



## RESEARCH LETTER

10.1029/2018GL078607

### Key Points:

- Variability in Ross Gyre circulation is assessed using radar altimetry, including in ice-covered regions
- Ross Gyre area, strength, and throughflow vary semiannually, in response to atmospheric forcing associated with the Amundsen Sea Low
- Accounting for sea ice drag is essential to understand the Ross Gyre's response to atmospheric forcing

### Supporting Information:

- Supporting Information S1

### Correspondence to:

T. S. Dotto,  
tiagosdotto@gmail.com

### Citation:

Dotto, T. S., Naveira Garabato, A., Bacon, S., Tsamados, M., Holland, P. R., Hooley, J., et al. (2018). Variability of the Ross Gyre, Southern Ocean: Drivers and responses revealed by satellite altimetry. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL078607>

Received 3 MAY 2018

Accepted 6 JUN 2018

Accepted article online 15 JUN 2018

©2018. The Authors.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## Variability of the Ross Gyre, Southern Ocean: Drivers and Responses Revealed by Satellite Altimetry

Tiago S. Dotto<sup>1</sup> , Alberto Naveira Garabato<sup>1</sup>, Sheldon Bacon<sup>2</sup> , Michel Tsamados<sup>3</sup> , Paul R. Holland<sup>4</sup>, Jack Hooley<sup>1</sup> , Eleanor Frajka-Williams<sup>1</sup> , Andy Ridout<sup>3</sup> , and Michael P. Meredith<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Ocean and Earth Science, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK, <sup>2</sup>National Oceanography Centre, Southampton, UK, <sup>3</sup>Centre for Polar Observation and Modelling, University College London, London, UK, <sup>4</sup>British Antarctic Survey, Cambridge, UK


**Abstract** Year-round variability in the Ross Gyre (RG), Antarctica, during 2011–2015, is derived using radar altimetry. The RG is characterized by a bounded recirculating component and a westward throughflow to the south. Two modes of variability of the sea surface height and ocean surface stress curl are revealed. The first represents a large-scale sea surface height change forced by the Antarctic Oscillation. The second represents semiannual variability in gyre area and strength, driven by fluctuations in sea level pressure associated with the Amundsen Sea Low. Variability in the throughflow is also linked to the Amundsen Sea Low. An adequate description of the oceanic circulation is achieved only when sea ice drag is accounted for in the ocean surface stress. The drivers of RG variability elucidated here have significant implications for our understanding of the oceanic forcing of Antarctic Ice Sheet melting and for the downstream propagation of its ocean freshening footprint.

**Plain Language Summary** The Ross Gyre is one of the main current systems of the Southern Ocean and conveys heat toward the cold continental shelves of the Antarctic Pacific sector, thus impacting the stability of diverse ice shelves. Due to the seasonal sea ice cover, measurements are sparse and little is known about the variability of the gyre's circulation and its driving forces. Here we use satellite radar altimetry to generate new light on the Ross Gyre variability. Two key aspects are identified: (i) large-scale variability of the sea surface height driven by the zonal winds that flow around Antarctica and (ii) changes in area and strength of the gyre, which are linked to a regional center of low pressure that modulates the local meteorology and sea ice conditions. This same pressure system regulates the strength of the coastal currents, which potentially impacts on the distribution of key oceanic properties toward the Ross Sea. The processes identified in this study have strong implications for our understanding of the oceanic forcing of Antarctic Ice Sheet melting and for the downstream propagation of its ocean freshening footprint.

### 1. Introduction

The Ross Sea (RS; Figure 1a), Antarctica, is a region where mixing of distinct water masses and complex interactions with the cryosphere lead to the production and export of dense water, with global-scale impacts (Orsi & Wiederwohl, 2009). This region is sensitive to climatic changes, and perturbations in the water mass transformations that it hosts influence the properties and quantity of Antarctic Bottom Water exported to the global thermohaline circulation (Jacobs & Giulivi, 2010; Schmidtke et al., 2014). Offshore lies the cyclonic Ross Gyre (RG; Figure 1a), an important regional component of the Southern Ocean, which controls the proximity of the warm waters of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC) to the RS continental shelf, where they may drive ice shelf melting (Paolo et al., 2015; Rignot et al., 2013) and increasing sea level (Rye et al., 2014). Recent estimates of RG strength from numerical models and inverse approaches suggest a recirculating transport of ~15–30 Sv (Chu & Fan, 2007; Mazloff et al., 2010; Nakayama et al., 2014), though this value varies among simulations (Wang, 2013). Due to the difficulty of measuring ice-covered regions, little is known about the RG variability.

Radar altimetry is an accurate tool for measuring changes in the surface ocean circulation. However, sea ice has hampered the assessment of sea level around Antarctica by remote sensing, limiting understanding of oceanic variability to ice-free periods (Rye et al., 2014). Measurement of sea surface height (SSH) in ice-covered regions became feasible with the method of Peacock and Laxon (2004), in which the specular



**Figure 1.** (a) Time-mean DOT and geostrophic velocity (2011–2015). Mean positions of the RG (black) and the sbACC (red) identified in the present work. Green line is the sbACC from Orsi et al. (1995). Light (dark) gray thick line depicts time-mean 15% sea ice concentration for summer (winter). Thin gray lines are 1,000-, 3,000- and 4,500-m isobaths. Thick red line marks the throughflow section. (b) Time-mean DOT (2011–2015) overlaid by monthly RG (white) and sbACC (purple) contours. Time-mean RG, sbACC, sea ice concentration, and bathymetry are depicted as in panel (a). (c) Monthly Ross Gyre sea surface height and (d) its annual cycle. (e) Monthly Ross Gyre area and (f) its annual cycle. (g) Monthly westward BT and (h) its annual cycle. (i) Monthly zonal throughflow and (j) its annual cycle. Circles (squares) markers in (j) denote periods of  $-1$  ( $+1$ ) standard deviation from the detrended mean used in the composite analysis (Figure 3). Shades in the annual cycle panels are the standard error from the time series. Horizontal gray lines in (c) to (j) are the respective time-mean values.

signal returned from sea ice leads is recovered. In the Arctic Ocean, radar altimetry has been widely used to assess the SSH variability and associated oceanic circulation (Armitage et al., 2016; Bulczak et al., 2015; Giles et al., 2012; Mizobata et al., 2016), providing a new perspective on that basin. Recently, Kwok and Morison (2015) demonstrated the potential use of radar altimetry to study the ice-covered Southern Ocean, with Armitage et al. (2018) showing that the large-scale wind curl modulates nonseasonal variability of austral SSH, including within the subpolar (Ross and Weddell) gyres.

