



**A systematic review and consensus definitions for
standardised end-points in perioperative medicine:
Pulmonary complications**

Journal:	<i>British Journal of Anaesthesia</i>
Manuscript ID	BJA-2018-00044-HH024.R1
Article Type:	Review article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Abbott, Tom; Queen Mary University of London, William Harvey Research Institute Fowler, Alex; Guy's and Saint Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, Proactive Care of the Older Patient undergoing surgery (POPS) Pelosi, Paolo; University of Genoa, Department of Surgical and Integrated Sciences Gama de Abreu, Marcelo; University Hospital Dresden, Department of Anesthesia and Intensive Care Therapy Moller, Ann; Herlev University Hospital, Department of Anaesthesia Canet, Jaume; Hospital Universitari Germans Trias i Pujol, Chief of Department of Anaesthesiology Creagh-Brown, Ben; Royal Surrey County Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Intensive Care Unit Mythen, Michael; UCL, Centre for Anaesthesia Gin, Tony; The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Anaesthesia and Intensive Care Lalu, Manoj; Montreal Heart Institute, Health Innovations Coordinating Center Futier, Emmanuel; University Hospital of Clermont-Ferrand, Department of Perioperative Medicine Grocott, Michael; University of Southampton, Anaesthesia and Critical Care Research Unit Schultz, Marcus; Academic Medical Centre at the University of Amsterdam, Department of Intensive Care Medicine and the Laboratory for Experimental Intensive Care and Anaesthesiology (L.E.I.C.A) Pearse, Rupert; Queen Mary University of London, Barts & The London School of Medicine and Dentistry
https://www.nlm.nih.gov/mesh/MBrowser.html target=_new>Mesh keywords:	Clinical Trials as Topic/standards*, Outcome assessment (Health Care)/standards*, Perioperative Care/methods

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

For Peer Review

A systematic review and consensus definitions for standardised end-points in perioperative medicine: Pulmonary complications

Running title: Better measures of improved pulmonary outcome

T.E.F. Abbott,^{1,2} A.J. Fowler,¹ P. Pelosi,³ M. Gama de Abreu,⁴ A.M. Møller,⁵ J. Canet,⁶
B. Creagh-Brown,^{7,8} M. Mythen,² T. Gin,⁹ M.M. Lalu,¹⁰ E. Futier,¹¹ M.P. Grocott,^{12, 13} M.J.
Schultz,^{14, 15} and R.M. Pearse,¹ and the StEP-COMPAC Group*

*members listed in appendix

1. Queen Mary University of London, London EC1M 6BQ, UK
2. Department of Anaesthesia and Critical Care, University College London Hospital, London, NW1 2BU, UK
3. Department of Surgical Sciences and Integrated Diagnostics, San Martino Policlinico Hospital, IRCCS for Oncology, University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy
4. Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Therapy, University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus, Technische Universität Dresden, Germany
5. Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Herlev University Hospital, DK-2730 Denmark
6. Department of Anaesthesiology, Hospital Universitari Germans Trias i Pujol, Barcelona. Spain
7. Intensive Care Unit, Royal Surrey County Hospital, Guildford, GU2 7XX, UK
8. Surrey Perioperative Anaesthesia Critical Care collaborative research group (SPACeR), Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Surrey, Guildford, GU2 7XH, UK
9. Department of Anaesthesia and Intensive Care, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China.
10. Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, Ottawa Hospital, University of Ottawa, Clinical Epidemiology and Regenerative Medicine Programs, Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, Canada.
11. Department of Perioperative Medicine, Anaesthesiology and Critical Care, Estaing Hospital, University Hospital of Clermont-Ferrand, Clermont-Ferrand, France
12. Integrative Physiology and Critical Illness Group, Clinical and Experimental Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK
13. Critical Care Research Group, Southampton NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, University Hospital Southampton, Southampton, UK
14. Department of Intensive Care, Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
15. Mahidol Oxford Tropical Medicine Research Unit, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand

Correspondence to:

Rupert Pearse

Adult Critical Care Unit

Royal London Hospital

London E1 1BB

United Kingdom

e-mail: r.pearse@gmul.ac.uk

Tel: +44 20 3594 0351

Keywords: Perioperative care/ methods; Outcome assessment (healthcare)/ standards; Peri-operative care/methods

Main text 2368 words

Abstract 249 words

Summary

Background

There is a need for robust, clearly defined, patient-relevant outcome measures for use in randomised trials in perioperative medicine. Our objective was to establish standard outcome measures for post-operative pulmonary complications research.

Methods

A systematic literature search was conducted using MEDLINE, Web of Science, SciELO and the Korean Journal Database. Definitions were extracted from included manuscripts. We then conducted a three-stage Delphi consensus process to select the optimal outcome measures in terms of methodological quality and overall suitability for perioperative trials.

Results

From 2358 records, the full texts of 81 manuscripts were retrieved, of which 45 met the inclusion criteria. We identified three main categories of outcome measure specific to perioperative pulmonary outcomes: 1) composite outcome measures of multiple pulmonary outcomes (27 definitions); 2) pneumonia (12 definitions); and 3) respiratory failure (6 definitions). These were rated by the group according to suitability for routine use. The majority of definitions were given a low score, and many were imprecise and/or difficult to apply consistently in large patient populations. A small number of highly rated definitions were identified as appropriate for widespread use. The group then recommended four outcome measures for future use, including one new definition.

Conclusions

A large number of post-operative pulmonary outcome measures have been used but most are poorly defined. Our four recommended outcome measures include a new definition of post-operative pulmonary complications, incorporating an assessment of severity. These definitions will meet the needs of most clinical effectiveness trials of treatments to improve post-operative pulmonary outcomes.

Introduction

Each year more than 300 million patients undergo surgery worldwide.^{1, 2} Estimates of attributable mortality vary from 1 to 4%, however some studies suggest that more than one in five deaths occur in a small group of high-risk patients.^{1, 3-6} Even when complications are successfully treated, these are still associated with reduced long term survival.⁷ Some of the most common post-operative complications affect the respiratory tract, with incidences ranging from 9 to 40%, depending on the definition used.⁸⁻¹¹ A number of factors may promote pulmonary complications amongst patients undergoing major surgery. Anaesthesia can lead to reduced functional residual capacity, hypoxaemia and impaired central respiratory drive, while surgical manipulation can restrict ventilation, damage respiratory muscles and cause atelectasis.¹² When combined with pre-existing respiratory disease and post-operative pain, the risk of pneumonia, respiratory failure and death is increased.¹⁰ There is a need for large, high quality clinical trials to improve the treatment of patients at risk of post-operative pulmonary complications.

The impact of any large clinical trial is critically dependent on the use of well-defined outcome measures, which must be patient-centred, reliable and valid as well as potentially modifiable by the trial intervention.^{13, 14} Inconsistent reporting of outcomes across trials investigating similar clinical problems hinders the interpretation of new research findings in the context of existing research evidence, and prevents the use of pooled data in systematic reviews.¹⁴ Many definitions are similar but not sufficiently so to allow robust comparison. There is a recognised need for a standardised list of clearly defined clinical outcome measures for use in large, pragmatic clinical trials in this field.¹⁵ This would help investigators to improve the design of clinical trials through the use of recognised reference standards. This should lead to a stronger evidence base to inform clinical practice and improve short and long-term outcomes for patients after surgery.

The Standardized Endpoints for Perioperative Medicine (StEP) collaboration was established to evaluate the literature and create standards for the definition and use of outcome measures in clinical effectiveness research in perioperative medicine, addressing the need for greater precision and consistency in defining outcomes for perioperative clinical trials.¹⁵ As part of this initiative, we performed a systematic literature review of clinical trials and observational studies of pulmonary outcomes in perioperative care, followed by a Delphi process to develop recommendations for standardised outcomes.

Methods

Members of the group were recruited to better understand and improve the pulmonary morbidity, which occurs during and after surgery. We performed a systematic literature review to identify studies with relevant clinical outcome measures that should be considered for future use in clinical trials. The group then evaluated these outcomes to decide which should be considered as evidence in a wider evaluation of clinical outcome measures in all areas of perioperative medicine.

