimal manifold jets is required. These effects can also be observed in small laboratory cells [99]. Furthermore, the change in the flow channel resulting from the accumulation or dissolution of Zn has some effect on the cell performance [100]. Another problem resulting in poor utilization of the active material is the hampered dissolution of Zn, e.g. in [88]. In practice, the formation of dendrites is decreased and the electrode can be re-established by performing regular stripping cycles with a discharge cell potential as low as 1.0 V [67].

Electrolyte additives such as tetraalkylammonium and pyridinium cations have been used to minimise dendrite growth in the acid bromide-containing supporting electrolytes used in Zn-Br₂ batteries [101-103]. Fluorinated surfactants and 10% w.t. butyrolactone reduced Zn dendrite formation on an RVC electrode [104]. Recently, the effect on Zn morphology and charge efficiency produced by the changing electrolyte concentration and the presence of small amounts of impurities has been studied [88]. Additives can also help mitigate self-discharge by inhibiting the oxidation of the zinc deposit by bromine [78]. NH₄Cl [65] or KCl [52] can be added to the electrolyte to increase conductivity.

Planar electrodes have the disadvantage of limited surface area available and porous, 3-D electrodes have been investigated to extend the available area for Zn deposition. However, the ohmic drop that takes place through porous electrodes (higher than in monolithic electrodes) and in the electrolyte permeating the porous structure can result in non-uniform
metal deposition and metal dissolution. Such effects were observed in a Zn-Br$_2$ battery using 45 and 100 ppi reticulated vitreous carbon (RVC) electrodes [104]. In the $10 \times 10 \times 0.1$ cm electrodes, the Zn deposited during charge produced non-uniform deposits, concentrating in the electrode planes up to 0.2 mm deep into the negative electrode; increased electrolyte flow rate had a very small effect. Corrugated plates and honeycomb electrodes are also a possibility but dendritic growths are difficult to avoid due to heterogeneous potential distribution and edge effects at the electrodes. Electrode geometries tailored by potential/current distribution modelling and computational flow dynamics (CFD) are now possible.

2.2 The bromine positive electrode

The bromine/tribromide electrode reaction takes place in the positive side of the carbon-based composite bipolar electrodes. Wang et al. [105] have studied the activity of acetylene black, expanded graphite, carbon nanotubes and carbon black commercial carbon filling materials towards the Br$_2$/Br$^-$ redox couple by several techniques. Electrodes having a high surface area, surface porosity and good conductivity result in high reaction rates; carbon black has shown a particularly high electrochemical activity. Munaiah et al. [106, 107] have shown that single walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNT) appear to have a higher electrocatalytic activity for the Br$_2$/Br$^-$ reaction when incorporated into a carbon felt electrode. The same authors have considered exfoliated graphene-based electrodes [108]. The stability of expensive nanomaterials on electrode surfaces is likely to be insufficient during long-term operation and it is difficult to improve on the practicality and economy of classical activated (e.g., coconut shell) carbon.

The limited performance of planar electrodes for the positive electrode reaction should also be considered. In the 80s, Energy Research Corporation used carbon felt positive electrodes
bonded to the carbon bipolar plates [64]. With these electrodes, coulombic and voltage efficiencies of 89% and 84% were achieved in unit cells, although the pressure difference between the positive and negative compartments was problematic in the stacks, while a faster reaction rate at the positive electrode might result in cell imbalance. In other words, the application of 3-D porous electrodes for the bromine reaction is subject to the efficiency of the negative Zn deposition/dissolution electrode reaction.

A divided Zn-Br₂ battery with a semi-solid positive electrode comprised of a slurry of carbon black and zinc bromate in a carbon felt has been used to decrease the emissions of bromine [109]. At 20 mA cm², the cell yielded coulombic and energy efficiencies of 92% and 82%, respectively. Nevertheless, such design is not suitable for utility-scale applications in view of active material limitations. Precious metal catalysts can be advantageous for the bromine electrode reaction, e.g. [110], although cost and serious safety concerns in an environment potentially containing halide gas and corrosive, acidic bromine solutions have discouraged their use.

### 2.3 Cell performance

Zn-Br₂ RFBs are reliable and reversible, having a cell potential of ca. 1.82 V, a specific energy of 440 W h kg⁻¹ and a typical energy efficiency between 65% and 90%. Batteries containing an organic liquid phase, such as a quaternary ammonium salts, to increase the efficiency of bipolar cells stacks are able to deliver between 2 kW and 10 kW h at room temperature. All the electroactive materials are low cost and abundant but the system presents the typical current leakage and pressure drop problems of bipolar, parallel plate reactors that result in energy loss. Careful manifold and electrode design is required to distribute the electrolyte and reduce leakage currents.
The operating current density at planar electrodes has usually been 15 to 30 mA cm\(^{-2}\) [52], and appears to be limited to 40 mA cm\(^{-2}\) during charge, due to the onset of dendritic deposition [29]. It is understood that discharge has been possible at 80 mA cm\(^{-2}\), for short periods of time. In contrast, H\(_2\)-Br\(_2\) systems are reported to be capable of discharge at 700 mA cm\(^{-2}\) at a cell potential of 0.8 V [111], notwithstanding their inherent health and safety concerns.

Despite improvements in cell stack manufacture, choice of materials and device lifetime, the reported energy efficiency of the Zn-Br\(_2\) battery has shown only small improvements due to the limited area of the planar electrode for Zn deposition. More than three decades ago, Johnson Controls developed a 20 kW h load management system that operated at coulombic and energy efficiencies of 80% and 60%, respectively, at 28 mA cm\(^{-2}\) [65]. The 80 kW h Meindensha Electric system operated at 13 mA cm\(^{-2}\) giving an overall efficiency of 67% after 250 cycles [66]. A 30 cell stack with 872 cm\(^2\) bipolar electrodes (and carbon felt at the positive side) by Energy Research Corp. operating at a current density 34.4 mA cm\(^{-2}\) produced, voltage and energy efficiencies of 84.9%, 73.5%, 62.4%, respectively [64]. A better performance was later achieved by the SEA GmbH automotive battery, which could achieve maximum coulombic efficiencies 95% and voltage efficiencies of 86% [52]. In 1999, the Sandia National Laboratory cell design for utility-scale tested in a 1 kW h pilot device was claimed to yield an average coulombic efficiency of 77% with a voltage efficiency of 74% [67].

Recent testing has focused on the utility performance of the now more mature technology. In 2013 Sandia National laboratories reported the performance of remote, standalone 10 kW h Zn-Br\(_2\) batteries coupled to diesel generators for telecommunication applications [90].
batteries showed energy efficiencies between 67.5 and 73.2%, depending on ambient temperature, permitting maximum fuel savings of 60% when the electrical demand was low (1 kW), decreasing to 10% with higher demands (5 kW). Primus Power has tested a 72 kW h grid levelling system in California, noting that short charge/discharge operation fomented dendritic deposits, although this could be managed with weekly 15-30 min. stripping cycles [112]. Using twelve 10 kW h modules, an energy storage system was built by Raytheon/KTech (USA) for Sandia National Laboratories [113]. The overall efficiency of the system was 48.1%. The power per frequency curve of the energy system showed a near linear behaviour with some hysteresis due to a delayed response. The evaluated power and frequency ranges were –18 to 12 kW and 59.54 to 60.48 Hz, respectively.

Figure 8 shows an example of a commercial 10 kW h Zn-Br$_2$ system (Redflow Ltd.), comprising a stack of bipolar cells, reservoirs to accommodate the electrolytes (100 dm$^3$), pumps and a control unit [114]. The manifolds are next to the cell stack and sealed to the capillary tubes of the cell stack frames. The device has a nominal voltage of 48 V, a nominal power of 3 kW, an energy efficiency of 80% and its guaranteed for 10 years or 36,500 kW h of delivered energy. The same company offers a larger, 600 kW h capacity Zn-Br$_2$ RFB for utility applications as well as a 10 kW h system intended for home use.

2.4 Prospects for zinc-bromine redox flow batteries

The Zn-Br$_2$ RFB remains a viable alternative for electrical energy storage in the market for 10 kW to 10 MW in terms of cost, rapid response to electricity demands, reliability and durability. Thanks to its high reversibility, specific energy, cell voltage and energy efficiency the system continues to be popular in research institutions and the industry, especially in the
Asia and Australia. New developments in electrode materials, such as composites carbon nanotubes [78, 107], and electrolyte materials [115] have been proposed to increase the efficiency. There are still many challenges, including electrode manufacture, reversibility of each electrode (especially at the Zn electrode due to phase changes), bromine retention in order to avoid leakages and maintain effective health and safe operating conditions. Despite its challenges, the Zn-Br₂ RFB has reached industrial scale and been commercialised by several companies.

Notwithstanding the potential of the Zn-Br₂ battery to serve as a model system for simulation due to its highly reversible reactions, relatively few papers have been published on mathematical modelling, since early work in the 1980’s by Evans et al. [116]. This early paper revised various types of models that include material balance, migration, current and potential distributions, shunt currents and associated energy losses, energy efficiency predictions. Other simulations of faradaic efficiency [117] and current distribution [118] have been published, but are now out of date. Recently, a conference on simulation has focused the crossover of bromine ions [119]. Advances in computational modelling and CFD simulations offer the opportunity to develop full working models to routinely predict (and improve) the effect of construction and operational variables on the performance of the Zn-Br₂.

3. Zinc-cerium redox flow batteries

The Zn-Ce RFB benefits from the high positive standard potential of the Ce(III)/Ce(IV) redox couple and is made possible by the ample solubility of cerium ions in methanesulfonic acid solutions [120]. This battery was introduced in 2004 in a US Patent application [55], as a logical spin-off to the routine industrial generation of Ce(IV) oxidant for mediated
electrosynthesis at Pt/Ti anodes [121]. The state of the art Zn-Ce hybrid RFB has been summarized by Walsh *et al.* [122] and by Xie *et al.* [123].

Zinc deposition and dissolution, reaction (1), takes place in acid media at the negative electrodes. The conversion of Ce(III) and Ce(IV) ions occurs at the positive electrode:

\[
\text{Discharge} \quad \text{Ce(IV)} + e^- \rightarrow \text{Ce(III)} \quad \text{Charge}
\]

The standard potential for this reaction is 1.61 V vs. SHE in methanesulfonic acid and the resulting open-circuit cell potential is *ca.* 2.37 V. Figure 4c) shows a unit flow cell divided by a proton exchange membrane and Zn(II) and Ce(III) methanesulfonate electrolytes before charge.

Overall, electrode materials and electrolyte compositions have been studied. The main limitation of the Zn-Ce RFB is the high cost of Pt/Ti electrodes and electrolyte cross-mixing. Current research needs to address the imbalance of the cell and self-discharge produced by the parasitic H₂ evolution at the negative electrode. The membrane-divided Zn-Ce battery has received much attention, although an undivided system using a laboratory, single electrolyte cell has also been proposed. The energy efficiency and feasibility of these devices, along with results from a scale-up industrial pilot installation are discussed in the following sections.

### 3.1 The zinc negative electrode

The negative half-cell of the battery depends on the nucleation and stripping of zinc in aqueous methanesulfonic acid, conditions that favour H₂ evolution in comparison to alkaline
media. In view of the initial attempts to use carbon-based bipolar electrodes in Zn-Ce stacks, the positive electrode reaction has mainly been studied at carbon composite substrates [124-127]. The Zn(II)/Zn redox couple has fast kinetics in methanesulfonate acid and low overpotentials are observed for the deposition and redissolution reactions [127]. This is shown in a cyclic voltammogram for Zn(II) methanesulfonate at glassy carbon in Figure 9. An overpotential of ca. 100 mV is required to drive Zn nucleation; the actual deposition starts at a potential of −1.19 V vs. SCE. In the reverse direction, the voltammogram shows the oxidation current peak due to an unhindered Zn(II) dissolution at −1.07 V vs. SCE [128].