Here we assess the RG circulation using radar altimetry from CryoSat-2, to generate new insight into its seasonal and interannual variability and its forcing mechanisms.

## 2. Data and Methods

### 2.1. Altimetric Data

Gridded along-track SSH measurements acquired by CryoSat-2 (Wingham et al., 2006) between November 2010 and February 2016 were used. CryoSat-2 operates in different modes in the Southern Ocean: Low-Resolution Mode in the open ocean away from sea ice, Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) over sea ice, and SAR Interferometric in coastal regions. SSH data in ice-covered areas were processed following the method of Peacock and Laxon (2004), which distinguishes between specular echoes from leads and diffuse echoes from sea ice. Open-ocean SSH data were processed using standard techniques. A seasonal offset between the lead and open-ocean data was identified, caused by the different retracers used to fit the altimeter return echoes; this was added back to the lead data to correct the bias (Armitage et al., 2016, 2018; Bulczak et al., 2015; Giles et al., 2012). The open-ocean bias between the SAR and Low-Resolution Mode was corrected in the same way. The bias-corrected SSH was then referenced to the EGM2008 geoid (Pavlis et al., 2012) to create dynamic ocean topography (DOT). Use of a different geoid does not significantly affect our conclusion; see supporting information. Along-track data  $\pm 3\sigma$  from the mean were removed. The data were then binned onto a regular grid spacing  $0.5^\circ$  (latitude) by  $1^\circ$  (longitude) and smoothed with a 300-km radius Gaussian filter to remove small-scale signals absent from the geoid. Zonal ( $u$ ) and meridional ( $v$ ) surface geostrophic currents were derived as  $u = -(g/f)(d\eta/dy)$  and  $v = (g/f)(d\eta/dx)$ , where  $g$  is acceleration due to gravity,  $f$  is Coriolis parameter,  $\eta$  is DOT, and  $y$  and  $x$  are meridional and zonal distances. The estimated uncertainty for the gridded SSH is 1.5 cm (see supporting information). Hereafter, we will refer to the DOT minus its 2011-2015-mean as SSH, and the errors shown are standard deviation (standard error) for the time-mean (annual cycle) estimates.

### 2.2. Investigation of Drivers of RG Variability

Monthly wind stress, wind stress curl, ocean surface stress, and ocean surface stress curl (OSC) were calculated. Wind data were obtained from ECMWF/ERA-Interim reanalysis (Dee et al., 2011). Sea ice concentration (SIC) data are from National Snow and Ice Data Center (Cavalieri et al., 1996), and sea ice drift data are from the Polar Pathfinder Daily Sea Ice Motion (Tschudi et al., 2016). All data sets were linearly interpolated onto the SSH grid and restricted to the time period of January 2011 to December 2015, that is, years with all months. The total ocean surface stress in each grid cell is as follows:

$$\vec{\tau} = \alpha \vec{\tau}_{\text{ice-water}} + (1 - \alpha) \vec{\tau}_{\text{air-water}}$$

where


$$\vec{\tau}_{\text{ice-water}} = \rho_{\text{water}} C_{iw} |\vec{U}_{\text{ice}}| \vec{U}_{\text{ice}}$$

$$\vec{\tau}_{\text{air-water}} = \rho_{\text{air}} C_d |\vec{U}_{\text{air}}| \vec{U}_{\text{air}}$$

and  $\alpha$  is SIC,  $\rho_{\text{air}}$  is  $1.25 \text{ kg/m}^3$ ,  $\rho_{\text{water}}$  is  $1,028 \text{ kg/m}^3$ ,  $C_d$  and  $C_{iw}$  are, respectively, the air-water and ice-water drag coefficients set to  $1.25 \times 10^{-3}$  and  $5.50 \times 10^{-3}$  (Tsamados et al., 2014). Here we considered a constant  $C_{iw}$  and stagnant ocean as a first assessment of the leading-order impact of sea ice on ocean surface stress. A sensitivity test showed that our results are qualitatively independent of the chosen  $C_{iw}$  (Figure S2).

The relation between SSH and OSC was assessed via Maximum Covariance Analysis (MCA) using singular value decomposition, whereby the covariance patterns of the cross-covariance matrix are extracted (Wallace et al., 1992). We conducted this analysis for January 2011 to December 2015, and geographic coordinates between  $150^\circ\text{E}$  and  $290^\circ\text{E}$  and south of  $60^\circ\text{S}$  to span the ice-covered region. Both data sets had their means and linear trends subtracted.