Search Strategy

MEDLINE, Web of Science, SciELO and the Korean Journal Database were searched electronically from the year 2005 to present using the Web of Science (Thomson Reuters) platform. The search strategy was: TS=(((Pneumonia[Title/Abstract] OR Respiratory[Title/Abstract] OR Pulmonary[Title/Abstract] OR "Pulmonary Complications"[title/abstract] OR "Respiratory complications"[title/abstract] OR Hypoxia[Title/Abstract] OR "Lower Respiratory Tract"[Title/Abstract] OR Re-Intubation[Title/Abstract] OR "Prolonged Ventilation"[Title/Abstract] OR "Respiratory Failure"[Title/Abstract] OR "Pulmonary Oedema"[Title/Abstract] OR "Respiratory Distress Syndrome"[Title/Abstract] OR "postoperative pulmonary complications"[title/abstract] OR "Acute Lung Injury"[Title/Abstract])) OR ("Lung Injury" OR "Pneumonia" OR "Pulmonary Edema" or "Respiratory Distress Syndrome" OR Pneumothorax OR "Respiratory Aspiration"[MeSH Terms])) AND TS= ("Hospital Mortality" OR "Mortality" OR "Morbidity" OR "Prognosis" OR "Perioperative care" OR "Intraoperative Complications" OR "Fatal Outcome" OR "Postoperative Complications" OR "Outcome Assessment Health Care" OR "Outcome and Process Assessment Health Care" OR "Treatment Outcome") AND TS=(Mortality OR Morbidity OR Outcome OR "Postoperative Complications" OR "Prognosis" OR "Perioperative Complications" OR "Intraoperative Complications") AND TS= ("Surgical Procedures" OR "Operative" OR "Surgery" OR "General Surgery" OR "Operation") AND "Postoperative Complications"). Search results were limited to those that were observational trials, randomised controlled trials and meta-analyses conducted in adult subjects. The bibliography of evaluable studies and other selected papers were searched manually for potentially relevant citations.

Study selection criteria

Records were held within a record management system (Mendeley, London, UK) and duplicates were removed. The title and abstract of remaining records were then reviewed independently by two researchers (TA and AF). The full text paper of records identified as potentially relevant were downloaded and further assessed. Full texts were selected for inclusion if the study design was that

of an observational study with prospective patient recruitment, a randomised controlled trial or a meta-analysis and reported a respiratory outcome as the primary outcome measure. Articles were excluded if they included paediatric participants (<18 years of age), had a primary outcome unrelated to the respiratory system, or did not provide a primary outcome definition. Differences in opinion were resolved by referral to a third researcher.

Data Extraction

Data were extracted from the selected articles by two researchers acting independently. Data was extracted into a database (Excel 2007, Microsoft, USA) and included lead author name, year of publication, number of participants (and papers for meta-analyses), primary outcome measure and definition of primary outcome measure.

Delphi Process

The results of this systematic review informed a Delphi consensus process conducted during 2017 to obtain input and consensus from a group of medical and other health researchers with experience in anaesthesia and perioperative medicine trials.^{16, 17} The StEP Working Group consisted of experienced perioperative trialists and other investigators from various countries and was overseen by a steering committee (see supplementary online material). Each Delphi round was coordinated by the Health Services Research Centre of the Royal College of Anaesthetists in the UK or the Research Unit of the Department of Anaesthesia and Perioperative Medicine at the Alfred Hospital in Melbourne, Australia. The item scores and number of respondents was recorded for each of the above Delphi rounds in an Excel spreadsheet, and then converted to an SPSS database for calculation of final median and range scores, and consensus rates.

Stage 1: Literature search to develop preliminary list of trial endpoints and definitions

Publications identified in the literature search were used to create a preliminary list of outcome measures and their definitions.

Stage 2: Formal rating of the recommendations (Delphi round one)

We extracted the outcome measures from manuscripts identified in our literature search, and then created summary tables in different categories, allowing easy comparison of outcome measure definitions. We circulated these tables within the Pulmonary StEP theme group and asked members to submit their ratings via an online form. Manuscripts were first evaluated by using a 'traffic light' scale (green / amber / red) for methodological quality, and overall suitability as endpoints in the

context of perioperative trials. This rating encompassed validity, reliability, ease of use, and frequency of use in the perioperative literature. Where two or more alternative definitions were very similar, we promoted use of the more precise and detailed alternative(s). Definitions that had been superseded or were clearly out of date were categorised as red.

Stage 3: Delphi round two

The second Delphi round included participants from the entire StEP Working Group (n=75). Participants were asked to score each of the items listed using a scale of 1 to 9, with 1 to 3 labelled “Not that important or invalid”, 4 to 6 labelled “Important but requires revision”, and 7 to 9 labelled “Critical for inclusion”.^{18, 19} Participants were given the option to select “unsure” if they were unable to offer an opinion as to which category to apply to the item. Participants were invited to suggest any other endpoints, or definitions, or modifications to existing definitions that they believed should be included. A reminder email was sent to ensure prompt completion of the survey. The final numbers of respondents and item completions were recorded. The number of participants who scored the item and the median, and interquartile range of scores were quantified. Members of the Pulmonary StEP theme group were then invited to discuss the results via email. Any items not rated as critical (i.e., 70th centile score <7) but still with a median score of 7 or greater were retained for consideration in the second round. Lower-rated endpoint items identified for removal could be retained if they were considered as critical by any group member for the second round. Items with a median score of ≤3 were not retained.

Stage 4: Delphi round three and final recommendations

Delphi round three included members of the Pulmonary StEP theme group (n=14). The summary results of the above process were provided to these participants, inviting further comments. If responses to this final stage suggested a need for further modification to endpoint definitions, then this was resolved by the authors via email discussion.

Results

Study selection

We identified 2366 records from the electronic search strategy, a further 13 records through other sources. After removal of duplicates, 2358 records remained. The full texts of 81 manuscripts were retrieved, of which 45 met the inclusion criteria (Supplementary figure 1 and Supplementary table 1). A summary of full texts excluded after review, with reason for exclusion, is shown in the supplementary table. The definitions extracted from included studies are summarised in Table 1. We identified three main categories of outcome measure specific to pulmonary outcomes in perioperative medicine 1) composite outcome measures including a number of pulmonary outcomes (27 definitions); 2) pneumonia (12 definitions); 3) respiratory failure (six definitions). These are summarised in Supplementary table 2, tables 1, 2 and 3, along with the rating (red / amber /green) given by the group and a summary definition of each outcome measure.

Post-operative pulmonary complications

Despite a large number of candidate definitions, the group was unable to reach a consensus on the best definition of post-operative pulmonary complications. Many definitions employed component definitions, which differed widely in severity and underlying biological mechanism e.g. atelectasis and Acute Respiratory Distress. After a discussion, and having sought advice from the StEP steering committee, we have recommended a new definition which allows lower severity pulmonary events to be captured as part of the overall clinical outcome (Box 1).

Pneumonia

Only the US Centers for Disease Control definition was recommended.²⁰ Whilst there are numerous other well-written definitions, there seemed little value in departing from such a widely used international standard (Box 2).

Respiratory failure

The group recommended two definitions covering overlapping patient scenarios. The Berlin definition of Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome was recommended, again because this is a widely used international standard, which has already been carefully developed in a consensus process. However, as many important episodes of post-operative respiratory failure are not severe enough to meet this definition, the group also recommended an adapted version of a definition reported by Fernandez-Perez,²¹ which describes re-institution of mechanical or non-invasive ventilation after extubation (Box 3).