A laboratory RFB using a carbon-polyvinyl ester (PVE) composite as negative electrode along with a negative electrolyte composition of 1.5 mol dm$^{-3}$ Zn(CH$_3$SO$_3$)$_2$ + 1 mol dm$^{-3}$ CH$_3$SO$_3$H has been characterized [127]. Cell potential profiles over a current density range between 10 and 50 mA cm$^{-2}$ were obtained for different Zn(II) and H$^+$ concentration, operational temperature, the presence of electrolytes additives and increasing mean linear electrolyte flow rate. The battery showed better performance at a current density of 50 mA cm$^{-2}$ and 323 K using In(III) as inhibitor of H$_2$ evolution. Dendrite-free Zn deposits were obtained at current efficiencies over 90% and voltage efficiencies of ca. 70%.

The electrodeposition of Zn at polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) and high density polyethylene (HDPE) carbon-polymer composite was investigated by another group [126]. Zn nucleation was related to the standard rate constant for the Zn(II)/Zn reaction and the diffusion coefficient of Zn(II) ions. Nuclei density was estimated and confirmed to increase as a function of overpotential. Charge/discharge cycling at rotating disc electrodes using 1.5 mol dm$^{-3}$ Zn(CH$_3$SO$_3$)$_2$ in 5.7 mol dm$^{-3}$ CH$_3$SO$_3$H at 333 K showed that the deposition and dissolution reactions required only small overpotentials. In the same experiments, the PVDF material
displayed a superior charge efficiency of >95 % during multiple cycles. The stability of six different carbon/polymer composites was later studied by the same researchers [125]. The effect of temperature over 298 – 333 K and mass transport in rotating disc experiments showed that higher temperatures favoured the kinetics of Zn deposition and dissolution, while increasing rotation rates resulted in current density for such reactions, although this effect was due in part to the removal of H₂ bubbles. PVDF and PVE showed good cycling stability over 180 simulated charge/discharge cycles. It has also been demonstrated that the incorporation of In(III) into graphite electrodes can inhibit H₂ evolution at the bare material during charge [124]. This strategy increased the current efficiency of a Zn-Ce cell from 71% to 83% and reduced the overpotential associated to the ageing of the material after multiple cycles.

As in other Zn-based RFBs, the deposition of uniform thick zinc layer with a compact microstructure onto the current collector is necessary during the charge process. The morphology of such deposits is influenced by the material/form of the current collector, charge regime, electrolyte composition and hydrodynamics [129]. The morphology of Zn deposits has been related to the operational current density of the battery via Hull cell experiments using an improved electrolyte composition with 2.5 mol dm⁻³ Zn(CH₃SO₃)₂ in 1.5 mol dm⁻³ CH₃SO₃H [130]. As shown in Figure 10, current densities under 15 mA cm⁻² failed to cover the carbon composite surface in these experiments. Between 15 mA cm⁻² and 30 mA cm⁻² the electrodeposited Zn is smooth overall. A desirable operation approaching current densities of 80 mA cm⁻² could be difficult to implement due to the formation of rougher and deposits at the electrode. H₂ evolution will be favoured during the charge process at higher current densities, not to mention the self-discharge mechanism due to corrosion of zinc.
Several strategies to address these issues can be found in the industrial zinc electrodeposition from sulfuric acid, although the deposit thickness in these processes is generally much thinner and the range of current density is lower (<20 mA cm$^{-2}$) than required in a RFB. As shown in Table 4, numerous electrolyte additives have been considered for electroplating and electrowinning to control the deposit morphology and decrease H$_2$ evolution in acid media:

$$2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2(g) \quad (7)$$

For instance, glue [103] and gum Arabic [137] are additives of natural origin that have been used for decades in Zn electrowinning from sulfuric acid. As seen in Table 3, Tripathy et al. systematically analysed the effect of various types of surfactants on the electrodeposition of Zn. Other additives suggested in the literature include diverse organics, some highly-stable perfluorinated compounds and inorganic ions. All of these additives have been reported to suppress parasitic H$_2$ evolution and enable control of Zn morphology.

In the case of Zn corrosion in methanesulfonic acid media, tetrabutylammonium ions and butyltriphenylphosphonium ions have shown effectiveness for the inhibition of H$_2$ evolution [146]. However, the effect was limited to a few hours, indicating the need for inhibitors able to remain in the battery electrolyte for long periods of time. Inorganic ions, such as Bi$^{3+}$, may be more suitable.

### 3.2 The cerium positive electrode

Early information on the Ce(IV)/Ce(III) redox couple in methanesulfonic acid has been the result of developments in the anodic generation of Ce(IV) ion oxidants for mediated electrosynthesis; the anodic Ce(III) oxidation at Pt/Ti mesh electrode has proven reliable in
several industrial electrochemical processes [23]. The Ce(IV)/Ce(III) redox couple is quasi-reversible, as demonstrated by the voltammetric studies by Vijayabarathi et al. [147] and Devadoss et al. [148]. More recently, studies for cerium-based RFBs have shown that both oxidation and reduction reactions have suitable kinetics for RFBs [149-151], although at least one slow reaction step can hinder charge transfer due to the re-organization of the methanesulfonate ligands during the electrode reaction [123]. The high solubility of cerium species in methanesulfonic acid enable their use in aqueous electrochemistry [120], although it declines above acid concentrations above 4 mol dm\(^{-3}\). The concentration of Ce(IV) methanesulfonate can reach up to 1.0 mol dm\(^{-3}\), setting a limit for the volumetric energy density of the Zn-Ce RFB. In sulfuric acid, the kinetics of cerium methanesulfonate redox processes are irreversible and their maximum solubility is 0.125 mol dm\(^{-3}\) [122], making this supporting electrolyte unsuitable for RFB applications. Xie et al. [149] have reported that that both Ce(IV) and Ce(III) ions are soluble up to 333 K. At higher temperatures, Ce(IV) methansulfonate precipitates from the electrolyte when the concentration of Ce(IV) ions is ca. 0.6 mol dm\(^{-3}\).

Several electrode materials have been reported for the positive half-cell reaction and the effect of charge-discharge regimes in the Zn-Ce RFB [152]. Although carbon felt electrodes yielded the highest cell potential at a current density of 50 mA cm\(^{-2}\), its low stability meant that Pt/Ti mesh was more suited to use in an RFB. Xie et al. [149] also performed experiments in a Zn-Ce flow cell using a 3 mm thick carbon felt as positive electrode allowed to obtain a charge efficiency of 86% and an energy efficiency of 75% under a regime of one hour charges and discharges at a constant current density of 30 mA cm\(^{-2}\). On the other hand, in a laboratory divided flow cell with a Pt/Ti mesh electrode the conversion of Ce(III) to Ce(IV) was possible at a current efficiency of more than 75% [152]. In the same study, the concentration of
methanesulfonic acid in the battery was optimized. High acid concentrations increase the solubility of Ce(IV) but have the opposite effect on the solubility of Ce(III). If the concentration of acid is too high, the mass transfer coefficient at the electrode diminishes due to the higher viscosity of the electrolyte. Several alternative catalysts such as Pt-Ir and Pt deposited on etched or sandblasted Ti have been investigated in planar electrodes for the Zn-Ce battery [153]. It was found that the Pt-IrO₂ electrodes were comparable to Pt electrodes and that operation over 25 mA cm⁻² at a planar resulted in severe O₂ evolution during charge.

With the purpose of enhancing the kinetics of the Ce(IV)/Ce(III) reaction and increasing the stability of Ce(IV) ions in solution, alternative support electrolyte compositions based on mixed acids have been proposed. For instance, the exchange current density and standard rate constant for an electrolyte containing 0.3 mol dm⁻³ Ce(III) in 2 mol dm⁻³ MSA + 0.5 mol dm⁻³ H₂SO₄ were higher in comparison to an electrolyte using MSA as the supporting electrolyte, yielding an energy efficiency of 73% [154]. Other researchers [155] reported that adding 0.5 mol dm⁻³ HCl to 0.6 mol dm⁻³ Ce(III) in 4 mol dm⁻³ MSA significantly enhanced the reaction kinetics, exchange current density and electrolyte conductivity.

3.3 Cell performance

A 2 kW pilot-scale Zn-Ce battery was evaluated by Plurion Ltd. in Scotland in the 2010s [122]. Each stack consisted of six 0.5 m² bipolar titanium electrodes, the positive side being welded to Pt/Ti mesh and the negative side coated with a stable catalyst material. Over 25 cycles, the coulombic and energy efficiencies fluctuated around 60% and 45%, respectively. As these developments were only partially disclosed, most of the available data on Zn-Ce RFBs has been obtained in laboratory-scale flow cells. The classical Zn-Ce cell is divided by a proton fluorocarbon membrane although polymeric microporous separators could also be
considered. Ambitious attempts to simply construct and improve membrane potential drops using an undivided, single compartment cell have been described [60, 156].

The performance of a small flow cell under various charge/discharge regimes and operational conditions has been described [41]. In this divided RFB, the two half-cells were separated by a Nafion 115 proton exchange membrane. A stack of four Pt/Ti meshes and a carbon/polyvinylester composite were used as the positive and negative electrodes, respectively. The positive electrolyte was 1.5 mol dm\(^{-3}\) Zn\(^{2+}\) in 1.0 mol dm\(^{-3}\) CH\(_3\)SO\(_3\)H and the negative electrolyte was 0.8 mol dm\(^{-3}\) Ce(III) + 4 mol dm\(^{-3}\) CH\(_3\)SO\(_3\)H. The cell potential during discharge was 1.8 V, for an equivalent energy density of 350 W h kg\(^{-1}\). Higher cell potentials were observed with increasing temperature and electrolyte mean linear flow rate. The cell could operate at current densities up to 80 mA cm\(^{-2}\) showing current efficiencies of up to 75% and voltage efficiencies up to 60%. The negative electrode limits the performance of the cell due to the occurrence of H\(_2\) evolution, which is aggravated over multiple cycles. The proton transport through the membrane results in the progressive acidification of the negative electrolyte and enhances the rate of the parasitic reaction. In a study of catalysts for the cerium redox reaction, the use of planar Pt-based electrodes, in contrast to the 3-D porous Pt/Ti meshes [155], was shown to be less suitable for the battery. At a current density of 10 mA cm\(^{-2}\), the observed current efficiencies for various Pt-based catalysts supported on a rotating disc electrode were between 71% and 83% while the energy efficiencies were between 62% and 71%.

In order to tackle this problem and avoid the different concentrations of acid in the two half-cells, an undivided RFB was proposed by the same group [60, 156]. Such a system eliminates the need for the costly membrane and simplifies the electrolyte flow circuit. This flow cell
used carbon felt as the positive electrode and was operated at current densities between 20 and 80 mA cm\(^{-2}\). During charge/discharge cycles at 20 mA cm\(^{-2}\), the battery showed a charge efficiency of 82% and an energy efficiency of 72%. However, the carbon felt electrodes suffered from degradation and the corrosion of the Zn deposits was enhanced by Ce(IV) ions. The concentration of cerium ions (and the capacity of the battery) was also limited in the single electrolyte, as high levels of methanesulfonic acid encourage profuse H\(_2\) evolution at the negative electrode.

The cell potential components of a divided Zn-Ce unit cell have been considered in order to simulate the effects of the measured electrolyte conductivity and interelectrode gap at increasing discharge current density [157]. It is unfortunate that such data is not readily available for other RFBs. The conductivity and stability of several electrolyte compositions were measured and used in a simple mathematical model of the cell potential. It was concluded that porous electrodes are required in order to reduce the local current density at the positive electrodes, while the electrode-membrane gap in the negative half-cell should be kept under 3 mm.