Two climatic indices were used to evaluate the atmospheric large-scale influence over the RG: the Antarctic Oscillation (AAO, also known as Southern Annular Mode; Thompson & Wallace, 2000) and the Amundsen Sea Low (ASL; Hosking et al., 2016) indices. The AAO is a proxy for the strength of the midlatitude Southern Ocean



**Figure 2.** (a) First sea surface height (SSH) Maximum Covariance Analysis (MCA) pattern for 2011–2015. AS = Amundsen Sea; BS=Bellingshausen Sea. (b) First ocean surface stress curl (OSC) MCA pattern. (c) SSH PC1 (black) and OSC PC1 (red) alongside Antarctic Oscillation index (blue), all normalized to have unit variance. (d) Second SSH MCA pattern. (e) Second OSC MCA pattern. (f) SSH PC2 (black) and OSC PC2 (red) alongside Amundsen Sea Low index (blue), all normalized to have unit variance. Antarctic Oscillation and Amundsen Sea Low indices are inverted. The 2011–2015 averaged 15% SIC is represented by the thick gray line in MCA maps. Black thin lines represent 1,000-, 3,000-, and 4,500-m isobaths. Squared covariance explained by each MCA mode is indicated in the legends of (a) and (d). Correlation coefficient and  $p$  value between the PCs are depicted in (c) and (f). In (b) and (e), sea level pressure anomaly is regressed onto the SSH PCs. Green (magenta) lines represent negative (positive) sea level pressure every 1-hPa interval. Black thick line is 0 hPa.

westerlies. The ASL is a semiannually varying center of low pressure located in the Amundsen Sea (Figure 2a) that modulates a significant fraction of atmospheric and sea ice variability in West Antarctica (Turner et al., 2013). Relationships with other climatic indices (e.g., ENSO) were examined but found not significant, likely due to the relatively short time span of the satellite data set.

GRACE RL05-Mascon Ocean Bottom Pressure anomalies from CSR (Save et al., 2016) were used to evaluate altimetric SSH and its barotropic component (Figure S3). Hereafter,  $p$  values are not given if the correlation coefficient is above the 99% confidence level.

### 2.3. Characterization of the RG

Two key aspects of the RG circulation are examined: (i) the area and intensity of the gyre's recirculating component and (ii) the westward near-slope flow (hereafter, *throughflow*) adjacent to the RG recirculation cell. This current is important in exchanging waters between the ACC and the continental shelf of the RS (Orsi & Wiederwohl, 2009). The RG boundary was taken as the highest closed DOT contour in each month (Figure 1b), following Foukal and Lozier (2017). The southern boundary of the ACC (sbACC) was defined as

the  $-2$ -m DOT contour, which was the lowest contour that never intersects Antarctica, and coincides with a DOT gradient maximum (Figure 1b). We estimated the gyre's barotropic transport (BT) assuming no vertical shear. Therefore, the BT overestimates the net transport of the RG, because available estimates suggest a baroclinic transport of approximately 8 Sv (Gouretski, 1999). Consequently, the BT likely also overestimates the transport variability, because the gyre's baroclinic structure is omitted (due to lack of subsurface measurements). However, comparison of SSH with gravity-derived Ocean Bottom Pressure anomalies indicates that the barotropic mode dominates transport variability for the monthly interannual time scales considered here (Figure S3), so that the BT does generally characterize transport variability. We define BT as the maximum meridional integral of the vertically integrated zonal velocity between the gyre's southern boundary and its center, marked by the regional minimum in DOT (Wang, 2013). The throughflow was quantified as the monthly mean zonal velocity across a section extending between the gyre's southern boundary and a point 100 km from the coast along  $153^{\circ}\text{W}$ ; this longitude is representative of the westward flow across  $140$ – $170^{\circ}\text{W}$ . Data close to the coast were excluded in this analysis due to geoid uncertainties (Figure 1a).

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Recirculation Within the RG

SSH within the RG exhibits substantial month-to-month variability (Figure 1c), with maxima of  $\sim 1.4 \pm 1$  cm in August–November and minima of  $\sim -3.5 \pm 0.7$  cm in April (Figure 1d). The RG time-mean area during the study period is  $\sim 1.84 \pm 0.47 \times 10^6$  km<sup>2</sup> and integrates strong semiannual and higher-frequency variability (Figures 1e–1f). The largest area is observed in May ( $\sim 2.20 \pm 0.22 \times 10^6$  km<sup>2</sup>) and November ( $\sim 2.30 \pm 0.14 \times 10^6$  km<sup>2</sup>), and the smallest at the end of winter and, most prominently, in summer (a decrease of  $\sim 36\%$  from November). A clear areal decline is observed in 2015, when the RG spans  $\sim 0.80 \times 10^6$  km<sup>2</sup> in March–April (Figure 1e). Area and SSH display a marginally significant correlation ( $r = 0.41$ ,  $p = 0.05$ ), suggesting a weak coupling between these features. The BT exhibits a time mean of  $\sim 23 \pm 8$  Sv, and large variability. A weakening of the gyre is observed in 2015 (Figure 1g), concurrent to the reduction in area. RG strengthening is highly correlated with its expansion ( $r = 0.84$ ), pointing to common drivers. The mean seasonal cycle in gyre strength exhibits two periods of intensification, in May and November, when the BT peaks at  $\sim 30 \pm 4.6$  Sv (Figure 1h). Gyre weakening is observed in February ( $\sim 17 \pm 2.8$  Sv) and September ( $\sim 18 \pm 2.9$  Sv), a reduction of  $\sim 40\%$  from May/November.

#### 3.2. Throughflow

The RG throughflow may flow onto the continental shelf of the RS, or westward of Cape Adare (Figure 1a). During the study period, the mean zonal throughflow is  $\sim 4.3 \pm 0.8$  cm/s (Figure 1i). Its variability of 0.8 cm/s corresponds to a BT of  $\sim 6$  Sv, based on a mean depth of 4,000 m and a mean distance of 200 km (Figure 1b). Extreme maxima and minima of  $\sim 6.3$  and  $\sim 2.7$  cm/s occurred in April 2012 and September 2015, respectively. The mean seasonal cycle displays a peak velocity of  $\sim 4.8 \pm 0.5$  cm/s in April–May. From May to October, velocity decreases by  $\sim 19\%$ , reaching a minimum of  $\sim 3.9 \pm 0.4$  cm/s, similar to summer values. A second intensification of the throughflow occurs in November, when the zonal velocity rises to  $\sim 4.6 \pm 0.1$  cm/s.