Discussion

We have summarised and evaluated a range of definitions used to describe pulmonary outcomes in perioperative medicine research. Many definitions were imprecise, or difficult to apply consistently in large patient populations because of the requirement from resource intensive diagnostic tests (e.g. bronchoscopy). The composite outcome of post-operative pulmonary complications was widely used, but the group was concerned about the lack of equivalence of component outcomes and the differing biological mechanisms, which may cause these. We therefore propose a new definition for this outcome. Importantly, this new definition includes a measure of severity which we hope will significantly reduce the subjectivity in evaluating pulmonary outcomes, and ensure a more valid comparison between studies. The group also recommended one outcome to describe pneumonia and two outcomes to describe respiratory failure.

The adverse events identified do not represent a comprehensive list of all that may occur. Instead, we have focused on those considered most important in a mixed population of surgical patients and relevant to perioperative care as opposed to specific technical complications of surgery or anaesthesia. In some cases, we did not identify existing research that utilised outcomes that would be considered important. An example is pulmonary thromboembolism, which can only be reliably detected through screening of all participating patients in a given trial. Some outcomes may be more appropriate for use in smaller studies or early phase trials e.g. Clinical Pulmonary Infection Score.²² As previously noted, the physiological changes that follow surgery and anaesthesia, including the systemic inflammatory response, may result in partial compliance with the criteria for a number of outcome measures, in particular atelectasis. We note that reports relating to perioperative medicine are not easily identified through a literature search because there is no standard approach to the use of MeSH terms by authors of perioperative medicine research articles. There is an **argument for** creating a new MeSH heading of ‘perioperative medicine’ to ensure that trials in this field are more easily identified.

The strengths of this project include a detailed and extensive systematic literature search followed by a robust three stage Delphi process including a core group of experts in post-operative pulmonary complications as well as the wider StEP group which includes many of the leading international experts in perioperative care research. However, this work is limited by the large number of alternative definitions which have been employed in previous research. In particular, we identified a large number of composite outcomes for post-operative pulmonary complications which included a diverse range of adverse events which differed widely in frequency, severity and biological

mechanism. As a result, the group was unable to make a strong recommendation for this important outcome, and instead we have recommended a new definition which we believe is less prone to methodological bias.

Conclusions

We have summarised and evaluated a wide range of pulmonary outcome measures in perioperative medicine research, most of which were poorly defined. Our four recommended outcome measures include a new definition of post-operative pulmonary complications, incorporating an assessment of severity. Together, these definitions will meet the needs of most clinical effectiveness trials of treatments to improve post-operative pulmonary outcomes, although not every definition will be relevant to every trial. This work represents part of an international initiative to improve the definition and use of outcome measures in clinical effectiveness trials in perioperative medicine. These projects include the recent work by the European Society of Anaesthesiology and European Society of Intensive Care Medicine joint taskforce on perioperative outcome measures,²⁰ and the Core Outcome Measures in Perioperative and Anaesthetic Care (COMPAC) project which is part of the Core Outcome Measures in Effectiveness Trials (COMET) initiative.²³

Authors Contributions

All authors contributed to protocol design, data acquisition, analysis and preparation of the manuscript.

Funding acknowledgements

The Standardized Endpoints for Perioperative Medicine (StEP) Collaborative is supported by an unrestricted grant from the British Journal of Anaesthesia. TA was supported by a Medical Research Council and British Journal of Anaesthesia Clinical Research Training Fellowship. RP is a National Institute for Health Research (UK) Professor.

Declaration of Interest

RP holds research grants, and has given lectures and/or performed consultancy work for Nestle Health Sciences, BBraun, Medtronic, Glaxo Smithkline, Intersurgical, and Edwards Lifesciences, and is a member of the Associate editorial board of the British Journal of Anaesthesia. All other authors declare no conflicts of interests. MGA has given lectures and/or performed consultancy for Drägerwerk AG, Lübeck, Germany, and Ventinova Ltd, Eindhoven, Netherlands. MG is an elected council member of the Royal College of Anaesthetists. TG is a member of the Editorial Board for Anesthesia and Analgesia. EF reported receiving consulting fees from Edwards Lifesciences and Dräger; lecture fees from Dräger, GE Healthcare, Edwards Lifesciences, Fisher and Paykel Healthcare, Fresenius Kabi, and Getinge. JC Associate Editor of the journal Anesthesiology. MG is the National Specialty Lead for Anaesthesia, Perioperative Medicine and Pain within the UK National Institute of Health Research Clinical Research Network, an elected council member of the Royal College of Anaesthetists and serves on the board of Oxygen Control Systems Ltd, the Evidence Based Perioperative Medicine (EBPOM) social enterprise and the medical advisory board of Sphere Medical Ltd. MG has received honoraria for speaking and/or travel expenses from Edwards Lifesciences, Fresenius-Kabi, BOC Medical (Linde Group), Ely-Lilly Critical Care, and Cortex GmbH. MG is executive chair of the Xtreme-Everest Oxygen Research Consortium and joint Editor-in-Chief of the journal Perioperative Medicine. MM is a paid consultant for Edwards Lifesciences, Deltex and Baxter; his chair at UCL is sponsored by Smiths Medical; Director Evidence Based Perioperative Medicine (EBPOM) Community Interest Company, director of Medinspire Ltd, Clinical Hydration Solutions, Clinical Fabric Solutions Ltd, Oxygen Control Systems Ltd and a share-holder and scientific advisor for Medical Defense Technologies LLC. MM sits on the editorial boards of the British Journal of Anaesthesia, Critical Care and is Editor-in-Chief of Perioperative Medicine. All other authors declare they have no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Abbott TEF, Fowler AJ, Dobbs TD, Harrison EM, Gillies MA, Pearse RM. Frequency of surgical treatment and related hospital procedures in the UK: a national ecological study using hospital episode statistics. *Br J Anaesth* 2017; **119**: 249-57
2. Weiser TG, Haynes AB, Molina G, et al. Estimate of the global volume of surgery in 2012: an assessment supporting improved health outcomes. *Lancet* 2015; **385** Suppl 2: S11
3. Pearse RM, Holt PJ, Grocott MP. Managing perioperative risk in patients undergoing elective non-cardiac surgery. *Bmj* 2011; **343**: d5759
4. Pearse RM, Moreno RP, Bauer P, et al. Mortality after surgery in Europe: a 7 day cohort study. *Lancet* 2012; **380**: 1059-65
5. International Surgical Outcomes Study g. Global patient outcomes after elective surgery: prospective cohort study in 27 low-, middle- and high-income countries. *Br J Anaesth* 2016; **117**: 601-9
6. Jhanji S, Thomas B, Ely A, Watson D, Hinds CJ, Pearse RM. Mortality and utilisation of critical care resources amongst high-risk surgical patients in a large NHS trust. *Anaesthesia* 2008; **63**: 695-700
7. Khuri SF, Henderson WG, DePalma RG, et al. Determinants of long-term survival after major surgery and the adverse effect of postoperative complications. *Annals of surgery* 2005; **242**: 326-41
8. Ackland GL, Iqbal S, Paredes LG, et al. Individualised oxygen delivery targeted haemodynamic therapy in high-risk surgical patients: a multicentre, randomised, double-blind, controlled, mechanistic trial. *Lancet Respir Med* 2015; **3**: 33-41
9. Warner DO. Preventing postoperative pulmonary complications: the role of the anesthesiologist. *Anesthesiology* 2000; **92**: 1467-72
10. Arozullah AM, Daley J, Henderson WG, Khuri SF. Multifactorial risk index for predicting postoperative respiratory failure in men after major noncardiac surgery. The National Veterans Administration Surgical Quality Improvement Program. *Ann Surg* 2000; **232**: 242-53
11. Ireland CJ, Chapman TM, Mathew SF, Herbison GP, Zacharias M. Continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) during the postoperative period for prevention of postoperative morbidity and mortality following major abdominal surgery. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews* 2014; **8**: CD008930-CD
12. Nunn JF, Payne JP. Hypoxaemia after general anaesthesia. *Lancet* 1962; **2**: 631-2
13. Koroshetz W. A core set of trial outcomes for every medical discipline? *Bmj* 2015; **350**: h85
14. Tovey D. The impact of Cochrane Reviews. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2011; **2011**: ED000007
15. Myles PS, Grocott MP, Boney O, Moonesinghe SR, Group CO-S. Standardizing end points in perioperative trials: towards a core and extended outcome set. *Br J Anaesth* 2016; **116**: 586-9
16. Diamond IR, Grant RC, Feldman BM, et al. Defining consensus: a systematic review recommends methodologic criteria for reporting of Delphi studies. *Journal of clinical epidemiology* 2014; **67**: 401-9
17. Sinha IP, Smyth RL, Williamson PR. Using the Delphi technique to determine which outcomes to measure in clinical trials: recommendations for the future based on a systematic review of existing studies. *PLoS medicine* 2011; **8**: e1000393
18. Kirkham JJ, Gorst S, Altman DG, et al. COS-STAR: a reporting guideline for studies developing core outcome sets (protocol). *Trials* 2015; **16**: 373
19. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, et al. GRADE guidelines: 2. Framing the question and deciding on important outcomes. *Journal of clinical epidemiology* 2011; **64**: 395-400
20. Jammer I, Wickboldt N, Sander M, et al. Standards for definitions and use of outcome measures for clinical effectiveness research in perioperative medicine: European Perioperative Clinical Outcome (EPCO) definitions: a statement from the ESA-ESICM joint taskforce on perioperative outcome measures. *European journal of anaesthesiology* 2015; **32**: 88-105
21. Fernandez-Perez ER, Sprung J, Afessa B, et al. Intraoperative ventilator settings and acute lung injury after elective surgery: a nested case control study. *Thorax* 2009; **64**: 121-7