Recently, the volumetric mass transport coefficient, \(k_mA_e\), of diverse porous Pt/Ti electrodes for the Zn-Ce RFB has been investigated as an electrode performance factor [59]. It has been shown that planar electrodes are unsuitable as positive electrodes in this system due to the significant potential losses resulting from limited mass transport and electrode area. On the other hand, Pt/Ti micromesh and Pt/Ti felt electrodes outperformed the previously used meshes. The limiting current for the reduction of Ce(IV) ions at these structures represented up to 63 and 160 times that achieved at the planar electrodes, respectively. Furthermore, the measured values of \(k_mA_e\) were described as a function of linear flow velocity and the authors
noted that such figures can be used to approximate the required electrodes dimensions for a desired potential drop in the cell and/or a determined fractional conversion of the electroactive species. Figure 11 shows the charge-discharge profile of a Zn-Ce unit cell employing a Pt/Ti felt as positive electrode and a graphitised fluorocarbon carbon-composite as negative electrode at a constant current density of 20 mA cm$^{-2}$ [158]. The cell failed after 22 cycles due to the imbalance in the state of charge of the positive and negative compartments. The low efficiency of Zn deposition and redissolution prevented the simultaneous conversion of the cerium electrolyte during the same period of time, resulting in increasingly high concentrations of Ce(IV).

Recently, Yu and Manthiram [159] have developed a conceptual divided Zn-Ce cell using a Na$_3$Zr$_2$Si$_2$PO$_{12}$ solid electrolyte, which enables the zinc reaction to take place in alkaline environment, instead of the usual methanesulfonic acid solution. Since the separator is a sodium super ionic conductor (NASICON), the supporting positive and negative electrolytes were Na$_2$SO$_4$ and NaOH, respectively, limiting the concentration of Ce(IV) ions to 0.1 mol dm$^{-3}$. The device, which has a high open-circuit potential (2.7 V) compared to other Zn-Ce RFBs, endured 50 charge/discharge cycles at 2 mA cm$^{-2}$. In view of the possibility of preventing the parasitic H$_2$ evolution and the self-discharge via zinc corrosion, more research can be expected, particularly on the implementation of concentrated cerium methanesulfonate electrolytes and on the scale-up suitability of NASICON separators.

3.4 Prospects for zinc-cerium redox flow batteries

In spite of the early commercial interest and capital investment devoted to the Zn-Ce RFB, the system has not yet passed scale-up tests and, therefore, it remains at the laboratory development stage. Significant advances have been achieved in the selection of electrode
materials, electrolyte compositions and operational conditions, although a comprehensive study of membrane performance and alternative separators has yet to be performed. Important challenges remain in the need for stable, inexpensive bipolar electrodes and the design and manufacture of cell frames. A considerable step towards the practical application of the system could be demonstrated with pilot-scale studies over long-term cycling tests. Still, the main limiting aspect of the cell can be found in the capacity loss resulting from the parasitic H₂ evolution reaction at the negative electrode and the relatively large concentration difference of methanesulfonic acid between the positive and negative half-cells. Stable corrosion inhibitors for the negative electrolyte in combination with 3-D negative porous electrodes for Zn deposition might result in drastic performance improvements, provided that uniform deposits can be obtained.

4. **Zinc-air redox flow batteries**

Primary Zn-air static cells of sheath, prismatic, cylindrical and button cell designs have been commercially available for nearly a century [42, 160]. A number of reviews have addressed the recent progress of such of cells [161-163], however, secondary Zn-air RFBs still face several developmental problems with both electrodes facing substantial challenges, especially during scale-up efforts.

Both the positive and negative electrode reactions can take place in acidic and alkaline conditions. The potentials for the positive electrode reactions in acid and alkaline, respectively, are:

\[
\begin{align*}
2\text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightarrow 4e^- \\
\text{Charge} & \rightarrow \quad \text{O}_2 + 4\text{H}^+ \\
\text{Discharge} & \quad E^0 = 1.229 \text{ V vs. SHE (7)}
\end{align*}
\]
As Zn-air cells mostly use alkaline electrolytes, oxygen being reduced to hydroxyl ions at the positive electrode and evolved during discharge and charge respectively.

The Zn-air battery is an attractive system due to its high theoretical energy density of ~1350 Wh kg\(^{-1}\) [54]. In practice, this value is between 350 and 1100 Wh kg\(^{-1}\) [164] which is superior to that of Li-ion batteries. Other advantages such as safety and long operating life, low cost and low environmental impact give this system the potential to be used in various grid energy storage applications. For example, a US-based company Fluidic Energy has installed more than 100,000 rechargeable Zn-air battery systems for small energy storage back-up systems at $200 to $300/kWh and is planning to transition into microgrid and minigrid markets [165, 166].

However, the challenges facing the zinc-air RFB system include (1) shape change of the negative electrode due to dendrite formation during charge; (2) stability concerns of the electrocatalysts at the positive electrode as it is subjected to harsher conditions of the O\(_2\) evolution reaction and high anodic potentials; (3) side reactions such as water electrolysis may also occur in the electrolyte during charging; (4) passivation at open-circuit and H\(_2\) evolution during discharge can reduce cycle life.

4.1 The zinc negative electrode
The kinetics of the electrode processes in the alkaline Zn-air system were described and analysed in detail by Bockris et al. [167]. Since the parasitic H₂ evolution occurs at a significant rate, suitable additives are needed in order to suppress this reaction and to control zinc deposition. Several strategies have been studied, for instance, by employing common electrolyte additives in the zinc electroplating industry, which are typically mixtures of polyvinyl alcohols (PVAs), polyamines (PAs) and quaternary ammonium ions [168]. The effect of these organic additives with a brightener (N-benzyl-3-carboxylpyridinium chloride, 3NCP) on zinc morphology have been investigated [169]. The synergetic effect of all three additives caused the deposition to occur at more negative potentials, decrease in the crystal size and changes in crystal growth orientation. Work done on inorganic additives such as lead ions and tungstate ions has shown that concentrations of 1 x 10⁻⁴ mol dm⁻³ and 0.6 mol dm⁻³, respectively for either additive gave more compact deposits with energy efficiencies of ca. 60% [170]. A subsequent study by the same group also showed that lead and quaternary ammonium salts such as tetrabutylammonium ions give compact morphology [171]. The role of organic additives has also been examined in Hull cells, in both acidic and alkaline zinc plating baths. In comparison to carbonyl compounds, thiosemicarbazides and their derivatives, furfuraldehydethiosemicarbazone (FrTSCN) were found to be effective additives, displaying good throwing power, corrosion mitigation, current efficiency of ca. 99% and glossy deposits [172]. Lee et al. [18] considered the ability of organic acids to hinder the rate of deposition and suppress H₂ evolution. Citric acid was concluded to be most effective at inhibiting dendrite formation but least effective at suppressing H₂ evolution. A short communication [173] showed that the addition of a surfactant, 2% sodium dodecyl benzene sulfonate, to a dilute KOH electrolyte formed a passivation layer thereby increasing the utilisation of the negative electrode.
Additives to the electrode can be applied as surface coatings or be incorporated in the preparation of the paste or pellet electrodes. For instance, a thin layer of Al₂O₃ was found to not only suppress H₂ evolution but also to maintain discharge capacity extending cycle life in a 9 mol dm⁻³ KOH electrolyte [174]. The use of pasted electrodes based on a mixture of zinc powder, 4% PTFE, up to 10% cellulose and 2% PbO (mass percentage) in a Zn-air battery has been described [175]. Such electrodes accounted for a modest extension of achieved number of cycles. A subsequent study [176] on the addition of cellulose and PbO to the electrode demonstrated that cellulose was more effective in ensuring a uniform morphology. Furthermore, the use of zinc alloys incorporating inhibitors as negative electrodes, e.g., Zn₀.₉Ni₀.₀₇₅In₀.₀₂₅ [87], has been shown to decrease the formation of dendrites as well as the parasitic reaction rate.

The influence of mass transport on Zn morphology in KOH solution was investigated [177], and flow described by Reynolds number and current densities was found to relate to morphology. In the laminar regime, dendrites decreased with higher flow rates, while compact deposits were achieved in turbulent flow regime. Ito et al. [178] showed that a flow rate over 15 cm s⁻¹ helped to improve the cycle life of a zinc negative electrode even at high charge rates as the velocity of the flow encouraged the growth of the dendrites in the same direction, thus prolonging the time till the system short-circuited. A plate-and-frame filter-press, refuellable Zn-air fuel cell [179] with specially designed anode flow channels in two different orientations and assemblies suggested that both aspects of design were important in controlling electrolyte flux and therefore zinc dendrite formation and H₂ evolution.

Other dendritic growth suppression strategies have been investigated. For instance, a regenerative Zn-air fuel cell designed by Smedley et al. [180] demonstrated that the dendrite
formation could be controlled by reducing the presence of negative electrode or increasing the presence of positive electrode in zones more prone to dendrite formation, i.e., modified electrode frames. Meanwhile, Parker et al. [181] fabricated a three-dimensional zinc sponge electrode which remained dendrite free after being cycled to 188 mA h g⁻¹ (Zn) in an Ag/Zn cell. Subsequently, Yan et al. [182] designed a Zn/Cu three dimensional foam electrode which gave a specific capacity up to 620 mA h g⁻¹ (Zn) after 9000 cycles in a Zn-Ni battery. Pulsed current for the control of zinc electrodeposition in KOH electrolytes was reported by Shaigan et al. [183], proving more effective when using high frequencies and more diluted solutions. Numerical models of zinc electrodeposition have also been developed for these cells [184]. In this way, the interplay between mass transport, changing surface roughness, and operational conditions has been studied, showing that, although adequate flow rates can minimize the generation of dendrites, gas evolution decreases the overall efficiency of the device. The formation mechanism of zinc dendrites was further investigated using an electrochemical phase-field model in a rechargeable three electrode configuration altering factors such as pulsating current and electrolyte flux to effectively suppress dendrite formation and propagation [185]. Three-dimensional models are useful in understanding and determining the variables controlling and affecting the growth and propagation of zinc dendrites.

4.2 The oxygen positive electrode
Oxygen reduction and oxygen evolution take place more efficiently at GDEs at low current densities and minimal overpotentials. Typical electrode materials based on carbon paper coated with a precious metal oxide catalyst supported on carbon particles have shown limited stability [56, 186-194] and efforts have been directed towards developing alternative inexpensive catalysts. In acidic systems, bifunctional catalysts are commonly precious metals
with Pt or Ir or mixed oxides of Rh, Ru or Ir. In alkaline electrolytes, there is a more extensive range of catalysts available – precious metals, mixed metal oxides, manganese dioxide and complexes. So far, however, there is not enough research carried out on the practical use of such bifunctional catalysts in Zn-air flow-cell systems at high current densities and long-term cycling tests.

There are electrodes which do not comprise any carbon components [195-197], however these were developed for fuel cell technology and have not been validated to withstand the conditions of O₂ evolution. Lee et al. [198] describes a bifunctional positive electrode of Co₃O₄ nanowire arrays grown on a stainless steel mesh without a binder and placed onto a carbon paper backing. The electrode was cycled in a unit cell with polypropylene membrane as a separator in 6.0 mol dm⁻³ KOH for over 600 hours at 50 mA cm⁻² with a potential difference of about 1 V between the two reactions. Promising results have been reported for bifunctional Zn-air electrodes based on low cost α-MnO₂ [199-201] and enzymes such as bilirubin oxidase (BOD) [202].