### 4. Discussion

Radar altimetry captures well many features of the ice-covered RG, including its mean position ( $160^{\circ}\text{E}$ – $40^{\circ}\text{W}$ , south of the sbACC, and constrained by topography) and eastern boundary at  $140^{\circ}\text{W}$  (Chu & Fan, 2007; Gouretski, 1999). Near the RS shelf break, observed geostrophic speeds are  $\sim 1$ – $2$  cm/s, increasing to  $>3$ – $4$  cm/s around Cape Adare and Cape Colbeck (Figure 1a), in agreement with modeling studies (Assmann et al., 2003; Padman et al., 2009; Rickard et al., 2010). The  $\sim 23 \pm 8$  Sv time-mean BT is within the range of previous estimates of  $\sim 15$ – $30$  Sv (Chu & Fan, 2007; Mazloff et al., 2010; Nakayama et al., 2014). The semiannual cycle of the RG (Figures 1f and 1h) endorses model-based predictions, which suggested low (high) volume/strength in solstitial (equinoctial) periods (e.g., Dellnitz et al., 2009; Duan et al., 2016) in response to wind forcing.

Across the Southern Ocean, wind plays the main role in controlling SSH variability on the time scales considered here (Gill & Niiler, 1973; Vivier et al., 2005). However, local sea ice cover impacts momentum

transfer to the ocean (Martin et al., 2014; Tsamados et al., 2014) and may modulate the oceanic response (Kim et al., 2017). To examine the atmospheric and sea ice forcings on RG dynamics, we performed a MCA using SSH and OSC for 2011–2015 over the West Antarctic sector.


The first MCA mode (MCA1), which explains 47% of the squared covariance between SSH and OSC (Figures 2a and 2b), identifies a large-scale structure extending from the ice-covered regions toward the Southeast Pacific between 90 and 150°W (also represented by the first EOF mode of SSH, Figure S4). MCA1 represents the effect of Ekman pumping associated with wind-induced ocean stress on SSH variability, because OSC-driven convergence (divergence) of the local Ekman transport induces an increase (decrease) of SSH. Both principal components of MCA1 (PC1) are strongly coupled ( $r = 0.80$ ) and correlate with RG SSH ( $r = 0.87$  and  $r = 0.64$  for SSH PC1 and OSC PC1, respectively), and with the AAO index ( $r = -0.62$  and  $r = -0.54$ , respectively; Figure 2c). This suggests that sea level variability in the West Antarctic sector, including the RG, is regulated by large-scale meridional Ekman transport forced by circumpolar zonal winds, which removes (adds) mass from (to) the coastal region (Armitage et al., 2018; Vivier et al., 2005). South of the RG, the MCA1 patterns (Figures 2a and 2b) display opposite spatial footprints, although this does not affect RG SSH. The cause of this feature is unclear, and its occurrence is sensitive to the choice of  $C_{iw}$ .

The second MCA mode (MCA2), which explains 30% of the squared covariance between SSH and OSC (Figures 2d and 2e), contrasts the coastal and open-ocean regions (also seen in the second EOF mode; Figure S4). Both principal components of MCA2 (PC2) are coupled ( $r = 0.80$ ; Figure 2f). The coastal signal (Figure 2d) is identified as the *southern mode* (Aoki, 2002; Hughes et al., 1999; Kushara & Ohshima, 2009)—a band of coherent oceanic pressure variability around Antarctica forced by circumpolar winds that is challenging to extract from conventional altimetry due to sea ice cover (Hughes & Meredith, 2006). The Southeast Pacific signal (100–130°W; Figure 2d) is seemingly an extension of the barotropic *almost-free* mode (Webb & de Cuevas, 2003; Weijer, 2015) resulting from wind-induced mass convergence/divergence (Boening et al., 2011; Ponte & Piecuch, 2014). This mode is intensified during 2015—a year of exceptional El Niño conditions (Santoso et al., 2017).

The patterns represented by MCAs are large-scale manifestations relative to the domain. In the MCA2, for instance, those representations stem from the low-pressure system centered in the Amundsen-Bellinghshausen Seas (Cohen et al., 2013; Figure 2), which receives forcing from ENSO and AAO/Southern Annular Mode via atmospheric teleconnections (Clem et al., 2017; Yuan, 2004). Propagation of atmospheric planetary waves from the tropics affects the regional sea level pressure (SLP) and atmospheric circulation (Dutrieux et al., 2014; Steig et al., 2012; Turner et al., 2017; Yuan, 2004). Whereas many works have addressed large-scale controls on the ASL (e.g., Raphael et al., 2016; Turner et al., 2013), none have to date discussed the connections and responses between the ASL and RG.

MCA2 correlates with changes in RG area ( $r = 0.69$  for SSH PC2 and  $r = 0.56$  for OSC PC2) and BT ( $r = 0.61$  for SSH PC2 and  $r = 0.51$  for OSC PC2). Both PC2's are related to the ASL index ( $r = -0.47$ ,  $p < 0.05$  for SSH PC2; and  $r = -0.62$ ,  $p < 0.01$  for OSC PC2; Figure 2f). This suggests that the ASL contributes to regulating the RG variability represented by the MCA2 (i.e. the gyre's area and strength). Periods when the RG is larger and intensified are associated with a cyclonic atmospheric circulation (negative OSC/SLP) anomaly over the Southeast Pacific/Amundsen-Bellinghshausen Seas, likely strengthened during La Niña condition (Dutrieux et al., 2014). Ekman transport divergence induces a relative reduction of the SSH north of the gyre (Figure 4), enabling its outer boundary to move/expand northeastward (Figure S5). Only small changes are observed in the southern and northern boundaries of the gyre because of topographic constraints. Further, the anomalous cyclonic forcing enhances westward surface stress along the RS shelf break and thereby accelerates the gyre's southern limb, resulting in a coupling between area and BT. The converse RG response is observed for anomalous anticyclonic forcing, as in 2015 when the gyre contracted and weakened (Figures 1e and 1g) likely in association with El Niño (Santoso et al., 2017).