22. Severgnini P, Selmo G, Lanza C, et al. Protective mechanical ventilation during general anesthesia for open abdominal surgery improves postoperative pulmonary function. *Anesthesiology* 2013; **118**: 1307-21
23. initiative C. Core Outcome Measures in Perioperative and Anaesthetic Care (COMPAC). 2016. Available from <http://www.comet-initiative.org/studies/details/632>
24. Agostini P, Cieslik H, Rathinam S, et al. Postoperative pulmonary complications following thoracic surgery: are there any modifiable risk factors? *Thorax* 2010; **65**: 815-8
25. Barrera R, Shi WJ, Amar D, et al. Smoking and timing of cessation - Impact on pulmonary complications after thoracotomy. *Chest* 2005; **127**: 1977-83
26. Bellinetti LM, Thomson JC. Respiratory muscle evaluation in elective thoracotomies and laparotomies of the upper abdomen. *Jornal brasileiro de pneumologia : publicacao oficial da Sociedade Brasileira de Pneumologia e Tisiologia* 2006; **32**: 99-105
27. Canet J, Gallart L, Gomar C, et al. Prediction of postoperative pulmonary complications in a population-based surgical cohort. *Anesthesiology* 2010; **113**: 1338-50
28. Casado D, Lopez F, Marti R. Perioperative fluid management and major respiratory complications in patients undergoing esophagectomy. *Diseases of the Esophagus* 2010; **23**: 523-8
29. Dronkers J, Veldman A, Hoberg E, van der Waal C, van Meeteren N. Prevention of pulmonary complications after upper abdominal surgery by preoperative intensive inspiratory muscle training: a randomized controlled pilot study. *Clinical Rehabilitation* 2008; **22**: 134-42
30. Futier E, Constantin JM, Paugam-Burtz C, et al. A trial of intraoperative low-tidal-volume ventilation in abdominal surgery. *The New England journal of medicine* 2013; **369**: 428-37
31. Galvao Serejo LG, da Silva Junior FP, Catunda Bastos JP, de Bruin GS, Salani Mota RM, Carvalho de Bruin PF. Risk factors for pulmonary complications after emergency abdominal surgery. *Respiratory Medicine* 2007; **101**: 808-13
32. Grant MC, Yang D, Stone A, Wu CL, Wick EC. A Meta-analysis of Intraoperative Ventilation Strategies to Prevent Pulmonary Complications: Is Low Tidal Volume Alone Sufficient to Protect Healthy Lungs? *Ann Surg* 2015
33. Guimaraes MMF, El Dib R, Smith AF, Matos D. Incentive spirometry for prevention of postoperative pulmonary complications in upper abdominal surgery. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2009
34. Haines KJ, Skinner EH, Berney S, Austin Hlth PS. Association of postoperative pulmonary complications with delayed mobilisation following major abdominal surgery: an observational cohort study. *Physiotherapy* 2013; **99**: 119-25
35. Hemmes SNT, Gama de Abreu M, Pelosi P, Schultz MJ, the PNiftCTNo, European Society of A. High versus low positive end-expiratory pressure during general anaesthesia for open abdominal surgery (PROVHILO trial): a multicentre randomised controlled trial. *Lancet (London, England)* 2014; **384**: 495-503
36. Hodari A, Tsiouris A, Eichenhorn M, Horst M, Rubinfeld I. Exploring National Surgical Quality Improvement Program respiratory comorbidities: developing a predictive understanding of postoperative respiratory occurrences, Clavien 4 complications, and death. *Journal of Surgical Research* 2013; **183**: 663-7
37. Hortal J, Giannella M, Jesus Perez M, et al. Incidence and risk factors for ventilator-associated pneumonia after major heart surgery. *Intensive Care Medicine* 2009; **35**: 1518-25
38. Ladha K, Vidal Melo MF, McLean DJ, et al. Intraoperative protective mechanical ventilation and risk of postoperative respiratory complications: hospital based registry study. *Bmj* 2015; **351**: h3646
39. Li Q, Yao G, Zhu X. High-dose Ambroxol Reduces Pulmonary Complications in Patients with Acute Cervical Spinal Cord Injury After Surgery. *Neurocritical Care* 2012; **16**: 267-72
40. Lunardi AC, Paisani DM, Marques da Silva CCB, Cano DP, Tanaka C, Carvalho CRF. Comparison of Lung Expansion Techniques on Thoracoabdominal Mechanics and Incidence of Pulmonary Complications After Upper Abdominal Surgery A Randomized and Controlled Trial. *Chest* 2015; **148**: 1003-10