An example of carbon-free, bifunctional GDEs for O₂ reduction/evolution fabricated with nickel components was reported by Li et al. [203]. The electrode consisted of a nickel cobalt oxide spinel catalyst prepared via thermal decomposition. The catalyst layer was coated onto the PTFE-bonded Ni powder layer on a thin foam of the same metal by dip-coating. Later work [204], showed the effects of PTFE and Ni powder content and preparation procedures in such electrodes. The comparative performance of O₂ electrodes employing spinel powder and those only coated by the catalyst as a function of current density is given in Figure 12. The suitability of nickel cobalt spinel over cobalt spinels was confirmed by the same group [205], showing also that it is possible to deposit such catalysts on stainless steel cloth.
Recently, the group of Chen et al. [206] has developed bifunctional catalysts based on amorphous iron cobalt oxides and graphene on a nickel foam substrate. A 1 cm x 1 cm Zn-air cell employing these catalysts was able to deliver a peak power density of 86 mW cm\(^{-2}\), enduring 60 charge-discharge cycles at a current density of 10 mA cm\(^{-2}\).

Another challenge in alkaline Zn-air batteries is the progressive absorption of atmospheric CO\(_2\) by the electrolyte, which results in the formation of carbonates [207]. Increasing concentrations lead to a faster rate of carbonate precipitation in the electrolyte, clogging the pores of the gas diffusion layer [208, 209]. CO\(_2\) filters and chemical scrubbers such as piperazine (PZ) [210] have been shown to be effective in controlling the CO\(_2\) concentration. An example of this is a commercial amine adsorbent produced by Oy Hydrocell Ltd. [211], which is capable of removing CO\(_2\) from the feed. Few practical Zn-air studies have accounted for this degradation mechanism although this is a well-known disadvantage.

Membrane electrode assemblies (MEA) taken from fuel cell technology can act as a physical barrier, preventing the blockage of the gas channels in the gas diffusion layer as well as leakage of the electrolyte out of the electrode. For a Zn-air battery system, an anion exchange membrane is required. However, the performance and stability of these are not yet comparable to that of cation-exchange membranes [212, 213] and more should be done to improve their properties.

Alternative studies [214, 215] describe cells where the reactions for oxygen reduction and evolution are separated and the electrodes can be optimised separately for these reactions by placing an auxiliary electrode either between the positive electrode for discharge and negative electrode or on the opposite side of the negative electrode to give a three electrode
configuration. In a prototype designed by Postula et al. [216] each cell has a knife switch to connect the negative electrode to the positive or auxiliary electrode for discharge or charge. In a stack, these switches were grouped together for simultaneous operation. The configuration of a tri-electrode cell is an important design factor of a functioning flow system during charge due to oxygen bubble detachment and coalescence phenomena [217]. Bockelmann et al. [218] designed a three electrode rechargeable zinc-oxygen configuration with the positive electrodes for charge and discharge adjacent to one another and reported that the cell was capable of being cycled at 50 mA cm$^{-2}$ for over 600 cycles with an efficiency of 54%, although dendrite formation was not accounted for.

4.3 Cell developments

As reviewed, the zinc-air system possesses advantages such as a high energy density, relatively high open-circuit voltage, and low-cost materials etc., which are seen to be promising. However, challenges facing to system R & D are also equally crucial, such as the activity and stability of catalysts for oxygen evolution and reduction remains to be further explored, the common problem of zinc dendrite growth at negative electrode when charging is as one of the major challenges to the system; in addition, the effective removal of CO$_2$ from the air feed is another task. Despite these challenges, great effort R&D efforts on the cell have been made by research groups and companies all around the world.

The concept of the Zn-air RFB has its earliest precedent in a 1966 US Army project [48]. Later Fleischmann et al. [49] described a fluidised bed zinc negative electrode as a means to improve the performance of such devices. Ross and Müller developed Zn-air cells with three-dimensional copper foam negative electrodes for zinc deposition [219, 220]. The porous foam electrodes ensured smooth electrolyte flow through the cell and enabled an even deposition
of zinc over a large number of cycles. For example, Müller et al. reported cycling a bipolar seven cell stack (100 cm$^2$) using a bifunctional perovskite catalyst with a peak power output of 90 W although no performance stability vs. time data was reported.

In order to cope with the challenges of bifunctional catalysts for positive electrodes, cell designs that omit the need for them have been developed. A flow cell whereby the positive electrode was constructed of the O$_2$ evolution and reduction catalyst layers one on top the other on Ni foam and pressed together at 12 MPa [61]. The cell was cycled in KOH/ LiOH/ ZnO electrolyte for 150 cycles at 60 °C with a charge efficiency of >96% and energy efficiency of ca. 72%. Ma et al. attempted to physically combine catalysts for O$_2$ evolution and reduction [221] α-MnO$_2$ and LaNiO$_3$ respectively with PTFE and carbon nanotubes into a paste, pressed onto Ni foam to fabricate a positive electrode for a three-cell stack. Although the stack was cycled in 0.4 mol dm$^{-3}$ ZnO + 6 mol dm$^{-3}$ KOH for 100 cycles at 15 mA cm$^{-2}$ during charge and at 25 mA cm$^{-2}$ during discharge with a final energy efficiency of ca. 72%, such physical catalyst mixing cannot be recommended as the oxygen reduction catalyst degrades at the charging potentials.

Mechanical regeneration of zinc particles separately from the battery is another alternative to a bifunctional positive electrode. Powerzinc Electric Inc. [222] and Electric Fuel Ltd in Israel [54] have used this type of design for electric vehicles. The zinc anodes are contained in replaceable cassettes, which are recharged by an external facility. An example of such a Zn-air battery was introduced by Cooper [223]. Stacks of up to 12 cells with positive electrodes of active areas between 80 and 1000 cm$^2$ were tested. A refuel-able Zn-air stack [224], where pellet zinc electrodes and an inexpensive α-MnO$_2$ catalysed positive electrode in KOH electrolyte was tested in 2 and 5 cell stacks, delivering a power density of 435 mW cm$^{-2}$ at a
current density of 510 mA cm\(^{-2}\). Smedley \textit{et al}. [180] (Figure 13) constructed a regenerative Zn-air battery with a zinc electrolyser included within the system. A 18 kW 12 cell system was demonstrated to provide 1.1 kW net power for 24 hours, requiring 48 h for regeneration. Recently, mechanically rechargeable Zn–air batteries have been studied via electrochemical impedance spectroscopy by Mele \textit{et al}.[225]. The negative electrode is fed by gravity in the form of particles of 0.4 mm of diameter. In a 6 mol dm\(^{-3}\) KOH solution, the cell affords a power of 36 mW cm\(^{-2}\) at a current density of 56 mA cm\(^{-2}\). Efficiency loses are due to the progressive packing of the Zn particles and their passivation by a ZnO film.

EOS Energy Storage [226] and Amendola \textit{et al}. [227] have been developing an undivided Zn-air battery system with flowing near-neutral pH chloride electrolyte containing additives and buffers to aid in solubility and plating of zinc. The positive electrode contains either a Mn or Co-based electrocatalyst although no specific details are given. The reported product was a 1 MW/ 4 MW h DC battery at $160 to 200 kW h with a system life of 5000 cycles at 75% cycle efficiency.

As part of the LIFE ZAESS project, Técnicas Reunidas, S.A. has developed the largest pilot alkaline Zn-air RFB to date [228] to demonstrate integration of the technology with renewable energy at a grid-scale. The device comprises 20 cells arranged into 3 stacks and has a nominal energy capacity of 4 kW h and a nominal power of 1 kW. In order to avoid the low stability of bifunctional electrodes, the system has been designed with two different, integrated positive electrodes, a nickel mesh for O\(_2\) evolution (charging) and a GDE for oxygen reduction (discharging). The negative electrodes are fed by a 1,000 dm\(^3\) tank of zincate electrolyte. The system has a voltage of 24 V and maximum current of 90 A, operating at current densities
between 10 and 40 mA cm$^{-2}$. Some of the challenges experienced by the system were the cross-over of electrolyte and the effect of shunt currents on Zn plating in the opposite extremes of the stacks. The initial coulombic and voltage efficiencies of the battery were 82% and 34%, but decreased over 2,000 cycles.

A more complex Zn-air battery coupled to propanoic acid electrosynthesis as a mean of regeneration has also been proposed [229]. The flow reactor comprises three flow channels separated by two ion exchange membranes. For the battery configuration, the conventional air electrode is used along a cadmium-coated nickel negative electrode. The positive half-cell for the electrosynthesis configuration is provided with a sintered nickel electrode for the oxidation of propanol in an alkaline media. The battery is capable of discharge at 20 mA cm$^{-2}$, achieving a coulombic efficiency of 97% and an overall energy efficiency of 59%. Such a system has the disadvantage of a more complex cell design impeding the easy construction of stacks of multiple cells.

4.4 Prospects for zinc-air redox flow batteries

A number of design challenges must be overcome before the Zn-air RFB is developed into a commercial device. Mainly, the control of deposit morphology and shape change at the negative electrode as well as the efficiency loss due to H$_2$. The low stability displayed so far by most bifunctional catalysts for the positive electrode reaction needs to be addressed, as it is critical for the service lifetime of the battery. A fundamental understanding of the action mechanism of electrolyte additives on the dendritic growth could lead to tailored compositions for this particular system [184].
Ex- and in-situ imaging techniques should be applied to the Zn-air rechargeable flow system in order to understand the systematic degradation of both electrodes. For instance Schröder et al. [230], carried out in-situ tomography of the positive electrode of a primary Zn-air battery, showing that mass transport limitations at blocked pores led to shortened lifetime. Later, the same group reported volume changes of the negative electrodes by employing in-situ tomography [231]. X-ray tomography is clearly an indispensable tool for the study of structural changes at the electrodes during charge and discharge. Conversely, a simple one dimensional model of a secondary Zn-air battery developed by Deiss et al. [232] showed that pore plugging was not the main failure mechanism, but rather the depletion of OH− ions in the negative electrolyte at high current density. A well-considered experimental design is hence important to avoid discrepancies in the results. A combination of these techniques could provide valuable insights into cycling effects on the structure and composition of the oxygen electrodes.

5. **Zinc-nickel redox flow batteries**

The Zn-Ni RFB, also known as a ‘flow assisted battery’, is receiving increasing attention as utility scale storage becomes central to the integration of renewable energy sources. Zn-Ni static batteries were invented by Edison in 1901 [47] and were further developed by Drum in Ireland for railway use in the 1930s [233]. In the 1970s, secondary Zn-Ni batteries became commercial and have since been used in portable power tools and several types of vehicles [233], offering an alternative to Ni-Cd batteries. Flow-assisted Zn-Ni batteries were introduced in 2007 with the aim of providing utility scale energy storage [27, 234-238].
The Zn-Ni RFB is an undivided, alkaline system using either NaOH or KOH solutions as the common electrolyte. In this battery, the negative electrode process in reaction (2) is accompanied by the positive electrode reaction:

\[
\text{Ni(OH)}_2 + \text{OH}^- - e^- \rightleftharpoons \text{NiO(OH)} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad E^0 = 0.49 \text{ V vs. SHE} \tag{9}
\]

giving an overall cell reaction

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Charge:} & \quad \text{Zn(OH)}_4^{2-} + 2\text{Ni(OH)}_2 & \rightarrow & \quad \text{Zn} + 2\text{NiO(OH)} + 2\text{OH}^- + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} & \quad E_{\text{cell}}^0 = 1.73 \text{ V} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
\]

The open-circuit cell potential of laboratory Zn-Ni RFBs is 1.6 V. In general, these batteries display a coulombic efficiency of 95%, a voltage efficiency of 88%, and an energy efficiency over 80% [27].