MCA2 also illuminates the controls of throughflow circulation and is correlated with the throughflow magnitude ( $r = 0.57$ ,  $p < 0.01$  and  $r = 0.47$ ,  $p < 0.05$  for SSH PC2 and OSC PC2, respectively). The throughflow's drivers are most clearly illustrated by composites of anomalies in OSC, ocean surface stress, and SLP for periods of elevated (Figure 3a) and reduced (Figure 3b) westward velocity, based on  $\pm 1\sigma$  (Figure 1i). When the throughflow is anomalously strong, a negative SLP anomaly between the Antarctic Peninsula and ~140°W is developed, which generates a cyclonic circulation that intensifies westward surface stress along



**Figure 3.** Anomaly composites for periods of (a) strongest and (b) weakest throughflow (Figure 1i). Ocean surface stress curl pattern is shown by the colors, ocean surface stress by vectors, and sea level pressure (hPa) by black lines. Time-mean 15% sea ice concentration (magenta) and isobaths of 1,000 and 3,000 m (gray) are depicted.

the continental shelf, and thereby promotes a southward Ekman transport anomaly (Figure 3a), raising the SSH along the coast (not shown). Consequently, the pressure gradient between the continental shelf and slope increases, leading to a geostrophic acceleration of the throughflow. Periods of anomalously weak throughflow are characterized by opposite atmospheric and oceanic patterns (Figure 3b). Those anomalies originating in the Bellingshausen Sea (Figures 3a and 3b) follow  $f/H$  contours in accordance with barotropic *southern mode* dynamics (Hughes et al., 1999). This is in line with slope current variability elsewhere around Antarctica (Mathiot et al., 2011; Núñez-Riboni & Fahrbach, 2009), although baroclinic effects may also be substantial (Kim et al., 2016). The throughflow and BT correlate at  $r = 0.49$ , indicating a significant level of covariance. Both circulation components are invigorated by anomalous cyclonic forcing in the Amundsen Sea.

The Amundsen Sea has hosted dramatic changes in melting of its floating ice shelves (Paolo et al., 2015) due to variable influx of warm deep waters onto the continental shelf (Jenkins et al., 2016; Rignot et al., 2013). This variability is thought to be primarily controlled by zonal winds modulating currents along the shelf break (Thoma et al., 2008). Wind-induced Ekman pumping may also influence the depth of the on-shelf thermocline and affect melt rates (Christie et al., 2018; Dutriex et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2017). These processes agree with those documented here, not least in their association with the ASL. Although the ASL's dominant feature is variability, it has also experienced a deepening trend during autumn (Turner et al., 2013). This variability and trend will affect the throughflow, which transports freshwater from the Amundsen Sea toward and



**Figure 4.** Schematic of the processes involved on the expansion and intensification of the Ross Gyre (RG) and throughflow. A negative sea level pressure (SLP) anomaly is formed north of the Amundsen Sea Embayment, which generates a cyclonic circulation over the region. This lowers sea level to the north via Ekman dynamics, allowing a northeastward migration of the RG outer boundary. Further, a westward surface stress anomaly is created over the gyre's southern boundary, accelerating the RG. Southward Ekman transport piles water up over the continental shelf, increasing sea surface height (SSH) and the cross-shelf pressure gradient and thereby intensifying the throughflow.

beyond the RS (Nakayama et al., 2014), with consequences for the regional budgets of heat and freshwater and for dense water formation (Jacobs & Giulivi, 2010). Our findings also suggest that the RG responds to the ASL forcing, and a future deepening of this feature might expand and intensify the gyre, in accord with climate models (Meijers et al., 2012) and sea ice drift trends (Holland & Kwok, 2012).

Finally, we note that a realistic representation of wind momentum transfer into the ocean was only achieved when we accounted for sea ice cover over the RG (Figures S6–S8). An enhancement of sea ice concentration partially damps the wind momentum transfer into the ocean, especially as sea ice drift reduces toward the coast. This leads to a positive OSC anomaly under ice-covered areas (Figure S8). Thus, two key points were better represented by the OSC than the wind stress curl: (i) convergence within the RG during winter, which explains the increase of SSH (Figure S6); and (ii) convergence along the Antarctic continental shelf, which intensifies the throughflow (Figures 3a and S7 and S8). Therefore, sea ice cover must be considered to achieve an adequate representation of the surface stress and thus to elucidate the changing forcing on the ocean and its response.

## 5. Conclusions

Radar altimetry is used to investigate RG variability from November 2010 to February 2016. SSH variability is dominated by a large-scale barotropic response to OSC anomaly forced by the AAO (Figure 2), showing minimum (maximum) sea level during late summer/early autumn (winter/spring). Conversely, gyre area and strength vary with a semiannual cycle forced by the ASL. The deepening of SLP over the Southeast Pacific/Amundsen-Bellinghousen Seas generates a cyclonic circulation cell that reduces SSH north of the RG via Ekman divergence (Figure 4). The relative reduction of SSH to the north facilitates a northeastward expansion of the gyre's outer boundary. Further, the gyre is intensified by a westward ocean stress anomaly over its southern boundary. The ensuing southward Ekman transport anomaly raises SSH over the continental shelf and accelerates the westward throughflow by increasing the cross-slope pressure gradient. The SLP center may have greater impact over the RG transport or the throughflow, depending on its location and strength.

Our study is necessarily limited to ocean surface currents; however, we have shown that significant new understanding of ocean dynamics in ice-covered regions can be attained, with implications for future climatic changes (Holland & Kwok, 2012; Rye et al., 2014). It is important that continuity of radar altimeter missions is achieved, in order to fully exploit the techniques used here and gain insights over longer periods. Finally, concurrent subsurface measurements are a high priority to elucidate the relationships between surface circulation changes and the transports of heat and other key properties in the interior of the ocean (Jacobs & Giulivi, 2010).