41. Mackay MR, Ellis E, Johnston C. Randomised clinical trial of physiotherapy after open abdominal surgery in high risk patients. *The Australian journal of physiotherapy* 2005; **51**: 151-9
42. McAlister FA, Bertsch K, Man J, Bradley J, Jacka M. Incidence of and risk factors for pulmonary complications after nonthoracic surgery. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 2005; **171**: 514-7
43. Muehling BM, Halter GL, Schelzig H, et al. Reduction of postoperative pulmonary complications after lung surgery using a fast track clinical pathway. *European journal of cardio-thoracic surgery : official journal of the European Association for Cardio-thoracic Surgery* 2008; **34**: 174-80
44. Nascimento Junior Pd, Modolo NSP, Andrade S, et al. Incentive spirometry for prevention of postoperative pulmonary complications in upper abdominal surgery. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews* 2014; **2**: CD006058-CD
45. Paisani DM, Fiore Jr JF, Lunardi AC, et al. Preoperative 6-min walking distance does not predict pulmonary complications in upper abdominal surgery. *Respirology* 2012; **17**: 1013-7
46. Scholes RL, Browning L, Sztendur EM, Denehy L. Duration of anaesthesia, type of surgery, respiratory co-morbidity, predicted VO(2)max and smoking predict postoperative pulmonary complications after upper abdominal surgery: an observational study. *Australian Journal of Physiotherapy* 2009; **55**: 191-8
47. Vidotto MC, Sogame LC, Gazzotti MR, Prandini M, Jardim JR. Implications of extubation failure and prolonged mechanical ventilation in the postoperative period following elective intracranial surgery. *Brazilian Journal of Medical and Biological Research* 2011; **44**: 1291-8
48. Yang M, Ahn HJ, Kim K, et al. Does a Protective Ventilation Strategy Reduce the Risk of Pulmonary Complications After Lung Cancer Surgery? A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Chest* 2011; **139**: 530-7
49. Zarbock A, Mueller E, Netzer S, Gabriel A, Feindt P, Kindgen-Milles D. Prophylactic Nasal Continuous Positive Airway Pressure Following Cardiac Surgery Protects From Postoperative Pulmonary Complications A Prospective, Randomized, Controlled Trial in 500 Patients. *Chest* 2009; **135**: 1252-9
50. Akutsu Y, Matsubara H, Shuto K, et al. Pre-operative dental brushing can reduce the risk of postoperative pneumonia in esophageal cancer patients. *Surgery* 2010; **147**: 497-502
51. Cassidy MR, Rosenkranz P, McCabe K, Rosen JE, McAneny D. I COUGH Reducing Postoperative Pulmonary Complications With a Multidisciplinary Patient Care Program. *Jama Surgery* 2013; **148**: 740-5
52. Markar SR, Walsh SR, Griffin K, Khandanpour N, Tang TY, Boyle JR. Assessment of a multifactorial risk index for predicting postoperative pneumonia after open abdominal aortic aneurysm repair. *Vascular* 2009; **17**: 36-9
53. Schussler O, Alifano M, Dermine H, et al. Postoperative pneumonia after major lung resection. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 2006; **173**: 1161-9
54. Schussler O, Dermine H, Alifano M, et al. Should we change antibiotic prophylaxis for lung surgery? Postoperative pneumonia is the critical issue. *The Annals of thoracic surgery* 2008; **86**: 1727-33
55. Bagyi K, Haczku A, Marton I, et al. Role of pathogenic oral flora in postoperative pneumonia following brain surgery. *Bmc Infectious Diseases* 2009; **9**
56. Bouza E, Perez MJ, Munoz P, Rincon C, Barrio JM, Hortal J. Continuous Aspiration of Subglottic Secretions in the Prevention of Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia in the Postoperative Period of Major Heart Surgery. *Chest* 2008; **134**: 938-46
57. Jiao J, Wang M, Zhang J, Shen K, Liao X, Zhou X. Procalcitonin as a diagnostic marker of ventilator-associated pneumonia in cardiac surgery patients. *Experimental and therapeutic medicine* 2015; **9**: 1051-7
58. Noemi Nicolosi L, del Carmen Rubio M, Daniel Martinez C, Noemi Gonzalez N, Edith Cruz M. Effect of Oral Hygiene and 0.12% Chlorhexidine Gluconate Oral Rinse in Preventing Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia After Cardiovascular Surgery. *Respiratory Care* 2014; **59**: 504-9

59. Pelosi P, Barassi A, Severgnini P, et al. Prognostic role of clinical and laboratory criteria to identify early ventilator-associated pneumonia in brain injury. *Chest* 2008; **134**: 101-8

60. Perkins GD, Gates S, Park D, et al. The Beta Agonist Lung Injury Trial Prevention. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 2014; **189**: 674-83

61. Canadian Orthopaedic Trauma S. Reamed versus unreamed intramedullary nailing of the femur: comparison of the rate of ARDS in multiple injured patients. *Journal of orthopaedic trauma* 2006; **20**: 384-7

62. Force ADT, Ranieri VM, Rubenfeld GD, et al. Acute respiratory distress syndrome: the Berlin Definition. *Jama* 2012; **307**: 2526-33

63. Camp SL, Stamou SC, Stiegel RM, et al. Quality Improvement Program Increases Early Tracheal Extubation Rate and Decreases Pulmonary Complications and Resource Utilization After Cardiac Surgery. *Journal of Cardiac Surgery* 2009; **24**: 414-23

64. Squadrone V, Coxa M, Cerutti E, et al. Continuous positive airway pressure for treatment of postoperative hypoxemia - A randomized controlled trial. *Jama-Journal of the American Medical Association* 2005; **293**: 589-95

Box 1. Recommended definition of post-operative pulmonary complications.

Post-operative Pulmonary complications

Mechanism

Composite of respiratory diagnoses that share common pathophysiological mechanisms including pulmonary collapse and airway contamination:

- Atelectasis detected on computed tomography or chest radiograph
- Pneumonia using US Centers for Disease Control criteria
- Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome using Berlin consensus definition
- Pulmonary aspiration (clear clinical history AND radiological evidence)

Severity

None: Planned use of supplemental oxygen or mechanical respiratory support as part of routine care, but not in response to a complication or deteriorating physiology. Therapies which are purely preventive or prophylactic e.g. high flow nasal oxygen or continuous positive airways pressure (CPAP) should be recorded as none

Mild: Therapeutic supplemental oxygen <0.6 FiO₂

Moderate: Therapeutic supplemental oxygen ≥ 0.6 FiO₂ and/or requirement for high-flow nasal oxygen

Severe: Unplanned non-invasive mechanical ventilation, CPAP, or invasive mechanical ventilation requiring endotracheal intubation

Exclusions

Other diagnoses that do not share a common biological mechanism are best evaluated separately and only when clearly relevant to the treatment under investigation:

- Pulmonary embolism
- Pleural effusion
- Cardiogenic pulmonary oedema
- Pneumothorax
- Bronchospasm

Box 2. Recommended definition of post-operative pneumonia.

US Centers for Disease Control definition of pneumonia

Two or more serial chest radiographs with at least one of the following (one radiograph is sufficient for patients with no underlying pulmonary or cardiac disease):

(1) New or progressive and persistent infiltrates (2) consolidation (3) cavitation; AND at least one of the following:

- 1. fever ($>38^{\circ}\text{C}$) with no other recognised cause
- 2. leucopaenia (white cell count $<4 \times 10^9 \text{ L}^{-1}$) or leucocytosis (white cell count $>12 \times 10^9 \text{ L}^{-1}$)
- 3. for adults >70 years old, altered mental status with no other recognised cause;

AND at least two of the following:

- 1. new onset of purulent sputum or change in character of sputum, or increased respiratory secretions, or increased suctioning requirements
- 2. new onset or worsening cough, or dyspnoea, or tachypnoea
- 3. rales or bronchial breath sounds
- 4. worsening gas exchange (hypoxaemia, increased oxygen requirement, increased ventilator demand)

Box 3. Recommended definition of post-operative respiratory failure.**Berlin definition of Respiratory Distress Syndrome**

Timing: Within one week of a known clinical insult or new or worsening respiratory symptoms
AND...

Chest imaging: Bilateral opacities not fully explained by effusions, lobar/lung collapse or nodules
AND...

Origin of oedema: Respiratory failure not fully explained by cardiac failure or fluid overload
(requires objective assessment, e.g. echocardiography, to exclude hydrostatic oedema), AND...

Oxygenation: Mild. $\text{PaO}_2:\text{FiO}_2$ between 26.7 and 40.0 kPa (200–300 mmHg) with PEEP or CPAP ≥ 5 cmH₂O. Moderate. $\text{PaO}_2:\text{FiO}_2$ between 13.3 and 26.6 kPa (100–200 mmHg) with PEEP ≥ 5 cmH₂O. Severe. $\text{PaO}_2:\text{FiO}_2 \leq 13.3$ kPa (100 mmHg) with PEEP ≥ 5 cmH₂O.

Mechanical ventilation

The need for need for endotracheal re-intubation and mechanical ventilation after extubation,
and within 30 days after surgery OR mechanical ventilation for more than 24 hours after surgery.
The inclusion of non-invasive ventilation may be considered on a study by study basis.

Table 1. Alternative definitions of ‘Post-operative pulmonary complications’ identified in the literature search.
Includes composite respiratory outcomes recorded as: 'post-operative pulmonary complications', 'major pulmonary complications', 'major respiratory complications', 'post-operative respiratory complications', 'post-operative respiratory outcomes', 'pulmonary complications' or 'respiratory complications'.