The Zn-Ni RFB has several advantages. For instance, the rapid kinetics of the redox couple provides a fast charge/discharge capability. The energy density of the system is large due to the relatively high standard cell potential of 1.73 V. A long cycle life is achievable by the stabilisation of the Zn electrode in the alkaline electrolyte. Additionally, the Zn-Ni system promises to be cost competitive as a result of using relatively cheap and abundant metals with the elimination of the requirement for separators, which can be expensive, limited lifetime and fragile, complicating cell design.
Furthermore, the Zn-Ni system is environmentally friendly due to the use of low-toxicity zinc and nickel active materials. Spanos et al. [239] have assessed the environmental impact of the Zn-Ni RFB compared with static electrolyte Zn-Mn and lead acid systems by conducting life cycle analysis studies. The battery used for this study utilised a Cu negative electrode substrate sintered Ni positive electrode and a NaOH electrolyte. Of the three systems investigated, the Zn-Ni battery was found to have the lowest environmental impact, with an energy demand of 1.7-2.3 MJ W\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) compared with 2.2-2.8 MJ W\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) for lead acid batteries and 10.6-14.0 MJ W\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) for Zn-Mn batteries. The global warming impact of the Zn-Ni RFB was also considered to be the lowest, at 0.11-0.14 kg CO\(_2\) equivalent per W h.

There are, however, challenges associated with the Zn-Ni system. As with other zinc negative batteries, the morphology of the zinc deposition at the negative electrode can be problematic. Shape changes can occur due to inconsistent distribution of zinc deposition over the surface area of the electrode, while H\(_2\) and O\(_2\) gas can evolve during charging at the zinc and nickel electrodes, respectively. In addition, the capacity of the Zn-Ni cell is limited by the amount of active material at the surface of the positive nickel electrode. Therefore, a larger surface area of nickel electrode leads to a higher cell charge capacity.

5.1 The zinc negative electrode

The negative electrode in the alkaline Zn-Ni RFB is analogous to that in the Zn-air system. As described in section 4.1, this electrode can suffer from H\(_2\) evolution, dendritic growths and H\(_2\) evolution. Bass et al. [240] have summarized a number of strategies to overcome these problems, such as alternative separators and cell design, electrolyte and electrode additives as well as the implementation of pulse charging and electrode vibration.
Electrolyte additives are the most common strategy to obtain smooth zinc deposits and inhibiting H$_2$ evolution. Additives can produce compact deposits by enabling the complete dissolution of the zinc deposit during discharge, stopping the build/up of material and the depletion of zinc ion in the electrolyte, which lead to electrode overpotentials. Research on electroplating and primary batteries has reported a large number of electrolyte additives, as shown in Table 5. They include organics, acids, polymers and metal ions. Some of these compounds can be incorporated into solid electrodes [241].

Some of the most effective additives in zinc electrodeposition are heavy metals such as mercury, cadmium, lead or oxides of these [233, 240]. These additives can be present in the solution or be alloyed in zinc electrodes in order to supress dendritic growths and inhibit H$_2$ evolution. Nevertheless, environmental and health concerns have imposed restrictions to the use of these metals, resulting in a requirement for non-toxic and cost effective replacements, usually organics.

Alternatives to heavy metals include bismuth, calcium, magnesium, indium, tin and tungsten [233, 240], as well as fluorides, phosphates and borates [249]. Calcium is one of the most promising due to the formation of insoluble calcium zincate, Ca(OH)$_2$·2Zn(OH)$_2$·2H$_2$O. By decreasing the migration of zincates from the electrode into the electrolyte, shape problems can be ameliorated [240], since zinc can migrate across the soluble zincates layer. Jain et al. [242] have confirmed these effects of calcium-zincate in a Zn-Ni RFB, noting that the utilization of zinc increased and that 25% w.t. calcium affords better results. The same group have reported that a KOH-KF-K$_2$CO$_3$ electrolyte also suppressed electrode shape change, preventing short-circuiting by dendrite for 575 cycles in Zn-Ni cell [250].
The combination of more than one additive can significantly improve the electrodeposition of zinc and extend the life expectancy of batteries. For instance, Wen et al. [171] have shown that a combination of an inorganic and an organic additive can promote the formation of smooth deposits instead of spongy ones, as shown in Figure 14. The efficiency of the battery was also improved. Their electrolyte contained a mixture of $1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ mol dm$^{-3}$ Pb$^{2+}$ and $5.0 \times 10^{-5}$ mol dm$^{-3}$ Bu$_4$N$^+$. Although lead is present in small concentrations, alternatives are preferred due to environmental considerations.

Organic additives such as polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE), polyvinyl alcohol, polyethylene glycol, polyethylenimine, quaternary ammonium salts, and cellulose have received consideration [240, 243]. These additives are adsorbed selectively at sites undergoing fast electrodeposition, which results in compact deposits with finer grain sizes. Additives can be incorporated within the electrode material itself for instance, Müller et al. [175] have prepared pasted electrodes for the Zn-air battery, containing 10% w.t. cellulose, 4% PTFE and 2% PbO. Similar cellulose additives could prove advantageous to the Zn-Ni system. Such cellulose additives promote deposit nucleation and produce a hydrophilic surface, which results in significant increments in electrode stability and power capacity.

The effect of adding iron to the zinc electrode substrate was investigated by Kwak et al. in a stirred batch cell [251]. They compared a ZnO electrode consisting of nano scale rods 20 nm $\times$ 40 nm in size with a ZnO/Fe electrode using the same ZnO rods and a 1% addition of spherical Fe particles about 15 nm in diameter. It is reported that the ZnO/Fe battery displayed an improved capacity of 230 mA h g$^{-1}$ over 40 cycles at 30 mA cm$^{-2}$. The addition of iron also suppressed dendritic formation due to the decreased direct contact between the deposited zinc crystals in the presence of Fe$^{2+}$. 
Controlled electrolyte flow in Zn-Ni RFBs, is also an effective approach to suppressing dendrite growth and shape change of the zinc electrode. For instance, by combining a low current density of 20 mA cm\(^{-2}\) and a mean linear electrolyte flow rate of 15 cm s\(^{-1}\), Ito \textit{et al.} [178] were able to sustain the operation of their laboratory cell for over 1,500 cycles. Further work [234], showed that higher mass transport rates were also beneficial to the efficiency of the device, as they reduced gas evolution. The same authors have investigated the variation in zinc morphology in terms of a current density ratio, defined as the ratio of effective current density to limiting current density [252]. The limiting current density is found by equation (11), where \(n\) is the electron stoichiometry, \(F\) is the Faraday constant, \(D\) is the diffusion coefficient of zinc ions, \(C_0\) is the bulk concentration, \(d_e\) is the hydraulic diameter and \(Sh\) is the Sherwood number. They found that a current density ratio below 0.4 produced mossy and porous deposits, while a ratio above 0.9 produced compact, crystalline deposits. Between 0.4 and 0.9, the deposits obtained were a mixture of these morphologies.

\[
i_{lim} = \frac{nFDC_0}{d_e} Sh \tag{11}
\]

The effect of various negative electrode substrates on zinc deposition morphology and stability was studied by Wei \textit{et al.} [253]. The substrates investigated were silver, bismuth, copper, iron, nickel and antimony and the corrosion behaviour of the resultant zinc depositions was analysed in a 9 mol dm\(^{-3}\) KOH electrolyte. It was found that zinc deposition on nickel substrates tended to be mossy and porous, and prone to corrosion due to increased H\(_2\) evolution. The deposition of zinc on bismuth, copper and tin substrates was of a compact crystalline morphology. However, the antimony substrate was prone to corrosion in alkaline
media over extended periods of time. It was suggested that bismuth and copper substrates are most suitable due to the resistance to corrosion and compact zinc morphology.

A way to prevent short circuiting of the cell due to dendritic growth and shape change consists of performing periodic reconditioning cycles [57]. During these cycles, the zinc deposits in the negative electrodes are completely removed by discharging the cell at low current densities (to minimize H₂ evolution) until the cell potential reaches 0 V. It is claimed that a Zn-Ni cell endured more than 2,000 charge/discharge cycles free of short-circuiting by implementing this method. Suitable electrode materials, i.e., not corroding or passivating during the stripping cycles, must be employed.

5.2 The nickel positive electrode

This electrode reaction benefits from decades of research on Ni-Cd batteries [42]. The technology for its manufacture can be readily transferred. The mass of active material in the electrode and its surface area determine the capacity of the Zn-Ni battery. Two methods are usually applied to the commercial manufacture of nickel electrodes: a) sintered nickel and b) pasted nickel. The latter have higher porosity, lower density and lower cost but also shorter life expectancies due to limited use of the active material and swelling. As a result, the more expensive sintered type is usually preferred. These electrodes are prepared by subjecting carbonyl nickel powered to a thermal treatment, which produces a porous structure that can be later impregnated with nickel hydroxide.

The group of Zhang et al. [238, 254-259] has applied sintered nickel electrodes to undivided Zn-Ni RFBs. They have shown that enhanced performance can be achieved by implementing serpentine flow channels in the positive electrode, which decreases mass transport limitations.
This design has proved reliable form 70 charge/discharge cycles at 80 mA cm$^{-2}$ [255], during which the overall energy efficiency was 75%. Later, the same group demonstrated the feasibility of Zn electrodeposition on a 3-D porous nickel foam electrode [238]. This type of electrode material limits the operational negative electrode current density to values just over 50 mA cm$^{-2}$. Below this value, uniform Zn deposits were observed on the substrate but, as the current density increases, spongy and dendritic deposits are formed instead.

Nanostructured materials have been applied to increase the capacity of the Zn-Ni system by extending the surface area of the nickel electrode. Zhang et al. [258] have filed a patent for the implementation of double bilayer nanotubes as positive electrode material. The nanotubes comprised a zinc oxide inner structure covered by a nickel hydroxide layer. The energy density of the battery was claimed to be enhanced by approximately 10%. He et al. [260] have recently studied the use of a positive electrode consisting of nickel tubes with a wall thickness of 0.6 mm and an inner diameter of 5 mm. This was found the have a specific capacity of 35.7 mA h cm$^{-2}$ and retained 82% of this capacity after 480 cycles. The reported coulombic and energy efficiencies were quite high, at 96.0% and 83.8% respectively.

5.3 Cell developments

The membrane-free Zn-Ni RFB system was first proposed by a Chinese group in 2007 [27]. A concept cell was presented, with a cell potential over 1.6 V and an overall efficiency over 85%. This cell design was later improved by employing cadmium electroplated nickel as negative electrode [236], which resulted in energy efficiencies of 88% over 220 cycles. A second Chinese group patented nanostructured materials for the positive electrode (see section 5.2) [258] and subsequently developed a serpentine flow-field Zn-Ni RFB with a power density of 83 W kg$^{-1}$, which sustained an energy efficiency of 80% over 200 charge/discharge cycles [254, 255]. The effect of temperature over this cell was also studied [257], showing
that moderately high temperatures were beneficial for its performance. For example, at a current density of 80 mA cm\(^{-2}\), the overall energy efficiency increased from 53.0% at 0 °C to 70.1% at 40 °C. More recently, Yao et al. [261] have studied the electrode potential of this battery, while Wang [262] proposed an alternative Zn-Ni flow cell where the cathode is a Ni(OH)\(_2\) electrode coated with a O\(_2\) reduction catalyst.

Developments at City University of New York were reported in 2011 [178]. This collaboration described the operation of a 3.7 A h cell over 1,500 cycles, during which coulombic and energy efficiencies over of 90% and 80%, respectively were achieved. This long operational life time was enabled by carrying out a reconditioning step every 15 cycles. This technology was up-scaled into a 100 W h prismatic cell demonstrated over 200 cycles [57] then later with a 25 kW h battery [237]. As shown in Figure 15, this device comprised thirty 555 A h/833 W h cells and operated successfully over 3,300 cycles yielding an average energy efficiency \(>80\%\). However, the positive electrode passivated at overpotentials of 100 mV above open-circuit potential and the interelectrode gap was obstructed by zinc particulate formation, causing cathode degradation. The absence of an external electrolyte tank eliminated the risk of leakage and reduced pumping energy losses associated with pressure drops in connecting pipework. This helps to facilitate a consistent electrolyte flow regime within the cell. Turney et al. [237] reported that 4% of the cell energy was consumed to power the built-in pump, which they considered a small proportion.