## References

- Aoki, S. (2002). Coherent sea level response to the Antarctic Oscillation. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 29(20), 1950. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002GL015733>
- Armitage, T. W. K., Bacon, S., Ridout, A. L., Thomas, S. F., Aksenov, Y., & Wingham, D. J. (2016). Arctic sea surface height variability and change from satellite radar altimetry and GRACE, 2003–2014. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 121, 4303–4322. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JC011579>
- Armitage, T. W. K., Kwok, R., Thompson, A. F., & Cunningham, G. (2018). Dynamic topography and sea level anomalies of the Southern Ocean: Variability and teleconnections. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 123, 613–630. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JC013534>
- Assmann, K., Hellmer, H. H., & Beckmann, A. (2003). Seasonal variation in circulation and water mass distribution on the Ross Sea continental shelf. *Antarctic Science*, 15(1), 3–11. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954102003001007>
- Boening, C., Lee, T., & Zlotnicki, V. (2011). A record-high ocean bottom pressure in the South Pacific observed by GRACE. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 38, L04602. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010GL046013>
- Bulczak, A. I., Bacon, S., Naveira Garabato, A. C., Ridout, A., Sonnewald, M. J. P., & Laxon, S. W. (2015). Seasonal variability of sea surface height in the coastal waters and deep basins of the Nordic Seas. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 42, 113–120. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL061796>
- Cavaliere, D. J., Parkinson, C. L., Gloersen, P., & Zwally, H. J. (1996). Updated yearly. Sea ice concentrations from Nimbus-7 SMMR and DMSP SSM/I-SSMIS passive microwave data, version 1. [2010–2016, 50–90°S, 140°E–70°W], Boulder, CO: NASA National Snow and Ice Data Center Distributed Active Archive Center. <https://doi.org/10.5067/8GQ8LZQVLOVL>. (last access: 30 June 2017).
- Christie, F. D. W., Bingham, R. G., Gourmelen, N., Steig, E. J., Bisset, R. R., Pritchard, H. D., et al. (2018). Marie Byrd Land glacier change driven by inter-decadal climate-ocean variability. *The Cryosphere Discussion*, 1–34. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-2017-263>
- Chu, P. C., & Fan, C. (2007). An inverse model for calculation of global volume transport from wind and hydrographic data. *Journal of Marine Systems*, 65(1–4), 376–399. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2005.06.010>
- Clem, K. R., Renwick, J. A., & McGregor, J. (2017). Large-scale forcing of the Amundsen Sea Low and its influence on sea ice and West Antarctic temperature. *Journal of Climate*, 30(20), 8405–8424. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0891.1>

### Acknowledgments

The CryoSat-2 data were obtained from the European Space Agency (<https://earth.esa.int/web/guest/data-access/>) and processed at CPOM (UCL). The NASA Team ice concentration and Sea Ice Drift data are available from the National Snow and Ice Data Center at <http://nsidc.org/data/nsidc-0051> and <http://nsidc.org/data/nsidc-0116>, respectively. ERA-Interim data are available from ECMWF (<http://apps.ecmwf.int/datasets/data/interim-full-daily/levtype=sfc/>). The AAO index is available from NOAA/CPC ([http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/precip/CWlink/daily\\_ao\\_index/ao/aao.shtml](http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/precip/CWlink/daily_ao_index/ao/aao.shtml)). GRACE data are available from CSR ([http://www2.csr.utexas.edu/grace/RL05\\_mascons.html](http://www2.csr.utexas.edu/grace/RL05_mascons.html)). The ASL index is available from <http://www.antarctica.ac.uk/data/abs/>. We thank T. W. K. Armitage for initial assistance with the altimetric data set. T. S. Dotto acknowledges support by the CNPq/Brazil PhD scholarship grant 232792/2014-3. The participation of A. C. Naveira Garabato was supported by the Royal Society and the Wolfson Foundation and that of M. P. Meredith by NERC via award NE/N018095/1. This work was supported by the Natural Environment Research Council (ORCHESTRA, grant NE/N018095/1) Geostrophic current data presented in this manuscript can be accessed via [http://www.cpom.ucl.ac.uk/dynamic\\_topography](http://www.cpom.ucl.ac.uk/dynamic_topography).