Lead author	Outcome measure	Definition	Round 1	Round 2	Round 3
Agostini et al ²⁴	Post-operative Pulmonary Complications	Four or more of: atelectasis or consolidation on chest x-ray; white cell count >11.2x10 ⁹ /L or administration of respiratory antibiotics post-operatively (in addition to prophylactic antibiotics); temperature >38°C; signs of infection on sputum microbiology; purulent sputum differing from preoperative status; oxygen saturations <90% on room air; physician diagnosis of pneumonia; or prolonged HDU stay or readmission to HDU or ITU for respiratory complications	Red	-	-
Barrera et al ²⁵	Post-operative Pulmonary Complications	Any of: respiratory failure requiring intensive care admission and/or intubation; pneumonia (new pulmonary infiltrate with fever treated with intra-venous antibiotics); atelectasis requiring bronchoscopy (need determined by the surgical team); pulmonary embolism (diagnosed by computed tomography scan and treated); and need for supplemental oxygen at hospital discharge.	Red	-	-
Bellinetti et al ²⁶	Post-operative Respiratory Complications	Any of: pneumonia (core temperature over 38°C, radiological signs of pulmonary consolidation, and productive cough); atelectasis with evident clinical repercussions; bronchospasm; prolonged mechanical ventilation; pleural effusion or pneumothorax; surgical re-intervention caused by inadequate lung re-expansion; or death related or not to pulmonary disease.	Amber	-	-

Canet et al ²⁷	Post-operative Pulmonary Complications	Any of: respiratory infection (antibiotics for a suspected respiratory infection and at least one of: new or changed sputum, new or changed lung opacities, fever, leucocyte count >12,000/ μ), respiratory failure (post-operative PaO ₂ <60 mmHg on room air, a ratio of PaO ₂ to inspired oxygen fraction <300, or pulse oximetry <90% and requiring oxygen therapy), pleural effusion (chest x-ray demonstrating blunting of the costo-phrenic angle, loss of the sharp silhouette of the ipsilateral hemi-diaphragm when upright, displacement of adjacent anatomical structures, or a hazy opacity in one hemithorax with preserved vascular shadows when supine), atelectasis (lung opacification with a shift of the mediastinum, hilum or hemi-diaphragm, and compensatory over inflation in the adjacent non-atelectatic lung), pneumothorax (air in the pleural space with no vascular bed surrounding the visceral pleura), bronchospasm (newly detected expiratory wheezing), or aspiration pneumonitis (acute lung injury after the inhalation of regurgitated gastric contents).	Green	Median score 7	Median score 7
				44/75 scored ≥ 7	6/10 scored ≥ 7
Casado et al ²⁸	Respiratory Complications	Any of: pneumonia confirmed by a positive culture result (endotracheal aspiration or blood sample) or chest X-ray; acute lung injury (PaO ₂ /FiO ₂ <300), or adult distress respiratory syndrome (ARDS) (PaO ₂ /FiO ₂ <200 regardless of the level of positive end-expiratory pressure, pulmonary capillary wedge pressure <18 mmHg or bilateral infiltrates consistent with pulmonary oedema without clinical evidence of left ventricular failure) according to the American-European Consensus Conference (1994).	Red	-	-
Dronkers et al ²⁹	Post-operative Pulmonary Complications	Operationalized as atelectasis, which is considered a 'precursor' of more clinically relevant post-operative pulmonary complications. A blinded radiologist evaluated radiographs of the lung base for the presence of atelectasis.	Red	-	-
Futier et al ³⁰	Major Pulmonary Complications	Pneumonia defined according to standard criteria or the need for invasive or non-invasive ventilation for acute respiratory failure.	Red	-	-
Galvao Serejo et al ³¹	Post-operative Pulmonary Complications	Any of: pneumonia (presence of new or progressive pulmonary infiltration on chest x-ray associated with at least two of: purulent trachea-bronchial secretion, elevated temperature >38.3°C or increased blood leucocytes (>25% of base count); atelectasis (pulmonary atelectasis on chest x-ray associated with acute respiratory symptoms without fulfilling the criteria for pneumonia); pleural effusion (excessive fluid in the pleural space, detected by clinical examination and chest radiograph, requiring percutaneous intervention); acute respiratory failure (acute deficiency of gas exchange requiring mechanical ventilation). If patients with pneumonia developed respiratory failure they would be included only in the group "acute respiratory failure".	Amber	-	-

1						
2						
3						
4						
5	Grant et al ³²	Post-operative Pulmonary Complications	Any of: acute lung injury, pulmonary infection or atelectasis, as defined by the individual studies included in the meta-analysis.	Red	-	-
6						
7	Guimaraes et al ³³	Pulmonary Complications	Any of: i) atelectasis (radiographic, tomographic, or bronchoscopic diagnosis in patients whose clinical signs were acute respiratory symptoms such as dyspnoea, cough, wheeze); ii) respiratory failure (radiographical diagnosis in patients with signs of acute respiratory symptoms such as tracheobronchial purulent secretions, fever (>38°C), or increased white blood cell count (>10,000/mm ³) or; iii) tracheobronchial infection or pneumonia.	Red	-	-
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13	Haines et al ³⁴	Post-operative Pulmonary Complications	Four or more of: Chest radiograph report of collapse/consolidation; oral temperature >38°C on more than one consecutive post-operative day; pulse-oximetry (SpO ₂) <90% on more than one consecutive post-operative day; production of yellow or green sputum different to pre-operative assessment; infection on sputum culture report; unexplained white cell count >11×10 ⁹ /L or antibiotic therapy for respiratory infection; new abnormal breath sounds on auscultation different to preoperative assessment; or physician diagnosis of post-operative pulmonary complication.	Red	-	-
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20	Hemmes et al ³⁵	Post-operative Pulmonary Complications	Any of: hypoxaemia, severe hypoxaemia, bronchospasm, suspected pulmonary infection, pulmonary infiltrate, aspiration pneumonitis, development of acute respiratory distress syndrome, atelectasis, pleural effusion, pulmonary oedema caused by cardiac failure, and pneumothorax.	Green	Median score 6	Median score 6
21						
22						
23					32/75 scored ≥7	5/10 scored ≥7
24						
25						
26	Hodari et al ³⁶	Post-operative Respiratory Outcomes	Respiratory outcomes tracked in NSQIP: re-intubation (placement of an endotracheal tube and mechanical or assisted ventilation due to respiratory or cardiac failure manifested by severe respiratory distress, hypoxia, hypercarbia, or respiratory acidosis within 30 days of surgery); post-operative pneumonia (one of two criteria: Criterion 1: rales or dullness to percussion on physical examination of chest plus: 1) new onset of purulent sputum or change in character of sputum; or 2) organism isolated from blood culture; or 3) isolation of pathogen from specimen obtained by trans-tracheal aspirate, bronchial brushing, or biopsy. Criterion 2: chest x-ray shows new or progressive infiltrate, consolidation, cavitation, or pleural effusion and any of: 1) new onset of purulent sputum or change in character of sputum; or 2) organism isolated from blood culture; or 3) isolation of pathogen from specimen obtained by trans-tracheal aspirate, bronchial brushing, or biopsy; or 4) isolation of virus or detection of viral antigen in respiratory secretions; or 5) diagnostic single antibody titer (immunoglobulin M) or fourfold increase in paired serum samples (immunoglobulin G) for pathogen; or 6) histopathologic evidence of pneumonia); and prolonged ventilation (ventilator-assisted respirations	Green	Median score 7	Median score 6
27						
28						
29						
30					38/75 scored ≥7	4/10 scored ≥7
31						
32						
33						
34						
35						
36						
37						
38						
39						
40						
41						
42						
43						
44						
45						
46						
47						

during post-operative hospitalisation >48 hours).