In addition to the practical work discussed above, Lin et al. [263] and Li et al. [264] have developed models of the Zn-Ni RFB system with a view to optimising efficiency. While the first model focuses purely on the cell itself, the second includes both the cell and the effect of electrolyte flow rate and energy consumed by the pump. They conclude that to optimise
efficiency the flow rate should be matched to the operating current of the system, with higher flow rates being applied for higher operating currents.

5.4 Prospects for zinc-nickel redox flow batteries

Due to the potential advantages of the Zn-Ni RFB in terms of performance, cost and environmental impact, it can be expected that this system will be commercially developed. As detailed below, pilot utility scale Zn-Ni RFBs are being currently developed by the Chinese company Zhangjiagang Smart Grid Fanghua Electrical Energy Storage Research Institute Co. Ltd. and the City University of New York. Critical aspects to achieve reliable devices include the improvement of energy density and electrolyte conductivity, materials for the positive electrode as well as the usual control of zinc deposit shape and morphology. Furthermore, engineering aspects have not been well considered in several reported Zn-Ni flow cells. If these issues can be overcome using the methods previously discussed, it seems likely that a Zn-Ni RFB can be developed with energy efficiencies well in excess of 80% over 3,000 or more cycles.

6. Other zinc-based redox flow batteries

A number of Zn-based RFBs have been developed, constituting alternatives to the promising medium sized Zn-Br₂, Zn-Ce and Zn-air batteries, which have been compared in a recent book chapter [265]. In fact, most Zn-based RFBs can be traced back to the Zn-Cl₂ RFB of 1974, followed by the Zn-ferricyanide system initially developed in parallel to the Zn-Br₂ chemistry (see Figure 1). In recent years, several RFBs have been proposed/reintroduced due to renewed interest in renewable energy storage, including those involving microparticle suspensions, polymeric active species and concentration cells. These systems are described below.
The Zn-Cl₂ RFB received consideration in the 70s and 80s following its development by Gulf & Western Industries [50]. Research also took place in Japan by Furukawa Electric Co. [66]. Since the management of gaseous Cl₂ generated at the positive electrodes would have been difficult, this technology was based on the storage of Cl₂ in the form of hydrate in a refrigerated system [74]. The electrode kinetics and mass transport properties of graphite positive electrodes were studied [266] and porous graphite electrodes were simulated [267]. The battery was limited by the mass transport overpotential at the zinc planar electrodes [24] and by the self-discharge corrosion of the zinc deposits [268]. Porous zinc electrodes made by replaceable rods were proposed to ease mass transport [269], while the morphology and stability of zinc was improved by the addition of Pb²⁺ [270] and Bi³⁺ [271] ions into the electrolyte. The Gulf project was considered successful after testing 10 kW h modules as part of a 100 kW h energy storage facility [50]. At a current density of 30 mA cm⁻², the system showed an energy efficiency of 68%. The 80 kW h Furukawa project reported a similar overall efficiency of 65% [66] but the complexity of the system has discouraged further development.

Another early RFB based on abundant inexpensive reagents is the Zn-ferricyanide system introduced by Lockheed [29]. The unit cell gives a potential of 1.61 V and relies on graphite bipolar electrodes coated with cadmium on the negative side and nickel on the positive side. Alternatively, nickel-coated carbon felt could be used as the positive electrode. Zinc deposition at the negative electrode is coupled to the ferrocyanide/ferricyanide redox couple at the positive electrode:

\[
\text{Fe(CN)}_6^{3⁻} + e⁻ \rightleftharpoons \text{Fe(CN)}_6^{4⁻}
\]  

(12)
Its limitations included Zn corrosion and the requirement of management of solids due to the ZnO slurry generated during discharge and the presence of ferri- and ferrocyanide crystals in the positive electrolyte. Cell balance was achieved by directing H$_2$ evolved at the Zn electrode to the positive electrolyte in order to reduce ferricyanide. Mass transfer at the zinc electrodes and its current distribution were studied [272] and the cycling of the battery was simulated [273]. Although the system yielded an energy efficiency of 75% and low-cost sulfonated polystyrene and polysulfone membranes were especially developed [274], the cost of commercial membranes and the difficult management of solids stopped this development. Furthermore, the speciation of ferricyanide in NaOH solutions and its effects on the electrochemistry at nickel-coated electrodes appear to have been ignored at the time. However, due to its relative low cost the Zn-ferricyanide alkaline RFB was commercially reintroduced in 2013 by ViZn Energy Inc. (USA) claiming future development of utility-scale installations [275]. Technological improvements on the Lockheed battery have not been disclosed. Studies on the long-term stability of the photosensitive ferricyanide under closed battery conditions have not been reported.

A Zn-Fe RFB with a thermodynamic cell potential of 1.53 V has been developed [276]. This battery differs from the Zn-ferricyanide as its employs an iron chloride in an acetate buffer solution as electrolyte in order to prevent the hydrolysis of Fe$^{3+}$ ions. An energy efficiency of 71% was reported and the system presented as a lower cost alternative to the Zn-Ce RFB. A similar Zn-Fe RFB comprises Zn electrode reaction in an alkaline electrolyte, the Fe electrode reaction in an acidic chloride reaction and an intermediate ‘middle electrolyte’ [277]. The intermediate flow compartment with NaCl requiring two membranes to separate the electrodes would be difficult to implement in practice, not to mention higher potential losses. Another Zn-Fe cell employing a single acidic chloride solution containing NH$_4^+$ ions has also
been proposed [30]. This system offers the possibility of operating without membrane or with inexpensive microporous separators. The effect of Zn/Fe ion ratio on the electrodeposition reactions at both electrodes was studied. Over 130 charge/discharge cycles, a unit cell presented coulombic and voltage efficiencies of 85% and 80%, respectively, and an energy efficiency of 68%.

Divided Zn-polyiodide RFBs with graphite felt electrodes have been proposed based on the zinc negative electrode reaction and the triiodide/iodide redox couple at the positive electrode during discharge [31]:

$$\text{I}_3^- + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons 3\text{I}^- \quad E^o = 0.536 \text{ V vs. SHE} \quad (13)$$

Voltammograms for these reactions in a near-neutral 5.0 mol dm$^{-3}$ zinc iodide electrolyte are shown in Figure 16a). This chemistry could enable the implementation of low-cost microporous separators. Due to the association of iodine ions, the cell potential decreases from 1.26 V at 0.5 mol dm$^{-3}$, to 0.96 V at 5 mol dm$^{-3}$. NMR studies suggest that the addition of ethanol to the electrolyte should prevent thermal precipitation over a wide range of temperatures. The authors claim an energy density of 167 W h dm$^{-3}$. Figure 16b) shows the efficiency of a single cell operating at a current density of 10 mA cm$^{-2}$. A mitigation of dendritic grown by the addition of ethanol was observed. In view of the limited availability of free iodine ions in a concentrated electrolyte, another group [278] has proposed the addition of bromide ions in order to form a stable complex, which significantly increases the energy density of this RFB.
Variants of Zn-based RFBs are reported in the current literature. For instance, a zinc-vanadium RFB based on methanesulfonic acid solutions containing up to 3 mol dm$^{-3}$ V(IV)/V(V) has been proposed [26]. The device provides a discharge cell potential of 1.7 V, yielding coulombic and voltage efficiencies of 96% and 67%, respectively, during short-term testing. An undivided Zn-PbO$_2$ RFB with phase change on both electrodes in a sulfuric acid electrolyte has been also studied [102]. Electrolyte composition, zinc deposit morphology and additives were reported. The cell potential of this battery is relatively high, close to 2.4 V. At a discharge current density of 150 mA cm$^{-2}$ the charge efficiency was ca. 87% [279].

The feasibility of an unusual Zn-Ag concentration cell using low-cost microporous separators has been studied preliminary [280]. This battery is driven by the different concentration of ZnCl$_2$ in two solutions as a result of a distillation step. With Zn and Ag electrodes, a modest potential of up to ca. 1.04 V can be achieved. Another new RFB concept can be found in a Zn-Ag doped polyaniline (Pani) suspension RFB [58]. As shown in Figure 17, a single ZnCl$_2$ electrolyte flows into the positive half-cell, where it partially diverts into the negative half-cell, from there the solution goes back to the reservoir. The microparticles cannot pass through the microporous separator, remaining in the positive side. In this way, an average cell potential of about 0.7 V can be obtained during a 150 mA cm$^{-2}$ discharge. The degradation of the positive graphite electrode by the oxidation polyaniline and the low achieved cell potential are the main disadvantages of this system.

Organic active species are being increasingly considered for RFBs and Zn systems are no exception. A Zn-polymer RFB has been demonstrated using 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidinyl-N-oxyl (TEMPO) as the active redox species in the positive electrode [281-283]. The positive active species resides in the electrolyte in the form of poly(TEMPO methacrylate) micelles.
Hazardous zinc perchlorate is used as both positive and negative support electrolyte, while the relatively large size of the micelles prevents their transport through the membrane. At the very low operational current density of 1.5 mA cm\(^{-2}\) the device produces a cell potential of ca. 2 V and a current efficiency of 98%. This and similar polymer-based RFBs have not yet demonstrated cycling stability under realistic operational conditions.

7. **New trends on zinc-based redox flow batteries**

7.1 **Zinc polymer electrodes**

In addition to the carbon and metallic substrates previously discussed, the negative electrode can also consist of a zinc polymer composite. Such electrodes can prevent dendritic zinc depositions and reduce both electrode shape change and self-discharge rate due to zinc dissolution, thus improving electrode cycle life. One example of this is the plastic bonded electrode, in which zinc oxide powder is combined with PTFE using organic solvent in a calendaring process. The PTFE is fibrillated to form a nanoscale, three-dimensional electrode matrix. The locking of the active materials into this structure mitigates the problems of electrode shape change and dendritic zinc electrodeposition morphology [42].

An early comparison of the cycling performance of porous zinc electrodes in the absence and presence of different polymers at varying concentrations was carried out by Hampson and McNeil [284]. In this case, the electrodes were pasted Zn/ZnO with concentrations of various polymers up to 50%, which were then painted and pressed onto copper gauze substrates. The resultant electrodes were cycled in a 7 mol dm\(^{-3}\) KOH solution. It was found that polystyrene and polyvinylchloride addition could not improve cycle life at any concentration and polyvinyl acetate, polyisoprene and polymethyl methacrylate produced only small improvements at concentrations of 10% or less. This reduction in performance was attributed
to the blocking of electrode pores by either ZnO/Zn(OH) discharge reaction products or hydrogen gas, with the latter not being expelled from the electrode structure due to the low wettability of the polymeric materials. Polycarbonate, however, showed significant augmentation of electrode cycle life at concentrations of up to 20%, with the cycle life being almost tripled compared to plain zinc electrodes at concentrations of 10% or less. This is due to the high permeability of the polycarbonate electrodes, which allowed full utilisation of the deposited zinc during discharge and prevented the blocking of electrode pores.