- Cohen, L., Dean, S., & Renwick, J. (2013). Synoptic weather types for the Ross Sea region, Antarctica. *Journal of Climate*, *26*(2), 636–649. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00690.1>
- Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., et al. (2011). The ERA-interim reanalysis: Configuration and performance of the data assimilation system. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, *137*(656), 553–597. <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.828>
- Dellnitz, M., Froyland, G., Horenkamp, C., Padberg-Gehle, K., & Sen Gupta, A. (2009). Seasonal variability of the subpolar gyres in the Southern Ocean: A numerical investigation based on transfer operators. *Nonlinear Processes in Geophysics*, *16*(6), 655–663. <https://doi.org/10.5194/npg-16-655-2009>
- Duan, Y., Liu, H., Yu, W., & Hou, Y. (2016). The mean properties and variations of the Southern Hemisphere subpolar gyres estimated by Simple Ocean Data Assimilation (SODA) products. *Acta Oceanologica Sinica*, *35*(7), 8–13. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13131-016-0901-2>
- Dutrieux, P., De Rydt, J., Jenkins, A., Holland, P. R., Ha, H. K., Lee, S. H., et al. (2014). Strong sensitivity of Pine Island ice-shelf melting to climatic variability. *Science*, *343*(6167), 174–178. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1244341>
- Foukal, N. P., & Lozier, M. S. (2017). Assessing variability in the size and strength of the North Atlantic subpolar gyre. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *122*, 6295–6308. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JC012798>
- Giles, K. A., Laxon, S. W., Ridout, A. L., Wingham, D. J., & Bacon, S. (2012). Western Arctic Ocean freshwater storage increased by wind driven spin-up of the Beaufort Gyre. *Nature Geoscience*, *5*(3), 194–197. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1379>
- Gill, A., & Niiler, P. (1973). The theory of the seasonal variability in the ocean. *Deep-Sea Research and Oceanographic Abstracts*, *20*, 141–177. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0011-7471\(73\)90049-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0011-7471(73)90049-1)
- Gouretski, V. (1999). The large-scale thermohaline structure of the Ross Gyre. In G. Spezie, & G. M. R. Manzella (Eds.), *Oceanography of the Ross Sea Antarctica* (pp. 77–100). Milano: Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-88-470-2250-8\\_6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-88-470-2250-8_6)
- Holland, P. R., & Kwok, R. (2012). Wind-driven trends in Antarctic sea-ice drift. *Nature Geoscience*, *5*(12), 872–875. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1627>
- Hosking, J. S., Orr, A., Bracegirdle, T. J., & Turner, J. (2016). Future circulation changes off West Antarctica: Sensitivity of the Amundsen Sea Low to projected anthropogenic forcing. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *43*, 367–376. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL067143>
- Hughes, C. W., & Meredith, M. P. (2006). Coherent sea level fluctuations along the global continental slope. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*, *364*(1841), 885–901. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2006.1744>
- Hughes, C. W., Meredith, M. P., & Heywood, K. J. (1999). Wind driven transport fluctuations through Drake Passage: A southern mode. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, *29*, 1971–1992. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485\(1999\)029<1971:WDTFTD>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(1999)029<1971:WDTFTD>2.0.CO;2)
- Jacobs, S. S., & Giulivi, C. F. (2010). Large multidecadal salinity trends near the Pacific-Antarctic continental margin. *Journal of Climate*, *23*(17), 4508–4524. <https://doi.org/10.1175/2010JCLI3284.1>
- Jenkins, A., Dutrieux, P., Jacobs, S., Steig, E. J., Gudmundsson, G. H., Smith, J., & Heywood, K. J. (2016). Decadal ocean forcing and Antarctic ice sheet response: Lessons from the Amundsen Sea. *Oceanography*, *29*(4), 106–117. <https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2016.103>
- Kim, C. S., Kim, T. W., Cho, K. H., Ha, H. K., Lee, S. H., Kim, H. C., & Lee, J. H. (2016). Variability of the Antarctic Coastal Current in the Amundsen Sea. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, *181*, 123–133. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jecss.2016.08.004>
- Kim, T. W., Ha, H. K., Wählin, A. K., Lee, S. H., Kim, C. S., Lee, J. H., & Cho, Y. K. (2017). Is Ekman pumping responsible for the seasonal variation of warm circumpolar deep water in the Amundsen Sea? *Continental Shelf Research*, *132*, 38–48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2016.09.005>
- Kusahara, K., & Ohshima, K. I. (2009). Dynamics of the wind-driven sea level variation around Antarctica. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, *39*(3), 658–674. <https://doi.org/10.1175/2008JPO3982.1>
- Kwok, R., & Morison, J. (2015). Sea surface height and dynamic topography of the ice-covered oceans from CryoSat-2: 2011–2014. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *121*, 674–692. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JC011357>
- Martin, T., Steele, M., & Zhang, J. (2014). Seasonality and long-term trend of Arctic Ocean surface stress in a model. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *119*, 1723–1738. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JC009425>
- Mathiot, P., Goosse, H., Fichefet, T., Barnier, B., & Gallée, H. (2011). Modelling the seasonal variability of the Antarctic Slope Current. *Ocean Science*, *7*(4), 455–470. <https://doi.org/10.5194/os-7-455-2011>
- Mazloff, M. R., Heimbach, P., & Wunsch, C. (2010). An eddy-permitting Southern Ocean state estimate. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, *40*(5), 880–899. <https://doi.org/10.1175/2009JPO4236.1>
- Meijers, A. J. S., Shuckburgh, E., Bruneau, N., Sallee, J.-B., Bracegirdle, T. J., & Wang, Z. (2012). Representation of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current in the CMIP5 climate models and future changes under warming scenarios. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *117*, C12008. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JC008412>
- Mizobata, K., Watanabe, E., & Kimura, N. (2016). Wintertime variability of the Beaufort gyre in the Arctic Ocean derived from CryoSat-2/SIRAL observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *121*, 1685–1699. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JC011218>
- Nakayama, Y., Timmermann, R., Rodehacke, C. B., Schröder, M., & Hellmer, H. H. (2014). Modeling the spreading of glacial meltwater from the Amundsen and Bellingshausen Seas. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *41*, 7942–7949. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL061600>
- Núñez-Riboni, I., & Fahrbach, E. (2009). Seasonal variability of the Antarctic Coastal Current and its driving mechanisms in the Weddell Sea. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, *56*(11), 1927–1941. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2009.06.005>
- Orsi, A. H., Whitworth, T., & Nowlin, W. D. (1995). On the meridional extent and fronts of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current. *Deep-Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, *42*(5), 641–673. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0967-0637\(95\)00021-W](https://doi.org/10.1016/0967-0637(95)00021-W)
- Orsi, A. H., & Wiederwohl, C. L. (2009). A recount of Ross Sea waters. *Deep-Sea Research II: Tropical Studies in Oceanography*, *56*(13-14), 778–795. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2008.10.033>
- Padman, L., Howard, S. L., Orsi, A. H., & Muench, R. D. (2009). Tides of the north-western Ross Sea and their impact on dense outflows of Antarctic Bottom Water. *Deep-Sea Research II: Tropical Studies in Oceanography*, *56*(13-14), 818–834. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2008.10.026>
- Paolo, F. S., Fricker, H. A., & Padman, L. (2015). Volume loss from Antarctic ice shelves is accelerating. *Science*, *348*(6232), 327–331. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa0940>
- Pavlis, N. K., Holmes, S. A., Kenyon, S. C., & Factor, J. K. (2012). The development and evaluation of the Earth Gravitational Model 2008 (EGM2008). *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *117*, B04406. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JB008916>
- Peacock, N. R., & Laxon, S. W. (2004). Sea surface height determination in the Arctic Ocean from ERS altimetry. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *109*, C07001. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JC001026>
- Ponte, R. M., & Piecuch, C. G. (2014). Interannual bottom pressure signals in the Australian–Antarctic and Bellingshausen basins. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, *44*(5), 1456–1465. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-13-0223.1>
- Raphael, M. N., Marshall, G. J., Turner, J., Fogt, R. L., Schneider, D., Dixon, D. A., et al. (2016). The Amundsen Sea low: Variability, change, and impact on Antarctic Climate. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, *97*(1), 111–121. <https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00018.1>