Hulzebos et al ³⁷	Post-operative Pulmonary Complications	Grade 1: Cough, or micro-atelectasis (abnormal lung findings and temperature >37.5°C without other cause) or normal/unavailable chest x-ray, or dyspnoea not due to other documented cause. Grade 2: Productive cough, not due to other cause, or bronchospasm (new wheezing or pre-existent wheezing resulting in change therapy), or hypoxemia (alveolar-arterial gradient >29 and symptoms of dyspnoea or wheezing) or atelectasis (radiological confirmation plus either temperature >37.5°C or abnormal lung findings) or hypercarbia (transient, requiring treatment, such as naloxone or increased manual or mechanical ventilation) or adverse reaction to pulmonary medication. Grade 3: Pleural effusion, resulting in thoracentesis, or suspected pneumonia (radiological evidence without bacteriological confirmation) or proven pneumonia (radiological evidence with pathological organism by Gram stain or culture) or pneumothorax or post-operative re-intubation or intubation (period of ventilator dependence not >48 hours). Grade 4: Ventilatory failure (ventilator dependence >48 hours) or re-intubation with ventilator dependence.	Green	Median score 7 37/75 scored ≥7	Median score 6 4/10 scored ≥7
Ireland et al ¹¹	Major Respiratory Complications	Significant atelectasis, pneumonia, significant hypoxia, tracheal re-intubation, intensive care unit (ICU) admission). Atelectasis was defined by the authors of the individual studies.	Red	-	-
Ladha et al ³⁸	Post-operative Respiratory Complications	Any of: re-intubation, respiratory failure, pneumonia and pulmonary oedema within three days of the procedure.	Red	-	-

1						
2						
3						
4						
5	Li et al ³⁹	Post-operative Pulmonary Complications	Pulmonary infection (fever with positive sputum culture and infiltration on chest X-ray), atelectasis, or hypoxemia (SaO ₂ <90% for >30 minutes).	Red	-	-
6						
7						
8	Lunardi et al ⁴⁰	Post-operative Pulmonary Complications	Any of: atelectasis with clinical consequences, hypoxemia with oxygen saturation <85% and need of supplemental oxygen, pneumonia, or acute respiratory failure.	Red	-	-
9						
10						
11	Mackay et al ⁴¹	Post-operative Pulmonary Complications	Three or more of the following signs within the same day, in the first 14 days after surgery: Auscultation changes (decreased breath sounds, crackles, wheezes, bronchial breathing) that were additional to those found prior to surgery. Temperature >38°C. Chest x-ray changes consistent with collapse, consolidation, or atelectasis. Increase in amount and/or changed colour of sputum produced, compared to what the patient reports is usual for them.	Red	-	-
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17	McAlister et al ⁴²	Post-operative Pulmonary Complications	Any one of: respiratory failure requiring mechanical ventilation, pneumonia, atelectasis requiring bronchoscopic intervention, and pneumothorax or pleural effusion requiring percutaneous intervention.	Red	-	-
18						
19						
20						
21	Muehling et al ⁴³	Post-operative Pulmonary Complications	Any one of: atelectasis; pneumonia; or prolonged air leak >7 days. Atelectasis was diagnosed on chest x-ray or computed tomography scan; pneumonia was confirmed if antibiotic medication was required due to clinical and radiological signs of infection combined with elevated white cell count or raised CRP.	Red	-	-
22						
23						
24	Nascimento et al ⁴⁴	Pulmonary Complications	Any one of: atelectasis (radiographic, tomographic, or bronchoscopic diagnosis in patients whose clinical signs were acute respiratory symptoms such as dyspnoea, cough, wheeze); respiratory failure (radiographical diagnosis in patients with signs of acute respiratory symptoms such as tracheobronchial purulent secretions, fever (>38°C), or increased white blood cell count (>10,000/mm ³); tracheobronchial infection or pneumonia.	Red	-	-
25						
26						
27						
28						
29						
30	Paisani et al ⁴⁵	Post-operative Pulmonary Complications	One or more of: pneumonia (presence of radiological evidence of pulmonary infiltration associated with at least two of: purulent sputum, temperature >38.0°C or leucocytosis >25% above baseline value); trachea-bronchitis (marked increase in sputum production or presence of purulent sputum when chest x-ray normal); atelectasis (radiological evidence of atelectasis associated with dyspnoea); acute respiratory failure (acute deficiency of gas exchange with necessity for invasive or non-invasive mechanical ventilation); bronchoconstriction (wheezing associated with dyspnoea, requiring bronchodilators or change in previous dosage of bronchodilator.	Amber	-	-
31						
32						
33						
34						
35						
36						
37						
38						
39						
40						
41						
42						
43						
44						
45						
46						
47						

Scholes et al ⁴⁶	Pulmonary Complications	Four or more of: Chest radiograph report of collapse/consolidation; oral temperature >38°C on more than one consecutive post-operative day; pulse-oximetry (SpO ₂) <90% on more than one consecutive post-operative day; production of yellow or green sputum different to pre-operative assessment; infection on sputum culture report; unexplained white cell count >11×10 ⁹ /L or antibiotic therapy for respiratory infection; new abnormal breath sounds on auscultation different to preoperative assessment; or physician diagnosis of post-operative pulmonary complication.	Red	-	-
Vidotto et al ⁴⁷	Post-operative pulmonary complications	Any one of: acute respiratory infection (pneumonia or purulent trachea-bronchitis, where pneumonia was established by the presence of lung infiltration on chest x-ray associated with at least two of: purulent tracheobronchial secretion, temperature >38.3°C or a 25% increase in baseline blood leucocytes; and purulent trachea-bronchitis was diagnosed when tracheobronchial secretions increased in amount or changed in colour or purulence, associated with a normal chest x-ray); atelectasis (acute respiratory symptoms associated with radiological imaging); bronchospasm (wheezing detectable with a stethoscope associated with acute respiratory symptoms and the need for medication therapy).	Amber	-	-
Yang et al ⁴⁸	Post-operative pulmonary complications	PaO ₂ /FiO ₂ >300 mmHg and/or the presence of newly developed lung lesions (lung infiltration and atelectasis) within 72 hours of the operation.	Red	-	-
Zarbock et al ⁴⁹	Post-operative Pulmonary Complications	Any one of: decreased pulmonary oxygen transfer ratio, nosocomial pneumonia, re-intubation rate, and readmission to the intensive care unit after elective discharge to the general ward.	Red	-	-

Table 2. Definitions of pneumonia outcome measures.

Lead author	Outcome measure	Definition	Round 1	Round 2	Round 3
Akutsu et al ⁵⁰	Post-operative pneumonia	All of: increase of sputum, opacity on chest x-ray, consolidation on computed tomography, increased temperature, increased white blood cell count, and CRP value in the serum.	Red	-	-
Cassidy et al ⁵¹	Post-operative pneumonia	At least one definitive chest x-ray, and at least one sign (fever, leucocytosis, or altered mental status with no other cause), and at least one microbiologic laboratory finding (positive cultures from blood, broncho-alveolar lavage, or pleural fluid) or at least two symptoms (purulent sputum, worsening cough, dyspnoea or tachypnoea, rales or rhonchi, or worsening gas exchange).	Amber	Median score 5 20/75 scored ≥7	Median score 5 3/10 scored ≥7
Markar et al ⁵²	Post-operative pneumonia	Pyrexia, productive cough, raised white cell count, and localising signs on chest examination or chest radiography.	Red	-	-
Schussler et al, 2006 ⁵³	Post-operative pneumonia	Fibreoptic bronchoscopy samples were obtained before antibiotic therapy in every patient with signs of pneumonia: abnormal radiographic findings (new or changing radiographic infiltrates that persisted after physiotherapy or broncho-aspiration), fever >38°C, and one of: a new rise in CRP or WBC count over the last 24 hours (with WBC >12x10 ⁹ /L) or increased and modified expectorate, possibly purulent. Patients with positive plugged telescopic catheter sample (>103 cfu/ml), protected specimen brush sample (>103cfu/ml), or positive blood culture represented the “documented POP” group. If the significant cut-off values were not reached, but clinical and radiologic improvement occurred after the administration of antibiotics, patients were considered as having “non-documented POP.”	Red	-	-
Schussler et al, 2008 ⁵⁴	Post-operative pneumonia	Quantitative fibreoptic bronchoscopy aspiration, plugged telescopic catheter (PTC), or protected specimen brush (PSB) sampling in case of: abnormal radiographic findings (new or changing radiographic infiltrates that persisted after physiotherapy or fibreoptic bronchial aspiration), fever >38°C and one of: purulent secretions or an increase of >30% of the CRP or white blood cell count during the last 24 hours (with count >12x10 ⁹ /L). POP was considered documented if bacteria were identified in blood culture or at the 48-hour culture of the fibreoptic sample with thresholds: PTC or PSB at 103 ≥CFU/mL, or QEBA at ≥106 CFU/mL.	Red	-	-