Sun et al. [69] investigated high density polyethylene (HDPE)-carbon composite electrodes with carbon black or MWCNT to provide conductivity, in volume fractions of 19% and 15% respectively. Despite the lower concentration of MWCNT it was found that the bulk conductivity of this electrode was four times that of the carbon black electrode, due to the higher conductivity of MWCNT compared with carbon black particles. However, the surface conductivity of the MWCNT electrode suffered significant variations due to the heterogeneous nanotube dispersions in the composite. This results in varying potentials across the electrode surface, and causes the uneven deposition of zinc during charging. The development of methods to provide a homogenous distribution of nanotubes in this type of electrode would overcome this issue.

Ghazvini et al. [285] have reported on a zinc polymer electrode containing polystyrene spheres 600 nm in diameter. The electrode was produced by deposition of the polystyrene spheres onto a polished brass substrate to form a hexagonal closed packed structure. Zinc metal is then electrodeposited onto the substrate, filling the voids between the polystyrene spheres. By forcing the zinc to adhere to the structure defined by the polystyrene spheres,
dendritic growth is avoided. However, the reported coulombic efficiency is low at ca. 70%, and the deposited zinc was often insufficiently adherent to the substrate.

7.2 Ionic liquid electrolytes

Ionic liquids, or molten salts, have a number of potential advantages as electrolytes over traditional aqueous solutions due to their low volatility and wide range of electrochemical stability (up to 6 V) [286]. These advantages include the prevention of zinc dendrite formation, H₂ evolution and self-discharge due to the solubility of zinc. In addition, ionic liquids can overcome the problems of electrolyte evaporation and CO₂ absorption associated with open systems utilising aqueous solutions, such as Zn-air batteries. However, ionic liquids suffer from relatively low conductivity, being an order of magnitude or lower than in aqueous electrolytes. Many ionic liquids have sufficiently low melting points to be liquid at room temperature making them feasible electrolytes for battery systems operating at ambient temperature. Such substances are termed room temperature ionic liquids (RTILs) and are most often based on quaternary ammonium salts or cyclic amines, although RTILs based on sulfonium and phosphonium cations also exist [286].

Recently, several papers have proposed the use of ionic liquid-based electrolytes in various zinc negative battery systems, mainly focusing on the electrodeposition morphology of zinc from ionic liquids. Keist et al. [287], for example, investigated the electrodeposition of zinc from a 1-butyl-3-methyl-imidazolium trifluoromethanesulfonate electrolyte with 0.34 mol dm⁻³ zinc trifluoromethanesulfonate, while Liu et al. [288] conducted a similar study using a 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium trifluoromethylsulfonate electrolyte with 0.1 mol dm⁻³ Zn(TfO)₂ and 0.015 mol dm⁻³ Ni(TfO)₂. Both groups reported a compact zinc deposition morphology comprising of co-aligned hexagonal platelets at current efficiencies of around
99%. Liu et al. [289] also reported on the electrodeposition of zinc from a 1-methylimidazolium trifluoromethylsulfonate electrolyte. The zinc morphology was found to be porous and mossy in the purely ionic liquid electrolyte. However, the addition of 30% w.t. water to the electrolyte resulted in the deposition morphology being more compact, with hexagonal platelets similar to those previously reported.

Recent work has also been undertaken on polymer gel electrolytes (PGEs) based on ionic liquids. Xu et al. [290] assessed the suitability of PGEs based on 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium trifluoromethanesulfonate with zinc triflate, using poly(vinylidene fluoride co-hexafluoropropylene) to form a polymer matrix, as electrolytes for zinc based battery systems. Although the zinc deposition morphology was not investigated in this study, the produced PGEs were shown to be conductive to zinc ions, and demonstrated thermal stability between −50 and 100 °C, with a sufficiently wide electrochemical window of 2.8 V vs. Zn/Zn²⁺. Current efficiencies in excess of 90% were reported. Liu et al. [291] studied the performance of a PGE using 1-butyl-1-methylpyrrolidinium trifluoromethylsulfonate and 0.2M Zn(TfO)₂, with a polymer matrix of poly(vinylidene fluoride-co-hexafluoropropylene). The morphology of zinc deposits from this electrolyte were found to be smooth and compact, and stable current efficiencies of over 80% were achieved. Although ionic liquid electrolytes are at a relatively early stage of development, their potential for use in zinc-based battery systems has been demonstrated. However, many ionic liquids are considerably more expensive than traditional aqueous solutions and some are sensitive to atmospheric oxygen or water.
8. Conclusions

Zn-based RFBs offer many opportunities for development. They provide high cell potentials using an abundant, low cost negative electrode material. In general, the phase change that takes place during charge can create problems during scale-up but it is expected that tailored cell design and control of deposit morphology by electrolyte additives will extend reliability and cycling life. The mature Zn-Br₂ system has proven the reliability of this concept, becoming a fully demonstrated commercial device with increasing implementation. Other systems such as Zn-Ce and Zn-Ni are in earlier stages of development. Zn-Ce cells can yield a high cell potential, but cost-effective positive electrodes are yet to be implemented. Non-divided Zn-Ni RFBs appear to have several advantages and are currently developed by several companies due to its potential scalability, although several research needs have been identified. Zn-air batteries offer the challenge of attaining high energy density by harvesting atmospheric O₂ and progress need to be made on finding cost-effective, more efficient catalysts, but has faced several scale-up complications. A number of new battery concepts have been recently proposed, but attention should be given to technological practicality, safety, capital and running costs, simplicity and lifetime.

Some general features can be highlighted regarding Zn negative RFB studies:

1. The benefits of a very negative electrode potential and limitations due to shape changes, shunt currents and corrosion of the zinc electrode in secondary flow cells for energy storage, have been highlighted.

2. Diverse cell chemistry is evidenced by examples of acid (Zn-Ce) and alkaline (Zn-air, Zn-Ni) zinc electrolytes.
3. Cells divided by an ion exchange membrane (Zn-Ce), microporous separators (Zn-Br₂) and undivided, single flow circuit (Zn-Ni) cells are illustrated.

4. The positive electrode reaction can involve a bifunctional oxygen gas diffusion electrode (Zn-air cell), a redox reaction if a soluble species at an inert electrode (Zn-Ce cell) or formation/disappearance of surface oxides on the electrodes (Zn-Ni cell).

5. Performance and lifetime limitations arise due to corrosion of zinc deposits (particularly in acid media), leading to the need for suitable electrolyte additives to moderate corrosion rates.

6. Abundant relevant experience can be found in work related to Zn-based static batteries, industrial Zn plating and recovery, engineering of electrochemical reactors and some outdated RFB systems.

9. **Topics requiring further research**

The continued development of zinc negative electrode RFBs and their successful commercial implementation requires critical attention to several aspects.

1. Cell engineering, including computational modelling of performance and characterisation of reaction environment to improve performance, lifetime and acceptability on a larger, e.g., grid scale.

2. Experience of scale-up and process operation on the large-scale, following the fundamental principles of electrochemical engineering.

3. Improved, low-cost separators/membranes and cell manufacture for higher performance and longevity with reduced capital and running costs.
4. Non-toxic electrolyte additives to moderate zinc deposition, stripping and open-circuit corrosion, in both acidic and alkaline media.