- Rickard, G. J., Roberts, M. J., Williams, M. J., Dunn, A., & Smith, M. H. (2010). Mean circulation and hydrography in the Ross Sea sector, Southern Ocean: Representation in numerical models. *Antarctic Science*, 22(5), 533–558. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954102010000246>
- Rignot, E., Jacobs, S., Mouginot, J., & Scheuchl, B. (2013). Ice-shelf melting around Antarctica. *Science*, 341(6143), 266–270. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1235798>
- Rye, C. D., Naveira Garabato, A. C., Holland, P. R., Meredith, M. P., Nurser, A. J. G., Hughes, C. W., et al. (2014). Rapid sea-level rise along the Antarctic margins in response to increased glacial discharge. *Nature Geoscience*, 7(10), 732–735. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2230>
- Santos, A., McPhaden, M. J., & Cai, W. (2017). The defining characteristics of ENSO extremes and the strong 2015/2016 El Niño. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 55, 1079–1129. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017RG000560>
- Save, H., Bettadpur, S., & Tapley, B. D. (2016). High resolution CSR GRACE RL05 mascons. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 121, 7547–7569. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JB013007>
- Schmidtko, S., Heywood, K. J., Thompson, A. F., & Aoki, S. (2014). Multidecadal warming of Antarctic waters. *Science*, 346(6214), 1227–1231. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1256117>
- Steig, E. J., Ding, Q., Battisti, D. S., & Jenkins, A. (2012). Tropical forcing of Circumpolar Deep Water Inflow and outlet glacier thinning in the Amundsen Sea Embayment, West Antarctica. *Annals of Glaciology*, 53(60), 19–28. <https://doi.org/10.3189/2012AoG60A110>
- Thoma, M., Jenkins, A., Holland, D., & Jacobs, S. (2008). Modelling Circumpolar Deep Water intrusions on the Amundsen Sea continental shelf, Antarctica. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 35, L18602. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GL034939>
- Thompson, D. W. J., & Wallace, J. M. (2000). Annular modes in the extratropical circulation. Part I: Month-to-month variability. *Journal of Climate*, 13, 1000–1016. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442\(2000\)013<1000:AMITEC.2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(2000)013<1000:AMITEC.2.0.CO;2)
- Tsamados, M., Feltham, D. L., Schroeder, D., Flocco, D., Farrell, S. L., Kurtz, N., et al. (2014). Impact of variable atmospheric and oceanic form drag on simulations of Arctic sea ice. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 44(5), 1329–1353. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-13-0215.1>
- Tschudi, M., Fowler, C., Maslanik, J., Stewart, J.S., & Meier, W. (2016). Polar Pathfinder daily 25 km EASE-grid sea ice motion vectors, version 3. [2010–2016, 50–90°S, 140°E–70°W]. Boulder, Colorado USA: NASA National Snow and Ice Data Center Distributed Active Archive Center. <https://doi.org/10.5067/O57VAIT2AYYY> (last access: 27 June 2017).
- Turner, J., Hosking, J. S., Bracegirdle, T. J., Phillips, T., & Marshall, G. J. (2017). Variability and trends in the Southern Hemisphere high latitude, quasi-stationary planetary waves. *International Journal of Climatology*, 37(5), 2325–2336. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.4848>
- Turner, J., Phillips, T., Hosking, J. S., Marshall, G. J., & Orr, A. (2013). The Amundsen Sea low. *International Journal of Climatology*, 33(7), 1818–1829. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.3558>
- Vivier, F., Kelly, K. A., & Harismendy, M. (2005). Causes of largescale sea level variations in the Southern Ocean: Analyses of sea level and a barotropic model. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 110, C09014. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JC002773>
- Wallace, J. M., Smith, C., & Bretherton, C. S. (1992). Singular value decomposition of wintertime sea surface temperature and 500-mb height anomalies. *Journal of Climate*, 5, 561–576. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442\(1992\)005<0561:SVDOWS>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(1992)005<0561:SVDOWS>2.0.CO;2)
- Wang, Z. (2013). On the response of Southern Hemisphere subpolar gyres to climate change in coupled climate models. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 118, 1070–1086. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrc.20111>
- Webb, D. J., & de Cuevas, B. A. (2003). The region of large sea surface height variability in the southeast Pacific Ocean. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 33, 1044–1056. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485\(2003\)033<1044:TROLSS>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(2003)033<1044:TROLSS>2.0.CO;2)
- Weijer, W. (2015). Modal variability in the Southeast Pacific Basin: Energetics of the 2009 event. *Deep-Sea Research II: Tropical Studies in Oceanography*, 114, 3–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2012.10.002>
- Wingham, D. J., Francis, C. R., Baker, S., Bouzinac, C., Brockley, D., Cullen, R., et al. (2006). CryoSat: A mission to determine the fluctuations in Earth's land and marine ice fields. *Advances in Space Research Series*, 37(4), 841–871. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2005.07.027>
- Yuan, X. (2004). ENSO-related impacts on Antarctic sea ice: A synthesis of phenomenon and mechanisms. *Antarctic Science*, 16(4), 415–425. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954102004002238>