1						
2						
3						
4						
5	Bagyi et al ⁵⁵	Pneumonia	According to American Thoracic Society guidelines by x-ray (new or progressive radiographic infiltrate), new onset of fever >38.0°C, symptoms of coughing with purulent sputum, chest pain and leucocytosis (white blood cell count >10 G/L).	Red	-	-
6						
7						
8	Centers for Disease	Pneumonia	Two or more serial chest radiographs with at least one of the following (one radiograph is sufficient for patients with no underlying pulmonary or cardiac disease):	Green	Median	Median
9	Control definition ²⁰		New or progressive and persistent infiltrates (2) consolidation (3) cavitation; at least one of the following:		score 8	score 8
10			1. fever (>38°C) with no other recognised cause		56/75	10/10
11			2. leucopaenia (white cell count < 4 x 10 ⁹ L ⁻¹) or leucocytosis (white cell count >12 x10 ⁹ L ⁻¹)		scored	scored
12			3. for adults >70 years old, altered mental status with no other recognised cause;		≥7	≥7
13			And at least two of the following:			
14			1. new onset of purulent sputum or change in character of sputum, or increased respiratory secretions, or increased suctioning requirements			
15			2. new onset or worsening cough, or dyspnoea, or tachypnoea			
16			3. ales or bronchial breath sounds			
17			4. worsening gas exchange (hypoxaemia, increased oxygen requirement, increased ventilator demand)			
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23	Bouza et al ⁵⁶	Ventilator associated pneumonia	Mechanical ventilation for 48 hours when the presence of new and/or progressive pulmonary infiltrates on a chest x-ray plus two or more of: temperature >38.5°C or <36°C; leucocytosis (>12x10 ⁹ cells/L); purulent tracheobronchial secretions; or a reduction in the PaO ₂ fraction of inspired oxygen ratio ~ 15% according to Centers for Disease Control and Prevention definitions. Patients with a clinical pulmonary infection score > 6 were also considered to have pneumonia.	Red	-	-
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
29	Hortal et al ³⁷	Ventilator associated pneumonia	New and/or progressive pulmonary infiltrates on chest radiograph in a patient ventilated more than 48 h plus two or more of: fever >38.5°C or hypothermia <36°C, leucocytosis >12x10 ⁹ /L, purulent tracheobronchial secretions or a reduction of PaO ₂ /FiO ₂ >15% in the last 48 h according to the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) definitions. We also included as pneumonia, those with a clinical pulmonary infection score (CPIS) >6. Early-onset VAP was defined as occurring within the first 4 days of hospitalization and late-onset VAP as occurring in day 5 or later on. The isolation of one or more microorganisms in significant bacterial count was required to confirm the diagnosis.	Red	-	-
30						
31						
32						
33						
34						
35						
36						
37						
38						
39						
40						
41						
42						
43						
44						
45						
46						
47						

Jiao et al ⁵⁷	Ventilator associated pneumonia	Chest x-ray results, with at least two of: Fever >38°C; a white blood cell count of >11,000 or <3,000/μl; or the presence of purulent endotracheal secretions. Microbiological samples were obtained by fibreoptic bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL), and the growth of ≥104 colony-forming units/ml microorganism culture of BAL was considered to be positive.	Red	-	-
Nicholos et al ⁵⁸	Ventilator associated pneumonia	Within 48 hours of intubation or 72 hours after extubation, evidence of a new lung infiltrate on chest x-ray and at least two of: leucocytosis, fever, or purulent tracheobronchial secretion.	Red	-	-
Severgnini et al ²²	Clinical pulmonary infection score	The modified Clinical Pulmonary Infection Score (mCPIS) was calculated by a modified original score as described by Pelosi <i>et al.</i> ⁵⁹	Amber	Median score 5 17/75 scored ≥7	Median score 4 2/10 scored ≥7

Table 3. Definitions of respiratory failure outcome measures.

			Round 1	Round 2	Round 3
Lead author	Outcome measure	Definition			
Perkins et al ⁶⁰	Acute lung injury	American-European Consensus Conference definition: acute onset of bilateral infiltrates on the chest radiograph and hypoxemia ($\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2$ ratio of <300) in the absence of clinical evidence of left atrial hypertension	Red	-	-
Canadian Orthopedic trauma ⁶¹	Acute respiratory distress syndrome	1994 American-European consensus definition: (a) acute onset, (b) bilateral chest infiltrate, (c) pulmonary artery occlusion pressure of 18 mmHg or less and no evidence of left atrial hypertension, (d) impaired oxygenation regardless of the level of positive end-expiratory pressure, and (e) a $\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2$ ratio of <200 .	Red	-	-
ARDS Definition Task Force ⁶²	Acute respiratory distress syndrome	The Berlin definition of Respiratory Distress Syndrome: Timing. Within one week of a known clinical insult or new or worsening respiratory symptoms and Chest imaging. ^a Bilateral opacities not fully explained by effusions, lobar/lung collapse or nodules and Origin of oedema. Respiratory failure not fully explained by cardiac failure or fluid overload. Need objective assessment (e.g. echocardiography) to exclude hydrostatic oedema Oxygenation. ^b Mild. $\text{PaO}_2:\text{FiO}_2$ between 26.7 and 40.0 kPa (200–300 mmHg) with PEEP or CPAP ≥ 5 cmH ₂ O. ^c Moderate. $\text{PaO}_2:\text{FiO}_2$ between 13.3 and 26.6 kPa (100–200 mmHg) with PEEP ≥ 5 cmH ₂ O. Severe. $\text{PaO}_2:\text{FiO}_2$ 13.3 kPa (100 mmHg) with PEEP ≥ 5 cmH ₂ O ^a Chest radiograph or computed tomography scan. ^b If altitude is higher than 1000 m, a correction factor should be calculated ($\text{PaO}_2:\text{FiO}_2 \times [\text{barometric pressure}/101 \text{ kPa}]$). ^c This may be delivered non-invasively in the mild acute respiratory distress syndrome group.	Green	Median score 8 53/75 scored ≥ 7	Median score 7 6/10 scored ≥ 7
Camp et al ⁶³	Early extubation	Removal of breathing tube <6 hours after arrival to cardiovascular intensive care.	Red	-	-

Squadrone et al ⁶⁴	Endotracheal intubation	Intubation was performed when patients presented with one of: severe hypoxemia (arterial oxygen saturation <80% despite maximal FiO ₂ ; respiratory acidosis (arterial pH <7.30 with carbon dioxide tension >50 mmHg; signs of patient distress with accessory muscle recruitment and paradoxical abdominal or thoracic motion; hemodynamic instability (80-90 mmHg increase or a 30-40 mmHg decrease in systolic blood pressure relative to the baseline value or need for inotropic drugs for at least two hours to maintain systolic blood pressure higher than 85 mmHg or electrocardiogram evidence of ischemia or significant ventricular arrhythmias); need for sedation for major agitation; decreased alertness defined as a Glasgow Coma Score <9; or cardiac arrest.	Amber	Median score 5	Median score 5
				26/75 scored ≥7	4/10 scored ≥7
Fernandez-Perez et al ²¹	Respiratory failure	Need for mechanical ventilation for >48 hours post-operatively or need for re-institution of mechanical or non-invasive ventilation after extubation	Amber	Median score 6	Median score 5
				25/75 scored ≥7	1/10 scored ≥7

For Peer Review