5. Porous, 3-D electrodes with tailored uniform potential distribution to improve energy density while maintain an efficient reaction engineering environment.
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List of abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-D</td>
<td>bi-dimensional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-D</td>
<td>three-dimensional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3NCP</td>
<td>N-benzyl-3-carboxylpyridinium chloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD</td>
<td>bilirubin oxidase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>computer aided design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB</td>
<td>carbon black</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CDF computational fluid dynamics
CNT carbon nanotube
CTAB cetyltrimethylammonium bromide
DMAQ dimethyl-2,6-dihydroxyanthraquinone
DPE discrete particle electrolyser
ESS energy storage system
FrTSCN furfuraldehydethiosemicarbazone
GDE gas diffusion electrode
HDPE high density polyethylene
NASICON sodium super ionic conductor
MEA membrane electrode assembly
MEM N-methyl-N-ethylmorpholinium bromide
MEP N-methyl-N-ethyl-pyrroldinium bromide
MSA methanesulfonic acid
MWCNT multi-walled carbon nanotube
PA polyamine
Pani polyaniline
PGE polymer gel electrolyte
ppi pores per inch
Pt/Ti platinised titanium
PTFE polytetrafluoroethylene
PVA polyvinyl alcohol
PVE polyvinyl ester
PVDF polyvinylidene fluoride
PZ piperazine
R & D research and development
RFB redox flow battery
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RTIL</td>
<td>room temperature ionic liquid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RVC</td>
<td>reticulated vitreous carbon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>scanning electron microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCE</td>
<td>saturated calomel electrode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHE</td>
<td>standard hydrogen electrode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOC</td>
<td>state of charge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWCNT</td>
<td>single-walled carbon nanotube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBAB</td>
<td>tetrabutylammonium bromide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEMPO</td>
<td>2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidinyl-N-oxyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPS</td>
<td>uninterruptable power supply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XRD</td>
<td>x-ray diffraction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 1. The standard cell potential of selected aqueous redox flow batteries. All-copper [32], Fe-Ti [33], H₂-Br₂ [28], Fe-Cr [34], all-iron [35], all-vanadium [36], V-polyhalide [36], Zn-polyiodide [31], DMAQ-Fe [37], V-O₂ [28], polysulfide-Br₂ [38], all-lead [39], Zn-ferricyanide [29], Zn-air [28], V-Ce [40], Zn-Ni [27], Zn-V [26], Zn-Br₂ [25], Zn-Cl₂ [24], and Zn-Ce [41].
Figure 2. A timeline highlighting selected events during the development of zinc negative rechargeable and flow batteries. Leclanché’s Zn-MnO$_2$ primary static wet cell (1866) [45]; Bradley’s Zn-Br$_2$ primary static cell (1885) [46]; Edison’s Zn-Ni static cell (1901) [47]; Zn-Ni secondary alkaline static cell (1930s) [45]; Zn-Ag secondary alkaline static cell (1930s) [45]; Zn-MnO$_2$ static primary alkaline ‘dry cell’ (1949) [45]; Zn-air battery with circulating electrolyte (1966) [48]; Fluidised bed Zn-O$_2$ flow cell (1969) [49]; Zn-MnO$_2$ secondary static cell (1970s) [45]; Gulf and Western Industries Zn-Cl$_2$ RFB (1974) [50]; CGE Zn-air fuel cell/RFB (1977) [51]; Lockheed Zn-ferricyanide RFB (1978) [29]; Exxon Zn-Br$_2$ RFB (1980) [29]; First utility scale Zn-Br$_2$ battery (Japan) (1990) [52]; SEA Zn-Br$_2$ car demonstration (1993) [53]; ‘Mechanically recharged’ Zn-air vehicle batteries (1993) [54]; Patent for the Zn-Ce RFB (2004) [55]; PowerZinc Zn-air vehicles (2005) [56]; Proposal for Zn-Ni RFB (2007) [27]; Proposal for Zn-V RFB (2012) [26]; Patent for Zn-Ni RFB (2012) [57]; Proposal for Zn-polyiodide RFB (2015) [31]; Proposal for Zn-Ag-doped polyaniline suspension RFB (2015) [58]; Mass transport at electrodes for Zn-Ce RFB (2016) [59].
Figure 3. The complex relationship among electrode materials, electrolyte composition, operating conditions and performance in zinc negative flow batteries.
Figure 4. Four types of zinc negative electrode rechargeable flow cells, in which zinc dissolves as ions in the electrolyte, showing the primary discharge processes: a) Zn-Br$_2$ cell with a cationic membrane, involving reduction of tribromide ions to bromide ions, b) a Zn-air cell with an anionic membrane, involving reduction of oxygen to hydroxyl ions, c) a Zn-Ce cell with a cationic membrane, involving reduction of ceric ions to cerous ions and d) an undivided Zn-Ni cell, involving reduction of a surface nickel oxide film.
Figure 5. Diagrams illustrating some of the multiple design, material, and operational options in zinc negative flow devices.
Figure 6. SEM images of Zn electrodeposits on graphite obtained in diverse supporting electrolytes for Zn-Br$_2$ RFBs at a current density of 20 mA cm$^{-2}$ over a lapse of 10 min.: a) Na$_2$SO$_4$, b) NaBr, c)NaCl, d) NaH$_2$PO$_4$, and e) NaNO$_3$. Reproduced from ref. [86] with permission.
Figure 7. Cyclic voltammogram of 2.25 mol dm$^{-3}$ zinc bromide, 0.5 mol dm$^{-3}$ zinc chloride and 0.8 mol dm$^{-3}$ N-ethyl-N-methyl pyrrolidinium bromide at a high density polyethylene-carbon black (CB) composite electrode and the same material with a content of 15% w.t. multiwalled carbon nanotubes (MWCNT). Potential scan rate: 0.1 V s$^{-1}$. Adapted from ref. [69] with permission.
**Figure 8.** A commercial Zn-Br$_2$ RFB showing stack of cells, electrolyte reservoirs, thermal management devices, flow circuits and control system. The device has a maximum capacity of 10 kW h, a nominal voltage of 48 V and a nominal power of 3 kW. The reservoir holds 100 dm$^{-3}$ of electrolyte. Courtesy of Redflow Ltd [114], adapted with permission.
Figure 9. Cyclic voltammogram at a glassy carbon electrode for 10 mmol dm$^{-3}$ Zn(II) in 0.5 mol dm$^{-3}$ sodium methanesulfonate. Potential sweep rate: 20 mV s$^{-1}$ at 298 K [128].
Figure 10. Morphology of Zn deposits from as a function of current density as studied in a Hull cell. Electrolyte composition was with 2.5 mol dm$^{-3}$ Zn(CH$_3$SO$_3$)$_2$ in 1.5 mol dm$^{-3}$ CH$_3$SO$_3$H at 314 K. Reproduced from ref. [130] with permission.
Figure 11. Charge-discharge cell potential of a Zn-Ce RFB unit cell using a Pt/Ti felt electrode in constant charge regime at 20 mA cm$^{-2}$ and a mean linear flow rate of 4 cm s$^{-1}$, operating at 50 °C and starting at 50% SOC. Positive electrolyte composition: 0.4 mol dm$^{-3}$ Ce(IV) in 4.0 mol dm$^{-3}$ MSA; Negative electrolyte composition: 1.5 mol dm$^{-3}$ Ce(IV) in 1.0 mol dm$^{-3}$ MSA [158].
Figure 12. The comparative performance of nickel cobalt oxide spinel catalysed electrodes prepared via the incorporation of spinel powder or via the application of a spinel coating at current densities of a) 20 mA cm\(^{-2}\), b) 50 mA cm\(^{-2}\), and c) 100 mA cm\(^{-2}\) in 8 mol dm\(^{-3}\) NaOH at 333 K. Reproduced from ref. [204], with permission.
Figure 13. Metallic Power 1.8 kW 12 zinc-air fuel cell stack, 12, 250 dm³ capacity electrolyte tank, and zinc discrete particle electrolyser (DPE) and single zinc cell frame showing flow of air, electrolyte and zinc pellets through cell stack. Adapted from ref. [180] with permission.
Figure 14. Zinc electrodeposits at $\eta = -100$ mV from (a) the blank solution, (b) an alkaline solution containing $10^{-4}$ M Pb(II) and (c) an alkaline solution containing $10^{-4}$ M Pb(II) and $5 \times 10^{-5}$ M TBAB. Reproduced from ref. [171] with permission.
Figure 15.  (a) A CAD drawing of the 555 A h cell; only every eighth electrode plate is shown and a motor is shown coupled to an impeller that maintains electrolyte flowing upward between the electrodes at 0.5 cm s\(^{-1}\). (b) A photograph of the completed grid-scale 25 kW h battery. Adapted from ref. [237] with permission.
Figure 16. a) Cyclic voltammetry of 0.085 mol dm$^{-3}$ ZnI$_2$ on a glassy carbon electrode at a scan rate of 50 mV s$^{-1}$, b) Cycling efficiency of a divided Zn-polyiodide cell with a 3.5 mol dm$^{-3}$ ZnI$_2$ electrolyte at an operational current density of 10 mA cm$^{-2}$. Adapted from ref. [31] with permission.
Figure 17. A proposed ‘asymmetric’ Zn RFB based on an Ag-doped polyaniline (Pani) suspension. Adapted from ref. [58] with permission.
**Table 1.** Positive electrode reactions found in zinc-based RFBs and their standard redox potentials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrode reaction</th>
<th>Standard electrode potential, ( E^\circ ) vs. SHE / V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Ce}^{4+} + e^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Ce}^{3+} )</td>
<td>+1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{O}_2 + 4\text{H}^+ + 4e^- \rightleftharpoons 2\text{H}_2\text{O} )</td>
<td>+1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Br}_2 + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons 2\text{Br}^- )</td>
<td>+1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{VO}_2^+ + 2\text{H}^+ + e^- \rightleftharpoons \text{VO}^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{O} )</td>
<td>+1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Fe}^{3+} + e^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Fe}^{2+} )</td>
<td>+0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{I}_3^- + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons 3\text{I}^- )</td>
<td>+0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{NiO(OH)} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Ni(OH)}_2 + 2\text{OH}^- )</td>
<td>+0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{O}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 4e^- \rightleftharpoons 4\text{OH}^- )</td>
<td>+0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Fe(CN)}_6^{3-} + e^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Fe(CN)}_6^{4-} )</td>
<td>+0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 2\text{H}^+ + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons \text{H}_2 )</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Selected cell reactions relevant to proposed zinc-based RFBs together with their standard cell potential.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell type</th>
<th>Discharge cell reaction</th>
<th>Standard cell potential, $E^o_{\text{cell}}/\text{V}$</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zn-Ce</td>
<td>$\text{Zn} + 2\text{Ce}^{4+} \rightleftharpoons \text{Zn}^{2+} + 2\text{Ce}^{3+}$</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn-Cl₂</td>
<td>$\text{Zn} + \text{Cl}_2 \rightleftharpoons \text{Zn}^{2+} + 2\text{Cl}^-$</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn-Br₂</td>
<td>$\text{Zn} + \text{Br}_2 \rightleftharpoons \text{Zn}^{2+} + 2\text{Br}^-$</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>[25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn-V</td>
<td>$\text{Zn} + 2\text{VO}^{2+} \rightleftharpoons \text{Zn}^{2+} + 2\text{VO}_2^+$</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>[26, 27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn-Ni</td>
<td>$\text{Zn} + \text{Ni(OH)}_2 + 2\text{OH}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{NiO(OH)} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{Zn(OH)}_4^{2-}$</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>[23, 27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn-air</td>
<td>$2\text{Zn} + \text{O}_2 + 4\text{OH}^- \rightleftharpoons 2\text{Zn(OH)}_4^{2-}$</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>[28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn-ferricyanide</td>
<td>$\text{Zn} + 2\text{Fe(CN)}_6^{3-} + 4\text{OH}^- \rightleftharpoons 2\text{Fe(CN)}_6^{4-} + \text{Zn(OH)}_4^{2-}$</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>[29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn-Fe</td>
<td>$\text{Zn} + 2\text{Fe}^{3+} \rightleftharpoons \text{Zn}^{2+} + 2\text{Fe}^{2+}$</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>[24, 30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn-polyiodide</td>
<td>$\text{Zn} + \text{I}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Zn}^{2+} + 3\text{I}^-$</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>[31]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Average performance comparison of selected zinc half-cell redox flow batteries found in the literature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zn RFB</th>
<th>Cell division</th>
<th>Open-circuit cell potential / V</th>
<th>Operation al current density / mA cm$^2$</th>
<th>% Energy efficiency</th>
<th>% Coulombic efficiency</th>
<th>% Voltage efficiency</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zn-Ce</td>
<td>Undivided</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>[60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn-Ce</td>
<td>Divided</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>[41]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn-ferricyanide</td>
<td>Divided</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>[29, 60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn-Ni</td>
<td>Undivided</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>[27, 61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn-V</td>
<td>Divided</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>[26, 27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn-Br$_2$</td>
<td>Divided</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>[52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn-Fe</td>
<td>Undivided</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn-air</td>
<td>Divided</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>[61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn-polyiodide</td>
<td>Divided</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>[31]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Selected electrolyte additives for zinc electrodeposition and inhibition of H$_2$ evolution in acid media.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Additive</th>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium ion</td>
<td>Acidic sulfate</td>
<td>Zhang et al.</td>
<td>[131, 132]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-butyne-1,4-diol</td>
<td>Acidic sulfate</td>
<td>Piron et al.</td>
<td>[133]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzotriazole</td>
<td>Acidic chloride</td>
<td>Fenelon and Breslin</td>
<td>[134]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTAB</td>
<td>Acidic sulfate</td>
<td>Tripathy et al.</td>
<td>[135]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glue</td>
<td>Sulfuric acid</td>
<td>Mackinnon et al.</td>
<td>[103]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerol</td>
<td>Acidic sulfate</td>
<td>Oliveira and Carlos</td>
<td>[136]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gum Arabic</td>
<td>Sulfuric acid</td>
<td>Sato</td>
<td>[137]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indium(III) ion</td>
<td>Sulfuric acid</td>
<td>Yano et al.</td>
<td>[138]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead(II) ion</td>
<td>Acidic sulfate</td>
<td>Ichino et al.</td>
<td>[139]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonyl-phenolxyethylene</td>
<td>Sulfuric acid</td>
<td>Hosny</td>
<td>[140]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perfluorinated organics</td>
<td>Acidic sulfate</td>
<td>Cachet et al. and Tripathy et al.</td>
<td>[141, 142]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphonium salts</td>
<td>Acidic chloride</td>
<td>Troquet and Pagetti</td>
<td>[143]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium lauryl sulfate</td>
<td>Acidic sulfate</td>
<td>Tripathy et al.</td>
<td>[144]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBAB</td>
<td>Acidic sulfate</td>
<td>Tripathy et al.</td>
<td>[135]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiourea</td>
<td>Acidic chloride</td>
<td>Mouanga et al.</td>
<td>[145]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additive</td>
<td>Electrolyte</td>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium hydroxide</td>
<td>Potassium hydroxide</td>
<td>Jain et al.</td>
<td>[242]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyethylenimine</td>
<td>Potassium hydroxide</td>
<td>Banik and Akolkar</td>
<td>[243]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiourea</td>
<td>Potassium hydroxide</td>
<td>Shivkumar et al.</td>
<td>[244]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furfuraldehydethiosemicarbazone</td>
<td>Sodium hydroxide</td>
<td>Kavitha et al.</td>
<td>[172]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citric acid</td>
<td>Potassium hydroxide</td>
<td>Lee et al.</td>
<td>[245]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tartaric acid</td>
<td>Potassium hydroxide</td>
<td>Lee et al.</td>
<td>[245]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Succinic acid</td>
<td>Potassium hydroxide</td>
<td>Lee et al.</td>
<td>[245]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellulose</td>
<td>Potassium hydroxide</td>
<td>Lee et al.</td>
<td>[176]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyvinylalcohol</td>
<td>Sodium hydroxide/sodium chloride</td>
<td>Ortiz-Aparicio et al.</td>
<td>[169]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxylamine</td>
<td>Alkaline phosphate/sulfate</td>
<td>Sylla et al.</td>
<td>[246]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorbitol</td>
<td>Sodium hydroxide/sodium sulfate</td>
<td>Pereira et al.</td>
<td>[247]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTAB</td>
<td>Potassium hydroxide</td>
<td>Zhu et al.</td>
<td>[248]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>